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ABSTRACT: Functional assessment refers to the evaluation of performance in basic activities of daily living, instrumental activities of daily living, professional duties, and hobbies. This assessment is particularly relevant in the evaluation of cognitive impairment. In fact, functional decline represents a core feature of dementia according to the DSM-IV criteria. Clinically, functional deterioration represents a diagnostic marker, can be used to chart the course of the disease, and as a prognostic marker as it contributes significantly to caregiver burden and institutionalization. For all these reasons, functional assessment has been widely used as an outcome measure in intervention trials of Alzheimer disease (AD). Appropriate function assessment scales have been developed for use in clinical trials of AD. Studies have shown that functional decline benefits from pharmacological interventions in AD and some other cognitive syndromes. This benefit translates into a stabilization ranging between 6 to 12 months compared to a gradual deterioration in the placebo group. There is rarely reversibility for IADL’s lost. There are no functional scales specifically designed for assessment of subjects with non-AD cognitive impairment. Scales specifically developed for Mild Cognitive Impairment and other dementias are needed.

RÉSUMÉ: Le rôle de l’évaluation fonctionnelle comme critère d’évaluation des résultats du traitement de la démence. L’évaluation fonctionnelle désigne l’évaluation de la performance dans les activités de base de la vie quotidienne, les activités instrumentales de la vie quotidienne (AIVQ), les activités professionnelles et les passe-temps. Cette évaluation est particulièrement pertinente dans l’évaluation de l’atteinte cognitive. En fait, le déclin fonctionnel est un élément de base de la démence selon les critères du DSM-IV. Au point de vue clinique, la détérioration fonctionnelle est un marqueur diagnostique et elle peut être utilisée pour suivre l’évolution de la maladie ainsi que comme marqueur du pronostic parce qu’elle contribue significativement au fardeau des soignants et au placement en institution. Pour toutes ces raisons, l’évaluation fonctionnelle a été largement utilisée comme critère d’évaluation dans les essais thérapeutiques sur la maladie d’Alzheimer (MA). Des échelles d’évaluation fonctionnelle appropriées ont été développées pour les essais cliniques sur la MA. Des études ont montré que des interventions pharmacologiques dans la MA et certains autres syndromes cognitifs avaient un effet favorable sur le déclin fonctionnel. Ce bénéfice se traduit par une stabilisation qui dure entre six et douze mois alors que dans le groupe témoin sous placebo on observe une détérioration graduée. Les pertes des AIVQ sont rarement réversibles. Il n’existe pas d’échelle fonctionnelle élaborée spécifiquement pour l’évaluation de sujets dont l’atteinte cognitive n’est pas due à la MA. Il est important de développer des échelles d’évaluation spécifiques pour évaluer l’atteinte cognitive légère et les autres démenances.


Functional assessment refers to the evaluation of performance in basic activities of daily living (ADL’s) (bathing, dressing, toileting, transferring, continence and feeding), instrumental activities of daily living (IADL’s)(ability to use telephone, shopping, meal preparation, housekeeping, transportation, managing medications and finances), professional duties, and hobbies. This assessment is particularly relevant in the evaluation of cognitive impairment. In fact, functional decline represents a core feature of dementia according to the diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, 4th edition. (DSM-IV) criteria.¹ In Alzheimer disease (AD), it begins insidiously and deteriorates gradually. It usually progresses hierarchically, starting with demanding occupational tasks, through IADL’s, and finally affects basic ADL’s.²,³ In patients with AD, functional decline may lead to safety hazards (risk of fire, malnutrition, etc.) and negatively affects their quality of life. Clinically, functional deterioration represents a diagnostic marker, and can be used to chart the course of the disease (rate of decline, and reaching of significant clinical milestones).⁴,⁵ It contributes significantly to caregiver burden and institutionalization and can hence be used as a prognostic marker. For all these reasons, functional assessment has been widely used as an outcome measure in intervention trials of AD.⁶
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Table 1: Functional Assessment Scales Specifically Designed for Alzheimer’s Disease (except for the PSMS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Used in clinical trials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer Disease Functional Assessment and Change Scale (ADFACS)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability Assessment for Dementia (DAD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer Disease Cooperative Study-Activities of Daily Living (ADCS-ADL)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Performance Measure (FPM)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ (35;36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Psychogeriatric Basic ADL scale</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependency Scale</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitchen Task Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structured Assessment of Independent Living Skills (SAILS)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Interview for Deterioration in Daily Living Activities (IDDD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive Deterioration Scale (PDS)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ (42;43;54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Assessment of Functional Status</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Assessment Staging Tool (FAST)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities of Daily Living Situation Test (SAILS)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Instrumental Activities of Daily Living and Physical Self-Maintenance Scale (PSMS+)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ (50;51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blessed Activities of Daily Living</td>
<td></td>
<td>+ (52)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

METHODS

This article is a background paper for the “Canadian Guidelines for the Development of Antidementia Therapies”.7 For the purposes of this review, the Medline database was searched from January 1966 to December 2004 using the following key words: function, dementia, Alzheimer disease, vascular dementia, mixed dementia, fronto-temporal Lobar degeneration, dementia with Lewy bodies, mild cognitive impairment, cholinesterase inhibitors, donepezil, rivastigmine, galantamine, memantine. The bibliographies of original and review articles and book chapters were also reviewed for relevant references.

Determinants of Functional Abilities

Functional decline results from a complex interaction of factors including: cognitive decline (particularly in executive function which includes volition, initiation, planning, organizing, sequencing, judgment and set shifting), behavior, motor skills, perceptual and sensory abilities, medical comorbidities, and social variables such as the support network.8 The relationship between cognitive impairment and functional autonomy remains controversial and loss of functional autonomy does not parallel the loss of cognitive function9,10 due to several reasons. A number of measures of cognitive function inadequately evaluate executive dysfunction which is a major determinant of functional performance. These measures often suffer from the floor effect and consequently do not reflect functional deterioration in the later stages of the disease.11 Several other factors may interfere in determining functional impairment. Finally, functional decline may directly result from cognition-independent basic neurological changes12,13 and pathological findings14,15 observed in AD.

Assessment of Functional Abilities in Alzheimer’s Disease

The ideal assessment tool of functional abilities in AD requires appropriate psychometric properties. It should be based on a conceptual approach to the disease which takes into account its natural history and the hierarchical loss of functional capacities. It should avoid the “ceiling” and “floor” effects and gender bias, describe the nature and degree of impairment rather than impose a dichotomous judgment on the rater (ie ordinal vs nominal), and be relevant to the population being assessed (community vs institution).

Several tools have been specifically designed for functional assessment in AD and have been integrated as outcome measures in clinical trials with cholinesterase inhibitors and memantine (Table 1). The most widely used scales are the Alzheimer Disease Functional Assessment and Change Scale (ADFACS)4 the Disability Assessment for Dementia (DAD),16 the Alzheimer Disease Cooperative Study-Activities of Daily Living (ADCS-ADL),17 and the Progressive Deterioration Scale (PDS).18 The ADFACS is based on a caregiver questionnaire and comprises six ADL items scored from 0 (no impairment) to 4 (very severe impairment) and ten IADL items (including leisure activity) scored from 0 (no impairment) to 3 (severe impairment) for a total score ranging between 0 and 54. This scale has been shown to be sensitive to change in clinical trials of AD4 and vascular dementia.19,20 However, the sensitivity of this tool has been recently questioned by the United States Food and Drug Administration which does not consider it an appropriate outcome measure in pharmacological clinical trials.21

The DAD consists of a 40-item caregiver interview evaluating ADL’s (17 items), IADL’s and leisure activity (23 items). Total score represents a percentage and lower scores reflect greater impairment. It is the only functional scale to
specifically evaluate the different stages involved in accomplishing a task: initiation, planning/organizing, executing. It has been used with success in clinical trials of AD,22–25 and vascular and mixed dementia.26

The ADCS-ADL is a caregiver interview measuring 23 ADL’s and IADL’s (including leisure activity) for a total score ranging from 0 to 78 (worst to best). This scale has been shown to be sensitive to change in a clinical trial of mild to moderate AD.27 Modified versions have been used with success in two clinical trials in moderate to severe AD28,29 and in Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI).30

The Progressive Deterioration Scale is based on a caregiver questionnaire and rates 29 ADL’s and IADL’s (including leisure activity) on a scale ranging from 0 to 100 (worst to best). It has been used with success in two clinical trials of mild to moderate AD.31,32

Table 2 summarizes the basic properties of these scales.

**Functional Abilities in Clinical Trials of Alzheimer Disease**

Most pharmacological studies in AD have used function as a clinical outcome measure. In mild to moderate AD, a pivotal clinical trial with donepezil showed stabilization of the Modified Interview for Deterioration in Daily Living (IDDD-complex task scores) at 24 weeks compared to placebo,33 which reached statistical significance for the 10 mg/day group. In a 12-month clinical trial, Winblad et al.,34 showed less deterioration in ADL’s as measured by the PDS for donepezil in comparison to the placebo group. Similar results were observed in a 12-month study using a survival design which demonstrated that donepezil, the addition of memantine in a 24-week trial lead to significantly less functional decline compared to placebo as measured by a modified version of the ADCS-ADL (ADCS-ADLsev) and the Functional Assessment Staging Tool (FAST) scale.28 In individuals already receiving stable doses of donepezil, the addition of memantine in a 24-week trial lead to significant slowing in functional decline as measured by the ADCS-ADLsev in comparison with the addition of placebo.29

Hence, pharmacological interventions at different stages of AD have shown functional benefits favoring drug over placebo. This benefit can be translated into a stabilization or a slowing of functional decline ranging between 6 to 12 months.

**Functional Abilities in Other Cognitive Syndromes**

Functional assessment is a major diagnostic consideration in non-AD cognitive syndromes. However, there are no functional

---

**Table 2: Functional Assessment Scales Used in Recent Clinical Trials of Alzheimer’s Disease**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>ADL</th>
<th>IADL</th>
<th>Ordinal vs Nominal</th>
<th>Interview vs Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADFACS (56)</td>
<td>0-54 (best-worst)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ordinal</td>
<td>Interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(including leisure activity)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAD (16)</td>
<td>0%-100% (worst-best)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Nominal</td>
<td>Interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(including leisure activity)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“Hierarchical”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(initiation planning/organization execution)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADCS-ADL (17)</td>
<td>0-78 (worst-best)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ordinal</td>
<td>Interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDS (18)</td>
<td>0-100 (worst-best)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Ordinal</td>
<td>Interview</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADL = Activities of Daily Living; IADL = Instrumental Activities of Daily Living; ADFACS = Alzheimer Disease Functional Assessment and Change Scale; DAD = Disability Assessment for Dementia; ADCS-ADL = Alzheimer Disease Cooperative Study-Activities of Daily Living; PDS = Progressive Deterioration Scale.
scales specifically designed for these syndromes. Functional scales developed for AD have been used with some success in clinical trials.

Mild Cognitive Impairment is characterized by subjective complaints, objective cognitive impairment, and general preservation of functional abilities. This relative sparing of function represents the fundamental distinction from dementia. However, the threshold for determination of functional decline remains controversial. There are several ongoing clinical intervention trials in MCI. In the recently completed ADCS clinical trial, a modified version of the ADCS-ADL (ADCS MCI-ADL) was used to improve sensitivity to the difficulties in IADL’s that may occur in MCI. This scale significantly distinguished MCI subjects from normal controls at baseline.

Function was used as an outcome measure in clinical trials of Vascular Cognitive Impairment which includes “mixed dementia” (AD with significant vascular contribution) and vascular dementia. In a 6-month clinical trial in vascular and mixed dementia, galantamine lead to a significant stabilization of function as measured by the DAD in comparison with the placebo group. In two similarly-designed 6-month clinical trials in vascular dementia, donepezil showed uncertain results. It should be noted that patients with vascular dementia tend to remain stable both cognitively and functionally during well-recognized “plateau” periods between deteriorations. Hence, to show statistically significant benefit in favour of a drug, clinical trials need to demonstrate absolute benefit on functional scales in the active treatment arm in comparison with a stable placebo group.

In Dementia with Lewy Bodies (DLB), the only published randomized clinical trial did not assess function as an outcome measure. In Parkinson’s Disease Dementia (PDD), a recently completed randomized clinical trial with rivastigmine used the ADCS-ADL as an outcome measure and showed significant benefit over placebo. Finally, the sole published randomized clinical trial in Fronto-temporal Lobar Degeneration (FTD) did not evaluate functional abilities.

Scales that are specifically designed and validated for non-AD cognitive syndromes are needed. Scales to be eventually developed will need to be sensitive to mild change (MCI) and to take into account the motor (Mixed dementia, vascular dementia, DLB, PDD), and behavioural (DLB, PDD, FTD) limitations associated with these conditions.

CONCLUSIONS

Functional impairment is an important marker of diagnosis, progression, and prognosis of dementia. Hence, it is a valid outcome measure to be used in intervention studies. Appropriate function assessment scales have been developed for use in clinical trials of AD. Functional decline benefits from pharmacological interventions in AD and some other cognitive syndromes. Scales specifically developed for Mild Cognitive Impairment and other dementias are needed.

DECLARATION

The author has received grant/research support from the following companies: Janssen-Ortho, Lundbeck, Novartis, and Pfizer, and has received honoraria for CME events from the following companies: Janssen-Ortho, Lundbeck, Novartis, and Pfizer.

REFERENCES


