AIMS AND METHOD
The association in the media of mental illness with violence is well established. This study looks at whether there are differences in the portrayal of schizophrenia in the local newspapers of Nottingham, an area with a high crime rate and Dorset, an area with a low crime rate.

RESULTS
We analysed 98 newspaper articles, 55 from Nottingham and 43 from Dorset, and found no statistically significant difference in the portrayal of schizophrenia. Overall, 38.1% of articles were negative in tone, 56.7% were neutral and 7.2% were positive. In 6 of the 98 articles (6.1%) a person with schizophrenia or their carer was interviewed. These articles were either positive or neutral in tone. Schizophrenia has entered the language as a metaphor. Some articles were either positive or neutral in tone. Schizophrenia has entered the language as a metaphor.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS
Local levels of crime do not appear to influence the portrayal of schizophrenia in local newspapers. Associations with dangerousness continue to predominate and the lay public continues to receive a distorted image of people living with schizophrenia. More interviews with patients and carers might help to address this imbalance. It is important to explore what the word schizophrenia means to patients and carers.

Method
We searched for all articles containing the terms schizophrenia, or schizophrenic or schizo in the Nottingham Evening Post and ‘This is Dorset’ (UK Newspquest Regional Press – This is Dorset; a database which combines all articles from the Daily Echo and the Dorset Echo) using the Lexis-Nexis Professional UK newspaper database between 1 January 2003 and 25 May 2006. Lexis-Nexis does not support searches within individual Dorset newspapers.

All articles were classified according to the context in which the term appeared. The categories are similar to those used by Duckworth et al (2003) in a similar study of American national newspapers. Contextual categories included:

- educational/pharmaceutical articles focusing on causes, symptoms, treatments or medication
- incidental reference, where the disease or patient is not the main focus of the article but is mentioned (e.g.
'Peter’s friend also suffers from schizophrenia’ or ‘the film touches on topics such as schizophrenia’

- human interest, where the illness or a person with schizophrenia is the main focus of the article
- metaphorical, where the term is used as a metaphor (e.g. the weather will be schizophrenic)
- medically inappropriate (e.g. schizophrenia is a form of depression).

Articles were also classified according to their tone. Day & Page (1986) in a study of Canadian newspapers used ‘tone’ to describe the general attitude of a newspaper article towards mental illness or psychiatric services. Since then many researchers in this field have included this as an outcome measure. We classified articles according to Wahl et al. (2002) as:

- positive, showing people with mental illness as possessing strengths, abilities, potential for recovery and for meaningful contribution to society
- negative, showing people with mental illness as weak, violent, incompetent, helpless, or unattractive.

Articles which were neither positive nor negative in tone were classified as neutral. Articles were also classified based on narrative into ‘reported’ or ‘first-person’ where patients or carers were directly quoted.

Using the statistical package R (http://mirrors.sunsite.dk.cran) for sample size calculation, based on an expected effect size of 0.4, and arbitrarily setting significance levels at 0.05 and power at 0.8 respectively, it was calculated that 42 articles were needed for each group.

SPSS version 14 for Windows was used for statistical analysis. Chi-square tests were performed to look for differences in the context and tone in which schizophrenia was reported between the Dorset and Nottinghamshire newspapers.

### Results

There were 98 articles identified: 55 from the Nottingham Evening Post and 43 from ‘This Is Dorset’. There was no significant difference in the context in which schizophrenia appeared in the Dorset and Nottinghamshire newspapers (Table 1). There was no significant difference in the tone of the newspapers (Table 2). Overall, 36.1% of articles were negative in tone, 56.7% were neutral and 7.2% were positive. There were 6 articles out of 98 where a person with schizophrenia or their carer was quoted directly. These articles were all positive or neutral in tone. The majority of articles of human interest were negative in tone (Fig. 1).

### Discussion

With the preoccupation of the UK media with associations between mental illness and dangerousness (Barnes & Earnshaw, 1993; Philo et al., 1994; Ward, 1997), and the tendency to vilify those with mental illness (Nunnally, 1961), it seemed plausible that in an area such as Nottingham with a high crime rate, the depictions of mental illness in the local paper might be worse than in a local paper from an area such as Dorset with a lower crime rate. This research does not support such a relationship.

The absence of any increased prevalence of negative attitudes towards people with schizophrenia in areas of high crime suggests that efforts of the Royal College of Psychiatrists and agencies such as Mind, together with the media, have been successful in promoting better coverage of mental health.
The complete absence of the use of the derogatory term ‘schizo’ in the local newspapers studied is indicative of good journalism. However, the predominance of negative stories about schizophrenia and people with schizophrenia indicates that the most common way in which the general public encounter these individuals is usually in associations with violence. Out of the 35 negative articles, 28 (80%) were related to crime and violence. The newspapers’ responsibility to cover stories about violent crime is one social variable which might explain this. There is a scarcity of stories about people with schizophrenia managing their illness in the community, responding to treatments, or leading fulfilling lives. However, an example of such a story appeared in the Dorset Echo (23 July 2004) describing a man diagnosed with schizophrenia who hiked to Mount Everest’s base camp to raise funds for the mental health charity Rethink.

Interestingly, the six articles where a person with schizophrenia or their carer was given a voice were neutral or positive. This suggests that presenting first-person narratives from people with schizophrenia and their carers might reduce the imbalance in their portrayal.

The use of schizophrenia as a metaphor was interesting. Its use is not as extensive as in the American media, where 28% of the use is metaphorical (Duckworth et al, 2003). An example of metaphorical use is illustrated by this description of Bournemouth which appeared in ‘This is Dorset’:

“This popular seaside town is strangely schizophrenic: traditional guesthouse walks on the front and variety shows on the one hand, beery stag nights and full-on clubbing on the other’ (28 March 2003).

Although it is impossible to separate a word from its many meanings, it is important to understand what the word ‘schizophrenia’ might mean to the lay public because of its use as a metaphor for changeability and instability.

The overall percentage of articles that are negative is 36.1%. This is lower than figures reported in previous UK studies (Barnes & Earnshaw, 1993; Philo et al, 1994; Ward, 1997) and is in keeping with more recent Belgian (Pieters et al, 2003) and American studies (Corrigan et al, 2005), which report rates of 38% and 39% of negative articles respectively.

However, as the methodologies and the search terms used in all of these studies are different, this study of local newspapers can only suggest a possible improvement in the coverage of mental illness rather than report definite progress. Another limitation of this study is the possibility of a type 2 error in our rejection of the null hypothesis owing to a small sample size.

We are currently looking at the portrayal of schizophrenia in UK national newspapers and the use of schizophrenia as a metaphor in particular.

Finally, despite the figures published by the Conservative think tank, Reform, which show that Nottingham is the most dangerous place to live (Ford, 2006), the authors of this paper enjoy living and working here.
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