Enhanced anti-inflammatory effect of resveratrol and EPA in treated endotoxin-activated RAW 264.7 macrophages
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Abstract

Macrophages play an important role in immunogenic challenges by producing reactive oxygen species, NO and proinflammatory cytokines that can aggravate and propagate local inflammation. Multiple mechanisms regulate these inflammatory processes. NF-κB and activator protein 1 pathways are crucial in the expression of proinflammatory genes, such as TNF-α, IL-1 (α or β) and -6. Some polyphenols, which are present in beverages, vegetables and fruits, and PUFA, which are present in marine oils and fish food, possess anti-inflammatory effects in vivo and in vitro. Our aim in the present study was to assess whether polyphenols and PUFA have synergistic anti-inflammatory effects in murine macrophages in vitro. Inflammation in RAW 264.7 macrophages was induced by lipopolysaccharide at 100 ng/ml. The treatments with molecules were performed by co-incubation for 19 h. A NO production assay by Griess reaction, a phosphoprotein assay by Pathscan ELISA kit and gene expression analysis using the TaqMan Low-density Array for ninety-one genes related to inflammation, oxidative stress and metabolism were performed to assess the synergistic anti-inflammatory effects of polyphenols, epigallocatechin gallate and resveratrol (Res; 2.5 μg/ml), and the PUFA, DHA and EPA (30 μM). Adding Res + EPA had an enhanced anti-inflammatory effect, in comparison with EPA and Res alone, leading to decreased NO levels; modulating the phospho-stress activated protein kinase/Jun N-terminal kinase (P-SAPK/JNK) level; down-regulating proinflammatory genes, such as IL, chemokines, transcription factors; and up-regulating several antioxidant genes. Therefore, this combination has a stronger anti-inflammatory effect than either of these molecules separately in RAW macrophages.
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Localised inflammation that is considered a protective reaction to tissue irritation, injury or infection can also be involved in several pathologies (1–5). Macrophages are considered to play a major role in the body’s response to immunogenic challenges, by re-establishing tissue homeostasis, producing large amounts of reactive oxygen species, NO and proinflammatory cytokines, which aggravate and propagate local inflammation and disrupt the normal function of target cells (5). In fact, previous studies performed in RAW 264.7 murine macrophage cells have shown the importance of macrophages in enhancing inflammation by secreting pro-inflammatory factors, such as TNF-α and IL-1β (5).

Multiple mechanisms can propagate inflammation. Some pathways in the cell can modulate inflammation through phosphorylation cascades of proteins that translocate to the nucleus to induce the expression of inflammation genes. One of the more important cascades is the NF-κB pathway. The currently known subunit members of the NF-κB family in mammals are p50, p65 (RelA), c-Rel, p52 and RelB. Moreover, NF-κB inhibitor (IκB) has multiple mammalian forms, such as IκB-α, β, γ (p105), δ (p100) and ε as well as Bcl-3. In unstimulated cells, canonical NF-κB dimeric protein (p50 and p65) is bound in the cytoplasm to IκB-α, and upon stimulation with many NF-κB endogenous inducers, such as IL-1β and TNF-α, or potent exogenous inducers, such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS), IκB-α is rapidly phosphorylated by IκB kinases (IKK) and is marked for ubiquitination and degradation in the cytoplasm. The released NF-κB dimer can be activated by p65 phosphorylation and then translocated to the nucleus where NF-κB will trigger the transcription of target genes by binding with high affinity to κB elements in their promoters (6–8).

Abbreviations: AP-1, activator protein 1; EGCG, epigallocatechin gallate; IκB, NF-κB inhibitor; IKK, IκB kinases; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; Mmp, matrix metalloprotease; NOP, NO production; Res, resveratrol; P-SAPK/JNK, phospho-stress activated protein kinase/Jun N-terminal kinase.

*Corresponding author: M. Blay, fax +34 977558232, email mteresa.blay@urv.cat

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114511007057
Other pathways are implicated in inflammation, and include molecular partners, such as the transcription factor activator protein 1 (AP-1). When an extracellular stimulus arrives at the cell membrane, specific toll-like receptors activate complex signalling cascades, including the three major mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling pathways, namely, the extracellular-signal-regulated kinase, the SAPK/JNK and p38 pathways. These MAPK activate the transcriptional activity of AP-1 proteins, such as FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homologue (FOS) and V-junavian sarcoma virus 17 oncogene homologue (JUN), by phosphorylation and then, translocate to the nucleus, induce the expression of several inflammation target genes, such as Tnf-α and Il-1β, and genes implicated in matrix degradation, such as matrix metalloproteinase (Mmp).

In this context, several food compounds could help to decrease inflammation associated with disease states by modulating the expression of proteins related to these pathways. Polyphenols are an extensive molecule family that have well-known antioxidant properties and are widely distributed in foods, such as cereals, fruits, vegetables and beverages. In addition, these molecules have anti-inflammatory, antitumour and antiatherogenic abilities. These effects are related to free-radical scavenging, NO regulation, leucocyte immobilisation, apoptosis induction, inhibition of cell proliferation and angiogenesis, antilipid peroxidation, inhibition of inflammatory cytokine production, alterations in cell membrane receptors, intracellular signalling pathway proteins and modulation of gene expression. All of these effects may contribute to their potentially protective role in inflammatory and CVD.

One important polyphenol is epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG; Fig. 1), that belongs to the flavan-3-ol group, and is present mostly in tea leaves and in green tea. It has been reported that EGCG possesses the ability to inhibit the activation of transcription factors, such as NF-κB and AP-1, and mRNA expression levels of proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α, in macrophage RAW 264.7 cells.

On the other hand, resveratrol (Res; trans-3,5,4′-trihydroxystilbene, Fig. 1), that belongs to the stilbenes group, is present in dark-coloured grapes, mulberries, peanuts and red wines, and it has been known as an anti-inflammatory and antioxidant agent in studies performed in vitro and in vivo. In addition, Res is known to inhibit the transcription of proinflammatory genes and to modulate the action of transcription factors, such as NF-κB or AP-1, in mouse and human cells.

Other bioactive compounds that can ameliorate inflammation are PUFA. EPA and DHA are long-chain n-3 PUFA that are present mainly in fish oils and marine products, such as tuna, cod, sardine or salmon. It has been demonstrated that these molecules possess several beneficial properties for human CVD and inflammatory disease. These biological effects are related to lipoprotein metabolism, endothelial cell function, vascular reactivity, inflammatory markers and cytokine production. Most of these beneficial effects are due to their antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects by decreasing reactive oxygen species and by decreasing the generation of proinflammatory cytokines, like TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6, leading to the deactivation of the NF-κB signalling cascade and the activation of the PPARγ anti-inflammatory pathway.

The present study assessed whether polyphenols EGCG and Res, and PUFA, DHA and EPA, can modulate the expression of inflammatory genes and proinflammatory proteins and whether polyphenols and PUFA have enhancer anti-inflammatory effects at different levels on murine macrophages stimulated with LPS to induce inflammation. Furthermore, this study aimed to define the individual anti-inflammatory role of these bioactive compounds.

![Molecular structure of polyphenols](https://www.cambridge.org/core).
Experimental methods

Reagents and cells

The following chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich: EGCG (ref. E4143, ≥95%), Res (ref. R5010, >99%), DHA (ref. D2534, ≥98%), EPA (ref. E2011, ≥99%) and LPS from Escherichia coli 0111: B4 (ref. L4391, lot: 127K4037).

The murine macrophage cell line RAW 264.7 (ref. 91062702; European Tissue Culture Collection ECACC) was cultured at 37°C under 5% CO2 in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium containing 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, 2 mM-glutamine, penicillin (100 U (62.7 mg/mL)), streptomycin (100 μg/mL) and 25 mM-HEPES. The cells were between ten and sixteen passages when they were treated.

Experimental design

For all the experiments, RAW 264.7 cells were cultured in twelve-well plates. At 80–90% confluency, the medium was replaced with a colourless Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium with antibiotics and without fetal bovine serum, and was then treated depending on the experiment. Previously, the administration in cells, EPA and DHA were diluted in colourless Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium with bovine serum albumin NEFA with a ratio of 1:4 (PUFA:bovine serum albumin), and EGCG and Res in PBS. The final concentrations of compounds were the following in all the experiments: EGCG and Res (2.5 μg/mL), and EPA and DHA (30 μM).

For the NO production (NOP) experiment, a total of eight conditions were tested: C− (only vehicle, PBS + ethanol), C+ (LPS 100 ng/mL), EGCG, Res, DHA, EPA, EGCG + DHA, Res + DHA, EGCG + EPA, Res + EPA. Cells were stimulated with LPS (100 ng/mL) and co-incubated with polyphenols and PUFA and after 19 h of treatment, the medium was collected and stored at −20°C.

For the phosphoprotein immunoassay, the following conditions were used (three wells/condition): medium only with vehicle, LPS, Res + LPS, EPA + LPS, and Res + EPA + LPS. After 30 min of co-incubation, the medium was collected and stored at −80°C.

For the TaqMan® Low-density Array assay, the following conditions were used (three wells/condition): medium only with vehicle, LPS, Res + LPS, EPA + LPS, and Res + EPA + LPS. After 19 h of treatment, the medium was collected and stored at −80°C. The cells were counted with a Countess® Automated Cell Counter (ref. C10227; Invitrogen) with a final average of 1.2 × 106 live cells/mL, and a viability of 93%.

Testing the capacity of polyphenols and PUFA alone and in combination for suppressing nitric oxide production in RAW 264.7 cells

To analyse the putative effect of polyphenols and PUFA alone or in combination, NOP was determined by the Griess reagent, which reacts with the NO2− ion to produce a final stable product that is purple and can be quantified using colorimetric or spectrophotometric techniques at 540 nm (17). Nitrite production was normalised to protein content using 0.1 M-NaOH for the cell lysate and the Bradford method (ref. B6916; Sigma-Aldrich). The assays were performed in technical and biological triplicate. The results are represented as the percentage of NOP ∊ that produced by the cells stimulated with LPS (C+).

Immunoassay of phosphoproteins using Pathscan ELISA kit

To assess the levels of several phosphoproteins that are able to activate inflammation in macrophages, a multi-target ELISA kit was used. The PathScan® Inflammation Multi-Target Sandwich ELISA Kit (ref. 7276) was provided by Cell Signaling.

After treatment, the adherent monocytes were washed with ice-cold PBS, and then the 1X Cell Lysis Buffer (ref. 9803; Cell Signaling) + 1 m-M-phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride were added. The plate was incubated for 5 min on ice. The cells were scraped and transferred to a tube, and each lysate was sonicated for 10 s using a Vibra-Cell VCX 750 Sonicator (Sonic and Materials, Inc.). The lysates were centrifuged at 11 000 g for 10 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was stored in a new tube at −80°C until assay day. The protein content of the lysates was determined by the Bradford method (Sigma-Aldrich) to readjust all the samples to 5 mg/mL of protein. Phosphoproteins P-NF-κB p65 (Ser536), P-SAPK/JNK (Thr183/Tyr185), P-p38 (Thr180/Tyr182), P-IκB-α (Ser32) and P-STAT3 (Tyr705; phospho-Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3) as well as NF-κB p65 were semi-quantified using the PathScan® Inflammation Multi-Target Sandwich ELISA Kit. Briefly, the lysates were diluted with a sample diluent and were added to the appropriate well that contained its relevant antibody adhered at the bottom. The plate was incubated overnight at 4°C, and the wells were washed with washing buffer four times. Then, detection or primary antibody was added to the appropriate well, and the plate was incubated for 1 h at 37°C. After a second washing of four times, the horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-linked secondary antibody was added, and the plate was incubated for 30 min at 37°C. The 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate was added to each well, and the plate was incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Finally, the reaction was stopped and the samples were read at an absorbance of 450 nm in an ELISA spectrophotometer (Anthos Zenyth 200 st; Anthos Labtec Instruments). The assay was performed in technical duplicate. Each phosphoprotein absorbance was corrected by the negative control and was normalised by its relevant NF-κB p65 absorbance. The results were expressed as the percentage of phosphoprotein absorbance ∊ that produced by cells just stimulated with LPS.

TaqMan® Low-density Array gene expression analysis

To make screening of numerous genes implicated in inflammation, oxidative stress and other metabolic mechanisms, a TaqMan® Low-density Array was used. The RNeasy Mini Kit (ref. 74 106; Qiagen) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions to isolate RNA from pretreated RAW cells. After collecting the medium, ice-cold PBS was added to the adherent monocyte cells. Then, the PBS was removed, and lysis buffer was added to each well. After several centrifugations
and washings, the RNA was diluted with 50 μl of RNase-free water. RNA purity and quantity were measured with the Nodrop 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and RNA integrity was checked with the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (ref. G2938C) using the Agilent RNA 6000 Nano Kit (ref. 5067-1511; Agilent Technologies). Finally, the RNA was stored at −80°C. Next, complementary DNA was synthesised from 2 μg of total RNA using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (ref. 4368814; Applied Biosystems), diluted to 100 ng/μl of complementary DNA to load into each reservoir of the TaqMan® Low-density Array (array on demand; Applied Biosystems).

Each TaqMan® Low-density Array plate (96a format), which contains sets of ninety-six mouse gene probes and mouse primers (ninety genes + five controls), was analysed using a real-time PCR amplification system with the Taqman Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). These genes are related to different biological functions and were organised into twelve categories: (1) cytokines (30.2% of the total number of genes analysed) including members of the TNF family (5.2%), IL and IL receptors (15.6%), and chemokines and chemokine receptors (8.3%); (2) transcription factors and regulators (16.7%); (3) MAPK signalling cascade proteins (6.5%); (4) oxidative stress components (10.4%); (5) scavenger receptors and cholesterol efflux channels (8.3%); (6) adhesion molecules (2.1%); (7) apoptosis proteins (2.1%); (8) proinflammatory proteins (5.2%); (9) matrix degradation and regulatory proteins (2.1%); (10) proteins involved in metabolism (5.2%); (11) monocyte markers (5.2%); (12) binding proteins (1.0%). The 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR with 384-Well Block (SDS 2.3) (Applied Biosystems) were used to perform real-time PCR and subsequent analysis of the results.

Calculating the potentiating effects of the molecules in combination

To evaluate the enhancer effects of the compounds in combination, we considered the following:

Percentage of maximum inhibition of compounds alone in medium

\[ X: (\% \text{ NOP in positive control} - \% \text{ NOP of compound 'X' alone} + \text{SEM}) \]

\[ Y: (\% \text{ NOP in positive control} - \% \text{ NOP of compound 'Y' alone} + \text{SEM}) \]

Percentage of minimum inhibition of compounds together in medium

\[ (X + Y): (\% \text{ NOP in positive control} - \% \text{ NOP of compounds 'X' and 'Y' together} - \text{SEM}) \]

If \( X + Y \) is less than or equal to \( X + Y \), there is an enhanced anti-inflammatory effect. If \( X + Y \) is greater than \( X + Y \), there is an enhanced proinflammatory effect.

Both compounds had to have a significant NOP individually compared with the NOP when combined. If one of the compounds alone did not fulfill these criteria, we concluded that the compounds would not have an effect when combined. These criteria were also used to evaluate the effects on phosphoprotein and gene expression levels.

We also used one of the most cited, recommended and accepted models for defining drug interactions in order to assess if our enhancer effects could be considered synergistic, additive or antagonistic: The Bliss independence model (27–29). This model is also called effect multiplication or the fractional product, which has the form \( \frac{E_{(12)}}{E_{(1)} \times E_{(2)}} \), where \( E_{(12)} \) is the effect of the compounds in combination expressed as a fraction. If \( E_{(1)} \) and \( E_{(2)} \) are the effects for compound 1 and compound 2 expressed as fractions. Combination doses with effects less than that predicted are synergistic, with effects equal are additive and with effects greater are antagonistic. However, this consideration can only be claimed using the Loewe model (28,29), which refers to the combinatorial study of drugs performing isobolographic analysis; so that the interpretation of our results using the Bliss method must be qualified as a precursor of new studies that reassert these effects.

Statistical analysis

The results are presented as means with their standard errors. The data were analysed by one-way ANOVA to determine the statistical differences between groups using SPSS statistical software (version 17.0 for Windows; SPSS, Inc.). \( P<0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Results

Effects of polyphenols and PUFA suppressing nitric oxide production in stimulated RAW cells

LPS is a well-known trigger of the inflammation response in macrophages, and several biomarkers, like NO, can be tested to measure this response. RAW 264.7 macrophages were stimulated with LPS for 19 h, and NOP was determined. Macrophages in the positive control medium had a mean NOP of 37.7 μM. To examine the synergistic effects in suppressing the NO, polyphenols Res and EGCG were tested using 2.5 μg/ml doses and the PUFA, DHA and EPA, were tested using 30 μM doses. These doses and time were chosen on the basis of dose–response and time-course experiments in RAW 264.7 macrophages stimulated with LPS as a positive control to check the inhibition of NO at different concentrations of the compounds (21,25,30) (see supplementary Figs. S6 and S7 available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn). The molecules are non-toxic in RAW 264.7 macrophages at least below the following concentrations: Res 22.82 μg/ml, EGCG 4.58 μg/ml, EPA 100 μM, DHA 100 μM (23,31,32).

In these conditions, an enhancer effect was found when Res was combined with EPA (Fig. 2(B)). The treatment with EPA significantly inhibited NOP \( \tau \) the positive control (−45.0% of NOP, Fig. 2(B)), while Res did not inhibit it at the tested concentration (+8.5% of NOP, Fig. 2(B)). In spite of this, the combination of these compounds had a stronger inhibition than Res or EPA individually (−83.6% of NOP, Fig. 2(B)). Besides, using the Bliss model (\( \frac{E_{(12)}}{E_{(1)} \times E_{(2)}} \)), we found that \( E_{(12)} \) was 0.164, less than \( E_{(1)} \times E_{(2)} \) that was 0.597 (Res × EPA = 1.085 × 0.55), which signifies a synergistic
effect. In addition, another strong inhibitory effect was found when Res and DHA were combined (−33.7% of NOP; Fig. 2(B)), with their effect being significantly different compared to DHA (−20.6% of NOP; Fig. 2(B)) and Res (+8.5% of NOP; Fig. 2(B)) alone and classified as a synergistic effect using the Bliss model, with $E_{(12)} = 0.663$ being less than $E_{(1)} \times E_{(2)} = 0.861$ (Res $\times$ DHA = 1.085 $\times$ 0.794). In contrast, EGCG alone did not inhibit NOP significantly: positive control (−14.3% of NOP; Fig. 2(A)), and the combination with EPA had the same effect than EPA alone (−45% of NOP; Fig. 2(A)).

**Effect of resveratrol in combination with EPA in suppressing phosphoprotein levels in stimulated RAW macrophages**

To measure the levels of several proteins involved in inflammatory pathways, PathScan® Inflammation Multi-Target Sandwich ELISA was used. These proteins, which reside in the cytoplasm, are phosphorylated by other proteins in the phosphorylation cascade that is induced by an external inflammatory agent, like LPS, and lead to the activation of proteins that modulate gene transcription in the nucleus. The phosphorylated proteins, P-NF-kB p65, P-SAPK/JNK, P-p38, P-erk-α and P-STAT3, were tested. RAW 264.7 macrophages were stimulated with LPS (100ng/ml) for 30min to detect phosphoprotein levels in these conditions (33–37). At the same time, different concentrations of the following compounds were applied to different groups of cells: Res (2.5 μg/ml), EPA (30 μM) and Res + EPA (2.5 μg/ml, 30 μM). These assays revealed an enhancer effect on P-SAPK/JNK protein (Fig. 3(B)). The absorbance level of P-SAPK/JNK in Res-treated and EPA-treated cells was decreased significantly compared with the positive control (−13% of P-SAPK/JNK level, Fig. 3(B)). In addition, when the cells were treated with a combination of Res and EPA, P-SAPK/JNK level had a stronger decrease than Res or EPA separately (−30.8% of P-SAPK/JNK level, Fig. 3(B)). Although many treatments were statistically significant compared with the positive control, no more enhancer effects were found in P-NF-kB p65 (Fig. 3(A)), P-p38 (Fig. 3(C)), P-STAT3 (Fig. 3(D)) and P-erk-α levels (Fig. 3(E)).

**Effect of resveratrol in combination with EPA in modulating the expression of pro-inflammation target genes in stimulated RAW macrophages**

RAW 264.7 macrophages were stimulated with LPS (100 ng/ml) for 19 h to trigger the expression of several target genes involved in inflammation, oxidative stress and metabolism pathways. Cells were treated with LPS alone (positive control) or LPS and Res, EPA or Res and EPA to measure the inhibition of proinflammatory genes. The most important enhanced effects of Res and EPA are shown in Table 1, whereas the rest of the results are in Table S2 (available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn). We considered that the genes were up-regulated or down-regulated if they had significant differences $v$ the negative and/or positive control groups. We found that LPS treatment led to a greater than 100-fold increase in the expression of Il-1α, Il-1β, Il-6, Ccl7 and Csf2 and more than a 10-fold increase in the expression of Tnfsf1b, Il-10, Il-1rn, Il-12b, Ccl2, Ccl5, Timp1, Nos2, Ptgs2, Serpina1, Socs2 and Socs3 genes. Most of these genes have important proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory functions. Thus, we found LPS-mediated gene regulation that seems to follow an inflammatory profile (38–41). Moreover, we found different profiles of the regulation of anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and proinflammatory genes depending on the treatment administrated (Figs. 4 and 5), showing that the treatment of Res + EPA had a stronger anti-inflammatory profile than Res or EPA individually. Specifically, treatment with Res increased significantly the levels of Il-2 receptor, Il-2ra, the kinase inhibitor of NF-kB, Ikβ-β, Tnfsf18 and Socs3, and decreased significantly proinflammatory genes, such as Fas, Il-1b, Ccl2, Socs2, or the proto-oncogene Myc. In addition, other proinflammatory genes, such as Il-1a, Il-6, Csf2, Nos2, Ptgs2 and Mmp9, had a tendency to decrease their levels in comparison to the positive control. In contrast, treatment with EPA increased the proinflammatory Fas, Ccl3, Tnfsf18 and Msr1 genes, the anti-inflammatory Il-1rn, Nfkbia and

![Fig. 2. The effects of polyphenols (epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) and resveratrol (Res); 2.5 μg/ml and PUFA (DHA and EPA; 30 μM) on nitric oxide production in RAW 264.7 macrophages stimulated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS; 100ng/ml). The results are presented as the percentage of nitric oxide production and normalised to the positive control (100%). Values are means with their standard errors of biological and technical triplicates. a,b,c,d Mean values with unlike letters were significantly different and the symbol * signifies enhanced effect. For all of the comparisons, a one-way ANOVA with post hoc test was used, with $P<0.05$ as the threshold for statistical significance. The values of EPA and DHA represented in (A) and (B) are the same, but were included in both because they were necessary for the statistical analysis with the other groups.](https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms)
Socs3 genes, and the antioxidant Hmox1, Cat and Gclm genes. In addition, EPA treatment decreased the proinflammatory Tnf-α, Il-2ra and Il-12β genes. Treatment with Res and EPA increased the gene expression of Il-12β, Hmox1 and Cat, and decreased Il-1β, Il-6, Il-23a, Serpine1, Nos2, Il-2ra, Il-10 and Nfkbia in comparison to positive control. In addition, we found an enhancer effect in the inhibition of the expression of proinflammatory genes, including Tnf-α, Tnfrsf18, Csf2, Ccl2, Ccl5, Nfkβb P65, Ikk-β, Map3k8 and Mapk8, and, surprisingly, the anti-inflammatory Tnfrsf1b, Hdac2, Socs2 and Socs3 genes. We also found a potentiating effect in the inhibition of the expression of a stress-inducible chaperone protein, Hspa5.

**Discussion**

An interesting area in functional food science is discovering the enhancer effects or synergies between bioactive components to create foods that have improved health benefits. Such an investigation would require studies with *in vitro* and *in vivo* models. Our work is the first step in achieving these goals.

Macrophages, which play an important role in the inflammatory states of several tissues and various diseases, can help us to understand how polyphenols and PUFA modulate local inflammation at different biological levels. Thus, we stimulated murine RAW 264.7 macrophages with LPS to mimic this inflammatory state and study the synergistic effects of these natural compounds.

Important enhanced anti-inflammatory effects were found when Res at 2.5 μg/ml + EPA at 30 μm were in combination. It has been reported that a study of forty-eight subjects consuming fish once or twice a month had a detectable plasma DHA level of about 60 μg/ml and EPA of about 10 μg/ml, which is equivalent to 182 and 35 μm, respectively\(^{25}\). Therefore, our tested concentrations of PUFA are close to the bioavailability levels found in plasma of these studies *in vivo*. In contrast, several studies in human subjects and rats are in controversy regarding the bioavailability of Res in blood plasma, with some studies reporting that this is between 1 × 10\(^{-3}\) and 9 × 10\(^{-3}\) μg/ml\(^{42,43}\), while the others reporting that it is 1.2 μm (0.273 μg/ml)\(^{44}\), being the free-Res form that is very low in plasma in comparison with their metabolised forms (derivatized glucuronides and sulphates) generated in the intestine and the liver before being delivered to the systemic circulation\(^{45}\). However, pharmacokinetic studies in human subjects, following the oral administration of 2 g of Res twice daily, obtained a plasma concentration of 1274 (SEM 790) ng/ml of free-Res\(^{46}\), which is not very far from our tested dose of 2.5 μg/ml Res. Despite this, our tested concentration is far from being physiological and the concentration might be adjusted to ng/ml to confirm, in new future experiments, the enhancer effect of Res in combination with PUFA at physiological levels. Also, combinatorial studies may be performed with these molecules in order to assess if these enhanced effects can be considered as synergistic.

First, we tested whether polyphenols and PUFA can inhibit NOP, a clear marker of inflammation in macrophages.

**Fig. 3.** The effects of resveratrol (Res; 2.5 μg/ml) and EPA (30 μL) on the levels of (A) phospho-NFκB p65, (B) phospho-stress activated protein kinase/Jun N-terminal kinase (phospho-SAPK/JNK), (C) phospho-p38, (D) phospho-STAT3 and (E) phospho-IκB-α in RAW 264.7 stimulated with lipopolysaccharide (100 ng/ml). The results are presented as the percentage of relative levels of phosphoproteins and are normalised to the positive control (100 %). Values are means with their standard errors of technical duplicates. *a,b,c,d* Mean values with unlike letters were significantly different. *P* < 0.05 as the threshold for statistical significance.

\(* 0.05 as the post hoc test was used, with [P] < 0.05 as the threshold for statistical significance.*
### Table 1. The most important effects of resveratrol (Res; 2·5 μg/ml) and EPA (30 μM) on the expression of twenty-seven genes in RAW 264.7 macrophages stimulated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS; 100 ng/ml)*

*(Mean values and standard deviations)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene function</th>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>LPS</th>
<th>Res</th>
<th>EPA</th>
<th>Res + EPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF family</td>
<td>Tnf</td>
<td>Tumour necrosis factor alpha</td>
<td>1·62</td>
<td>0·09</td>
<td>1·60</td>
<td>0·01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tnfrsf18</td>
<td>Tumour necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 18</td>
<td>0·15</td>
<td>0·01</td>
<td>0·24†</td>
<td>0·02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tnfrsf1b</td>
<td>Tumour necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 1B</td>
<td>23·24</td>
<td>0·51</td>
<td>21·78</td>
<td>1·03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL and receptors</td>
<td>Il-1α</td>
<td>Interleukin 1 alpha</td>
<td>315·29</td>
<td>8·42</td>
<td>281·59</td>
<td>3·64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Il-1β</td>
<td>Interleukin 1 beta</td>
<td>280·73</td>
<td>1·58</td>
<td>191·80</td>
<td>2·16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Il-1rn</td>
<td>Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist</td>
<td>13·70</td>
<td>0·17</td>
<td>14·02</td>
<td>0·58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Il-6</td>
<td>Interleukin 6</td>
<td>275·75</td>
<td>26·35</td>
<td>223·42</td>
<td>31·21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemokines and receptors</td>
<td>Csf2</td>
<td>Granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor</td>
<td>104·43</td>
<td>0·08</td>
<td>89·04</td>
<td>6·02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ccl2</td>
<td>MCP-1, monocyte chemo-attractant protein 1</td>
<td>63·74</td>
<td>0·60</td>
<td>52·62†</td>
<td>2·32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ccl5</td>
<td>Chemokine (C–C motif) ligand 5</td>
<td>27·60</td>
<td>1·24</td>
<td>26·54</td>
<td>1·79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ccl7</td>
<td>MCP-3, chemokine (C–C motif) ligand 7</td>
<td>126·91</td>
<td>2·55</td>
<td>124·22</td>
<td>8·40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcription factors and related</td>
<td>Ikkb</td>
<td>IKK-beta, nuclear factor NF-kappa-B inhibitor kinase beta</td>
<td>0·42</td>
<td>0·02</td>
<td>0·67†</td>
<td>0·05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RelA</td>
<td>Nuclear factor NF-kappa-B p65 subunit</td>
<td>0·72§</td>
<td>0·04</td>
<td>0·78§</td>
<td>0·05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hdac2</td>
<td>Histone deacetylase 2</td>
<td>0·45</td>
<td>0·02</td>
<td>0·47</td>
<td>0·02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Socs2</td>
<td>Suppressor of cytokine signalling 2</td>
<td>13·48</td>
<td>0·77</td>
<td>7·49†</td>
<td>0·51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Socs3</td>
<td>Suppressor of cytokine signalling 3</td>
<td>24·52</td>
<td>0·67</td>
<td>29·59†</td>
<td>0·40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serpine1</td>
<td>PAI-1, plasminogen activator inhibitor 1</td>
<td>39·57</td>
<td>2·39</td>
<td>34·53</td>
<td>0·75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPK and related</td>
<td>Map3k8</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 8</td>
<td>1·10§</td>
<td>0·06</td>
<td>1·19</td>
<td>0·08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mapk8</td>
<td>JNK1, stress-activated protein kinase</td>
<td>0·66</td>
<td>0·04</td>
<td>0·60</td>
<td>0·04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidative stress</td>
<td>Hmox1</td>
<td>HO-1, heme oxygenase (decycling) 1</td>
<td>9·88</td>
<td>0·47</td>
<td>9·74</td>
<td>0·66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nos2</td>
<td>iNOS, nitric oxide synthase 2, inducible</td>
<td>17·69</td>
<td>0·69</td>
<td>14·57</td>
<td>1·78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gclm</td>
<td>Gamma-glutamylcysteine synthetase regulatory subunit</td>
<td>0·81§</td>
<td>0·04</td>
<td>0·86§</td>
<td>0·02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaevenger receptors</td>
<td>Mar1</td>
<td>Macrophage scavenger receptor 1</td>
<td>1·28§</td>
<td>0·00</td>
<td>1·10§</td>
<td>0·15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolism</td>
<td>Hspa5</td>
<td>BiP, immunoglobulin heavy chain-binding protein</td>
<td>3·85†</td>
<td>0·05</td>
<td>4·62†</td>
<td>0·00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cts5</td>
<td>Cathepsin S</td>
<td>0·38</td>
<td>0·06</td>
<td>0·40</td>
<td>0·06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MAPK**, mitogen-activated protein kinase.

*The results are presented as the relative levels of gene expression v. cells without treatment (C–). We used the well-known mathematical equation $\frac{\Delta \Delta CT}$ to calculate these relative levels v. C–. The results are presented as the means and standard deviations of technical duplicates. The statistical significance v. C+ is represented by †, v. all of the treatments by ‡, v. C+ and groups of compounds alone by §, and signifies enhanced effect. Finally, no symbol signifies statistical significance v. C–, while the symbol § signifies non-statistical significance v. C–. For all of the comparisons, a one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s test was used, with $P<0·05$ as the threshold for statistical significance.

**The results are presented as the relative levels of gene expression v. cells without treatment (C–). We used the well-known mathematical equation $\frac{\Delta \Delta CT}$ to calculate these relative levels v. C–. The results are presented as the means and standard deviations of technical duplicates. The statistical significance v. C+ is represented by †, v. all of the treatments by ‡, v. C+ and groups of compounds alone by §, and signifies enhanced effect. Finally, no symbol signifies statistical significance v. C–, while the symbol § signifies non-statistical significance v. C–. For all of the comparisons, a one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s test was used, with $P<0·05$ as the threshold for statistical significance.
We found that LPS stimulation at 100 ng/ml for 19 h can trigger NOP. This treatment is less aggressive than other treatments used previously; 1 µg/ml\(^{54-57}\) and 10 µg/ml\(^{25,40}\). Also, this concentration is useful for testing whether polyphenols can exert an inhibitory effect, as seen in previous studies of inflammation\(^{17}\). In fact, we found that DHA and EPA inhibited significantly NOP v. the positive control as in previous studies\(^{49}\). But, in controversy with a work performed in RAW macrophages\(^{50}\), we found that EPA had a stronger effect than DHA. It suggests that the effects of DHA and EPA depend on the doses and the time of administration. On the other hand, EGCG at 2.5 µg/ml (5-4 µM) had a tendency to inhibit NOP (−14.3%) but not significantly (Fig. 2(A)), similar to a work which found an inhibition of NOP of 19% in RAW macrophages activated with 5000 ng/ml of LPS with similar concentration of EGCG, 5 µM\(^{51}\). In contrast, Res had a similar effect to the positive control, suggesting that Res cannot inhibit NOP at 2.5 µg/ml (10.9 µM) (Fig. 2(B)). However, we found inhibition effects in NOP at doses 22, 44 and 66 µM (5, 10 and 15 µg/ml of Res in supplementary Fig. S6 (available online at https://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn), as in other studies that used similar Res concentrations\(^{21,31,52}\), suggesting that Res has intrinsic anti-inflammatory power in several conditions in RAW. When the compounds were combined, we found an enhancer effect with Res and EPA (Fig. 2(B)) and the Bliss model classified this combination as a synergy because the effect we found (0.164) was much lower than the predicted effect (0-597). These results suggest that Res and EPA could be investigated in future studies performing combination of doses between compounds and using the Loewe method to calculate synergistic effects. In this context, it suggests that while Res cannot inhibit NOP by itself, with the addition of EPA it can modulate some mechanisms that promote an even stronger inhibition of inflammation. An explanation for this result may be that EPA permits the action of Res or that Res affects the effect of EPA at cellular level, maybe because one of them could interact with the receptors of the other, increasing its effect over cells. It could also be that they use different signalling pathways leading to a final enhancer anti-inflammatory effect. In contrast, although the inhibitory effect of Res and DHA was greater than the effect of DHA and Res alone (Fig. 2(B)), using the Bliss model the effect we found (0-663) was not too far from the predicted effect (0-861), in comparison with Res and EPA results. So it suggests that the effect of this combination should be studied more to be claimed as a synergy and it must be considered as a potentiating effect. On the other hand, EGCG tended to decrease the NOP, but when combined with DHA and EPA, the inhibitory effect was not greater than the effect of the molecules alone, which suggests that EGCG cannot increase the anti-inflammatory effect of PUFA (Fig. 2(A)).

Our next aim was to determine whether Res and EPA in combination could modulate the levels of phosphoproteins implicated in inflammatory pathways, such as NF-κB and AP-1. We found an enhancer effect of these compounds on P-SAPK/JNK levels (Fig. 3(B)), having an inhibitor effect stronger than the molecules alone, as was seen in previous studies that reported the capacity of Res and EPA to interact with

![Fig. 4. The regulation (Δ) of proinflammatory genes in RAW 264.7 macrophages treated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (C+, 100 ng/ml) alone or LPS and resveratrol (Res; 2.5 µg/ml), EPA (30 µM) or Res + EPA (2.5 µg/ml; 30 µM). The results are presented as the percentage of genes that were up-regulated, down-regulated or not regulated with the statistical significance v. the control group in each title. For all of the comparisons, a one-way ANOVA with post hoc test was used, with P<0.05 as the threshold for statistical significance. These values were extracted from Effect of resveratrol in combination with EPA in modulating the expression of pro-inflammation target genes in stimulated RAW macrophages of this article (see Table 1 and Supplementary Table S2 (available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn) to find out which gene is up-, down- or non-regulated for each treatment). There were thirty-eight proinflammatory genes (Tnf, Tnfrsf18, Fas, Il-1α, Il-1β, Il-6, Il-12a, Il-12b, Il-1β, Ccl2, Ccl3, Ccl5, Ccl7, Ccl12, Serpinet1, Jun, Ikkβ, Myc, Nkb1, Nkb2, RelA, Chuk, Map3k8, Map2k1, Mapk14, Mapk3, Mapk8, Nos2, Age, Cd36, Msr1, Cd68, Acat1, Icam1, Pigs2, Mmp9, Cxcl10) detected in this study.](https://www.cambridge.org/core/content/image/372x488)
MAPK in macrophages. P-SAPK/JNK inhibition may lead to the activation of the transcription factor AP-1 and may decrease the expression of proinflammatory genes, such as Tnf-α and Il-1β. Thus, these molecules could attenuate the inflammatory state in cells. In addition, we found a decrease of the levels of phosphoproteins NF-κB p65, P-p38 and STAT3 when the molecules were combined (Fig. 3(A), (C) and (D)). Moreover, we found a strong decrease of P-NF-κB p65 levels when the cells were treated with Res alone (Fig. 3(A)), suggesting the high power of this molecule to affect the NF-κB signal pathway by itself as in other studies performed in RAW macrophages reporting the reduction of NF-κB activity by Res\(^{21,55}\). On the other hand, the treatment with EPA alone not only produced a slight decrease of P-SAPK/JNK and P-STAT3 levels (Fig. 3(B) and (D)), but also produced a strong decrease of P-p38 levels (Fig. 3(C)). These results suggest that EPA can modulate the phosphorylation of proteins related to proinflammatory pathways in RAW macrophages, as has been reported before\(^{25,54,56}\). However, the combination of Res and EPA had inhibitory effects on four of the five phosphoproteins assessed (P-NF-κB p65, P-SAPK/JNK, P-p38 and P-STAT3) in comparison to the C\(^+\), while EPA had it in three (P-SAPK/JNK, P-p38 and P-STAT3) and Res just in two (P-NF-κB p65 and P-SAPK/JNK). Thus, this result suggests that the combination has more anti-inflammatory power than either molecule alone because it can modulate more pathways than Res or EPA separately.

Our final aim was assessing whether the combination of Res and EPA had effects on the expression of genes related to inflammation and oxidative stress. As seen in the regulation profiles of proinflammatory, anti-inflammatory and antioxidant genes (Figs. 4 and 5), we found many genes that were activated in LPS-stimulated macrophages; specifically, around 50–60% of these genes were up-regulated by treatment. This result suggests that stimulation with LPS promotes an inflammatory state that has been seen in other studies\(^{38–41}\) by increasing the expression of proinflammatory target genes (Fig. 4). However, small numbers of anti-inflammatory and antioxidant genes are up-regulated as well (Fig. 5), possibly to counteract this induced proinflammatory state. Thus, the cell is in a struggle to return to fix damaged areas and return to normal. In this context, we found molecules that reverse inflammation by down-regulating proinflammatory genes and up-regulating anti-inflammatory and antioxidant genes (Figs. 4 and 5). Specifically (Table 1 and Table S2, available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn), EPA seems to have a greater capacity for up-regulating anti-inflammatory genes, such as Il-1ra, which is an antagonist of the receptor for the proinflammatory IL-1 and suggests an indirect decrease in IL-1 activity, 1κB-α (Nfκbia), which is involved in sequestering NF-κB in the cytoplasm, or Sox5, and antioxidant genes, such as Hmox1, Cat and Gclm, in charge of the destruction of reactive oxygen species, demonstrating the antioxidant ability of this molecule, as has been shown in previous works\(^{49}\). In contrast, Res has more significant effects in the down-regulation of proinflammatory genes, such as Il-1β, Ccl2 and Fas, and non-significant effects over genes, such as Il-1α, Il-6, Csf2, Serpine1, Nos2, Jun and C+ (LPS) vs C–
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**Fig. 5.** The regulation (●) of anti-inflammatory and antioxidant genes in RAW 264.7 macrophages treated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (C+, 100 ng/ml) alone or LPS and resveratrol (Res; 2.5 μg/ml), EPA (30 μM) or Res + EPA (2.5 μg/ml; 30 μM). The results are presented as the percentage of genes that were up-regulated, down-regulated or not regulated with the threshold for statistical significance. These values were extracted from Effect of resveratrol in combination with EPA in modulating the expression of pro-inflammation target genes in stimulated RAW macrophages of this article (see Table 1 and Supplementary Table S2 (available online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/bjn) to find out which gene is up-, down- or non-regulated for each treatment). There were twelve anti-inflammatory (Tnfrsf1b, Il-1r1, Il-10, Nfkbia, Nkbib, Pparg, Soc1, Soc2, Soc3, Hdac2, Sirt1, Timp1) and eight antioxidant (Hmox1, Cat, Gpx1, Nle202, Gclm, Sod1, Sod2, Nqo1) genes detected in this study.
Combining Res and EPA has potentiating anti-inflammatory effects in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophages by decreasing NO levels, decreasing the mRNA levels of proinflammatory and oxidative stress-related genes and affecting the phosphorylation of proteins implicated in the activation of NF-κB and AP-1 proinflammatory pathways. These results could lead to in vivo studies that may yield novel preventive or palliative nutritional treatments for obesity, atherosclerosis and CVD.
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