Optimising treatment strategies for ADHD in adolescence to minimise ‘lost in transition’ to adulthood
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The persistence of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) from adolescence to adulthood is not matched by continuity of care in this transition period. Many adolescents with ADHD have poor medication adherence or even stop medication treatment, and use of behavioural interventions is also suboptimal. The present commentary focuses on treatment strategies that might improve effects of ADHD medication treatment by improving adherence in adolescents with ADHD and/or optimise behavioural interventions for ADHD in adolescence. Most treatment strategies in adolescents with ADHD are merely copied from treatments offered to children. Instead however treatment should be focused on what makes adolescents special and vulnerable, such as poor insight into own functioning and poor decision making. Techniques that offer promise for adolescents are motivational interviewing, use of ecological momentary assessments and interventions, mindfulness-based training and serious games. Systematic studies into the effects of these techniques alone and in combination with medication are lacking.
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Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a rather common neurodevelopmental disorder with an estimated prevalence of at least 5% in children and adolescents and 2.5% in adults (Polanczyk et al. 2007; Simon et al. 2009). Onset of the disorder is mostly during the preschool years or in childhood. From the three defining symptoms of ADHD, inattentiveness tends to be rather stable over development, whereas hyperactivity and impulsivity tend to decline more over age (Biederman et al. 2000). Despite these age-related symptomatic improvements, ADHD is a highly persistent disorder with between one third and half of ADHD children still meeting clinical threshold criteria and the majority of children with ADHD being functional impaired at adult age (Faraone et al. 2015).

Despite claims of overdiagnosis and overtreatment of ADHD, survey data about treatments received for ADHD among children with special needs tell a different story (Visser et al. 2015). Of all adolescents of a national representative USA sample of 12–17 year old with ADHD, 27.9% were reported to have received both medication and behavioural interventions in the last year, 48.3% received medication only, 12.5% received behavioural interventions only and 14.3% did receive neither medication nor behavioural interventions (Visser et al. 2015). Furthermore, the persistence of the disorder over adolescence into adulthood is not matched by continuity of care in this important phase of life. For example, prescription data of ADHD medication by UK general practitioners show a significant drop from age 15 to 21 years. This discontinuation of medication treatment is much greater than the age-related decline in symptoms, suggesting that young adults with ADHD receive insufficient treatment (McCarthy et al. 2009). This is more alarming, since adolescence is a vulnerable period of development with complex changes of the youngsters’ social, educational and occupational environment and increased risk for emergence of new psychiatric disorders and comorbidities. Data indicate that continued medication use during adolescence improves academic outcomes and postpones substance initiation (Barbaresi et al. 2007; Wilens et al. 2008; Biederman et al. 2009; Groenman et al. 2013). A factor with great bearing on the discontinuity of care is that mental health services for children and adolescents in most countries are provided till age 18, and in some exceptions till age 21. Thereafter, services for ADHD in young adults are to be provided by general psychiatry teams. This is called the transition gap. How to optimally organise mental health care services around the transition from adolescence to adulthood, facilitate
collaboration between child and adolescent psychiatry and adult services, and reduce treatment discontinuity is the topic of a companion commentary (Coghill, 2016).

The present commentary focuses on treatment strategies that might improve effects of ADHD medication treatment by improving adherence in adolescents with ADHD and/or optimise behavioural interventions for ADHD in adolescence.

Medication

Both stimulant and non-stimulant (atomoxetine) medication leads to strong and clinical relevant improvements of ADHD symptoms in placebo-controlled randomised clinical trials in adolescents (Chan et al. 2016). The effects of ADHD medication however are short-lived, and benefits of medication are generally maintained only if medication is continued. Therefore one important reason for suboptimal outcomes in adolescence and early adulthood may be poor medication adherence (Charach & Fernandez, 2013). Many children with ADHD start using medication for 2 or 3 years but discontinue use once they reach adolescence (Barbasci et al. 2006; Meaux et al. 2006). Fluctuations in use are common; some children use medications regularly, others discontinue and still others stop and restart medications, sometimes more than once. Predictors of adherence to prescribed medication are more severe ADHD symptoms, additional learning and behaviour conditions and, at the same time, absence of comorbidity with oppositional defiant disorder, younger age at initiation of medication prescription (Thiruchelvam et al. 2001) and the presence of a good working relationship between the patient, the family and the clinician. Medication nonadherence is more prevalent in immediate-release than extended-release psychostimulants in children and adolescents with ADHD (van den Ban et al. 2010). Very important for adolescents is how peers perceive them. For many it is a real fear that medication use will create stigma and isolate them from their peers, which may lead them to avoid treatment. In any case, by far the most powerful predictor of non-adherence are past experiences that medication is effective with few adverse effects (Charach & Fernandez, 2013). The problem is that initially perceived benefits in terms of improvements of school work and peer relationships may be later ‘mentally washed-out’ and/or not remembered due to the more limited capacities for self-observation and self-reflection that are inherent to most adolescents with ADHD. At the same time, growing older brings about a greater weight to self-report on symptom severity and the various functional impairments and an increasingly less reliance of reports by parents and teachers. Finally, it is unclear whether adolescents are more sensitive to and suffer more from common (such as loss of appetite, insomnia, irritability, abdominal pain, headache for stimulants and decreased appetite, dizziness, abdominal pain, fatigue, somnolence and vomiting for atomoxetine and clonidine or guanfacine) or less common (moodiness, dysphoria, loss of creativity) side effects of ADHD medication, or are better able to observe these effects. Results of prospective studies to document side-effects of ADHD medication using newly developed instruments are pending (Inglis et al. 2016; Kovshoff et al. 2016).

Behavioural treatments

Psychosocial treatments incorporating behaviour contingency management, motivational enhancement, and academic, organizational and social skills training techniques are associated with inconsistent effects on ADHD symptoms but greater benefit for academic and organisational skills (Chan et al. 2016). There is no evidence that cognitive enhancement trainings, such as working memory training or neurofeedback improved the functioning of adolescents with ADHD (Sibley et al. 2014). Many studies on behavioural treatments in adolescents with ADHD suffer from methodological limitations such as small sample sizes, lack of blinding and not taking into account concomitant medication use and presence of comorbidities (Chan et al. 2016). Thus, behavioural interventions for ADHD in adolescents have not been studied as well as in preschoolers and children with ADHD, and also systematic studies into the effects of combination treatments of medication and behavioural interventions are lacking.

Optimising treatment strategies in adolescence

For too long time, treatment of ADHD in adolescents has just been an extension of strategies applied in children with ADHD. To ensure a successful transition to adulthood requires redesigning and optimising treatment strategies for ADHD in adolescents. These should be targeted to what makes adolescents and in particular adolescents with ADHD special and vulnerable (Chan et al. 2016). Most important ones are poor insight into own functioning and limited capacity for self-reflection, poor decision making, being overly sensitive to immediate gratification at the cost of pursuing long-term goals with high reward value, difficulty organising and planning activities, poor time estimation, being prone to emotional liability and moodiness together with still maturing self-regulation skills, poor conflict resolution skills and tendency to be an autonomous person who
rejects to be dependent on significant others like parents, teachers and other adults.

A key factor is to steer that adolescents become to feel responsible and take responsibility for dealing with ADHD. No one else but the adolescent themselves can decide whether to use medication or not and to adhere to prescription advice. The complex set of ambivalences of being dependent on medication and of being a patient in need of treatment asks for extensive and repeated motivational interviewing. Motivational interviewing is a directive, client-centred counselling style for eliciting behaviour change by helping patients to explore and resolve ambivalence. It is most centrally defined not by technique but by its spirit as a facilitative style for interpersonal relationship. The concept of motivational interviewing evolved from experience in the treatment of problem drinkers and has been successfully extended to many other areas of problem behaviour (Miller & Rollnick, 2012). Motivation to change is elicited from the patient and is not imposed from outside forces. In short, it is the patient’s task, not the clinician’s, to articulate and resolve the patient’s ambivalence. Direct persuasion is not an effective method for resolving ambivalence. The clinician is directive by helping the patient to examine and resolve ambivalence, whereby the therapeutic relationship resembles a partnership.

Providing optimal care to adolescent patients is time consuming. Clinicians should be able and willing to make additional phone calls to schools and mental health professionals outside of usual office hours. Explaining and clarifying treatment options should be done not once but repeatedly. Probing for potential side-effects of medication should be done pro-actively and repeatedly, as well as discussing issues of stigma and risk for social isolation. Implementing shared decision making is a next and important step. It might be very helpful to prophylactically predict to all adolescents with ADHD that they will try, sooner or later, to cheat their parents, teachers and the clinician by skipping their medication. In all of this, the clinician’s ability to maintain a treatment alliance with the adolescent and family is crucial to success.

Currently, adolescents’ main communication channels are based on the internet, social media, whatsapp and many other newer means rather than on traditional means of communication. While clinicians might and should adapt to these new methods, it poses new questions about how to deal with cyber security and privacy (Naeem et al. 2016). Use of smartphone apps (m-health) for more sophisticated assessment and treatment approaches to ADHD in adolescence is still beginning and largely unexplored. Smartphone apps can be used for ecological momentary assessment (EMA) that has several important advantages over conventionally used methods as questionnaires and interviews. The app generates a beep at semi-randomised moments and presents a questionnaire that allows for prospective in-the-moment assessments, several times a day. Thus EMA techniques provide methods by which patients can report on symptoms, affect, behaviour and cognitions close in time to experience (Bos et al. 2015; Rosen & Factor, 2015). This will enable to assess contextual variability of ADHD symptoms (Rommelse et al. 2015). Next, feedback can be provided to the patient, based on the sampled and processed data. This feedback can be given online and in-app: ecological momentary intervention, and can be used to monitor and improve adherence and redirect behavioural attitudes and strategies to deal with daily stressors.

 Adolescents with ADHD appear to be very attracted to gaming, but this has not been exploited sufficiently as a powerful adjunct therapeutic strategy. Serious games are designed to enhance behaviour strategies for children and adolescents with ADHD to enhance skills as time management, planning/organising and cooperation skills and deserve more systematic study (Bul et al. 2015, 2016).

Another promising treatment strategy for adolescents with ADHD is mindfulness-based training. This has in essence at its core the encouragement of individuals to independently self-manage and adapt to the challenges created by their condition, rather than focusing on just eliminating their symptoms. Mindfulness is the trainable capacity to pay attention to experience in the present moment, on purpose and without judgement. It originates from Buddhist meditation traditions and is increasingly integrated with contemporary theories and clinical approaches to form brief manualised interventions (Modesto-Lowe et al. 2015). Research shows that mindfulness training is associated with improved attention systems and self-regulation, and that it therefore fosters those skills that are underdeveloped in individuals with ADHD (Chiesa et al. 2011; Mitchell et al. 2015; Modesto-Lowe et al. 2015). Although only few studies have investigated the effectiveness of mindfulness training in ADHD (many of which showing methodological limitations), the findings do suggest that mindfulness may be a useful intervention in adolescents with ADHD (van de Weijer-Bergsma et al. 2012). The basis for a successful transition to adulthood is to sit firmly in the driving seat when navigating through the challenges and hazards of adolescence.
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