
Challenges for the Pro-Life Movement in a Post-Roe Era – ERRATUM

Cathleen Kaveny

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/jme.2023.116>, first published online by Cambridge University Press, 13 December 2023.

In the original publication of this article¹, the incorrect abstract was included. The article has been updated to include the correct abstract, which is as follows:

This article considers challenges facing the pro-life movement after *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization* (2022). It identifies four questions the movement must face: (1) whether to adopt a combative or conciliatory rhetorical stance; (2) how to prioritize new legislative goals; (3) how to define the limits of acceptable compromise; and (4) how to respond to Americans with ambivalent attitudes toward abortion. The article argues that each of these issues could precipitate serious division in the pro-life movement that will impact likelihood of future success.

The editorial team apologizes for the error.

Reference

1. C. Kaveny, "Challenges for the Pro-Life Movement in a Post-Roe Era," *Journal of Law, Medicine, and Ethics* 51, no. 3 (2023): 618–625.