Molecular characterization of group A rotaviruses detected in children with gastroenteritis in Ireland in 2006–2009
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SUMMARY

Community and hospital-acquired cases of human rotavirus are responsible for millions of gastroenteritis cases in children worldwide, chiefly in developing countries, and vaccines are now available. During surveillance activity for human rotavirus infections in Ireland, between 2006 and 2009, a total of 420 rotavirus strains were collected and analysed. Upon either PCR genotyping and sequence analysis, a variety of VP7 (G1–G4 and G9) and VP4 (P[4], P[6], P[8] and P[9]) genotypes were detected. Strains G1P[8] were found to be predominant throughout the period 2006–2008, with slight fluctuations seen in the very limited samples available in 2008–2009. Upon either PCR genotyping and sequence analysis of selected strains, the G1, G3 and G9 viruses were found to contain E1 (Wa-like) NSP4 and I1 VP6 genotypes, while the analysed G2 strains possessed E2 NSP4 and I2 VP6 genotypes, a genetic make-up which is highly conserved in the major human rotavirus genogroups Wa- and Kun-like, respectively. Upon sequence analysis of the most common VP4 genotype, P[8], at least two distinct lineages were identified, both unrelated to P[8] Irish rotaviruses circulating in previous years, and more closely related to recent European humans rotaviruses. Moreover, sequence analysis of the VP7 of G1 rotaviruses revealed the onset of a G1 variant, previously unseen in the Irish population.
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INTRODUCTION

Group A rotaviruses are important human and animal enteric pathogens. Infection by group A rotavirus causes millions of childhood gastroenteritis cases worldwide and 600 000 child deaths annually, chiefly in developing countries [1]. Group A rotaviruses are classified antigenically and genetically, based on the main antigenic determinants, the outer capsid proteins VP7 and VP4, which specify the G and P serotypes/genotypes, respectively [2]. At least 25 G genotypes and 32 P genotypes have been documented to date [3, 4]. The major human G types are G1, G2, G3, G4, and G9 which, in combination with the P types P[8], P[4] and P[6], account for over 80% of rotavirus-associated gastroenteritis episodes worldwide [5, 6].
A novel classification system has been adopted recently for classification of the 11 segments of the rotavirus genome [7]. This new system extends the traditional genotype-based system which made use of rotavirus gene segments encoding VP7 and VP4 proteins to all 11 rotavirus genome segments, applying nucleotide cut-off values, to distinguish genotypes. VP6, the inner capsid layer, was previously classified into four antigenic subgroups using monoclonal antibodies or into two major genogroups, based on sequence analysis [8]. The NSP4 is a non-structural protein involved in viral replication and possessing enterotoxic activity [9]. Based on amino-acid sequence comparison, the NSP4 was formerly classified into six genotypes, A–F [8]. Under the new classification system the VP6 and NSP4 genes are classified into at least 15 I and 12 E genotypes, respectively [3, 7, 10].

Rotavirus infection is more common in infants and young children but it can also occur in adults, especially those that are in close contact with young children, adult travellers, and institutionalized or hospitalized elderly patients [11].

Two rotavirus vaccines are currently available, RotaTeq and Rotarix. RotaTeq (Merck & Co. Inc., USA) is a live, oral pentavalent human/bovine reassortant rotavirus vaccine [12–14], containing the more common human rotavirus (HRV) VP7 and VP4 antigens (G1, G2, G3, G4, and P[8]). Rotarix (GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals, Belgium), is a monovalent vaccine based on an attenuated human G1P[8] rotavirus strain [15, 16]. Both vaccines have been proven to be safe and effective in protecting children against rotavirus disease [15–17]. Although Rotarix and RotaTeq have been licensed in Ireland since 2006, their use has only been in the private market paediatric sector [18]. As the vaccine is not in widespread use it is difficult to elucidate the effect the vaccine will have on the rotavirus epidemiology in Ireland.

Group A rotaviruses are highly heterogeneous genetically. Rotavirus evolution is a dynamic process, driven by various mechanisms, including accumulation of point mutations, reassortment, recombination and inter-species transmission [19]. The introduction of human rotavirus vaccines has raised the question of whether the vaccines can alter the epidemiology of these viruses. The strong population vaccine-derived immunity could trigger/enhance evolutionary mechanisms already observed in other viruses [20] and select novel/unusual strains.

Epidemiological surveillance in the Republic of Ireland reported 2520 cases of acute gastroenteritis in 2007, of which 2326 (92%) were associated with rotavirus infection. Children aged 0–4 years appeared to be most affected \( (n = 2255/2326, 96.9\% \) of cases), followed by children aged 5–9 years \( (n = 45/2326, 1.9\% \) ) [21].

Rotavirus surveillance in the Republic of Ireland has been conducted almost uninterrupted since 1997 [22–24]. In this study, the distribution of human rotavirus G and P types in 2006–2009 was investigated. In addition, the genetic make up of selected strains was assessed in more detail by analysing the VP6 and NSP4 gene segments.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Specimen collection**

Rotavirus-positive faecal samples \( (n = 420) \) were collected regularly from October to September each year \( (2006–2007, n = 139; 2007–2008, n = 268; 2008–2009, n = 13) \) from children aged <5 years from hospitals in the Munster region of Ireland. The hospitals included in the study were Cork University Hospital (CUH), Bon Secours Hospital, Cork, Mercy University Hospital, Cork, and Waterford Regional Hospital.

**Initial identification of rotavirus**

Rotavirus identification was performed in the hospitals’ microbiology laboratories by use of immunochromatographic strips (Coris BioConcept, Belgium). To confirm the results obtained by the antigen detection method the genomic RNA was run and visualized on 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel, which by virtue of the presence of the 11 dsRNA confirmed the presence of rotavirus.

**Nucleic acid extraction and analysis**

Viral RNA was extracted from the supernatant of 10% stool samples by SDS and proteinase K (Sigma-Aldrich, Ireland) digestion and phenol chloroform extraction, followed by precipitation with 100% ethanol overnight. Nucleic acid was resuspended in 100 μl nuclease-free water and stored at \(-80\text{ °C}\), prior to use. The RNA extracts were examined by electrophoresis in 1.5% (w/v) agarose gels and 10% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) was performed according to the Laemmli system [25], with a substitution of Long Ranger Gel solution (Cambrix Biosciences, UK)
for acrylamide/bis. Electrophoresis was performed at 200 V for 5 h in 1×TBE (Tris-borate EDTA). Following electrophoresis, the RNA bands were stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light.

Reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (RT–PCR)

RT–PCR of VP7 and G genotyping

Amplification and G genotyping of the VP7 gene was performed using RT–PCR and a nested multiplex PCR with type-specific primers (see Supplementary Table S1, available online) [26, 27]. Briefly, prior to RT–PCR, 3 µl dsRNA was denatured in 3.5 µl DMSO at 95 °C for 5 min. The RT–PCR reactions were performed in 50 µl reaction volumes with the following reagents: 5 µl of 10× reaction buffer, 1.5 µl of 50 mM MgCl₂ (Euroclone, Life Sciences Division, Italy), 8 µl dNTP mix (consisting of 1.25 mM each dNTP), 0.5 µl of 40 U/µl ribonuclease inhibitor, 0.2 µl of 10 U/µl AMV-RT (Promega, USA), 0.25 µl of 5 U/µl Taq polymerase (Euroclone), and 2 µl of Beg 9 and End 9 primers (50 pmol) [26].

DNAse treatment with AMP-D1 DNAse 1 (Sigma-Aldrich Ireland Ltd) of samples was performed where necessary (i.e. samples which failed to generate a RT–PCR product on the first attempt were DNAse treated, to exclude any possible contamination of DNA from the RNA sample). All amplifications were performed in a Biometra T3000 thermocycler. RT–PCR protocol for the amplification of the VP7 gene consisted of 45 °C for 30 min, 70 °C for 4 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 57 °C for 30 s, 68 °C for 2 min with a final extension time of 68 °C for 5 min. G typing reactions consisted of 2 µl of a 1:200 diluted VP7 RT–PCR product as the template for the subsequent typing reaction which consisted of 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 25 cycles of 95 °C for 1 min 52 °C for 2 min, 72 °C for 2 min with a final extension step of 68 °C for 5 min.

Amplification of VP6 and NSP4 and NSP4 genotyping

The reverse-transcribed NSP4 PCR products were generated using 151/152 consensus primers [31], resulting in a 750-bp PCR product. A subsequent multiplex PCR assay was used to identify the three HRV major NSP4 genotypes E1–E3, previously known as Wa-like, KUN-like and AU1-like, respectively. This assay was performed under conditions previously described [31]. The amplicons were subjected to electrophoresis on agarose gels and stained with ethidium bromide. Amplification products of 647, 201 and 547 bp indicated the presence of genotypes E1, E2 and E3, respectively.

VP6 gene segments were amplified as previously described [32] using the primer pair VP6F/VP6R at a annealing temperature of 54 °C for 30 s, the resulting RT–PCR products were subjected to sequence analysis to determine the typing profile of VP6 gene segments of differing G and P types. Primers used in the amplification of VP6 and NSP4 products can be seen in Supplementary Table S2 (online).

Nucleotide sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

Subsets of all RT–PCR products from samples representing each type were selected for sequencing. The first-round PCR products were purified using a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen Ltd, UK), and sequenced commercially by Eurofins MWG Operon (MWG-biotech, Germany). Evaluation of all sequencing data was performed initially using DNAStar software (www.dnastar.com), and compared to those in the current GenBank database using BLAST analysis. Subsequent analysis was performed using Clustal W alignment (www.ebi.ac.uk/clustalw), and Mega4.0 (www.megasoftware.net) for phylogenetic tree construction. Accession numbers representing the different gene segments analysed and sequences submitted to Genbank are VP7
(G types): HM126593–HM126600, HM560972 (ranging in size from 724 bp to 921 bp); VP4 (P types): HM126602–HM126605 (561–798 bp), HQ667789–HQ667791 (560–561 bp); NSP4 (E types): HM137003–HM137011 (597–653 bp with one sample at 418 bp), and VP6 (I types): HM137012–HM137018 (255–322 bp).

RESULTS

PAGE

A total of 110 samples were examined using PAGE. The resulting electrophorotypes were visualized under UV light using the DNR Bio Imaging system. For all viruses, the banding pattern was in a 4, 2, 3, 2 formation, which is characteristic of group A rotavirus.

G and P typing

Of the 420 samples collected from the selected hospitals in the Munster region, 306 were G-typed and 244 were successfully P-typed. A total of 215 samples were successfully characterized in both the VP4 and VP7 genes (Table 1). To confirm the accuracy of the typing assays, the sequence of selected strains of differing G and P types were determined and analysed using BLAST (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). The most widely detected rotavirus strain types identified were G1P[8] (n = 153/215, 71.2%), G3P[8] (n = 26/215, 12.1%) and G2P[4] and G9P[8] with an equal number of infections (n = 9/215, 4.2%), mixed infections of G1 + G3P[8] accounted for n = 7/215 (3.3%) (Table 1). The majority of G2P[4] and G1 + G2P[4 + 8] infections identified over the 3 years were found in the first year (2006) of the study. While no G4 single infection was detected in the Irish population, mixed infections involving G1 + G3 + G4P[8] were identified in two samples over the 2006–2009 study period (Table 1). In total, eight VP7 sequences, representing G types 1, 2, 3, and 9 were used to create phylogenetic trees seen in Figures 1–3†.

Within G1 samples, Irish sequences were found to cluster within lineages I and II (Fig. 1). Within lineage I, Irish samples were previously found to cluster within sublineage Ic [22], this report identifies for the first time an Irish isolate (CIT-H57) that clusters within sublineage Ia.

The Irish G3 strains appeared to be less heterogeneous, as all G3 VP7 sequences were found to cluster within G3 lineage I. The Irish G9 sample CIT-H382 was found to cluster within lineage III (Fig. 1). Although previous strains identified from the same region of Ireland (R114, R115 and R386, isolated between 2003 and 2006) also cluster within lineage III, the recent Irish strains CIT-H190, CIT-H247 and CIT-H282 were found to cluster more closely with P[8] strains isolated from Italy and Russia. Another strain, CIT-H245, clustered within lineage IV (Fig. 4). This lineage had not previously been shown to be present in circulating strains within Ireland [22–24].

Analysis of P[4] strains was initially hampered slightly by mistyping of the degenerate genotyping primer P[8] to an area of complementarity in the 3′ end of P[4] isolates, yielding a product size similar to P[8] isolates (P[8] 245 bp instead of P[4] 378 bp). As G2 isolates are commonly found in combination with both single and mixed G types was P[8] (n = 200/215, 93.0%). Other P types found included P[4] (n = 9/215, 4.2%) P[6] (n = 1/215, 0.5%), P[8 + 9] (n = 1/215, 0.5%) P[4 + 8] (n = 4/215, 1.9%) (Table 1). Upon sequence analysis, three P[8] strains (CIT-H190, CIT-H247, CIT-H282) were shown to cluster within lineage III (Fig. 4). Although previous strains identified from the same region of Ireland (R114, R115 and R386, isolated between 2003 and 2006) also cluster within lineage III, the recent Irish strains CIT-H190, CIT-H247 and CIT-H282 were found to cluster more closely with P[8] strains isolated from Italy and Russia. Another strain, CIT-H245, clustered within lineage IV (Fig. 4). This lineage had not previously been shown to be present in circulating strains within Ireland [22–24].

Analysis of P[4] strains was initially hampered slightly by mistyping of the degenerate genotyping primer P[8] to an area of complementarity in the 3′ end of P[4] isolates, yielding a product size similar to P[8] isolates (P[8] 245 bp instead of P[4] 378 bp). As G2 isolates are commonly found in combination with P[4] and not P[8], all isolates were re-examined using sequencing analysis, a small representative proportion of which can be seen in Figure 4. Other P types identified in this study include P[6] and P[9], with no evidence of mistyping detected.

NSP4 and VP6 analysis

NSP4 analysis was performed on 103 samples, selected based on each G type detected. Of the 103 samples selected, 92 samples were typed as E1, and 11 samples were typed as E2. None of the samples were typed as E3. Of the 92 samples subjected to typing, 17 were selected for sequence analysis based on their NSP4 typing characteristics, from this, nine representative sequences were selected for inclusion in the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 5). The E- and G-type combinations identified were in agreement with previously reported

† In Figures 1–6 evolutionary relationships of VP7, VP6, VP4 and NSP4 sequences were inferred using the Neighbour-Joining method. The bootstrap consensus tree inferred from 100 replicates is taken to represent the evolutionary history of the taxa analysed. Branches corresponding to partitions reproduced in <70% bootstrap replicates are collapsed. Irish sequences are in bold. Figures 1, 2 and 3 represent the VP7 sequences analysed, with each tree outlining the different lineages of each sequence. Figure 4 represents VP4 P[8] sequences, and Figures 5 and 6 outline NSP4 and VP6 sequences, respectively. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted in Mega4.0 [55].
type combinations [31], in which G2 typing strains were found to have an E2 NSP4 profile, while G1, G3 and G9 strains displayed an E1 NSP4 profile.

Analysis of the VP6 gene of rotavirus was also performed on selected samples of differing G types. A total of 11 samples comprising of four G1, one G9, two G3 and four G2 genotypes were analysed as previously described [31]. The G1, G3 and G9 samples clustered into the VP6 I1 genotype, while the G2P[4] samples clustered within VP6 I2. The corresponding NSP4 typing profile identified samples with a I1 VP6 profile to have an E1 NSP4 profile and those with I2 VP6 to have an E2 NSP4 profile (Figs 5, 6).

**DISCUSSION**

Rotavirus is responsible for over 100 million cases of gastroenteritis worldwide, with over 600,000 deaths occurring annually [1, 33]. The predominant human G and P types circulating worldwide are G1–G4, G9 and P[8], P[4], P[6], with the majority of rotavirus cases reporting G1P[8] as the dominant strain. Over the past few years, new virus genotypes have emerged and become dominant types in certain parts of the world, e.g. G12 was first detected in the Philippines in 1988 [34]. Since then, its global coverage has increased and G12 has been detected in various parts of Asia,

![Table 1](https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms).

**Table 1. Distribution of G- and P-type combinations circulating in Ireland, from 2006–2009**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G type combinations</th>
<th>2006–2007 samples collected (n = 139)</th>
<th>2007–2008 samples collected (n = 268)</th>
<th>2008–2009 samples collected (n = 13)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1P[8]</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>66·7</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>76·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2P[4]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7·7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3P[6]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3P[8]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12·8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4P[8]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G9P[8]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2·6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1G2P[4 + 8]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3·8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1G3P[8]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1·3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1G3G4P[8]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1·3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1G9P[8]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2·6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1G9P[8 + 9]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1·3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1G3GP[9]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2G3P[4 + 8]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Individual G types detected out of 420 samples collected**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>48·2</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>51·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5·8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11·5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1·4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1 + 2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2·2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1 + 3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1·4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1 + 9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2·2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1 + 3 + 4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1 + 3 + 9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2 + 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2 + 9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G typing total</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>73·4</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>72·0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Individual P types detected out of 420 samples collected**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P[4]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4·3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P[6]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P[8]</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>56·1</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>53·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P[4 + 8]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2·2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P[8 + 9]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0·7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P typing total</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>63·3</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>54·5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
North and South America, as well as some parts of Europe [35–39]. It has become one of the most widely detected G types in India, along with G1 and G2 [27]. Similarly, G9 emerged as a dominant G type both in Ireland [23] and worldwide, [40–43] and is now considered to be the fifth most dominant strain detected in humans. In Ireland, G9 peaked in the 2001–2002 season (n=25/83, 30%) [23] and is still commonly detected in samples, albeit at much lower rates, with only 12 isolates (n=12/288, 3.6%) detected in 2003–2006 [24], and nine (n=9/215, 4.2%) in the current study (2006–2009).

The main genotypes identified in this study were G1P[8] (n=153/215, 71.2%) G3P[8] (n=26/215, 12.1%) G2P[4] and G9P[8], the latter were detected with an equal number of infections (n=9/215, 4.2%), followed by G1 + G3 mixed infection (n=7/215, 3.3%). The majority of G2 samples were detected in the first year of the study with (n=6), followed by a reduced detection rate of n=2 and n=1 in the second and third years of the study, respectively (Table 1). Moreover, the common genotype G4 was not detected as a single infection, but was found only in combination with G1 + G3P[8] in two mixed infection

Fig. 1. Phylogenetic analysis of G1 nucleotide sequences, constructed using Clustal W alignment program and Mega4.0 (lineages adapted from [56]).

Over the course of the study period, the primer pair Con2/Con3 [28] was found to lack sensitivity for the amplification of the VP4 gene product. In order to overcome this lack of sensitivity, a second primer set, VP4F/VP4R [29], was included in the amplification of the VP4 segment. The increased sensitivity of the VP4F/VP4R primer pair is thought to be due to a higher level of sensitivity to a wider diversity of rotavirus strains [29]. The originally designed Con2/Con3

Fig. 2. Phylogenetic analysis of G2 and G3 nucleotide sequences, constructed using Clustal W alignment program and Mega4.0 (lineages adapted from [57]).
The primer pair was derived from a limited number of cell culture-adapted strains available in public databases at the time of their design. The newer VP4F/VP4R primers were designed through analysis of the VP4 gene, for regions of consensus derived from over 200 different human P-typing strains of rotavirus in the last decade [29].

The majority of the samples for which the VP4 gene segment was successfully amplified and typed were P[8] (n=200/215, 93.0%), P[6] (n=1/215, 0.5%) and P[8]+9 (n=1/215, 0.5%) mixed infection; these samples were collected over a 3-year period (2006–2009). Single and mixed infection P[4] isolates (n=13/215, 6.0%) were initially typed as P[8], due to an area of complementarity in the 3' end of the P[8] degenerate primer to P[4] isolates, as G2 isolates are rarely seen in combination with P[8] genotypes, all P types with a G2 genotype were subjected to sequence analysis which confirmed the presence of P[4] isolates in Irish samples, all P[4] isolates were identified in lineage V (Fig. 4).

Sequence analysis of P[8] isolates revealed that 3/4 samples (CIT-H190, CIT-H247, CIT-H282) were shown to be within lineage III, with the remaining sample (CIT-H245) clustering within lineage IV (Fig. 4). Lineage IV strains also referred to as OP354-like strains or P[8] sublineage b were first identified in Malawi in 1999 [48]. Since then it has been detected in various countries around the world including India [49], Thailand [50], Vietnam [51], Bangladesh [52] and now Ireland, suggesting widespread distribution around the world. Although incidence rates of this lineage are low in number, its continued presence in the global rotavirus population, may have an interesting effect on the efficacy of the rotavirus vaccines which contain the more commonly found P[8] genotypes and not lineage IV [53].

The emergence of new lineages and sublineages within Irish rotavirus strains and the expansion of strain diversity within previously identified G1P[8] lineages suggests that rotaviruses are continuously expanding their geographic range, which may offer an explanation as to its global dominance. A similar shift in the emergence of lineages previously unseen in the Irish bovine population has also been reported [54].
NSP4 genotyping analysis was performed on 103 samples, representing every G type found in the study; all samples were found to be either E1, or E2, with no E3 strains being identified. The results of the NSP4 typing were in accordance with trends seen elsewhere [31], where strains with a G2P[4] genotype were found to have an E2 (NSP4), I2 (VP6) profile and strains with a G1P[8], G3P[8] and G9P[8] genotype demonstrated an E1,I1 profile. Interestingly, BLAST analysis performed on the partial VP6 region of CIT-H60 and CIT-H64 indicated a possible reassortment event between bovine sequences which can be seen in Figure 6, suggesting the need for further surveillance and genomic analysis to determine the possibility and the extent of the reassortment between human and bovine rotavirus.

This study reports on rotavirus G and P types circulating in Ireland between 2006 and 2009.
indicated that G1P[8], G3P[8], G2P[4], G9P[8], G1+G3P[8], G1+G2P[4+8] and G1+G3+G4P[8] type combinations were present in Irish strains. The findings also highlighted the changes occurring in the circulating strains. G4 genotypes had previously been identified in the Irish population but were found to only be present as part of mixed infections in this study, although widely detected in other European countries [47].

Partial typing of rotavirus strains was also observed, in which the G genotype was identified without the corresponding P genotype or vice versa, in some cases failure to amplify either gene (VP7 and VP4) was noted. The failure to amplify a RT–PCR product and subsequent typing of samples may be due to a number of issues relating to low viral load, possible presence of frame-shift mutations in the primer binding site, or the presence of a co-infecting virus.

Fig. 5. Phylogenetic analysis of NSP4 nucleotide sequences, constructed using Clustal W alignment program and Mega4.0 (tree adapted from [7, 31]).
such as bocavirus which was identified in some unamplifiable samples (data not shown).

This study offers a clearer picture into the diversity of Irish rotavirus strains by not only highlighting the circulating strains, but by identifying the lineages from which these strains originate. Problems encountered in the last year of the study (2008–2009) resulted in lower sample numbers being available for analysis, these numbers are not a reflection of vaccine-related efficacy or sampling bias merely technical issues in which restructuring in hospital practices meant samples were no longer available.

This is the first Irish study to report on NSP4 and VP6 gene segment-type combinations, the results of which mirror previously identified findings [31].

**NOTE**

Supplementary material accompanies this paper on the Journal’s website (http://journals.cambridge.org/hyg).

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Funding for this research was provided through the Food Institutional Research Measure (grant no. 05/R&D/CIT/365, awarded to H.O’S.), administered by the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries & Food, Ireland. The authors thank Cork University Hospital, Mercy University Hospital, Bon Secours Hospital, Cork and Waterford Regional Hospital for their participation in this study.
DECLARATION OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

11. Parez N. Rotavirus gastroenteritis: Why to back up the development of new vaccines? Comparative Immunology, Microbiology & Infectious Diseases 2008; 31: 253–269.


