Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (1996) 39, 535-546 (

# FACTORIZATIONS OF OUTER FUNCTIONS AND EXTREMAL PROBLEMS

# by TAKAHIKO NAKAZI\*

(Received 22nd November 1994)

The author has proved that an outer function in the Hardy space  $H^1$  can be factored into a product in which one factor is strongly outer and the other is the sum of two inner functions. In an endeavor to understand better the latter factor, we introduce a class of functions containing sums of inner functions as a special case. Using it, we describe the solutions of extremal problems in the Hardy spaces  $H^p$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

1991 AMS Subject classification: Primary 30D55, 46J15, secondary 47B35

#### **1. Introduction**

 $N, N_+$  and  $H^p$  for  $1 \le p < \infty$  denote the Nevanlinna class, the Smirnov class and the Hardy space, respectively on the open unit disc U in the complex plane. A function h in  $N_+$  is called outer if it is not divisible in  $N_+$  by a non-constant inner function. A function g in  $H^1$  is called strongly outer if the only functions f in  $H^1$  such that f/g is non-negative are scalar multiples of g. If g is not outer and so g = qh for some inner q, then  $f = (1+q)^2 h$  belongs to  $H^1$  and  $f/g = (1+q)^2/q$  is non-negative. A norm one function in  $H^1$  is outer if and only if it is an extreme point of the unit ball of  $H^1[2]$ . On the other hand, a norm one function in  $H^1$  is strongly outer if and only if it is an exposed point of the unit ball of  $H^1$  (cf. [2, 12]. Like outer functions, strongly outer functions appear in many important areas, for example, function theory, operator theory and prediction theory.

It is not difficult to give a characterization of a strongly outer function similar to the above definition of an outer function. If g is divisible in  $H^1$  by a sum of two inner functions  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$  where  $q_1 + q_2$  is not constant and  $Im\bar{q}_1q_2 \leq 0$  almost everywhere, then  $f = -i(q_1 - q_2)g/(q_1 + q_2)$  is not a scalar multiple of g and f/g is non-negative because  $-i(q_1 - q_2)/(q_1 + q_2) \geq 0$  almost everywhere. Thus g is not strongly outer. The converse is also true by the following factorization theorem [12].

**Theorem.** If an outer function h in  $H^1$  is not strongly outer, then  $h = (q_1 + q_2)g$  where both  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are inner,  $Im\bar{q}_1q_2 \leq 0$  almost everywhere,  $(q_1 - q_2)^{-1}$  is summable and g is strongly outer. If  $q_1$  is a finite Blaschke product of degree n then so is  $q_2$ .

\*This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Ministry of Education.

The aim of this paper is to gain a better understanding of this theorem and of the sum of two inner functions. The sum of two inner functions appeared in H. Helson's papers [7] and [8]. D. Sarason [15] examined cases in which the sum of two nonconstant inner functions is outer. In this paper, we introduce functions in  $H^2$  which have the form;  $k=s+q\bar{s}$  where s is in  $L^2$  and q is inner. If s=1, then k=1+q. If  $s=q_1$ and  $q = q_1q_2$  where  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are inner, then  $k = q_1 + q_2$ . If f is the square of  $H^2$ function  $s + q\bar{s}$ , then put  $q_1$  = the inner part of f + iq and  $q_2$  = the inner part of f - iq. Then  $Im\bar{q}_1q_2 \leq 0$ ,  $q_1 + q_2$  is non-constant and f is divisible in  $H^1$  by  $q_1 + q_2$ . By the remark above the Theorem, f is not strongly outer. The following factorization theorem can be proved easily by a theorem of E. Hayashi ([5, 6]).

**Theorem.** If an outer function h in  $H^1$  is not strongly outer, then  $h = (s + q\bar{s})^2 g$  where q is a non-constant inner function,  $s + q\bar{s}$  is in  $H^2$  and g is strongly outer.

**Proof.** Suppose  $h = k^2$  and k is outer in  $H^2$ . By a theorem of E. Hayashi ([4, 5]),

$$H^2 \cap (k/\bar{k})\bar{H}^2 = g_0(H^2 \ominus zqH^2)$$

and  $k/\bar{k} = \bar{q}\bar{g}_0/g_0$  where q is inner and  $g_0^2$  is strongly outer. Hence  $k = lg_0$  where  $l \in H^2 \ominus qzH^2$  and  $\bar{q}l^2 \ge 0$ . Put s = l/2, then  $l = s + q\bar{s}$  and  $h = l^2 g_0^2$ .

In this theorem, we should like to be able to choose  $s+q\bar{s}=q_1+q_2$  for some inner functions  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ . Unfortunately we could not do except in some special cases [12]. Note that by an example of J. Inoue [9], we cannot choose  $s + q\bar{s} = 1 + q$ .

#### 2. Bad parts of outer functions

In this section we study a function in  $H^2$  which has the form  $s+q\bar{s}$  where s is in  $L^2$ and q is an inner function. If  $\prod_{j=1}^{n} (q_j + q'_j)$  where  $q_j$  and  $q'_j$  are inner functions for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , then  $\prod_{j=1}^{n} (q_j + q'_j) = s + q\bar{s}$  for  $q = \prod_{j=1}^{n} q_j q'_j$ . Two natural questions are the following: (1) When is  $s + q\bar{s}$  an outer function? (2) When can  $s + q\bar{s}$  be divisible in  $H^2$  by 1+q' where q' denotes some nonconstant inner function? The question (1) is related with a paper of D. Sarason [15]. He studied it when  $s+q\bar{s}$  is a sum of two inner functions. The question (2) is related with a paper of J. Inoue [9]. By the second theorem in the Introduction, Inoue's result is the following: There exists an outer function f in  $H^2$  which is not divisible in  $H^2$  by any nonconstant 1+q' but is divisible in  $H^2$  by some nonconstant  $s + q\bar{s}$ , where q and q' are inner functions. Because of the first theorem in the Introduction, we are also interested in nonconstant outer function  $q_1 + q_2$  such that both  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are inner functions,  $Im\bar{q}_1q_2 \leq 0$  almost everywhere and  $(q_1 - q_2)^{-1}$  is summable.

**Proposition 1.** Suppose s is a nonnegative function in  $N_+$  and  $s^{-1}$  is summable. If  $i-s=q_1l$  where  $q_1$  is an inner function and l is an outer function, then  $q_2=(i+s/i-s)q_1$  is an inner function,  $q_1 + q_2$  is an outer function,  $Im \tilde{q}_1 q_2 \leq 0$  almost everywhere and

 $(q_1-q_2)^{-1}$  is summable. If s is a rational function, then both  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are finite Blaschke products of the same degree.

**Proof.** Since  $|q_2| = 1$  a.e. on  $\partial U$  and  $q_2 = (i+s)/l$ ,  $q_2$  is inner. Since  $q_1 + q_2 = 2il$ ,  $q_1 + q_2$  is outer. By a simple calculation,

$$\frac{-Im\bar{q}_1q_2}{|q_1+q_2|^2} = \frac{-i(q_1-q_2)}{q_1+q_2} = s \ge 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

and so  $Im\bar{q}_1q_2 \leq 0$  a.e. on  $\partial U$ . Since  $(q_1-q_2)^{-1} = (i-s)/(-2s)$  and  $s^{-1}$  is summable,  $(q_1-q_2)^{-1}$  is summable. If s is a rational function, by [7] the number of zeros of s-i and that of s+i are equal. Hence  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are finite Blaschke products of the same degree.

In Proposition 1, if  $s = -z/(1-z)^2$ , then  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  have degree one. However even if  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  have degree one and  $q_1 + q_2$  is outer,  $Im\bar{q}_1q_2$  is not necessarily non-negative. In fact, suppose |a| < 1 and  $|\rho| = 1$ . Then,  $\rho z + \bar{\rho}(z - a/1 - \bar{a}z)$  is outer if and only if  $|Re\rho| \leq |a|$ , [15]. However  $Im\bar{z}(z - a/1 - \bar{a}z)$  is not non-negative on  $\partial U$ .

**Proposition 2.** Suppose  $s+q\bar{s}$  is in  $H^2$ , where q is an inner function and s is in  $L^2$ . Then  $s+q\bar{s}$  is an outer function if and only if there exists a function t in  $L^2$  such that  $s+(t-q\bar{t})$  is an outer function.

**Proof.** If  $l=s+(t-q\bar{t})$  is outer, then  $s+q\bar{s}=l+q\bar{l}\in H^2$  and  $q\bar{l}\in H^2$ . Hence  $q\bar{l}=q_0l$  for some inner function  $q_0$ . Then  $s+q\bar{s}=l(1+q(\bar{l})=l(1+q_0))$  and hence  $s+q\bar{s}$  is outer. Conversely if  $s+q\bar{s}=2l$  is outer, then  $q\bar{l}=l$  and hence  $s+q\bar{s}=l+q\bar{l}$ . Let k=l-s, then  $k+q\bar{k}=0$  and so  $k=t-q\bar{t}$ , where t=k/2. Thus  $l=s+(t-q\bar{t})$  is outer.

**Corollary 1.** Suppose  $s + q\bar{s}$  is in  $H^2$ , where q is an inner function and s is in  $L^2$ . If s and q satisfy one of the following (1) ~ (3), then  $s + q\bar{s}$  is an outer function.

(1) s is an outer function.

(2)  $q = q_1q_2$  and  $s = q_1h$  where  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are inner functions, h is an outer function and  $q_2h = \alpha h$  for some complex number  $\alpha$ .

(3)  $q = q_1q_2$  and  $s = q_1h$  where  $\{q_j\}_{j=1,2,3}$  are inner functions, h is an outer function,  $q_2\bar{h} = q_3h$ , and  $q_1 + q_3$  is an outer function.

**Proof.** (1) is clear by Proposition 2 and (2) is a special case of (3). For (3), let  $t = (q_3 - q_1)h/4$ , then

$$q\bar{t} = \frac{1}{4}q(\bar{q}_{2}h - \bar{q}_{1}\bar{h}) = \frac{1}{4}(q_{1}h - q_{2}\bar{h}) = \frac{1}{4}(q_{1} - q_{3})h$$

because  $q_2\bar{h}=q_3h$ . Hence  $t-q\bar{t}=(q_3-q_1)h/4$  and so  $s+(t-q\bar{t})=(q_3+q_1)h/2$ . This implies (3) because  $q_1+q_3$  is outer.

**Proposition 3.** Suppose  $q_1$  is an inner function and  $s + q\bar{s}$  is a non-zero function in  $H^2$ , where q is an inner function and s is in  $L^2$ . Then  $s + q\bar{s}$  is divisible in  $H^2$  by  $1 + q_1$  if and only if there exists a function t in  $L^2$  such that  $q\{\bar{s}+(\bar{t}-q\bar{t})\}=q_1\{s+(t-q\bar{t})\}$ . In particular, if  $q\bar{s}=q_1s$  then  $s+q\bar{s}$  is divisible by  $1+q_1$ .

**Proof.** If there exists a function t in  $L^2$  such that  $q\{\bar{s}+(\bar{t}-\bar{q}t)\}=q_1\{s+(t-q\bar{t})\}$ , then  $s+q\bar{s}=s+t-q\bar{t}+q(\bar{s}+\bar{t}-\bar{q}t)=s+t-q\bar{t}+q_1(s+t-q\bar{t})=(s+t-q\bar{t})(1+q_1)$  and hence  $s+q\bar{s}$  is divisible in  $H^2$  by  $1+q_1$ . Conversely if  $l=(s+q\bar{s})/(1+q_1)$  is in  $H^2$ , then

$$\bar{q} = \frac{\bar{s} + \bar{q}s}{s + q\bar{s}} = \frac{\bar{l}}{l} \frac{1 + \bar{q}_1}{1 + q_1} = \frac{\bar{l}}{l} \bar{q}_1$$

and hence  $q\bar{l}=q_1l$ . If k=l-s, then  $k=t-q\bar{t}$  for some  $t\in L^2$  and hence  $l=s+(t-q\bar{t})$ . This implies that  $q\{\bar{s}+(\bar{t}-\bar{q}t)\}=q_1\{s+(t-q\bar{t})\}$ .

**Corollary 2.** Suppose  $s + q\bar{s}$  is in  $H^2$ , where q is an inner function and s is in  $L^2$ .

(1) If s is an outer function and  $q\bar{s}\neq \alpha s$  for any  $\alpha$  in C with  $|\alpha| = 1$ , then there exists a non-constant inner function  $q_1$  such that  $s+q\bar{s}$  is divisible in  $H^2$  by  $1+q_1$ . (2) If h is an outer function,  $q\bar{h}=q_1q_2^2h$  and  $s=q_2h$  where  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are inner functions, then  $s+q\bar{s}$  is divisible in  $H^2$  by  $1+q_1$ .

(3) If q is a finite Blaschke product, then there exists a non-constant finite Blaschke product  $q_1$  such that  $s + q\bar{s}$  is divisible in  $H^2$  by  $1 + q_1$ , or  $s + q\bar{s}$  is not an outer function.

**Proof.** (1) Since s is outer,  $q\bar{s}=q_1s$  for some inner function  $q_1$ . By the hypothesis,  $q_1$  is non-constant and hence Proposition 3 implies (1).

(2)  $q\bar{s} = q_1q_2h = q_1s$  implies (2) by Proposition 3.

(3) Since  $\bar{q}(s+q\bar{s})^2 \ge 0$  a.e. on  $\partial U$  and q is a finite Blaschke product,  $(s+q\bar{s})^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (z-a_j)(1-\bar{a}_jz)l^2$ , where  $|a_j| \le 1(1 \le j \le n)$  and l is outer in  $H^2([2, 11])$ . Therefore if  $s+q\bar{s}$  is outer, then  $s+q\bar{s}=\prod_{j=1}^{n} (-\bar{a}_j)^{1/2}(z-a_j)l$  and  $|a_j|=1$ . Thus  $s+q\bar{s}$  is divisible in  $H^2$  by  $z-a_j$ .

When  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are inner functions, we write  $q_1 < q_2$  if there exists a nonzero function f in  $H^1$  such that  $\bar{q}_1q_2 = f/|f|$ . If both  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are finite Blaschke product, then  $q_1 < q_2$  is equivalent to (degree of  $q_1$ )  $\leq$  (degree of  $q_2$ ). For each g in  $H^1$ , sing g denotes the set of the unit circle on which g cannot be analytically extended.

**Proposition 4.** If  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are inner functions and the inner part of  $q_1+q_2$  is q, then  $q \prec q_1$  and  $q \prec q_2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $q_1 + q_2 = qh$ , then  $|\bar{q}q_1 - h| = |\bar{q}q_2 - h| = 1$ . By a theorem of P. Koosis (cf. [4, Chapter 4, Lemma 5.4]),  $q \prec q_1$  and  $q \prec q_2$ .

**Corollary 3.** Suppose  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are inner functions and  $q_1 + q_2 = qh$  where q is an inner function and h is an outer function.

(1) If  $q_1$  is a finite Blaschke product, then q is also a finite Blaschke product and (degree of  $q) \leq (\text{degree of } q_1)$ .

(2) If  $(sing q_1) \cap (sing q_2)$  is empty, then q is a finite Blaschke product.

(3) Suppose  $q_1 = \exp(-(a+z)/(a-z))$  and  $q_2 = -\alpha \exp(-(b+z)/(b-z))$ , where |a| = |b| = 1,  $b = -\bar{a}$  and  $|\alpha| = 1$ . If  $\alpha = 1$ , then q = z or q is constant. If  $\alpha \neq 1$ , then q is always constant, that is,  $q_1 + q_2$  is an outer function.

**Proof.** (1) By Proposition 4,  $\bar{q}q_1 = f/|f|$  for some function  $f \in H^1$  and hence  $\bar{q}_1(qf) \ge 0$  a.e. on  $\partial U$ . If  $q_1$  is a finite Blaschke product of degree *m*,  $qf = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (z-a_j)(1-\bar{a}_jz)l$  and  $n \le m$  where  $|a_j| \le 1(1 \le j \le n)$  and *l* is strongly outer. Hence *q* is a finite Blaschke product of degree *k* and  $k \le n$ .

(2) By Proposition 4,  $\bar{q}q_1 = f/|f|$  for some function  $f \in H^1$  and hence  $\bar{q}q_1 = g/\bar{g}$  for some outer function  $g \in H^2$ . Therefore  $\bar{q}_1qg = \bar{g}$  and so  $qg \in H^2 \ominus q_1zH^2$ . Hence sing  $q_1 \supseteq sing qg$  and by [10, Lemma 4], sing  $q_1 \supseteq sing q$ . Similarly sing  $q_2 \supseteq sing q$  and by the hypothesis q is a finite Blaschke product.

(3) By (2), q is a finite Blaschke product. If q(x)=0 for some point  $x \in U$ , then  $exp(-(a+x)/(a-x)) = \alpha exp(-(b+x)/(b-x))$  and hence

$$-\frac{a+x}{a-x} = -\frac{b+x}{b-x} + i\rho$$
 and  $\rho = t + 2n\pi$ 

where n is some integer and  $\alpha = e^{it}$ . If  $\rho = 0$  then q = z because  $a \neq b$ . Suppose  $\rho \neq 0$ . Then

$$x^{2} - \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{2i}{\rho}\right)b + \left(1 + \frac{2i}{\rho}\right)a \right\} x + ab = 0.$$

If A and B are the solutions of the above quadratic equation, then AB = ab = -1 and

$$A+B=\left(1+\frac{2i}{\rho}\right)a-\left(\frac{1+\frac{2i}{\rho}}{\rho}\right)a.$$

This implies |A| = |B| = 1 and contradicts |x| < 1.

(1) of Corollary 3 was proved by D. Sarason [15, Proposition 3]. Our proof is different from his.

# 3. Projection

For each inner function q, we define two operators on  $L^2$ 

$$L_q(s) = \frac{s+q\bar{s}}{2}$$
 and  $L'_q(s) = \frac{s-q\bar{s}}{2}$ .

If q = 1, then  $L_q(s)$  is the real part of s and  $L'_q(s)$  is the imaginary part of s. In general,  $|L_q(s)| \leq |s|$  and  $|L'_q(s)| \leq |s|$ . Hence  $L_q$  and  $L'_q$  are contractive.  $L_q$  and  $L'_q$  commute with multiplication operators by real valued functions in  $L^{\infty}$ . Moreover on  $L^2$ , we have  $L_qL_q = L_q$  and  $L_qL'_q = 0$  and  $L_q + L'_q$  is the identity operator. By results of the last section, we are interested in a function s such that  $L_q(s)$  belongs to  $H^2$ . Since  $q = (1+q)^2/|1+q|^2$ , we define  $q^{1/2} = (1+q)/|1+q|$ . Put

$$6\mathscr{A}_q = \left\{ g \in H^2 : \frac{g}{1+q} \text{ is a real valued function} \right\}.$$

**Theorem 5.** Let q be a non-constant inner function. Then

$$\{s \in L^2: L_q(s) \in H^2\} = \mathscr{A}_q + iq^{1/2}L_R^2,$$

where  $L_R^2 = \{s \in L^2: s \text{ is a real valued function}\}$ . In particular, if  $s + q\bar{s}$  belongs to  $H^2$  for some s in  $L^2$ , then  $s + q\bar{s} = t + q\bar{t}$  for some t in  $H^2$ .

**Proof.** If  $g \in \mathcal{A}_q$  then u = g/(1+q) is real and g = u(1+q). Hence  $q\bar{g} = g$  and so  $L_q(g) = g \in H^2$ . If  $s = iq^{1/2}u$  and  $u \in L_R^2$  then  $L_q(s) = 0$ . This implies that  $\{s \in L^2: L_q(s) \in H^2\}$  $\supseteq \mathcal{A}_q + iq^{1/2}L_R^2$ . Conversely, suppose  $g = L_q(s) \in H^2$ . If g = 0, then  $s = -q\bar{s}$  and  $s^2 = -q|s|^2$ . Hence  $(i\bar{q}^{1/2}s)^2 = -\bar{q}s^2 = |s|^2 \ge 0$  and so  $i\bar{q}^{1/2}s = -u$  is real. Thus  $s = iq^{1/2}u$  and  $u \in L_R^2$ . If  $g \neq 0$ ,  $s + q\bar{s} = 2g$  and

$$\frac{s}{g} + \overline{\left(\frac{s}{g}\right)} = 2$$

Put t=s/g-1, then  $t+\bar{t}=0$  and so t=iv for some  $v \in L_R^2$ . Hence s=g+ivg and  $vg=q^{1/2}u$ , where  $u=v\bar{q}^{1/2}g$  is in  $L_R^2$ . Thus  $s=g+iq^{1/2}u$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Corollary 4.** Let q be a non-constant inner function. Then

$${s \in H^2: L_q(s) \in H^2} = \mathscr{A}_q + i \mathscr{A}_q$$

and hence  $H^2 \ominus qzH^2 = \mathscr{A}_q + i\mathscr{A}_q$ .  $L_q$  is the projection from  $H^2 \ominus qzH^2$  onto  $\mathscr{A}_q$  and has kernel  $i\mathscr{A}_q$ .

**Proof.** If  $g \in \mathscr{A}_{q}$ , then g = v(1+q) for some real valued function v and so  $g = q^{1/2}u$ 

where u = v |1+q|. Hence  $\mathscr{A}_q \subset q^{1/2} L_R^2$  and  $(q^{1/2} L_R^2) \cap H^2 = \mathscr{A}_q$ . Now Theorem 5 implies the corollary.

The proof of Theorem 5 is related to that of [14, Theorem 3]. The equality in Corollary 4, that is,  $H^2 \ominus qzH^2 = \mathscr{A}_q + i\mathscr{A}_q$  is known by [12, (1) of Theorem 3].

**Corollary 5.** Let q be an inner function. (1) If  $q = z^n$ , then  $\mathscr{A}_q = \{\sum_{j=0}^n b_j z^j; b_j = \overline{b}_{n-j}\}$ . (2) If  $q = \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} (-\overline{a}_l/|a_l|) (z - a_l/1 - \overline{a}_l z)$  and  $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (1 - |a_l|) < \infty$ , then

$$\mathscr{A}_{q} = \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{j}B_{j} + \bar{c}_{j}zB'_{j}}{1 - \bar{a}_{j}z} : \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{|c_{j}|^{2}}{(1 - |a_{j}|)^{2}(1 + |a_{j}|)} < \infty \right\}$$

where  $B_j = \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} (-\bar{a}_j/|a_j|) (z - a_j/1 - \bar{a}_j z), \quad B'_j = \prod_{l=j}^{\infty} (-\bar{a}_j/|a_j|) (z - a_j/1 - \bar{a}_j z), \quad a_0 = 0, \quad B_0 = 1 \text{ and } B'_0 = q.$ 

**Proof.** (1) If  $s \in H^2 \ominus qzH^2$ , then  $s = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$  and hence  $s + q\bar{s} = \sum_{j=0}^n (a_j + \bar{a}_{n-j}) z^j$ . Now corollary 4 implies (1). (2) If  $s \in H^2 \ominus qzH^2$ , then by [1]

$$s = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j (1 + |a_j|)^{1/2} B_j (1 - \bar{a}_j z)^{-1} (1 - |a_j|)$$

and  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |c_j|^2 < \infty$ . Hence

$$s + q\bar{s} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( c_j \frac{B_j}{1 - \bar{a}_j z} + \bar{c}_j \frac{q\bar{B}_j}{1 - \bar{a}_j z} \right) (1 + |a_j|)^{1/2} (1 - |a_j|)$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{c_j B_j + \bar{c}_j z B'_{j+1}}{1 - \bar{a}_j z} \right) (1 + |a_j|)^{1/2} (1 - |a_j|).$$

Now Corollary 4 implies (2).

A theorem of P. R. Ahern and D. N. Clark [1, Theorem 3.1], lets one describe  $\mathcal{A}_q$  for arbitrary inner function q.

# 4. Extremal problems

Let  $1 \le q \le \infty$  and 1/p + 1/l = 1. If  $\phi \in L^l$ , we denote by  $T^p_{\phi}$  the continuous functional defined on the Hardy space  $H^p$  by

$$T^{p}_{\phi}(f) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\theta})\phi(e^{i\theta})d\theta/2\pi.$$

A function f in  $H^p$ , which satisfies  $T^p_{\phi}(f) = ||T^p_{\phi}||$  and  $||f||_p \leq 1$ , is called an extremal function. A function  $\phi$  in  $L^l$  is called an extremal kernel when  $||\phi||_l = ||T^p_{\phi}||$ . The existence and uniqueness of extremal functions and extremal kernels is known for 1 (cf. [3, Theorem 8.1]). For <math>p = 1, the situation is very different. An extremal function may not exist, the dual extremal kernel always exists and is unique if an extremal function exists (cf. [3, Theorem 8.1]). For p = 1, the set  $S_{\phi}$  of all extremal functions is defined by

$$S_{\phi} = \{ f \in H^1: T^1_{\phi}(f) = ||T^1_{\phi}|| \text{ and } ||f||_1 = 1 \}.$$

 $S_{\phi}$  has been described in general by E. Hayashi [5, 6]. In this section, we describe  $S_{\phi}$  completely in ways different from that of E. Hayashi. Moreover using the result we describe extremal kernels and extremal functions for 1 .

**Theorem 6.** Suppose p=1 and  $S_{\phi}$  is nonempty. Then there exist an inner function q and a strong outer function g which satisfy the following  $(1) \sim (4)$ . (1) The unique extremal kernel of  $T_{\phi}^{1}$  is  $\bar{q} |g|/g$ . (2) f is a member of  $S_{\phi}$  if and only if

$$f = \gamma q_0 \left(\frac{s+q\bar{s}}{1+q_0}\right)^2 g,$$

where  $\gamma$  is a positive constant,  $||f||_1 = 1$ ,  $q_0$  is an inner function, s is in  $H^2 \ominus qzH^2$  and  $(s+q\bar{s})/(1+q_0)$  is an outer function in  $H^2$ . (3) f is a member of  $S_{\phi}$  if and only if

$$f = \gamma q_0 (t + q \overline{q_0 t})^2 g,$$

where  $\gamma$  is a positive constant,  $\|f\|_1 = 1$ ,  $q_0$  is an inner function, t is in  $H^2 \ominus qzH^2$  and  $t + q\overline{q_0t}$  is an outer function in  $H^2 \ominus qzH^2$ . (4) f is a member of  $S_{\phi}$  if and only if

$$f = \gamma \{ (s+q\bar{s})^2 + (t+q\bar{t})^2 \} g,$$

where  $\gamma$  is a positive constant,  $\|f\|_1 = 1$ , and s and t are in  $H^2 \ominus qzH^2$ .

**Proof.** (1) is known from [5]. (2) If  $f = \gamma q_0 (s + q\bar{s}/1 + q_0)^2 g$ , then

$$\frac{|f|}{f} = q_0 \frac{|1+q_0|^2}{(1+q_0)^2} \frac{|s+q\bar{s}|^2}{(s+q\bar{s})^2} \frac{|g|}{g} = \bar{q} \frac{|g|}{g},$$

and hence  $f \in S_{\phi}$ . Conversely, if  $f \in S_{\phi}$  and  $f = q_0 h^2$ , where  $q_0$  is inner and h is outer, then  $\gamma_1(1+q_0)^2 h^2 \in S_{\phi}$  for some positive constant  $\gamma_1$ . Since  $(1+q_0)h$  is outer in  $H^2$ , by a theorem of E. Hayashi ([5, 6]),

$$H^2 \cap q_0(h/\bar{h})\bar{H}^2 = q_0(H^2 \ominus q_2H^2)$$

and  $q_0(h/\bar{h}) = \bar{q}\bar{q}_0/q_0$ , where q is inner and  $g = g_0^2$ , is strongly outer. Hence  $(1+q_0)h = kg_0$ where  $k \in H^2 \ominus qzH^2$  and  $\bar{q}k^2 \ge 0$ . Since  $k \in \mathscr{A}_q$ , by Corollary 4,  $k = s + q\bar{s}$  for some function  $s \in H^2 \ominus qzH^2$ . Now  $q_0h$  belongs to  $g_0(H^2 \ominus qzH^2)$  because  $q_0h = q_0(k/\bar{h})\bar{h}$ . Therefore  $q_0h/g_0$  belongs to  $H^2 \ominus qzH^2$  and hence  $h/g_0 = (s+q\bar{s})/(1+q_0)$  belongs to  $N_+ \cap L^2 = H^2$ . This implies (2).

(3) Put  $(s+q\bar{s})/(1+q_0) = l$  in (2); then

$$\frac{l}{l} = \frac{\bar{s} + \bar{q}s}{1 + \bar{q}_0} \frac{1 + q_0}{s + q\bar{s}} = q_0 \bar{q}.$$

Hence  $l = q\overline{q_0}l$  and so  $l = t + q\overline{q_0}t$ , where  $t = l/2 \in H^2$ . This implies (3).

(4) By (2), the 'if' part is clear. Conversely if  $f \in S_{\phi}$ , then by (2)  $f = q_0 k^2 g$ , where  $k = \gamma^{1/2}(s + q\bar{s})/(1 + q_0)$ . Since  $\bar{q}q_0k^2 = |k|^2$ ,  $q\bar{k} = q_0k$  and hence  $k \in H^2 \ominus qzH^2$ . By Corollary 4, k = l + im for some functions l,  $m \in \mathcal{A}_q$  and hence  $q_1 k = l - im$  for some inner function  $q_1$ . Thus  $q_1k^2 = l^2 + m^2$  and hence  $\bar{q}q_1k^2 = |k|^2$ . Therefore  $q_1 = q_0$ . Corollary 4 implies (4) because  $f = \gamma \{l^2 + m^2\}g$ .

If  $(s+q\bar{s})/(1+q_0)$  belongs to  $H^2$ , then  $q_0 \prec q$  and  $(s+q\bar{s})/(1+q_0)$  belongs to  $H^2 \ominus$  $qzH^2$ . In fact, if  $l=(s+q\bar{s})/(1+q_0)$ , then by the proof of (3) of Theorem 6,  $q\bar{l}=q_0l$ . Hence l belongs to  $H^2 \ominus qzH^2$  and  $q_0 \prec q$  because  $\bar{q}_0 q = l^2/|l|^2$ . Theorem 7 and Theorem 1 in [13] describe extremal kernels and extremal functions in case 1 .

**Theorem 7.** Suppose 1 and <math>1/p + 1/l = 1. Then  $\phi$  is the unique extremal kernel and f is the unique extremal function of  $T_{\phi}^{p}$  if and only if there exist an inner function q and a strong outer function g which satisfy the following:

$$\phi = \left\| T^p_{\phi} \right\| \bar{q} \frac{|g|}{g} \left( \frac{s+q\bar{s}}{1+q_0} \right)^{2/l} g^{1/l}$$

$$p = \|T^p_{\phi}\|\bar{q}\frac{|\mathbf{g}|}{g}\left(\frac{1}{1+q_0}\right) g^{\mathsf{T}}$$

$$f = q_0 \left(\frac{s + q\bar{s}}{1 + q_0}\right)^{2/p} g^{1/p},$$

where  $q_0$  is an inner function,  $\|f\|_p = 1$ ,  $\|\phi\|_l = \|T_{\phi}^p\|$ ,  $s \in H^2 \ominus qzH^2$  and  $(s+q\bar{s})/(1+q_0)$ is an outer function in  $H^2$ .

and

**Proof.** If  $\phi$  is the unique extremal kernel and f is the unique extremal function of  $T_{\phi}^{p}$ , then by [13, Theorem 1]

$$\phi = \phi_0 h, f = \left\| T_{\phi}^{l} \right\|^{-l/p} Q h^{l/p}$$
$$\left\| T_{\phi}^{l} \right\|^{-l} Q h^{l} \in S_{\phi_0}, \phi_0 = \bar{Q} \left\| h \right\|^{l} h^{-l},$$

and

where h is outer with 
$$|\phi| = |h|$$
 and Q is the inner part of f. By Theorem 6,

$$\left\| T_{\phi}^{i} \right\|^{-i} Q h^{i} = q_{0} \left( \frac{s + q\bar{s}}{1 + q_{0}} \right)^{2} g$$

where q and  $q_0$  are inner, g is strongly outer,  $||q_o(s+q\bar{s}/1+q_0)^2g||_1=1$ ,  $s \in H^2 \ominus qzH^2$ and  $(s+q\bar{s})/(1+q_0)$  is outer in  $H^2$ . Hence  $Q=q_0$ ,  $h=||T_{\phi}^{l}||(s+q\bar{s}/1+q_0)^{2/l}g^{1/l}$  and  $\phi_0=\bar{q}_0(|h|^l/h^l)=\bar{q}(|g|/g)$ .

Thus

$$\phi = \bar{q} \frac{|g|}{g} ||T_{\phi}^{l}|| \left(\frac{s+q\bar{s}}{1+q_{0}}\right)^{2/l} g^{1/l}$$

and

$$f = \left\| T_{\phi}^{l} \right\|^{-l/p} q_{0} h^{l/p} = q_{0} \left( \frac{s + q\bar{s}}{1 + q_{0}} \right)^{2/p} g^{1/p}.$$

Theorem 6 is a generalization of [11, Theorem 2]. Theorem 7 is a generalization of [13, Theorem 2]. But the descriptions are different from the previous ones. In those descriptions, the bad part  $q_0(s+q\bar{s}/1+q_0)^{2/l}$  is important. If f is an inner function, then it is clear that  $||f+\bar{z}\bar{H}^l|| = ||f||_l$  for  $1 \le l \le \infty$ . If  $f = q_0(s+q\bar{s}/1+q_0)^{2/l}$ , then, by Theorem 8,  $||f+\bar{z}\bar{H}^l|| = ||f||_l$  for  $1 \le l \le \infty$ . Theorem 8 also shows [13, Corollary 3]. To prove Theorem 8 we need the following lemma.

**Lemma.** Suppose  $1 \le l \le \infty$  and f = qh is in  $H^l$ , where q is an inner function and h is an outer function. Then  $||f + \overline{z}\overline{H}^l|| = ||f||_l$  if and only if  $qh^{2-l}/|h|^{2-l}$  is an inner function.

**Proof.** For  $l \neq 1$  the lemma is known [13, Corollary 2]. Suppose l=1. By [3, p. 133], if  $||f + \overline{z}\overline{H}^1|| = ||f||_1$ , then there exists an extremal function  $Q \in H^{\infty}$  and |Q| = 1 a.e. on  $\{\theta; f(e^{i\theta}) \neq 0\}$  and  $Qf \ge 0$  a.e. on  $\partial U$ . Hence Q is inner and so f/|f| is inner. The converse is clear.

**Theorem 8.** Suppose  $1 \le l \le \infty$  and f is a nonzero function in  $H^l$ . (1)  $|| f + \overline{z}\overline{H}^2 || = || f ||_2$  for an arbitrary function f in  $H^2$ . (2) For  $2 < l < \infty$ ,  $|| f + \overline{z}\overline{H}^l || = || f ||_l$  if and only if

$$f = q \left(\frac{s+q\bar{s}}{1+Q}\right)^{2/l-2}$$

where q and Q are inner functions with  $Q \prec q$ . (3) For  $1 \leq l < 2$ ,  $||f + \bar{z}\bar{H}^{l}|| = ||f||_{l}$  if and only if

$$f = q \left(\frac{s + Q\bar{s}}{1 + q}\right)^{2/2 - l},$$

where q and Q are inner functions with  $q \prec Q$ . (4) Suppose  $l = \infty$  and  $S_{\bar{f}}$  is nonempty. Then  $||f + \bar{z}\bar{H}^{\infty}|| = ||f||_{\infty}$  if and only if f is an inner function.

**Proof.** (1) is clear because f is orthogonal to  $\bar{z}\bar{H}^2$ . Suppose f = qh where q is inner and h is outer. (2) If  $||f + \bar{z}\bar{H}^l|| = ||f||_b$ , then by Lemma  $qh^{2-l}/|h|^{2-l} = Q$  is inner. Hence  $\bar{q}Qh^{l-2} = |h^{l-2}|$ . If 1 < t < l/l - 2, then  $h^{l-2} \in H^1$  and so  $h^{l-2} \in H^1$ . Now Theorem 6 implies that

$$Qh^{l-2} = Q\left(\frac{s+q\bar{s}}{1+Q}\right)^2$$
 and  $Q \prec q$ .

Hence  $h = (s + q\bar{s}/1 + Q)^{2/l-2}$  and so  $f = q(s + q\bar{s}/1 + Q)^{2/l-2}$ . Conversely if  $f = q(s + q\bar{s}/1 + Q)^{2/l-2}$ , then  $h = (s + q\bar{s}/1 + Q)^{2/l-2}$  and hence

$$\bar{q} \frac{h^{l-2}}{|h|^{l-2}} = \bar{q} \frac{(s+q\bar{s})^2}{(1+Q)^2} \frac{|1+Q|^2}{|s+q\bar{s}|^2} = Q.$$

The lemma implies  $||f + \overline{z}\overline{H}^{l}|| = ||f||_{l}$ . (3) If  $||f + \overline{z}\overline{H}^{l}|| = ||f||_{l}$ , then by the lemma  $qh^{2-l}/|h|^{2-l} = Q$  is inner. Hence  $\overline{Q}qh^{2-l} = |h|^{2-l}$  and  $h^{2-l} \in H^{1}$  because  $h^{l} \in H^{1}$  and l > 2-l > 0. Again by Theorem 6

$$qh^{2-l} = q\left(\frac{s+Q\bar{s}}{1+q}\right)^2$$
 and  $q \prec Q$ .

Hence  $h = (s + Q\bar{s}/1 + q)^{2/2 - l}$  and so  $f = q(s + Q\bar{s}/1 + q)^{2/2 - l}$ . Conversely if  $f = q(s + Q\bar{s}/1 + q)^{2/2 - l}$ , then

$$\bar{q} \frac{h^{l-2}}{|h|^{l-2}} = \bar{q} \frac{(s+Q\bar{s})^2}{(1+q)^2} \frac{|1+q|^2}{|s+Q\bar{s}|^2} = Q.$$

The lemma implies  $||f + \overline{z}\overline{H}^t|| = ||f||_t$ .

(4) If  $S_{\bar{f}}$  is nonempty and  $||f + \bar{z}\bar{H}^{\infty}|| = ||f||_{\infty}$ , then f is inner by Theorem 6.

## REFERENCES

1.P. R. AHERN and D. N. CLARK, On functions orthogonal to invariant subspaces, Acta Math. 124 (1970), 191-204.

**2.** K. DELEEUW and W. RUDIN, Extreme points and extremum problems in  $H^1$ , Pacific J. Math. 8 (1958), 467–485.

3. P. DUREN, Theory of H<sup>p</sup> spaces (Academic Press, New York, 1970).

4. J. B. GARNETT, Bounded analytic functions (Academic Press, 1981).

5. E. HAYASHI, The solution sets of extremal problems in  $H^1$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1985), 690-696.

6. E. HAYASHI, The kernel of a Toeplitz operator, Integral E<sub>a</sub>. Oper. Theory 9 (1986), 589-591.

7. H. HELSON, Large analytic functions, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 43 (1989), 209-216.

8. H. HELSON, Large analytic functions 2, in Analysis and partial differential equations (C. Sadosky, ed., Marcel Dekker, Basel, 1990).

9. J. INOUE, An example of a non-exposed extreme function on the unit ball of  $H^1$ , Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 37 (1993), 47-51.

10. M. LEE and D. SARASON, The spectra of some Toeplitz operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 33 (1971), 529-543.

11. T. NAKAZI, Exposed points and extremal problems in H<sup>1</sup>, J. Funct. Anal. 53 (1983), 224-230.

12. T. NAKAZI, Sum of two inner functions and exposed points in  $H^1$ , Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 35 (1992), 349–357.

13. T. NAKAZI, Extremal problems in H<sup>p</sup>, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 52 (1992), 103-110.

14. T. NAKAZI and K. TAKAHASHI, Hyponormal Toeplitz operators and extremal problems of Hardy spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 338 (1993), 753-767.

15. D. SARASON, Making an outer function from two inner functions, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY SAPPORO 060 JAPAN