Associations between dairy consumption and body weight: a review of the evidence and underlying mechanisms
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Abstract
As the incidence of obesity is reaching ‘epidemic’ proportions, there is currently widespread interest in the impact of dietary components on body-weight and food intake regulation. The majority of data available from both epidemiological and intervention studies provide evidence of a negative but modest association between milk and dairy product consumption and BMI and other measures of adiposity, with indications that higher intakes result in increased weight loss and lean tissue maintenance during energy restriction. The purported physiological and molecular mechanisms underlying the impact of dairy constituents on adiposity are incompletely understood but may include effects on lipolysis, lipogeneisis and fatty acid absorption. Furthermore, accumulating evidence indicates an impact of dairy constituents, in particular whey protein derivatives, on appetite regulation and food intake. The present review summarises available data and provides an insight into the likely contribution of dairy foods to strategies aimed at appetite regulation, weight loss or the prevention of weight gain.
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Introduction
In addition to being a high-quality protein source, milk and dairy products represent important sources of Ca, iodine, riboflavin and B\(_{12}\), providing 60, 55, 52 and 150% of the adult reference nutrient intakes in the UK for these nutrients, respectively\(^(1,3)\). In recent years, attention has focused on the macronutrient composition of dairy products and the potential effects of dairy consumption on the risk of chronic diseases such as CVD, and more recently, obesity and its associated metabolic disorders such as the metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes. The present review specifically examines the evidence from epidemiological studies and intervention trials that have investigated the relationship between dairy product consumption and dietary Ca, and measures of adiposity. Furthermore, potential mechanisms underlying the possible relationship between dairy constituents and body-weight regulation, and in particular appetite, are explored. The review commences by detailing current and predicted trends in obesity incidence, and worldwide consumption patterns of milk and other dairy products.

Obesity prevalence and projections
The prevalence of excess body weight has reached epidemic proportions, with more than 1·6 billion adults being overweight (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m\(^2\)) worldwide of which 400 million are clinically obese (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m\(^2\))\(^(2)\). Table 1 illustrates the current and predicted future prevalence of overweight and obesity in various parts of the world. The UK obesity rates are the third highest in Europe, with the prevalence of overweight individuals (including obese) being 61·9% for females and 65·7% for males and 24·2% and 21·6% classified as obese, respectively\(^(3)\).

Abbreviations: CCK, cholecystokinin; CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\), 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D\(_3\); UCP2, uncoupling protein-2.
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The association between dairy product/Ca consumption and body weight regulation has been widely investigated with data from epidemiological and intervention studies in both adults and children summarised in numerous recent reviews\(^{11–22}\). In a number of studies reporting on the association between dietary Ca and adiposity and its regulation, no distinction is made between dairy and non-dairy Ca sources, making it difficult to establish whether the beneficial component is likely to be Ca or an alternative milk bioactive component whose intake is highly correlated with total Ca intake.

To our knowledge, no recent review has examined the impact of dairy products on both body composition and appetite, which represents the primary focus of the present review. Relevant articles were abstracted through the PubMed database, Google Scholar, and the cited references of these reports using the following key words: ‘dairy’, ‘milk’, ‘BMI’, ‘weight’, ‘body composition’, ‘appetite’, ‘satiety’ and ‘calcium’. These key words produced 4716 hits. Articles available only in abstract form or not published in English were excluded. Studies conducted in children and adolescents were not included in the present review. All observational or intervention studies which exclusively examined the impact of Ca supplements were not reviewed since the focus is dairy products and not Ca \(\textit{per se}\). However, where a direct comparison between the impact of dietary or dairy Ca and supplemental Ca is made the data are included, as it provides a valuable insight into the potential bioactive components in dairy products. Using these criteria a total of 118 articles, which examined the impact of milk, milk products, or dietary Ca (which is mainly derived from dairy sources) on adiposity and its regulation in adults, were considered. This number does not include the mechanistic studies in cells or animals that are mentioned in the mechanistic section of the present review.

The initial evidence to indicate an association between dairy consumption was derived from cross-sectional studies. However, it is recognised that this type of study design is receptive to inverse causation bias, meaning that the presence of adiposity in individuals may affect dairy consumption habits. Prospective studies represent a more robust design. Unless otherwise stated in the text, it is assumed that the associations between dairy and adiposity cited in the text have been adjusted for the main confounding factors such as age, sex, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, fibre intake and energy intake. The validity of the adjustment of association models for total energy intake needs to be carefully considered when interpreting study results and conducting cross-study comparisons. Given the high degree of correlation between total energy intake and adiposity, adjustment for total energy intake appears

---

**Table 1. Current and projected age-standardised estimates for overweight and obesity by country for both sexes, aged 15–100 years\(^*\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* Calculated from data based on WHO factsheets\(^{39}\).

WHO projections suggest that by 2015, approximately 2.3 billion adults will be overweight and more than 700 million obese (Table 1). In the UK, it is projected that within this time frame, 67.7% of adults will be overweight\(^{33}\).

**Dairy consumption patterns worldwide**

Per capita consumption of dairy products is generally higher in the majority of developed countries relative to less developed countries\(^{4}\). The average per capita consumption of dairy products in the European Union (twenty-five countries) is 92.6 litres for milk, 18.4 kg for cheese and 4.2 kg for butter per annum\(^{5}\). Comparable consumption patterns are evident in North America, with annual per capita consumption of 94.7 and 83.9 litres of milk, 12.2 and 16.0 kg of cheese and 4.2 kg for butter in Canada and the USA, respectively\(^{5}\). The most recent estimates of dairy product consumption in the UK from the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs’ Family Food Survey in 2008 indicate that total milk consumption has decreased by 15% whilst yoghurt and cheese have increased by 34% and 10% during the last decade\(^{6}\). Comparable changes in dairy consumption patterns are evident throughout the European Union and North America\(^{5–9}\).

Although dairy products undoubtedly represent the major source of dietary Ca, there is recognition that for a subgroup of individuals dietary supplements make a significant contribution to their total intake, although at a population level the contribution is likely to be relatively minor. For example, in a 2009 report detailing supplement use in nine European countries dietary supplements were reported to contribute 0.1–5.1% and 1.3–8.5% of total intake in male and female adults, respectively\(^{10}\). In reality this may be an overestimation, with many individuals purchasing supplements but consuming them on an infrequent basis.
appropriate\(^{(25)}\). However, given that the beneficial impact of dairy constituents may be through an impact on appetite and food intake and therefore energy regulation, the adjustment for total energy intake may be misleading due to correction of the model for the mediator of the effect. Yet, the majority of the cross-sectional and prospective studies adjust their models for energy intake.

Intervention trials represent the most ‘robust’ source of data and are the most appropriate to support a cause–effect relationship.

In addition to reviewing the published literature, the present review includes a novel meta-analysis of eighteen epidemiological studies, in an attempt to summarise the relationship between dietary Ca intake and BMI, after correction for trial effects. Further details of the data analysis methods employed and the selection criteria for the inclusion or exclusion of individual studies are given later.

Throughout the text ‘Ca’ or ‘total Ca’ refers to both ‘dietary Ca’ (Ca derived solely from the total diet) and Ca consumed as supplements, whilst ‘dairy Ca’ refers to the Ca derived solely from dairy product consumption.

Epidemiological evidence of the effects of dairy product consumption on body composition

Evidence from cross-sectional studies

Several cross-sectional studies have indicated an inverse relationship between dairy consumption and body weight (Table 2). Mirmiran et al.\(^{(24)}\) showed that the number of dairy servings was inversely correlated with BMI \((r = -0.38; P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 1)\(^{(24)}\). Similar results were observed by Varennna et al.\(^{(25)}\) in early postmenopausal women. However, no association was observed in lean young Japanese women with low mean habitual dairy consumption (40 g dairy products/1000 kJ)\(^{(26)}\), and a low mean BMI (20.8 kg/m\(^2\)), which is suggestive of a possible threshold level for either body weight or dairy consumption below which no associations are observed.

Two studies have also examined the relationship between dairy product consumption and the prevalence of central obesity. Azadbakht et al.\(^{(27)}\) showed that dairy consumption is inversely associated with the prevalence of an enlarged waist circumference (defined as > 102 cm in men and > 88 cm in women), with OR by quartile of 1, 0.89, 0.74 and 0.63 \((P < 0.001)\) (Fig. 1), with a more recent study from the same group confirming the earlier associations between dairy and central adiposity\(^{(28)}\).

A limited number of studies have examined the impact of ‘type’ of dairy product on the associations between dairy consumption and body composition. However, some inter-study inconsistencies in the findings are evident. Two studies, which observed no overall association between total dairy consumption and adiposity, reported that low-fat dairy consumption was either positively associated with BMI and waist circumference\(^{(29)}\) or was inversely associated with waist-to-hip ratio\(^{(30)}\). In the studies of Snijder et al.\(^{(29)}\) and Beydoun et al.\(^{(31)}\) cheese was positively associated with the prevalence of obesity and central obesity. In contrast, milk and yoghurt were negatively related to adiposity in Beydoun’s analysis, while in Snijder’s study there were no significant inverse associations (Table 2). However, both authors do state that due to the fact that obese individuals often consume low-fat dairy products in an attempt to lose weight, cause–effect relationships are often difficult to explore in cross-sectional studies. Marques-Vidal et al.\(^{(32)}\) observed a modest but significant negative relationship between milk intake and BMI in men \((r = -0.10; P < 0.001)\) and women \((r = -0.04; P < 0.001)\), which is consistent with the findings of Dicker et al.\(^{(33)}\). In contrast, Lawlor et al.\(^{(34)}\) reported that 2.8% of the 4024 women who reported never drinking milk had a lower BMI than those who drank milk. However, this subgroup probably includes lactose-intolerant women and it is not representative of any meaningful group within the general population. Therefore, overall, the cross-sectional data suggest that lower-fat dairy products such as milk and yoghurt are associated with lower adiposity, with cheese having the opposite effect.

As far as Ca is concerned, numerous studies have showed inverse associations between dietary Ca, and the prevalence of obesity\(^{(35–39)}\), central adiposity\(^{(20)}\), body weight\(^{(40,41)}\) and sagittal abdominal diameter\(^{(42)}\). To date, seven studies have evaluated the association of dietary Ca with adiposity according to sex or ethnicity\(^{(43–49)}\), with stronger associations evident in females relative to males and in white women compared with black women\(^{(43,44,49)}\). However, due to the numerous differences in the diet and overall lifestyles between men and women and ethnic groups, the results regarding the impact of sex and race on Ca–adiposity associations remain controversial.

Evidence from baseline data in prospective studies examined in a cross-sectional manner

Baseline data of cohorts from several prospective studies with CVD, hypertension or type 2 diabetes incidence as primary outcomes were used to examine associations between dairy consumption and body composition (mainly BMI\(^{(50–57)}\)). Often, as adiposity measures did not represent a primary outcome, these analyses have not controlled for important confounding factors including energy intake. However, their distinct strength is the size of the cohort, ranging in size from 2245 to 110 792 participants. The results from studies that examined dairy consumption, dietary Ca and total Ca are summarised separately below. Briefly, among seven studies that examined dietary consumption\(^{(27,55,56,58–61)}\), two studies\(^{(27,58)}\) showed a statistically significant negative association and one study\(^{(61)}\) showed a positive association between increased dairy consumption and BMI as demonstrated in Fig. 2.
### Table 2. Cross-sectional studies of dairy consumption and measures of adiposity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study details</th>
<th>Results and conclusion</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mirmiran et al. (2005)&lt;sup&gt;(24)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>The Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study, 223 men, 239 women (aged &gt; 16 years)</td>
<td>A significant inverse relationship between BMI and servings of dairy consumption per d. OR for being overweight were 0·78 (95% CI 0·43, 0·92) and 0·89 (95% CI 0·53, 0·95) for men and women, respectively, with equivalent OR for obesity of 0·73 (95% CI 0·40, 0·83) and 0·69 (95% CI 0·34, 0·80), respectively, when comparing Q1 and Q4</td>
<td>Age, intake of total energy, carbohydrate, fat, protein, dietary fibre and physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varennal et al. (2007)&lt;sup&gt;(25)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1771 early-postmenopausal Italian women</td>
<td>An inverse relationship between dairy intake and BMI (Q1, BMI 24·1 (SD 3·4) kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;; Q4, 23·2 (SD 3·4) kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;; P=0·001) and WC (OR by quartile: 1, 0·89, 0·74, 0·63; P&lt;0·001)</td>
<td>Age, age at menopause, smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murakami et al. (2006)&lt;sup&gt;(26)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1905 Japanese women (aged 18–20 years)</td>
<td>No significant relationship between BMI and dairy consumption</td>
<td>Residential block size of residential area, smoking, alcohol, physical activity, experience of dieting, intentional dietary change, rate of eating, protein, fat and dietary fibre intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azadbakh et al. (2005)&lt;sup&gt;(27)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>375 men and 470 Tehranian women (aged 18–74 years)</td>
<td>A significant inverse relationship between dairy consumption and WC (OR by quartile: 1, 0·89, 0·74, 0·63; P&lt;0·001)</td>
<td>Age, total energy, percentage of energy from fat, BMI, use of blood pressure and oestrogen medication, smoking and physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azadbakh &amp; Esmaillzadeh (2008)&lt;sup&gt;(28)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>926 Tehranian women (aged 40–60 years)</td>
<td>Dairy consumption was negatively associated with WHR (r = 0·2; P&lt;0·05)</td>
<td>Age, physical activity, depression, smoking, coffee consumption, menopausal status, marriage, parity, age, medication use and BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snijder et al. (2007)&lt;sup&gt;(29)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>The Hoorn Study, 852 men and 1044 Dutch women (aged 50–75 years)</td>
<td>No significant association between dairy consumption and BMI (β 0·06 (SEM 0·04); P=0·17) and WC (β 0·07 (SEM 0·11); P=0·51). Cheese was positively related to BMI (β 0·15 (SEM 0·08); P=0·04)</td>
<td>Age, sex, total energy intake, fibre, physical activity, alcohol, smoking status, income, educational level and antihypertensive medication use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks et al. (2006)&lt;sup&gt;(30)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>The Bogalusa Heart Study, 505 men, 801 women (aged 20–38 years)</td>
<td>No significant relationship between dairy consumption and BMI or WHR. Significant negative relationship between low-fat dairy consumption and abdominal obesity in white males (P=0·008)</td>
<td>Energy intake, age, physical activity outside of work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beydoun et al. (2008)&lt;sup&gt;(31)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7652 women and 6966 US men (aged &gt; 18 years)</td>
<td>Each serving of cheese was associated with a higher prevalence of obesity (OR 1·14; 95% CI 1·08, 1·21) and central obesity (OR 1·11; 95% CI 1·05, 1·17) while each serving of yoghurt was inversely related to obesity (OR 0·51; 95% CI 0·36, 0·71) and central obesity (OR 0·51; 95% CI 0·37, 0·70)</td>
<td>Age, sex, ethnicity, socio-economic status (education and poverty income ratio), energy intake and physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marques-Vidal et al. (2006)&lt;sup&gt;(32)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>The Portuguese Health Interview Survey, 17 771 men, 19 742 women (aged ≥ 18 years)</td>
<td>A significantly inverse relationship between BMI and milk consumption (men: r = 0·11, P&lt;0·001; women: r = 0·06; P&lt;0·001), with the strongest relationships in men and premenopausal women</td>
<td>Age, number of meals, smoking, educational level, usual activity at work and leisure time physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicker et al. (2008)&lt;sup&gt;(33)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>The Israeli National Health and Nutrition Survey, 1371 men, 1411 women (aged 25–64 years)</td>
<td>Daily milk consumption was higher in normal-weight subjects (103·4 (so 147·5) g) compared with overweight (85·7 (so 122·9) g) and obese subjects (84·5 (so 135·1) g) (P&lt;0·01)</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawlor et al. (2005)&lt;sup&gt;(34)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>The British Women’s Heart and Health Study, 4024 women (aged 60–79 years)</td>
<td>Milk drinkers had higher BMI compared with non-milk drinkers (BMI 27·6 and 26·4 kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, respectively; P=0·03)</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosell et al. (2004)&lt;sup&gt;(42)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>301 Swedish men (aged 63 years)</td>
<td>An inverse relationship between dairy fat consumption and SAD in under-reporters (r = 0·36; P&lt;0·001) and not in non-under-reporters (r = 0·04; P=0·59)</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q, quartile; WC, waist circumference; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio; SAD, sagittal abdominal diameter. *Studies used post hoc analysis.
Among six studies that examined dietary Ca (51, 57, 62–65), four showed a negative association with BMI, with the difference between the highest and lowest dietary Ca consumption being 20.3 kg/m² (P<0.01) (62), 20.6 kg/m² (51), 20.8 kg/m² (P<0.001) (57) and 21.3 kg/m² (P<0.001) (65). One study showed no difference (64) and one (63), which observed no overall group effect, reported an effect of sex with men having higher (+0.2 kg/m²) and women lower (−0.3 kg/m²) BMI between quintile 5 v. quintile 1 of dietary Ca intakes without the level of significance being reported. Finally, two studies (52, 66) also showed a negative association between total Ca intake and BMI (with differences between quintile 5 v. quintile 1 of −1.0 kg/m² in the Iowa Women’s Health study (51) and −0.2 kg/m² (P<0.001) in the Health Professionals Follow-up Study (66)).

Only two studies have examined associations between milk consumption and BMI, with a significant negative association found in the Caerphilly study (P<0.001) (54), whilst no significant difference (P=0.50) was observed in a prospective study by Ness et al. (50).

In conclusion, there are inconsistent results from the baseline data of prospective studies examined in a cross-sectional manner regarding the relationship between dairy product consumption and BMI. This inconsistency might be due to the fact that the data analysis conducted has often not controlled for energy intake, therefore masking the potential impact of dairy consumption on adiposity.

**Evidence from prospective studies**

A number of prospective studies have observed that regular dairy consumption is inversely associated with weight gain and abdominal obesity (Table 3) (67–71). For instance, results of The Coronary Artery Risk Development In Adults (CARDIA) 10-year study (70) showed a 19.7% lower incidence of obesity between quintile 5 (intake frequency $\geq 35$ times/week) and quintile 1 (0 to < 10 times/week) of dairy intake (milk, cheese, sour cream, cream and yoghurt) in adults with a BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m² at baseline.

Two studies have specifically evaluated the association between changes in consumption of dairy products and long-term weight gain (9–12 years) (72, 73). Rosell et al. (73) analysed a cohort of middle-aged perimenopausal...
### Table 3. Prospective studies of dairy consumption and body composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Results and conclusion</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newby et al. (2003)</td>
<td>The Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging; 219 women, 240 men (aged 30–80 years), 14-year follow-up</td>
<td>A dietary pattern rich in low-fat dairy products and high-fibre foods was associated with a smaller increase in BMI and waist circumference ($P&lt;0.05$)</td>
<td>Age and sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drapeau et al. (2004)</td>
<td>The Québec Family Study; 136 women and 112 men (≤ 18 years), 6-year follow-up</td>
<td>A dietary pattern rich in whole fruit, skimmed and partly skimmed milk was associated with less body-weight gain and adiposity ($\beta = 0.20$ (SE 0.09); $P=0.06$)</td>
<td>Age and baseline body-weight indicators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pereira et al. (2002)</td>
<td>The CARDIA study; 3157 men (aged 18–30 years), 10-year follow-up</td>
<td>There was a 19.7% reduction in incidence of obesity between quintile 5 and 1 of dairy consumption in adults with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² at baseline ($P&lt;0.001$)</td>
<td>Age, sex, race, energy intake, study centre and baseline BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajpathak et al. (2006)</td>
<td>The Health Professionals Follow-Up Study; 19 615 men (aged 40–75 years), 12-year follow-up</td>
<td>Dairy consumption is not related to lower long-term weight gain in men</td>
<td>Age, baseline weight, smoking, alcohol intake, physical activity, glycaemic load, and intakes of energy, total fat, cereal fibre, whole grains, fruit and vegetables, caffeine, trans-fat, and low- and high-energy soft drinks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosell et al. (2006)</td>
<td>19 352 perimenopausal women (aged 44–55 years), 9-year follow-up</td>
<td>Differences in subjects’ BMI and type of dairy product influence the association between weight change and dairy consumption</td>
<td>Age, baseline height and weight, education, parity, intakes at baseline of energy, fat, carbohydrate, protein, fibre and alcohol, the absolute change in intakes of these nutrients during follow-up (quartiles), and the studied categories of change in intake of the other four dairy products presented in the table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vergnaud et al. (2008)</td>
<td>The SU.VI.MAX Study; 1245 men and 1022 women (aged &gt; 45 years), 6-year follow-up</td>
<td>Milk ($P&lt;0.05$) and yoghurt ($P&lt;0.05$) were inversely associated with 6-year weight and WC changes in OW only men. Milk ($P=0.08$) was positively associated with WC changes in OW women.</td>
<td>Age, intervention group, baseline value of the outcome, educational level, smoking, physical activity, alcohol, energy intakes and mean adequacy ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snijder et al. (2008)</td>
<td>The Hoorn Study; 1124 subjects (aged 50–75 years), 6-4 year change in weight</td>
<td>Higher dairy consumption was not associated with changed in body weight, fat distribution or other components of the metabolic syndrome ($P&gt;0.05$)</td>
<td>Age and sex, total energy intake, baseline value of the outcome, alcohol intake, smoking and physical activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CARDIA, Coronary Artery Risk Development In Adults; SU.VI.MAX, SUpplementation en Vitamines et Minéraux AntiOxydants; WC, waist circumference; OW, overweight.

* Studies used post hoc analysis.
women and reported that the type of dairy product and the subject's BMI at baseline influenced the association between dairy consumption and body weight and abdominal obesity. Women with a constant ≥ one serving/d of cheese and whole/sour milk consumption had a lower risk of gaining ≥ 1 kg/year over 9 years, compared with those with an intake of < one serving per d (for cheese OR 0.85 (95% CI 0.73, 0.99) and for whole/sour milk OR 0.70 (95% CI 0.59, 0.84)) [73]. When the analysis was conducted based on BMI status, only normal-weight women with a constant ≥ one serving/d of cheese and whole/sour milk consumption had lower risk of gaining ≥ 1 kg/year over 9 years. Vergnaud et al. [73] showed inverse relationships between milk and yoghurt intake and body weight only in overweight men. Two studies also showed that baseline dairy consumption was not related to weight gain during 6-4 years and 12 years [72,75]. However, after stratification by BMI, Snijder et al. [75] showed that higher dairy consumption was significantly associated with an increase in BMI, waist and weight only in normal-weight subjects. Therefore, overall, three prospective studies [70,74,75] showed that overweight and obese subjects could benefit more regarding body-weight regulation with dairy consumption.

Regarding dietary Ca, results of a 23-year prospective study [76] indicated no association between dietary Ca intake and BMI. As the authors stated, in this cohort this may be due to the high average intake of dietary Ca in the Dutch population, suggesting a threshold of Ca intake of approximately 800 mg/d above which no further benefit is observed. Other studies have suggested a threshold of 500–600 mg/d [20] and 600–700 mg/d [29,47,77]. A number of prospective studies [72,74,75] also failed to show an inverse association between a wide range of dietary Ca intakes and body composition, even when further analysis is conducted in subjects that consumed below the suggested threshold of 700 mg Ca/d. These data support the hypothesis that the observed associations appear to be specific to dairy sources and that dairy components other than Ca may be responsible as highlighted in the next section.

Meta-analysis using the epidemiological evidence

A mixed-model regression analysis was conducted using mean data reported in the above epidemiological studies in an attempt to summarise the relationship between dietary Ca intake and BMI after correction for trial effects [78]. The advantage of this methodology compared with simple regression models is that it corrects the relationship between BMI and the fixed effect of dietary Ca intake for random effects of individual trials. Generally, there are differences in measurement methods, in experimental units, in observations and in the accuracy of measurement across the studies. Thus, adjusting the regression for the trial effect reduces type II error and the bias in the estimation of the intercept and slope [78].

Studies were selected based on the following criteria: (a) prospective and cross-sectional studies which examined the association between dietary products or dietary Ca and BMI; (b) included cohorts where data are presented as quartiles or quintiles of either dairy products or dietary Ca. Due to the different methods of presenting the results and different definitions of the serving portions of dairy products among the studies it was impossible to transform all the available data into meaningful forms of accurate estimation of dairy product consumption. Thus, despite the fact that the focus of the review was dairy products and not Ca, dietary Ca was the only accurate measurement of dairy intake.

A total of twenty-five studies were identified, with four [43,52,66,72] excluded on the basis of reporting intakes of total Ca (both dietary and supplemental Ca in combination) and three [39,56,61] on the basis that data on dairy, but not Ca intake, were published. Thus, in the final model eighteen studies were included (Fig. 3). There were no significant effects of sex or type of study (prospective or cross-sectional) on the relationship between Ca intake and BMI. The relationship between the ‘adjusted BMI’ and dietary Ca intake for the eighteen trials is presented in Fig. 3 with an overall linear regression equation of:

\[
\text{Predicted BMI} = 26.0 + (-0.00111 \times \text{mean Ca intake}) \text{kg/m}^2 (P = 0.004).
\]

Based on this equation, at 400 mg Ca/d (low dietary Ca intake), a BMI of 25.6 kg/m² is predicted, while for 1200 mg/d a BMI of 24.7 kg/m² is predicted. In other words, an increase in Ca intake of 800 mg/d is associated with a decrease in BMI of 1.1 kg/m².

Similar results were obtained when in the above model of the eighteen studies (Fig. 3) the four studies that examined both dietary and supplemental Ca in combination were also included (data not shown). When only the four studies [43,52,66,72] that used total Ca (both dietary and supplemental Ca) were analysed, the relationship between Ca and BMI was not significant (\(P=0.65\)), which highlights the influence of different Ca forms. There is no doubt that dietary Ca intake is not equivalent to dairy consumption, although both are closely related, and dairy products still remain the major source of dietary Ca. However, other components in dairy products could play a beneficial role in body-weight regulation, as discussed later.

Evidence from intervention trials of the effects of dairy product consumption on body composition

There have been relatively few randomised intervention trials examining the effect of dairy products on body weight and other measures of adiposity, and generally
bone health or blood pressure, rather than adiposity, has represented the primary endpoint of six studies. Although the published studies differ greatly in many aspects of study design (length of intervention, type of dairy product introduced), they all had a parallel rather than cross-over intervention approach. The order that the trials are discussed in the present review is based on energy intake, with the clinical trials without and with energy restriction presented separately.

**Evidence from intervention trials without energy restriction**

Numerous studies have been conducted in adults without energy restriction and with body composition being the primary endpoint of six studies. Only two trials showed weight gain. Barr et al. showed that both women and men who were in the increased milk group gained body weight (0.6 kg and 0.5 kg, respectively; P<0.01). However, the increase in weight was less than predicted (2.5 kg) from the 1046 kJ/d added energy of milk consumption (600 ml). Only a 418 kJ/d increase was predicted (2.5 kg) from the 1046 kJ/d added energy of milk consumption (600 ml).

Conversely, seven trials showed no significant difference in body weight with milk supplementation or dairy treatment, four of which were specifically designed to assess bone health and one blood pressure. Thus, although weight is a very robust outcome to measure, the studies should be interpreted with caution due to the possible lack of statistical power needed to detect significant differences in body weight.

Two studies were primarily designed to examine the effect of dairy consumption on abdominal obesity failed to detect differences among the treatments. For example, Wennersberg et al. conducted a 6-month randomised parallel study in middle-aged overweight subjects with low habitual dairy intake (≤ two servings/d) and traits of the metabolic syndrome. There were no differences in BMI, body weight, waist circumference, sagittal abdominal diameter, body fat mass and proportion of body fat between the high dairy group (three to five servings/d) and the control group (≤ two servings/d). However, a post hoc analysis based on baseline Ca intake, which divided the participants into two groups, above or below the suggested threshold level of 700 mg Ca, showed that subjects in the high dairy group, who had a baseline Ca intake less than 700 mg, had lower waist circumference (P=0.003) and sagittal abdominal diameter (P=0.034) compared with those in the control group at the end of the study. These findings further support the evidence from epidemiological studies suggesting the possible threshold effect of dietary Ca above which no
additional benefit of increased dietary Ca intake with respect to body weight is evident.

Zemel et al.\(^{(88)}\) conducted two intervention trials in African-American adults, with adiposity being the primary endpoint. The first 26-week randomised parallel trial was a weight-maintenance study and findings indicated that high dairy (three servings/d) compared with low dairy (< one serving/d) consumption decreased total body fat (−2·158 v. 0·169 kg; \(P<0·01\)) and trunk fat (−1·026 v. −0·357 kg; \(P<0·01\)) despite the fact that there was no significant change in body weight. Recently, Zemel et al.\(^{(89)}\) conducted a 9-month study, of which the first 3 months were the weight-loss phase and months 4 to 9 the weight-maintenance phase when the high- or low-dairy intervention was introduced. During the weight maintenance, there were no differences in weight and body composition between the high dairy diet group (> three servings/d) and the low dairy group (< one serving/d). However, the high dairy diet group consumed 1038kJ/d (\(P<0·05\)) more energy for the first half of maintenance and 837kJ/d (\(P<0·05\)) more for the second half of maintenance relative to the low dairy group. Thus, there was no treatment effect on weight and body composition in spite of the higher energy intake in the high dairy group, where the additional energy would have been expected to contribute to weight gain.

One 12-month study\(^{(86)}\) included an intervention with Ca derived from both dairy and supplemental sources and no differences on body fatness and weight were observed. However, in a 6-month follow-up, Eagan et al.\(^{(87)}\) demonstrated that the mean Ca intake, mainly from dairy products, during the period of 18 months predicted a negative change in fat mass (\(P=0·04\)) when the model was adjusted for baseline BMI. According to their regression model, a Ca dose of 1200mg/d predicted 0·631 kg fat mass loss while a dose of 500mg/d predicted a fat mass gain of 1·26 kg over 18 months in normal-weight young women.

Overall, data from the majority of the studies reviewed predict that body weight does not change due to increased consumption of dairy products. Thus, consumption of the recommended amount of dairy products could be incorporated into weight-maintenance diets without causing potential body weight loss. Although the inclusion of dairy products may have resulted in some undefined energy compensation, there was a trend for individuals in the dairy group to have an overall higher energy intake\(^{(88,89)}\), which may override any potential beneficial effect attributable to their bioactive components.

Evidence from intervention trials with energy restriction

Five studies have explored the relationship between dairy product consumption and alterations in fat mass and body weight in an overweight and obese population during energy restriction\(^{(77,88,91–93)}\), with body composition being the primary endpoint of four studies\(^{(77,88,91,92)}\). In the second 24-week trial of Zemel et al.\(^{(88)}\) twenty-nine subjects on an energy-restricted regimen (2092kJ/d below requirement) were assigned to a low-dairy (< one serving/d) or high-dairy (three servings/d) diet. The data suggested that high-dairy diets promote greater weight and fat loss (−11 and −9 kg, respectively; \(P<0·01\)) relative to low-dairy diets (−9 and −4 kg, respectively; \(P<0·01\)), and in particular promoted abdominal fat loss (2-fold greater loss in the high dairy v. the low dairy group; \(P<0·01\)). High dairy intake also seemed to protect against the loss of lean body mass during energy restriction\(^{(88)}\). Similar results were obtained when a diet rich in fat-free yoghurt (three 170g servings/d) was provided to obese subjects during 3 months of energy restriction (2092kJ/d deficit). There was an 81% (\(P<0·001\)) greater reduction in trunk fat loss on the yoghurt diet v. the control diet (≤ one dairy serving/d, no yoghurt)\(^{(91)}\).

In contrast, three studies showed no evidence that a diet high in dairy products enhanced weight loss by overweight and obese individuals during periods of energy restriction\(^{(77,92,93)}\). In a 12-month study with 2092kJ energy deficit per d, fifty-four obese subjects were assigned to either a high-dairy diet (1400mg Ca) or a low-dairy diet (about 700mg Ca)\(^{(92)}\). Body weight and fat loss did not statistically differ between the high-dairy diet and the low-dairy diet (weight loss: 9·6 kg and 10·8 kg (\(P=0·56\)) and fat loss: 9·0 kg and 10·1 kg, respectively). Similarly, Thompson et al.\(^{(77)}\) failed to show a difference in body weight loss among three energy-restricted diets with 2092kJ energy deficit per d. Participants lost 11·8 kg in the high-dairy (four servings/d) diet, 10·3 kg in the moderate-dairy (two servings/d) diet and 10·6 kg in the high-fibre diet. Based on the review of these studies the apparent discrepancy may be attributed to the possible threshold effect of 600–800mg of dietary Ca above which weight loss is enhanced. A lack of effect of dairy products on weight loss as part of an energy-restricted diet was also reported by Bowen et al.\(^{(93)}\), although bone turnover was the primary endpoint.

As far as Ca is concerned, three studies showed an inverse association between Ca intake and weight gain\(^{(94–96)}\) and two studies\(^{(97,98)}\), which included an exercise intervention, concluded that diets rich in Ca may contribute to weight maintenance in either normal-weight or obese populations.

In summary, although there are inconsistent results among the studies regarding the promotion of weight loss with high-dairy diets, it is worth noting that inclusion of dairy products as part of an energy-restricted diet did not adversely affect weight loss. Future work needs to be conducted in order to compare effects of high dairy consumption with moderate and low dairy consumption on weight loss under energy restriction. Finally, further research is needed on the effect of the recommended dairy consumption on body composition during exercise interventions.
Intervention trials: dairy products v. dietary and supplemental calcium

Two studies with body composition as their primary outcome have included energy-restricted intervention with both dietary Ca and supplemental Ca at comparable doses, thereby allowing a direct comparison (94,99). As indicated in Fig. 4, Zemel et al. (94) reported more effective weight and body fat loss in obese subjects who were under energy restriction (2092 kJ/d below requirement) and received Ca as dairy products compared with a Ca supplement. Those findings were further supported in a recent multi-centre 12-week clinical trial conducted in 106 overweight and obese subjects under the same energy restriction (2092 kJ/d below requirement) (99). The data suggested that a high-dairy diet promotes greater fat loss (−4.43 kg; \( P<0.0025 \)) relative to high and low supplemental Ca (−2.23 and −2.69 kg, respectively; \( P<0.0025 \)), in particular trunk fat loss (\( P<0.05 \)) and waist circumference (\( P<0.025 \)). However, it is noticeable that no differences were observed in weight loss in the second study (99). The authors suggested the low adherence of subjects at one centre and consequently the loss of statistical power as an explanation for the discrepancy. No differences in BMI and weight were also observed in a 12-month maintenance study (100) in postmenopausal women who were randomly assigned to a high-dairy diet (1200 mg Ca plus 7.5 \( \mu \)g vitamin D3 daily), a high-supplemental Ca diet (1200 mg Ca) and a control diet (usual diet). However, the high-dairy diet resulted in a greater loss of leg fat (\( P=0.025 \)) and a lower increase in the sum of skinfolds thickness (\( P=0.042 \)) compared with the high supplemental Ca. The greater effect of dietary Ca v. supplemental Ca was also observed by Ochner & Lowe (95), who showed an inverse effect of dietary Ca and no effect of supplemental Ca consumption on weight regain 12 months after control of energy intake for 6 months (\( P=0.048 \) for FFQ and \( P=0.025 \) for food records).

Summary of the evidence based on epidemiological and intervention studies

Although inconsistencies between studies certainly exist, the overall assessment of the epidemiological evidence is suggestive of a modest negative association between dairy consumption and body weight. The overall linear regression analysis, based on the eighteen trials that examined dietary Ca (with the majority of dietary Ca derived from dairy products), indicates that an increase in Ca intake from 400 to 1200 mg/d would be associated with a decrease in BMI from 25.6 to 24.7 kg/m². Evidence derived from intervention studies without energy restriction does not predict any effect of dairy products on either weight loss or weight gain. During energy restriction, although the results are still inconsistent, there are indications of a possible beneficial effect of dairy products in weight-loss treatments whilst maintaining lean tissue in an overweight population. There is a possible threshold effect of 600–800 mg of dietary Ca above which fat loss is augmented. A stronger effect of equivalent Ca intakes as dairy v. the supplemental form is indicative that dairy components other than Ca may in part mediate the beneficial impact on body weight and composition.

Mechanism underlying the impact of dairy constituents on body-weight regulation

The potential mechanisms underlying the impact of dairy constituents on the regulation of energy metabolism, body weight or body fat have not been clearly elucidated. The most highly cited plausible mechanisms refer to dietary Ca and its effects on intracellular Ca, and subsequent impact on adipocyte lipid metabolism and fatty acid absorption from the gastrointestinal tract(101). However, a number of dairy constituents such as protein, fat and their metabolites have also been widely reported to play a potential role in weight regulation (Fig. 5).
Mechanisms underlying the impact of calcium on body composition

**Effects of calcium on adipocyte lipid metabolism.** Zemel et al.\(^{(56,102)}\) were the first to explore the association between low Ca intake and fat accumulation. The authors suggested that intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) promotes energy storage due to the stimulation of de novo lipogenesis through the regulation of fatty acid synthase and inhibition of lipolysis through the activation of phosphodiesterase 3B\(^{103}\). The concentration of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) in human adipocytes is increased by the stimulation of Ca-regulating hormones such as parathyroid hormone and 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\)\(^{104}\). Low dietary Ca intake increases blood concentration of calcitropic hormones (parathyroid hormone and 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\)) and intracellular Ca influx, thereby decreasing lipolysis and increasing lipogenesis, leading to increased TAG storage.

In addition to these functions, decreased 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) may increase the expression of uncoupling protein-2 (UCP2) via the nuclear vitamin D receptor in white adipose tissue and hence may contribute to improved thermogenesis (Fig. 5)\(^{105,106}\). However, there are animal\(^{107}\) and human\(^{108,109}\) studies showing no alterations of UCP2 and consequently no differences in diet-induced thermogenesis. Thus, the role of UCP2 is still not clear, and other unknown mechanisms may lead to this thermogenic effect.

The regulation of both UCP2 and intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) by calcitriol hormone appears to modulate apoptotic cell death via a dose-dependent mechanism\(^{(110,111)}\). Furthermore, some additional mechanisms have been proposed by Zemel & Sun\(^{(110)}\) who suggested that decreased 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) (which is associated with higher Ca intakes) down-regulates 11\(\beta\)-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type I expression and decreases the concentration of glucocorticoid which consequently decreases the size of the adipose fat deposit\(^{(112)}\) (Fig. 5). In addition, the potential impact of 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) on adiposity includes effects on adipocyte differentiation and proliferation via the regulation of reactive oxygen species and inflammatory cytokines (Fig. 5)\(^{(113)}\). Finally, a low level of calcitriol has been shown to decrease the expression of pro-inflammatory factors (TNF-\(\alpha\) and IL-6) and increase the expression of anti-inflammatory factors (IL-15 and adiponectin) in visceral fat\(^{(114)}\).

Although the adipocyte fat metabolism hypothesis has gained support from both cell-culture and rodent studies as detailed above, recent human studies failed to show an effect of dairy Ca on adipocyte and whole-body lipid metabolism\(^{(108,115,116)}\). Bortolotti et al.\(^{(116)}\) have highlighted potential flaws of the above hypothesis, including an observation of a relationship between obesity with low vitamin D and 1,25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) concentrations\(^{(117,118)}\) and highlight that de novo lipogenesis is likely to make a minor contribution to fat accumulation in humans on a typical mixed Western diet. Since there is currently a paucity of data from human trials, further research is required to explore the effect of Ca on human adipocyte fat metabolism.
Evidence of calcium effects on fat oxidation. Melanson et al.\(^{(119)}\) were the first to examine any association between Ca intake and whole-body fat oxidation. Their results suggest a positive correlation between total acute Ca intake and 24 h (\(r = 0.38, P = 0.03\)) and sleeping fat oxidation (\(r = 0.36, P = 0.04\)). However, a limitation of this study is the fact that no correction for differences in protein intake was made, with protein previously shown to have an effect on weight regulation and thermogenesis\(^{(120,121)}\). Therefore, conclusions cannot be drawn from this trial regarding the independence of the impact of Ca intake on fat oxidation. A subsequent study by the same group\(^{(122)}\) showed that a high dairy Ca intake increased 24 h whole-body fat oxidation by 28% (\(P = 0.02\)) under a regimen with a combination of energy restriction (2510 kJ/d below requirement) and exercise, with the latter being the main stimulus of the fat oxidation. Several additional studies have examined the effect of Ca or dairy consumption on fat oxidation and energy expenditure, but the results are controversial (Table 4)\(^{(89,108,109,115,116,123–125)}\). Furthermore, the mechanism by which dietary Ca may mediate fat oxidation requires further investigation, although an increase in UCP2 associated with increased Ca intake may be involved\(^{(105)}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Results and conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zemel et al. (2008)(^{(89)})</td>
<td>A 9-month randomised trial; 338 subjects (obese)</td>
<td>During the weight-maintenance phase (3–9 months), the HD group had a greater RMR (P&lt;0.08) and increase in fat oxidation (P&lt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cummings et al. (2006)(^{(109)})</td>
<td>A within-subject randomised trial; six men and two women (overweight)</td>
<td>HDCa and HNDCa subjects increased postprandial fat oxidation compared with LDCa subjects (mean change in 6 h fat oxidation from the fasting state was 3.3 (SEM 2.5), 2.9 (SEM 2.3) and –6.5 (SEM 2.2) g/6 h, respectively)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boon et al. (2005)(^{(115)})</td>
<td>A randomised cross-over trial; twelve men, 3 × 1 week, 24 h fat oxidation</td>
<td>Fat oxidation of 108 (SE 7) g/24 h for HCa/HD 105 (SE 9) g/24 h for HCa/LD and 100 (SE 6) g/24 h for LDCa/LD. No statistically significant difference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bortolotti et al. (2008)(^{(116)})</td>
<td>A randomised cross-over trial; seven women and three men, 2 × 5 weeks, 7 h fat oxidation</td>
<td>Ca supplementation had no effect on plasma PTH concentration, on resting energy expenditure (250–6 (SE 12.6) or 249–4 (SE 13–3) kJ/h) and on fat oxidation (58–4 (SE 2.2) or 53–8 (SE 2.2) mg/min) compared with the placebo diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunther et al. (2005)(^{(123)})</td>
<td>A parallel randomised trial; nineteen women, 1-year intervention</td>
<td>There was increased whole-body fat oxidation and decreased PTH concentration with a diet rich in dietary Ca, mainly from dairy food (P&lt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobsen et al. (2005)(^{(124)})</td>
<td>A randomised cross-over trial; eight women and two men, 3 × 1 week, 24 h fat oxidation</td>
<td>No effect of Ca consumption on fat oxidation, 24 h EE but 2.5-fold increase in faecal fat excretion during the HCa/HD. No effect on total energy expenditure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teegarden et al. (2008)(^{(126)})</td>
<td>A parallel randomised trial; twenty-four women, 12 weeks</td>
<td>Only the Ca-supplemented group had increased fat oxidation (1.5 (SES 0.6) g/h; (P = 0.02)) during the 12-week intervention. No effects on total energy expenditure were observed by all groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LD, low dairy; HD, high dairy; LDCa, low in dairy Ca; HNDCa, high in non-dairy Ca; HDCa, high in dairy Ca; HCa, high Ca; LCa, low Ca; 1.25(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\), 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D\(_3\); PTH, parathyroid hormone; NP, normal protein; HP, high protein; E%, percentage of energy; EE, energy expenditure.
Table 5. Studies evaluating the effect of calcium on fatty acid absorption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Results and conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boon et al. (2007)(^{(108)})</td>
<td>A randomised cross-over trial; ten subjects, 4 x 7 d</td>
<td>There was a trend towards a 56% increase in faecal fat excretion on the 2500 mg dairy Ca diet compared with the 400 mg dairy Ca diet (P = 0.159)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denke et al. (1993)(^{(126)})</td>
<td>A single-blind randomised cross-over trial; thirteen men, 2 x 10 d</td>
<td>Ca supplementation (calcium citrate malate) increased the percentage of dietary saturated fat excreted in a 72 h faecal collection from 6% to 13% (P &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shahkhalili et al. (2001)(^{(127)})</td>
<td>A double-blind randomised cross-over trial; ten men, 2 x 2 weeks</td>
<td>The addition of calcium carbonate to their habitual diet increased total fat excretion by 6.8, 7.4 and 10.2% following supplementation with 0, 2 and 4 g/d, respectively (P = 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weiberg et al. (1994)(^{(128)})</td>
<td>A double-blind parallel trial; twenty-four subjects, 1 week</td>
<td>Ca from low-fat dairy products increased the total faecal fat excretion from 5.4 (SE 0.5) g/d on the LCa diet to 11.5 (SE 1.4) g/d on the HCa diet (P &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bendsen et al. (2008)(^{(129)})</td>
<td>A randomised cross-over trial; eleven subjects, 2 x 7 d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LCa, low Ca; HCa, high Ca.
may influence body-weight and fat changes\(^{(137)}\). Thus, it is questionable whether physiological doses of CLA, consumed as dairy products, can have any meaningful impact on body-weight regulation in humans. Furthermore, potential underlying mechanisms are poorly understood, with proposed mechanisms suggesting that CLA can inhibit fatty acid synthase and stearoyl-CoA desaturase-1\(^{(137)}\), enhance fat oxidation and thermogenesis and reduce lipogenesis and preadipocyte differentiation and proliferation\(^{(138)}\).

**Effects of medium-chain fatty acids on body composition**

Dairy products are a source of medium-chain TAG of which 6:0 (capronic acid), 8:0 (caprylic acid) and 10:0 (capric acid) collectively constitute 4–12% of all fatty acids in bovine milk, with 12:0 (lauric acid) comprising 2–5%\(^{(139)}\). Animal trials have shown decreased lipogenesis and TAG synthesis with increased medium-chain fatty acid intake\(^{(140)}\). Likewise, clinical trials in human subjects have revealed that diets rich in medium-chain fatty acids are associated with a reduction in body fat in human subjects\(^{(139,141–144)}\) . Tsuji et al.\(^{(143)}\) assigned volunteers to diets providing 9213 kJ/d and 60 g total fat/d, 10 g of which were either medium-chain TAG or long-chain TAG, for 12 weeks. A reduction in body weight (medium-chain TAG: −6.12 kg; long-chain TAG: −4.78 kg) and body fat (medium-chain TAG: −4.57 kg; long-chain TAG: −3.61 kg) was observed in both groups, with greater effects in the medium-chain TAG group, and particularly among subjects with BMI ≥ 23 kg/m\(^2\). These findings were in general agreement with another study\(^{(140)}\) that provided 5 g of medium-chain TAG, which is lower than the typical level of medium-chain TAG intake (15 g)\(^{(145)}\) and highlight the potential role of medium-chain TAG in the putative impact of dairy products on body composition.

Medium-chain fatty acids are transported directly via the portal vein to the liver, increase postprandial thermogenesis and are rapidly oxidised to ketones via β-oxidation rather than incorporated into adipose tissue TAG\(^{(146)}\). In addition, medium-chain fatty acids may contribute to a reduction in fat mass through down-regulation of adipogenic genes and PPAR-\(\gamma\)\(^{(3,139)}\), an essential transcription factor of adipogenesis whose activation is stimulated by the binding of lipophilic ligands\(^{(147)}\).

**Effects of proteins on body composition**

Dairy products contain a number of bioactive peptides that may act synergistically or independently with Ca to regulate body adiposity\(^{(148)}\). The milk proteins casein\(^{(149)}\) and, particularly, whey are rich sources of potentially bioactive peptides (casokinins and lactokinin), respectively that have been shown to inhibit angiotensin-converting enzyme, and consequently inhibiting the production of the angiotensin II hormone\(^{(148)}\). In addition to the role of angiotensin II in the regulation of vascular smooth muscle function, vascular tone and blood pressure, it has been shown to up-regulate fatty acid synthase expression, resulting in adipocyte lipogenesis (Fig. 5)\(^{(150)}\).

Milk proteins have also been shown to stimulate insulin secretion, and whey proteins proved to be more insulinotropic compared with caseins or other animal and plant proteins\(^{(151)}\). This insulin secretion may directly affect food intake regulation by suppressing appetite and, as a consequence, indirectly affect body weight. Furthermore, dairy proteins contain a high proportion (about 21–26%)\(^{(152)}\) of the three branched-chain amino acids leucine, isoleucine and valine with their unique role in stimulating protein synthesis and in sparing lean body mass during weight-loss regimens\(^{(153)}\).

**Mechanism underlying the impact of dairy constituents on appetite regulation**

There is accumulating evidence to suggest that specific dairy product components affect body weight through their effects on food intake regulation and satiety\(^{(154)}\).

**Food intake regulation**

The peripheral and central nervous systems are involved in both short-term and long-term regulation of food intake by mechanisms and pathways that are distinct, yet act synergistically to either stimulate or suppress food intake\(^{(155)}\).

**Long-term regulation of food intake.** The arcuate nucleus in the hypothalamic region is where the major interactions of the appetite-regulator hormones occur\(^{(156)}\). The hypothalamus plays a critical role in the long-term regulation of food intake and is activated in response to hormones that enter or are produced in the central nervous system\(^{(155,157)}\). The adipocyte-derived leptin and pancreatic insulin are the two major anorexigenic (appetite-suppressing) hormones involved in the long-term regulation of appetite\(^{(158)}\) and resistance in the brain to their actions causes stimulation of appetite\(^{(159,160)}\).

Ghrelin is the only known orexigenic (appetite-stimulating) hormone, which is produced primarily in the stomach, and it has recently been suggested to contribute not only to the short-term but also to long-term regulation of food intake\(^{(161)}\). Leptin and insulin, as regulators of feed intake, increase the secretion of anorexigenic neuropeptides and decrease the secretion of orexigenic neuropeptides (Table 6), while ghrelin has the opposite effects\(^{(155)}\).

Short-term regulation of food intake. Satiation refers to the physiological factors that promote meal termination while satiety refers to the events that influence the time interval between meals. Hence, satiation and satiety regulate meal size and frequency, respectively\(^{(162)}\). Both are included in the short-term regulation of food intake,
Table 6. Major hormones and neuropeptides that regulate food intake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Effect on food intake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leptin</td>
<td>Adipose tissue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro-opiomelanocortin</td>
<td>Hypothalamus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Melanocyte-stimulating hormone</td>
<td>Hypothalamus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticotrophin-releasing hormone</td>
<td>Hypothalamus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyrotropin-releasing hormone</td>
<td>Hypothalamus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghrelin</td>
<td>GI tract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropeptide Y</td>
<td>Hypothalamus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agouti-related protein</td>
<td>Hypothalamus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanin-concentrating hormone</td>
<td>Hypothalamus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orexins</td>
<td>Hypothalamus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholecystokinin</td>
<td>GI tract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucagon-like peptide-1</td>
<td>GI tract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptide tyrosine tyrosine</td>
<td>GI tract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxytomodulin</td>
<td>GI tract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombesin</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids</td>
<td>Dietary BAP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin</td>
<td>Adipose tissue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghrelin</td>
<td>GI tract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Suppression; GI, gastrointestinal; |, stimulation; BAP, bioactive peptides.

which involves peptides primarily found in the enteric nervous system and entero-endocrine cells of the gastrointestinal tract(165). The gastrointestinal tract, which includes the stomach, endocrine pancreas, proximal small intestine, distal small intestine and colon(156), initiates a variety of satiety signals that act mainly through the brainstem. The brainstem is the principal centre that receives and transmits neural (by vagal afferents) and hormonal (by gut peptides) signals from the gastrointestinal tract(157). A number of gut peptide hormones that have effects on appetite and food intake have been identified to date, including cholecystokinin (CCK), glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), peptide tyrosine tyrosine, oxyntomodulin(164), bombesin and ghrelin (Table 6)(165). The secretion and regulation of these gut hormones depend not only on the macronutrient composition of the diet but also on neuroendocrine factors(164). Additionally, dietary bioactive peptides such as the opioid-like peptides (casomorphins and caseinomacupeptide) are detectable in blood following the digestion of casein and may also induce satiety. The bioactive peptides can act as satiety hormones themselves or can stimulate the gut hormones(166). Insulin and leptin, although major long-term regulators of food intake, are also involved in short-term regulation by increasing the actions of peripheral satiation signals such as CCK(167,168).

In summary, appetite is influenced by a number of peptides and hormones derived from the adipose tissue, gastrointestinal tract and pancreas, which, through their actions on the hypothalamus, the brainstem and the nervous system, regulate long- and short-term food intake (Table 6).

Dairy components and appetite regulation

Effects of dairy proteins. Among the dairy components, the proteins have the greatest putative role in appetite control. The satiating attributes of dietary protein relative to carbohydrate and fat are well recognised, and diets high in protein content are more satiating than low-protein diets(120,160). It has been proposed that the effect of protein in short-term food regulation is associated with the increased plasma concentrations of gut peptide hormones known to reduce gastric emptying, gut motility and appetite(170). This effect is related to the source of protein(171). The major protein groups present in bovine milk are whey proteins (for example, β-lactoglobulin and α-lactalbumin) and caseins (αs1-casein, αs2-casein, β-casein and κ-casein) which constitute approximately 20 and 80%, respectively(172). Whey proteins emerge as potential regulators of body weight and have been shown to have more potent effects on appetite and anorexic gut peptide concentrations than caseins(173–175). In contrast, Bowen et al.(176) showed no differences between casein and whey proteins or among whey, soya protein and gluten on ad libitum food intake(177). Nevertheless, ghrelin and insulin concentrations were significantly decreased whilst CCK and GLP-1 was increased after whey, soya and gluten consumption compared with glucose. Additionally, a reduction in ad libitum energy intake of approximately 10% (P<0.05) was measured 3 h after casein and whey protein consumption compared with glucose consumption(170,177). However, a recent study by the same group revealed no impact of whey protein consumption on ad libitum intake 4 h after consumption compared with fructose and glucose beverages(178). Diepvens et al.(179) showed that, although milk protein had greater stimulatory effects on the proposed satiety hormones CCK and GLP-1, this was not correlated with self-reported satiety, implying that satiety biomarkers do not always guarantee the highest satiety. The fact that there is no mathematical association between anorexigenic hormone responses and satiety is further supported by Veldhorst et al.(169). These discrepancies among the studies of food intake may be due to different methodologies, possible interactions among the different macronutrients, and due to the different time periods for which food intake was assessed. Moreover, none of the above studies except Anderson et al.(174) used a no-energy control preload; thus the effect of the preload consumption on food intake suppression was not assessed.

Although both whey and casein proteins induce satiety, they lead to different effects on appetite regulation(171). Based on their contribution to protein synthesis and their effects on plasma amino acid concentrations, whey proteins have been classified as fast proteins and caseins have been classified as slow proteins(180). This classification represents the greater effect of whey on suppressing food intake at 90 min and casein at 150 min after meal consumption(181). Both caseinomacupeptide and
that whole milk products provide is considered.

Effects of calcium. Whether Ca plays a role in the regulation of food intake remains to be determined. The idea of a Ca-specific appetite control was first proposed by Tordoff\(^{[197]}\) who suggested that low concentrations of Ca in the diets may promote a desire to eat or choose foods rich in Ca content. A number of studies conducted in rodents support the hypothesis\(^{[198–200]}\). Paradis & Cabanac\(^{[199]}\) showed in a 6-week intervention that the Ca-deprived group of rats chose a high-CaCl\(_2\) drinking solution whilst the control and Ca supplemental group of rats chose a low-CaCl\(_2\) solution.

However, in human subjects, only two studies have examined the effect of either dairy or supplementary Ca on appetite or food choice\(^{[151,201]}\). A recent energy-restricted study indicated that Ca and vitamin D supplementation enhanced fat loss in women who were low Ca (≤600 mg/d) consumers (P<0·01). The difference in fat and body-weight loss was highly correlated with a reduction in lipid intake at an \textit{ad libitum} buffet-type lunch, implying that Ca may influence macronutrient preferences. The authors speculated that since fats and Ca tend to occur together in many foods, Ca-deficient individuals might inadvertently, but preferentially, choose to consume high-fat foods, such as cheese, as a consequence of this association between nutrients, in order to obtain dietary Ca. In contrast, Lorenzen \textit{et al.}\(^{[131]}\) showed no significant effect of high dairy and supplementary Ca consumption on appetite sensation, on the secretion of appetite-regulator hormones, and on the subsequent energy intake of the \textit{ad libitum} meal.

Currently there is a lack of a plausible mechanisms linking Ca with appetite, and a lack of understanding whether any suggested evidence of an association between Ca deficiency and appetite is due to Ca or a lack of other dietary components that co-exist in Ca-rich foods. Further studies are warranted to show how and if Ca deficiency, which is commonly observed during energy-restriction diets, can increase hunger, impair compliance and influence weight-loss outcomes.

Evidence of dairy product effects on appetite regulation. There are relatively few studies that have examined the effect of milk or individual milk products as whole foods on appetite and satiety (Table 7)\(^{[202–210]}\). To our knowledge, the first study that showed the higher satiety response of yoghurt and cheese compared with similar and energy-matched foods (1000kJ) was by Holt \textit{et al.}\(^{[211]}\). Their results indicated that consumption of foods rich in protein, fibre and water content could potentially reduce energy intake and promote weight loss. In a cross-over study\(^{[206]}\) where fifty-eight subjects consumed either low (< one serving/d) or high (> three serving/d) dairy products for 7 d, no significant difference in subjective appetite ratings was evident, although there was an increase in energy intake by 874 kJ (P<0·05) during the

casomorphins, released upon the digestion of caseins, interact with opioid receptors and slow gastrointestinal motility contributing to the longer transit time\(^{[151]}\). Moreover, whey (a by-product of cheese production)\(^{[181]}\) rich in glycomacropeptide (GMP) has been found to have a greater effect on pancreatic and gastrointestinal hormone secretion than whey alone or whey without GMP\(^{[109,182,183]}\). Veldhors et al.\(^{[183]}\) recently showed that subjects who consumed a breakfast containing whey without GMP had a higher energy intake at lunch compared with subjects who ate a breakfast containing whey with naturally present 21% GMP (3208 kJ and 2877 kJ, respectively; P<0·05).

Effects of dairy carbohydrates. Carbohydrate intake also contributes to satiety and appetite regulation\(^{[184]}\). Short-term studies (≤1 d) have demonstrated that low-glycaemic index foods increase satiety and reduce energy intake by affecting the blood glucose concentration and therefore the insulin response (glucostatic theory), and by stimulating gut peptides such as CCK, GLP-1 and peptide tyrosine tyrosine (PYY)\(^{[185,186]}\). However, the association between postprandial glycaemic response and satiety is still an issue of debate\(^{[187,188]}\).

Lactose, which is the only carbohydrate found in milk\(^{[189]}\), is traditionally classed as a low-glycaemic index carbohydrate (glycaemic index of lactose 46) and may contribute to the satiating impact of milk and dairy products. Bowen \textit{et al.}\(^{[176]}\) compared the acute postprandial effect of whey and casein proteins, lactose and glucose on energy intake and appetite hormones (ghrelin, GLP-1, CCK and insulin) in overweight men. The energy intake was 10% lower and acute appetite was also lower after the lactose and protein preloads comparing with glucose and this was consistent with differences in plasma ghrelin concentration.

Effects of dairy fats. Although fat is the least satiating macronutrient\(^{[190]}\), it may be one of the milk and dairy product components that contributes to satiety\(^{[191]}\). Similar to proteins and carbohydrates, the type and the structure of fatty acids, their chain length\(^{[192]}\), and their degree of saturation are characteristics that have an impact on appetite\(^{[193]}\). A recent review revealed that fat increases gastrointestinal transit time, stimulates the secretion of many gastrointestinal hormones (CCK, GLP-1, peptide tyrosine tyrosine) and suppresses appetite and energy intake as a result of fat digestion into NEFA\(^{[194]}\). Haug \textit{et al.}\(^{[195]}\) suggested that full-fat milk and fermented milk further delayed gastric emptying compared with semi-skimmed milk in favour of glycaemic regulation. Similarly, Schneeman \textit{et al.}\(^{[196]}\) showed that there were greater CCK responses after the ingestion of a dairy relative to non-dairy fat source or a high-fat meal (38% of energy) compared with a low-fat meal (20%), although that was not related to greater satiety. However, any inhibitory effect of dairy fat consumption on appetite and consequently body-weight regulation may be inconsequential when the
Table 7. Studies of dairy consumption and their effect on food intake and appetite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Results and conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soenen &amp; Westerterp-Plantenga (2007)</td>
<td>A within-subjects design</td>
<td>No differences in satiety and energy balance were observed 50 min after consumption of HFCS, sucrose or milk preloads; 170 % mm (AUC) VAS changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almiron-Roig &amp; Drewnowski (2003)</td>
<td>A within-subjects design; fourteen men and eighteen women</td>
<td>The inclusion of orange juice, regular cola and low-fat milk (1 %) with a lunch showed no significant differences in hunger, satiety and energy intake in a lunch 2 h after ingestion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harper et al. (2007)</td>
<td>A randomised cross-over study; twenty-two men</td>
<td>No difference on ad libitum energy intake (3145 (sd 1268) kJ and 3286 (sd 1346) kJ after chocolate milk and cola, respectively) but chocolate milk resulted in a significantly greater satiety and fullness 30 min after their consumption (P&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsuchiya et al. (2006)</td>
<td>A within-subjects design; sixteen men and sixteen women</td>
<td>Higher satiety following the two yoghurts (no difference between them) compared with the beverages and no differences among them were observed in energy intake 90 min after their consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollis &amp; Mattes (2007)</td>
<td>A randomised cross-over study; twenty-eight men and thirty women</td>
<td>No differences on subjective appetite ratings, although energy intake was increased by 874 kJ/d (P&lt;0.05) during the high-dairy consumption period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruijschop et al. (2008)</td>
<td>A randomised cross-over study; forty-three women</td>
<td>Fermented dairy beverage resulted in higher fullness (F = 4.21; P&lt;0.02), less hunger (F = 4.49; P&lt;0.02) and less desire to eat (F = 5.34; P&lt;0.006). No differences were observed in energy intake 25 min after the dairy beverage consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dove et al. (2009)</td>
<td>A randomised cross-over study; thirteen men and thirty-four women</td>
<td>The mean energy intake at lunch was 2432 (95 % CI 2160, 2704) and 2658 (95 % CI 2396, 2930) kJ after consumption of the skimmed milk and fruit juice, respectively, with the mean difference being 8.5 % (P&lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potier et al. (2009)</td>
<td>A within-subjects design; twenty-seven women</td>
<td>The energy compensation at lunch was 83.1 (SEM 9.4) and 67.0 (SEM 16.4) % for whey + casein and casein cheese, respectively, and 121.6 (SEM 36.5) and 142.1 (SEM 29.7) % for whey + casein and casein cheese, respectively, considering the daily energy intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanggaard et al. (2004)</td>
<td>A randomised cross-over study; eight men</td>
<td>The gastric emptying was slower after the fermented milk than milk (P&lt;0.001) probably due to higher viscosity although there were no significant differences in appetite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HFCS, high-fructose corn syrup; AUC, area under curve; VAS, visual analogue scale.
high-dairy consumption period. The intake of dairy protein was between 2.3 and 14.3 g/d, while in relevant studies that observed a satiating effect of proteins, the intake of protein was between 45 and 50 g/d\(^{(173,174)}\). Thus, a possible threshold level of dairy protein intake was not reached and in combination with the short intervention time and small sample size may explain the results. Furthermore, whether fermented dairy products have a stronger effect on appetite and satiety than non-fermented dairy remains to be clarified\(^{(207,210)}\).

Although in the majority of the above studies no subsequent difference in energy intake at the \textit{ad libitum} meal was observed, not all of the studies have been primarily powered to detect differences in energy intake. In contrast, two recent studies, with energy intake as their primary outcome, identified differences in energy intake (Table 7)\(^{(208,209)}\). Dove et al.\(^{(208)}\) showed that satiety was increased and energy intake at the \textit{ad libitum} lunch decreased 4 h after the consumption of skimmed milk compared with an isoenergetic fruit drink in overweight men and women. Potier et al.\(^{(209)}\) also concluded that the regular consumption of a moderate-energy cheese snack (836 kJ) would not result in weight gain due to the compensation observed not only at the \textit{ad libitum} lunch but also on the whole-day energy intake.

Further, longer-term and adequately powered studies are required to investigate if habitual dairy product consumption has an effect on appetite regulation and, as a consequence, subsequent energy intake.

Conclusions

The incidence of obesity is increasing dramatically worldwide. Dairy products are an integral part of the Western diet. There is accumulating epidemiological data based on cross-sectional (five studies) and particularly prospective studies (seven studies) that show a modest but significant inverse association between dairy product consumption and body-weight gain. Only one study has reported weight gain with consumption of dairy products, indicating that dairy foods can be consumed as a major source of nutrients during weight maintenance. Evidence from intervention studies not involving energy intake restriction is inconsistent regarding body-weight change (Table 8), although nine studies out of twelve showed no effect of dairy consumption specifically on weight loss. During energy restriction, the data are also still inconsistent. The interpretation of the relevant evidence is complicated by the ability of humans to regulate energy intake. Future studies that examine the relationship between dairy products and body composition should use dairy consumption both with and without adjustment for energy intake. There is currently a paucity of evidence regarding the impact of the type of dairy product on the association between dairy consumption and body composition.

Considering Ca, numerous epidemiological studies and especially intervention trials with energy restriction strongly support that dietary Ca is negatively associated with measures of adiposity, highlighting its potential beneficial effects as a component of weight-loss treatments in overweight and obese individuals, in particular individuals with a low habitual Ca intake (<700 mg/d) (Table 8). A greater effect of dairy Ca than the supplemental form is suggestive that dairy bioactive components other than Ca may also be involved.

Numerous plausible mechanisms underlying the beneficial effect of dairy products on body-weight regulation have been proposed. Currently the greatest strength of evidence is available for Ca as a principal bioactive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 8. Studies that examined the association between dairy product consumption and dietary calcium* and measures of body composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>References</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-sectional studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26, 29, 30, 45*, 46*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34, 48*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prospective studies examined in a cross-sectional manner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27, 50*, 51*, 52*, 54, 57*, 58, 59, 62*, 65*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50, 53*, 56, 64*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55, 56, 60, 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prospective studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 73, 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72, 75, 76*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without energy restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87, 89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81–86, 88, 90, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With energy restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88, 91, 94, 95*, 96*, 97*, 98*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77, 92, 93, 99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Studies that examined dietary Ca.
component with a proposed effect on adipocyte lipid metabolism, lipogenesis and lipolysis, fat oxidation and fat absorption. Additionally, dairy constituents such as lactose, protein (in particular whey proteins) and their peptide derivatives may have an effect on body weight through the regulation of food intake and appetite. Although the impact of individual dairy constituents on food intake and appetite has been investigated to some degree, there is a paucity of evidence from well-designed intervention studies that examine the impact of dairy product consumption, as whole foods, or the effect of individual products on appetite and overall energy intake.

In conclusion, epidemiological data support the notion of a benefit of dairy product consumption for weight maintenance. However, there is an urgent need for well-designed, long-term randomised intervention studies, with adequate replication and with body-weight changes and measures of adiposity as their primary outcomes, in order to verify the potential benefits of specific dairy products on weight regulation and weight and fat loss and provide an insight into the underlying physiological mechanisms.

Acknowledgements

The present review article was funding by the Barham Benevolent Trust Studentship and DairyCo UK.

A. D. drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to, and approved, the final version of the manuscript.

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

Dairy consumption and body weight


