ABSTRACT: Introduction: Despite the growing recognition for analgesic needs in post-craniotomy patients, this remains a poorly studied area in neurological surgery. The class and regimen of analgesia that is most suitable for these patients remains controversial. The objective of this study is to examine the current beliefs and practices of Canadian neurosurgeons when managing post-craniotomy pain. Methods: A survey was sent to all practicing Canadian neurosurgeons to examine the following aspects of analgesia in craniotomy patients: type of analgesics used, common side effects encountered, satisfaction with current regimen and the rationale for their practice. Results: Of 156 potential respondents, 103 neurosurgeons (66%) completed the survey. Codeine (59%) was the most prescribed first-line analgesic followed by morphine (38%). The use of a second-line opioid was significantly higher among codeine prescribers compared to morphine, 53% compared to 28% (p < 0.001). Nausea, constipation and neurologic depression were reported as common side effects by 76%, 66% and 27% of respondents respectively. Of the respondents, 90% reported a high level of satisfaction with their current choice of analgesia; nonetheless, they predominantly described their practice as personal preference or protocol driven rather than evidence-based. Conclusions: Codeine – a weak opioid – is the most common first-line analgesic prescribed to craniotomy patients. This practice is associated with substantially increased reliance on potent opioids for rescue analgesia. Whether novel regimens can provide optimal pain control while minimizing neurologic and gastrointestinal side effects remains to be addressed by future trials.

RÉSUMÉ: Enquête auprès des neurochirurgiens canadiens sur le traitement de la douleur chez les patients qui ont subi une craniotomie. Contexte : Même si la nécessité d’administrer des analgésiques chez les patients qui ont subi une craniotomie est maintenant mieux établie, c’est un sujet qui a été peu étudié. La classe et le régime posologique d’analgésiques qui convient le mieux à ces patients demeurent controversés, ‘objectif de cette étude était d’examiner les convictions et les pratiques actuelles des neurochirurgiens canadiens concernant le soulagement de la douleur après une craniotomie. Méthode : Une enquête a été faite auprès de tous les neurochirurgiens canadiens actuellement en pratique pour examiner les aspects suivants concernant l’analgésie chez les patients qui ont subi une craniotomie : le type d’analgésiques utilisés, les effets secondaires fréquemment observés, le degré de satisfaction concernant les régimes posologiques actuels et la justification de leurs habitudes de prescription. Résultats : Des 156 répondants potentiels, 103 neurochirurgiens (66%) ont complété l’enquête. L’analgésique de première ligne le plus fréquemment prescrit était la codéine (59%), suivi de la morphine (38%). L’utilisation d’un opioïde de deuxième ligne était significativement plus élevée parmi les prescripteurs de codéine que parmi les prescripteurs de morphine, soit 53% par rapport à 28% (p < 0.001). Les effets secondaires les plus courants étaient les nausées, la constipation et la dépression neurologique, rapportés par 76%, 66% et 27% des répondants respectivement. De plus, 90% d’entre eux ont rapporté un haut degré de satisfaction concernant leur choix actuel d’analgésique. Cependant, ils ont émis leur choix comme étant motivé par une préférence personnelle ou un protocole plutôt que fondé sur des preuves. Conclusions : La codéine, un opioïde faible, est l’analgésique de première ligne le plus fréquemment prescrit chez les patients qui ont subi une craniotomie. Cette pratique est associée à un recours à des opioïdes puissants comme analgésique de rattrapage. Il faudra effectuer des essais cliniques pour évaluer si de nouveaux régimes de traitement pourraient optimiser le contrôle de la douleur tout en minimisant les effets secondaires neurologiques et gastro-intestinaux.
are the cornerstone analgesics to adequately manage this pain.\textsuperscript{10} Codeine is viewed as a weak opioid and is less suited to control moderate to severe pain as a single agent. Furthermore, it is ineffective in up to 15\% of the population due to deficiencies in the enzymes needed to convert codeine into its active metabolites.\textsuperscript{11} Despite the previous popularity of codeine in neurosurgical units, several studies favoured using potent opioids as a safe and more effective alternative.\textsuperscript{12-14} Recently, small trials have explored the use of patient-controlled fentanyl analgesia as well as tramadol with promising initial results.\textsuperscript{15, 16} Nonetheless, potent opioids have potential disadvantages: oversedation can interfere with the critical neurologic monitoring, and suppressed ventilation can lead to hypercapnia that may increase cerebral blood flow and exacerbate brain edema.\textsuperscript{17-19}

Acetaminophen is commonly used as an ancillary analgesic to lower opioid requirements; however, it remains insufficient as a single agent to control post-operative pain. Novel non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitors are emerging as attractive adjuncts to traditional analgesics.\textsuperscript{20-22} A small study by Rahimi et al suggested that combining opioids and COX-2 inhibitors can achieve adequate pain control while reducing the undesirable effects of opioids in post-craniotomy patients.\textsuperscript{20} Nonetheless, the anti-platelet effects of NSAIDs as well as the potential risk for cardiovascular events are still significant drawbacks to many neurosurgeons.\textsuperscript{22, 23} Adequate randomized trials to contrast different analgesia regimens are unavailable in the neurosurgical population. There is limited evidence to support a specific class or regimen in providing optimal pain control while minimizing side effects and risks. Understanding the perceptions and attitudes towards analgesia is an important step to inform and guide future trials. Therefore, the objective of this study is to examine the current beliefs and practices of Canadian neurosurgeons when managing post-craniotomy pain.

METHODS AND SURVEY DESIGN

Currently practicing neurosurgeons in Canada were identified using listings from the following resources: Canadian Clinical Neurosciences Society, College of Physicians and Surgeons for each province and academic neurosurgery departments. Retired neurosurgeons or residents in-training were excluded. Surveys were mailed, emailed or faxed to a total of 157 identified candidates in their primary language of correspondence (English or French). Non-respondents were sent reminders. The survey was composed of five focused questions examining the following aspects of analgesia in elective post-craniotomy patients: analgesics used, common side effects encountered, satisfaction with current regimen and rationale for the practice. Both choice-list and open-ended responses were used in the survey to allow for flexibility in the reporting. Participants also had the opportunity to provide comments and suggestions regarding analgesia in their patient population. The survey was pre-tested and edited to resolve potential sources of confusion and ensure clear comprehension of the examined items. Figure 1 provides a summary of the administered survey.

Figure 1: Summary of the administered survey. Both choice-list and open-end responses are used to allow for flexibility in the reporting.

Figure 2: First-line analgesics reported by neurosurgeons.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The statistical analysis and testing was performed using SPSS 15 statistical software. Descriptive statistics were presented as percentages of total respondents. Ordinal data was collapsed into abridged ordinal or dichotomous variables to allow statistical testing. Spearman’s correlation coefficient, Pearson’s chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test were used as suitable.
RESULTS

The survey achieved an overall participation rate of 66% from practicing Canadian neurosurgeons. The province of Quebec had a markedly lower response rate, 20%, compared to the rest of Canada, 85%. Figure 2 presents the reported first-line analgesics. The most prescribed first-line was codeine followed by morphine, 59% and 38% of respondents respectively. Codeine and morphine were most frequently administered as 30-60 mg oral/intramuscular q 3-4 hr, and 2-4 mg intravenous q 2-3 hr, respectively. The use of second-line analgesics was reported by 52% of neurosurgeons. Figure 3 presents the spectrum of second-line choices. Morphine was by far the most prescribed second-line (44%); it was predominantly used in conjunction with codeine as the first-line agent. The use of a potent second-line opioid was significantly higher among first-line codeine prescribers compared to morphine, 53% compared to 28% (p < 0.001).

Figure 4 presents the common side effects encountered. Gastrointestinal complaints were the most dominant with nausea and constipation reported by 76% and 66% of respondents, respectively. Symptoms of neurologic depression including confusion, drowsiness or decreased level of consciousness were recognized as common side effects by 27% of respondents. When asked about satisfaction, the majority of neurosurgeons displayed a high level of satisfaction with their current choice of analgesia regimen. Figure 5 details the reported satisfaction scores: 90% were very satisfied or satisfied (scores 5 and 4) with the current pain control; 10% were slightly satisfied or unsatisfied (scores 3, 2 and 1). The neurosurgeons overwhelmingly reported that their choice of analgesia was based on personal preferences or established postoperative protocols. Only 5% thought that their choice was supported by clinical evidence. Several factors were examined for possible correlation with the frequency of side effects or the reported level of satisfaction: there was no statistically significant difference with regard to the choice of opioid (codeine vs. morphine), maximum prescribed dose/day (high versus low) or use of second-line analgesia (used versus not used).
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The results indicate that codeine – a weak opioid – continues to be the dominant choice, accounting for the majority of the prescribed first-line analgesics. The use of a potent second-line opioid was substantially higher in first-line codeine prescribers compared to those who chose morphine as the initial agent. This may reflect an inadequate pain control with codeine as the primary analgesic. These findings share some similarity with previous reports from British neurosurgical units suggesting a widespread analgesia under-prescription.11,12,14 Roberts et al reported that 80% of British neurosurgeons utilized codeine phosphate as a first-line analgesic, compared to only 8% using morphine.24 Codeine is commonly viewed as an inactive agent that requires bioconversion into active metabolites – predominantly morphine. Cytochrome P-450 2D6 (CYP2D6) plays a critical role in codeine metabolism.11,25,26 There is wide variability amongst races and individuals in the activity of CYP2D6 due to high genetic polymorphism and functional allele duplication. This can explain why “poor metabolizers” show no analgesic response to codeine, whereas at the other extreme end, “ultra-rapid metabolizers” exhibit signs of codeine toxicity even with presumably normal dosages. Several novel opioids are becoming accepted alternatives in post-operative pain management today. Tramadol, a synthetic opioid, has demonstrated good efficacy and safety in surgical patients, and a recent small trial showed promising results in post-craniotomy patients.16 Hydromorphone and oxycodone are other potent semi-synthetic opioids in current use. Although hydromorphone has been well studied in the management of acute pain, some of its metabolites are associated with neuroexcitatory states which is concerning in neurosurgical patients.27,28 Hydromorphone has demonstrated reliable efficacy and a favourable side effect profile in several trials; furthermore, its high bioavailability compared to morphine makes it advantageous when transitioning from parenteral to oral administration.29,31 These novel agents remain largely underutilized in neurosurgical units with only a limited second-line role reported in this survey.

The careful assessment of potential side effects and their impact on craniotomy patients is critical when choosing an analgesia regimen. Opioid effects on the neurologic status are particularly important in this sensitive population. Signs of neurologic depression such as confusion, drowsiness or decreased level of consciousness were recognized as “common” side effects by a remarkable 27% of respondents. These symptoms can interfere with the neurologic monitoring or even misleadingly suggest an intracranial event. Gastrointestinal side effects were frequently reported, and many respondents indicated using anti-emetics or laxatives routinely to minimize these symptoms. Nausea and vomiting can be particularly problematic as this can elevate intracranial pressure or increase the risk for aspiration if the laryngeal reflexes are blunted. The traditional perception in the past was that sedation, respiratory suppression and gastrointestinal side effects were less with codeine compared to its counterpart morphine.17 Nonetheless, the available randomized trials are inconsistent, and some studies suggests that the presumed “lower” side effect profile is lost when dosing is adjusted to account for the significant variation in codeine metabolism.11,12,14

Non-opioids such as NSAIDs, COX-2 inhibitors and acetaminophen are important classes in the analgesia armamentarium. In this survey, neurosurgeons reported using non-opioids mostly as second-line or adjunct analgesics. The use of NSAIDs was limited to a small second-line role, 8% comprised of ibuprofen and ketorolac, whereas COX-2 inhibitors were not utilized. Although these classes can avoid neurologic depression, they raise concerns due to the potential risk for intracranial bleeding or cardiovascular events.22,23,32 The limited use of these agents may reflect hesitation to accept such risks along with unchallenged satisfaction with the current opioid analgesia. Acetaminophen was predominantly used in conjunction with codeine, often as Tylenol with codeine preparations. Its limited role as a single agent is in keeping with a mild analgesic effect.

The reported level of satisfaction with the analgesia regimen was rather high and unrelated to the choice of opioid or the dose prescribed. Surgeon impressions may, however, differ from what is found in patient-based clinical studies. Indeed, the high level of neurosurgeon satisfaction exhibited here contrasts with reports showing a lower patient satisfaction, with significant experience of moderate to severe pain following craniotomy.3,14 Despite the growing recognition for analgesic needs in craniotomy patients, this remains a poorly studied area of neurological surgery. In this survey, neurosurgeons overwhelmingly agreed that their current practices are not guided by clinical evidence, but rather reflect personal preferences or established protocols.

This survey examined important aspects of the attitudes and practices adopted by Canadian neurosurgeons when managing pain in elective craniotomy patients. The items focused on the type of analgesics used, side effects encountered and satisfaction with the treatment. This approach achieved a high response rate with the exception of Quebec. The latter is likely due to our restricted ability to access updated contact information for this province. It is important to recognize some of the limitations encountered with survey designs. Analgesia regimens are often prescribed with a range of dose, frequency and threshold for the treatment. This approach achieved a high response rate with the exception of Quebec. The latter is likely due to our restricted ability to access updated contact information for this province. It is important to recognize some of the limitations encountered with survey designs. Analgesia regimens are often prescribed with a range of dose, frequency and threshold for clinical administration. Accordingly, this survey cannot be used to determine the exact analgesics administered or to isolate their effects, but rather to evaluate the general practices adopted by neurosurgeons. The results obtained from clinical studies may differ from what neurosurgeons have reported. This is particularly important when considering pain control or side effects and their impact on patients since physician-based perception can be unreliable. On the other hand, the reported analgesia regimens are unlikely to differ significantly from the actual clinical practice since these were mostly driven by established preferences or protocols.

In conclusion, codeine remains the most widely used first-line analgesic in Canadian neurosurgical units despite its both weak and variable analgesic properties. This choice is strongly associated with increased reliance on potent rescue analgesia. The current practice is likely driven by multiple factors including: fear of opioid sedation, under recognition of post-craniotomy pain, and established analgesia preferences or protocols. We hope that these findings will encourage neurosurgeons to re-examine their analgesia practices, and pursue collaboration on definitive trials that challenge the
current codeine predominance against potentially more effective and favourable analgesia regimens. A specific concern is the risk of opioid sedation that may interfere with the post-operative neurologic assessment leading to unnecessary computed tomogram scans, prolonged stay in high-observation units and delayed rehabilitation. Such outcomes need to be assessed in any opioid trial to adequately address the traditional concern of excessive sedation. Whether NSAIDs, COX-2 inhibitors, novel opioids or mixed regimens can provide optimal pain control while minimizing neurologic and gastrointestinal side effects remains to be addressed by future trials.
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