Ice-core evidence of the thickness and character of clear-facies basal ice: Glacier de Tsanfleuron, Switzerland
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ABSTRACT. Five ice cores have been retrieved from a transect close to the terminus of Glacier de Tsanfleuron, Switzerland. The cores extend from the ice surface to the glacier bed, and are 3.5–44.8 m long. Stratigraphic logging based on bubble size and density reveals the presence of a highly metamorphosed basal ice layer, about 10 m thick, from which all traces of bubble-rich ice have been removed. This bubble-poor ice, which corresponds closely with clear-facies ice observed in cavities beneath numerous temperate-based glaciers, contrasts with the overlying bubble-rich or bubble-foliated englacial ice and the underlying debris-rich and bubble-free dispersed-facies basal ice.

Down-core patterns in major-ion composition, stable-isotope composition and total gas content and composition are generally consistent with formation of clear-facies ice by deformation-related metamorphism of bubbly, englacial ice. In addition, isotopic data suggest that storage of downward-percolating meltwaters occurs close to the upper surface of the clear-facies ice layer, perhaps reflecting a local variation in ice permeability across the transition from englacial to clear-facies ice. Enrichment in crustally derived ionic species is noted in the lowermost decimetres of the debris-free, clear-facies ice that immediately overlies debris-rich dispersed-facies basal ice. This ionic enrichment in debris-free ice is interpreted in terms of active inter-granular meltwater flow within some decimetres of the glacier bed.

INTRODUCTION

Investigations in cavities beneath predominantly warm-based Alpine glaciers reveal the widespread presence of a massive ice layer that contrasts strongly with underlying, debris-rich basal ice and overlying, bubble-foliated ice, herein referred to as englacial ice (e.g. Vivian and Bocquet, 1973; Vallon and others, 1976; Theakstone, 1979; Hubbard and Sharp, 1995; Jansson and others, 1996). This clear-facies ice is reported to be decimetres to metres thick, and typically contains debris and solute concentrations that are intermediate between those of debris-rich and solute-rich basal ice facies and debris-poor and solute-poor englacial ice. Theoretical and laboratory-based studies have associated the generation of similar, bubble-poor ice layers with strain-induced pressure melting within initially bubble-rich ice. For example, Breton (1979) simulated temperate ice flow over a wavy bed in the laboratory through the use of a rotating chamber. One consequence of such flow was the formation of a bubble-free ice layer at locations of enhanced stress at the base of the rotating ice sample. Significantly, this clear-ice layer was not considered to have been formed by refreezing. Barnes and Tabor (1986) observed the formation of a visually similar bubble-free layer following the indentation of temperate ice with hard tools, the layer which formed to a thickness of ~10 mm at an ice temperature of −0.2°C, was interpreted by these authors in terms of internal stress-induced melting and incomplete refreezing. Robin (1976) argued that such a process may be common beneath temperate glaciers as they slide over rough, rigid substrates, a prediction later supported by modelled temperature and stress fields at the bases of such glaciers (Lliboutry, 1993). According to Lliboutry (1993), local melting occurs within a basal ice layer some tens of mm thick and the resulting meltwaters flow, through the inter-granular vein network, to areas of lower hydraulic potential, either within the basal ice or at the bed.

Such a process of ice metamorphism may have the capacity to transfer water-borne materials, including dissolved elements, ions, gas and perhaps fine debris, from the lowermost zone of the glacier to the bed. Further, since the rheology of ice depends on its precise physical composition (e.g. Hooke and others, 1988), the presence of physically distinctive, metamorphosed ice close to the glacier bed may have implications for glacier motion. Indeed, many of the physical characteristics that may distinguish clear-facies ice from englacial ice are believed to influence ice rheology. These include ice crystal size and orientation (Hambrey and Milnes, 1975; Baker, 1978; Russell-Head and Budd, 1979), ice ionic chemistry (Nakamura and Jones, 1973) and the amount and composition of water included within the ice (Duval, 1977).

The formation and presence of clear-facies ice in a glacier is therefore of potential significance to net patterns of ice deformation and subglacial weathering (through the delivery of gas, particularly CO2, to the basal interface during clear-facies ice formation). Further, if the origin of clear-
facies ice can be determined, then physical conditions in the relatively inaccessible interior of an ice mass may be inferred from down-glacier observations of the facies. Despite this potential, however, we still know relatively little about the extent, thickness and detailed physical characteristics of clear-facies ice. In order to address these issues, we have recovered five ice cores from the ablation area of Glacier de Tsanfleuron, Switzerland. Herein, we report on the stratigraphy of these cores, using a visually based classification scheme, and on the physical characteristics of the ice types thereby identified.

FIELD SITE AND METHODS

Fieldwork was conducted on Glacier de Tsanfleuron, a ~4 km² plateau glacier located between ~2420 and ~2850 m a.s.l., that flows over Cretaceous and Tertiary limestones (Fig. 1). The glacier has been extensively studied, with the principal focus on the relationship between its basal ice layers (Tison and Lorrain, 1987; Hubbard and Sharp, 1995) and carbonates that have been precipitated on proglacial bedrock surfaces exposed by the retreat of the glacier since the Little Ice Age (Hallet and others, 1978; Lemmens and others, 1983; Souchez and Lemmens, 1985; Sharp and others, 1990; Hubbard and Hubbard, 1998). Hubbard and Sharp (1995) studied the basal ice of the glacier at marginal exposures and in subglacial cavities. Two distinctive basal facies were identified: clean and dispersed. The former occurs as a massive layer that is “void of internal layering and contains dispersed debris ‘smears’ (mean debris concentration = 4.1 g L⁻¹) and occasional clouds of deformed or flattened bubbles that can give the ice a translucent appearance” (Hubbard and Sharp, 1995, p. 303). The facies was observed to reach a thickness of several metres, and was either in direct contact with the glacier bed or overlay a layer of debris-rich, dispersed-facies basal ice. Analysis of a small number of samples recovered from basal cavities indicated that the clear-facies ice was isotopically heavier than the overlying englacial ice. Together, these characteristics were considered by the authors to be consistent with an origin for clear-facies ice involving strain-induced metamorphism of initially bubble-rich englacial ice close to the glacier bed.

The dispersed-facies ice, which contrasts with that of Lawson’s (1979) classification (based on work at Matanuska Glacier, Alaska), “is generally bubble-free and contains coarse-grained debris (mean size = 0.6 mm) that is dispersed throughout the ice in crude layers defined by variations in concentration (mean = 47 g L⁻¹). This debris is frequently polymodal, consisting of silt- through gravel-sized clasts” (Hubbard and Sharp, 1995, p. 306). The facies was isotopically heavier than samples of “unaltered” englacial ice and of snow from the glacier surface. These characteristics led Hubbard and Sharp (1995) to argue that dispersed-facies basal ice formed by open-system refreezing of basal waters, requiring a spatially extensive meltwater supply and heat sink. These requirements were consistent with initial formation by freezing within basal cavities, where Tison and Lorrain (1987) had earlier observed the tectonic incorporation of floor-ice layers into the glacier sole. Dispersed-facies ice was therefore interpreted by Hubbard and Sharp (1995) as being formed by the tectonic incorporation and redistribution of floor ice in basal cavities which are common close to the margins of the glacier.

Drilling and ice-core treatment

Ice cores were recovered using an adapted RAND corer, powered by a portable generator (Tison and others, 1997). Individual core segments, which were typically 75 mm in diameter and 0.25–0.4 m long, were logged, bagged and stored on site in a freezer maintained at ~30 °C. The core remained in these freezers during transport from the Alps and during storage until the ice was analyzed in a cold laboratory at ~18 °C. Once in the cold laboratory, ice was logged and sampled using a stainless-steel band-saw. Ice was handled at all times with non-contaminating plastic gloves.

Ice classification

Ice cores were visually logged in transmitted light and classified at a length resolution of 10 mm in two stages. First, bubble density and size were recorded on scales of 0–3 (bubble-free to bubble-rich) and 1–3 (small bubbles to large bubbles), respectively (Table 1). For example, ice containing a high density of small bubbles was logged as 3/L and bubble-free ice as 0. Secondly, ice was classified into a variety of types defined on the basis of a progression of forms designed to reveal the generation and presence of clear-facies ice in the cores (Table 2). Thus, type 1 ice is characterised by a medium or high density of small bubbles or the fine-scale intercalation of such ice with thin (<60 mm) layers or lenses of any other ice type. Such foliation is typical of englacial ice formed by fingering, where relatively bubble-poor, blue ice layers alternate at a scale of cm with relatively bubble-rich, white ice layers (e.g. Hambrey, 1975; Hambrey and Milnes, 1977). The origins of these and other bubble-poor ice types are investigated further below. Ice types 2–5 are characterised by progressively lower densities of larger bubbles, while ice type 6 is bubble-free and ice type 7 is both bubble-free and debris-rich. All 90.41 m of core recovered fell into one of these seven ice-type categories.

Table 1. Bubble-density and -size classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bubble density</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bubble-free</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bubble size (diameter in mm)</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small (&lt;1)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (1–5)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large (&gt;5)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Ice-type classification adopted in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ice type</th>
<th>Bubble-density score</th>
<th>Bubble-size score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>Medium or high density of small bubbles or the intercalation of such ice with thin (&lt;60 mm) layers of any other ice type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>Medium or high density of medium bubbles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>≤2</td>
<td>≤2</td>
<td>Low density of medium bubbles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>Medium/high density of large bubbles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>Low density of large bubbles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>=0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Bubble-free and debris-poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Bubble-free and debris-rich</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Bubble-density and -size scores are described in Table 1 and in the text.
Ice characteristics

Major-ion concentration
Analysis of ionic concentrations was conducted on samples recovered from ∼40 mm lengths of (half-diameter) core. Core samples were melted at room temperature in sealed, pre-washed polyethylene tubes and immediately vacuum-drawn through 0.45 µm cellulose nitrate filters prior to bottling, excluding air bubbles, in pre-washed polyethylene bottles. Bottles were kept in dark, refrigerated conditions until analyzed for Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, and SO₄²⁻ concentrations by ion chromatography (Dionex DX-100). Analytical precision is typically ±5% at concentrations of >50 µeq L⁻¹, ±30% at concentrations of <10 µeq L⁻¹ and on the order of ±100% at concentrations of ∼1 µeq L⁻¹ (Tranter and others, 1997). One standard or blank sample was analyzed for every five ice-core samples in order to check instrument stability, which remained within these limits throughout. HCO₃⁻ concentrations were determined by charge balance under the assumption that no unconstrained major-ion species were present in the ice. Charge-balance calculations by Fairchild and others (1994) on the ionic composition of ice and of water recovered from the same glacier indicated errors of <3% using this technique. In contrast to their study, however, we measured neither H⁺ nor Sr²⁺ on our ice-core samples. According to Fairchild and others (1994), the mean pH of ice-sample groups from the glacier is 7.1–8.7. The corresponding concentration of H⁺ is <0.1 µeq L⁻¹ even at the top end of this range. Similarly, Fairchild and others (1994) measured Sr²⁺ concentrations at the glacier which varied from 0.3 µeq L⁻¹ (debris-free ice) to 5.6 µeq L⁻¹ (debris-rich basal ice). These values contrast with total cationic concentrations measured at the glacier of 50–830 µeq L⁻¹. Thus, concentrations of H⁺ and Sr²⁺ are minor relative to the total cationic composition of ice at Glacier de Tsanfleuron, suggesting that our charge-balance calculations of HCO₃⁻ are within ±10%.

Stable-isotope composition
Isotopic analysis for oxygen and hydrogen was conducted at the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Isotope Geosciences Laboratory, Keyworth, U.K., to an accuracy of ±0.1‰ in δ¹⁸O and ±0.5‰ in δD.

Gas content and composition
Total gas content was determined by means of extraction by

Toeppler pump, following melting and refreezing, as described by Raynaud and Lebel (1979). Total gas contents of <0.005 mL g⁻¹ fell below the detection limit of the technique. Gas composition was determined by chromatography following dry extraction in a cold room at −18°C. Measurement precision is ±2% for total gas content, ±2.5% for CO₂ concentration and ±4% for O₂ and N₂ concentrations (Souchez and others, 1993).

RESULTS

Ice cores and ice types
Five ice cores, each extending from the ice surface to the underlying bedrock, were recovered along an approximate flowline extending away from the terminus of the glacier (Fig. 1). Details of core length and stratigraphy are given in Table 3 and Figure 2. The most notable feature of the logs presented in Figure 2 is the presence of a relatively constant thickness of ice types 4–7 at the base of each of the cores. This layer is exposed at the glacier surface in cores 1 and 2 and underlies ice types 1–3 in the longer cores 3–5. On the basis of this division, we classify each core into an upper zone (UZ), a lower zone (LZ) and a basal zone (BZ). The boundary between the UZ and the LZ is defined as the lowest location of type 1 ice in each core, and the boundary between the LZ and the BZ is defined as the highest location of debris-rich basal ice (type 7) in each core. Our definition of the LZ, therefore, is that it is debris-poor and devoid of all remnants of bubbly white ice (or ice characterised by a high density of small bubbles). In the field, its blue colour contrasts strongly with the overlying, white UZ ice. In reality, the LZ is mainly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core No.</th>
<th>Core length</th>
<th>UZ depth</th>
<th>LZ depth</th>
<th>LZ thickness</th>
<th>BZ thickness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0–3.38</td>
<td>&gt;3.47</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>0–9.22</td>
<td>&gt;9.70</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>0–5.18</td>
<td>5.18–12.54</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>19.40</td>
<td>0–9.38</td>
<td>9.38–18.45</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>44.54</td>
<td>0–30.79</td>
<td>30.79–44.41</td>
<td>14.05</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 1. Map of Glacier de Tsanfleuron, Switzerland, showing ice-core locations.](https://doi.org/10.3189/172756500781833250)
composed of ice of types 4–6. Application of this definition to
the core stratigraphies presented in Figure 2 reveals that LZ
and BZ thicknesses are 8–14 and 0.3–0.95 m, respectively
(Table 3). The BZ, type 7 ice corresponds visually with dis-
persed-facies basal ice identified by Hubbard and Sharp
(1995). Close examination of Figure 2 also reveals that in-
dividual lengths of type 1 englacial ice rarely exceed 1 m with-
out being interrupted by some decimetres of types 3–6 ice,
even in the upper sections of the longer cores, 4 and 5.

Ice characteristics

Major-ion concentration, stable-isotope composition and
gas content and composition were determined using lengths
core that were short enough to provide high-resolution
records of variability within and between individual ice
types and zones. Because economic constraints limited the
total number of samples that could be analyzed, sampling
focused on specific core sections (particularly from core 5)
and was not, therefore, continuous over the entire length
of any of the cores.

Major-ion concentration

Major-ion concentrations were determined for 253 samples.
Mean concentrations for all samples range from 0.78 μeq L⁻¹
Mg²⁺ to 33.75 μeq L⁻¹ HCO₃⁻ (Table 4). These concentration
ranges are consistent with those of Fairchild and others
(1994). Ionic concentration data were also subsampled by
zone, and the significance of differences between these
sample sets evaluated by t-test statistics (Table 5). With
the exception of debris-rich, type 7 ice, there is no evidence for
significant ionic differentiation between individual ice types.
As a sample group, however, debris-free LZ ice is signifi-
cantly enriched in Na⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, NO₃⁻ and HCO₃⁻ relative
to the UZ ice (given by bold numbers in Table 5). Down-
core plots of individual species for core 5 (Fig. 3) indicate that
this enrichment is principally confined to ice (mainly types
4–6) located within a few decimetres of the glacier bed, just
above the type 7, BZ ice (Fig. 2). Significantly, concentrations
of crustally derived species, in particular Ca²⁺ (Fig. 4), Mg²⁺
and HCO₃⁻, increase approximately logarithmically be-
tween depths of ~ 43.80 m (where their concentration ap-

Table 4. Summary of ionic chemistry data, classified by zone. Standard deviations are given in parentheses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Na⁺</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>Mg²⁺</th>
<th>Ca²⁺</th>
<th>Cl⁻</th>
<th>NO₃⁻</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻</th>
<th>HCO₃⁻</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>29.40</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>33.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.26)</td>
<td>(0.57)</td>
<td>(9.40)</td>
<td>(103)</td>
<td>(1.72)</td>
<td>(1.96)</td>
<td>(1.07)</td>
<td>(100.86)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UZ</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>10.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.92)</td>
<td>(0.49)</td>
<td>(0.29)</td>
<td>(3.60)</td>
<td>(1.64)</td>
<td>(1.13)</td>
<td>(0.45)</td>
<td>(5.33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LZ</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>14.91</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>18.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3.03)</td>
<td>(0.62)</td>
<td>(0.28)</td>
<td>(12.67)</td>
<td>(1.84)</td>
<td>(2.41)</td>
<td>(0.33)</td>
<td>(13.30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BZ</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>47.45</td>
<td>38.34</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>28.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2.16)</td>
<td>(0.42)</td>
<td>(27.48)</td>
<td>(31.76)</td>
<td>(0.43)</td>
<td>(1.07)</td>
<td>(4.11)</td>
<td>(14.02)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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proximates the mean of all LZ samples; Table 4; Fig. 4) and 44.40 m, the top of the (debris-rich) type 7 ice layer. This BZ, type 7 ice is, in turn, significantly enriched in K⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺ and HCO₃⁻ relative both to all other core samples and to LZ samples (Table 5). In accordance with Fairchild and others (1994), the ionic composition of this debris-rich ice is dominated by crustally derived species, in particular HCO₃⁻, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺. In contrast, concentrations of atmospherically derived ions (in particular Na⁺, Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻) are lower in BZ ice samples (significantly lower in the case of Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻) than in the overlying, debris-free ice column.

Stable-isotope composition

δ¹⁸O and δD were determined for 153 samples from core 5. Mean compositions for the entire core were −12.7‰ in δ¹⁸O and −91‰ in δD (Table 6). However, down-core variability in stable-isotope composition is apparent (Fig. 5). In particular, these data reveal two core sections that are characterised by anomalous isotopic compositions: a section of heavy-isotope depletion between ~32 and ~33 m depth, and heavy-isotope enrichment in the debris-rich, basal ice layer. The δ¹⁸O composition of ice in the former narrow band is depleted by ~1% relative to the mean composition of samples from the rest of the core, with the lightest sample from 31.8–33.3 m being −14.5‰ in δ¹⁸O. The mean δ¹⁸O composition of the type 7, BZ ice is −11.1‰, contrasting strongly with a value of 12.8‰ for the rest of the core. Corresponding patterns in both of these anomalous zones are recorded in the δD signal. There is no evidence for significant isotopic variability between the ice of the UZ and the LZ (Table 6), or for heavy-isotope enrichment in types 4–6 (LZ) ice located close to the glacier bed (Fig. 5).

Least-squares linear regression of bivariate co-isotopic plots of these sample groups reveals some variability in slope (Fig. 6). Samples other than those located at 31.8–33.3 m and those comprising the BZ define a slope of 6.9 (±0.30 at P = 0.05). This is in close agreement with the co-isotopic composition of “unaltered” surface-ice samples recovered from the glacier by Hubbard and Sharp (1995). In contrast, isotopically light samples recovered from 31.8–33.3 m define a slope of 6.0 (±0.49), and isotopically heavy, BZ ice samples define a co-isotopic slope of 6.3 (±1.30). Gas content and composition

Total gas content was determined for 44 ice samples, of which 28 were analyzed for CO₂, O₂ and N₂ concentrations. Since ice types 6 and 7 are visually bubble-free, only four samples of the former and none of the latter were analyzed. Total gas content for all 44 samples ranges from <0.005 to 0.035 mL g⁻¹ ice⁻¹. This range is consistent with but extends lower than, that reported in surface ice close to the terminus of Griesgletscher, Switzerland, by Berner and others (1977). As expected on the basis of visual classification, total gas content varies inversely with ice type, ranging from 0.028 mL g⁻¹ ice⁻¹ for type 1 ice to 0.010 mL g⁻¹ ice⁻¹ for type 5 ice and <0.005 mL g⁻¹ ice⁻¹ for all four type 6 ice samples (Table 7). Plotting total gas content, CO₂ concentration and O₂/N₂ ratio

### Table 5. One-tailed Student’s t-test statistic at P = 0.05 for all ionic concentration measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationship between sample set values tested</th>
<th>t&lt;sub&gt;min&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Na⁺</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>Mg²⁺</th>
<th>Ca²⁺</th>
<th>Cl⁻</th>
<th>NO₃⁻</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻</th>
<th>HCO₃⁻</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LZ &gt; UZ</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BZ &gt; All samples</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>−0.18</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td>−4.98</td>
<td>−1.68</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>11.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BZ &gt; LZ</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>−1.83</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>11.37</td>
<td>−4.99</td>
<td>−2.82</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>11.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Positive values indicate that the concentration contrast for that ion is in accordance with the mathematical operator (> ) in column 1, and conversely. Significant differences are given in bold.

![Fig. 3. Major-ion concentrations measured in core 5. The horizontal lines at ~30.8 and ~44.4 m mark, respectively, the boundaries between the UZ and the LZ and the LZ and the BZ](https://doi.org/10.3189/172756500781833250)
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Fig. 4. Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations measured at the base of core 5. Note enhanced concentrations in the clean ice located at 43.8–44.4 m, immediately above the type 7, dispersed-facies BZ ice (shaded). The dashed line gives the mean Ca$^{2+}$ concentration for all LZ samples. Similar patterns are evident in plots of Mg$^{2+}$ and HCO$_3^-$.

Fig. 5. Stable-isotope ratios measured in core 5. The horizontal lines at ~30.8 and ~44.4 m mark, respectively, the boundaries between the UZ and the LZ and the LZ and the BZ.

Table 6. Stable-isotope summary data for core 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean δ$^18$O</th>
<th>Std dev. δ$^18$O</th>
<th>Mean δD</th>
<th>Std dev. δD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All samples</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>-12.69</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-91.25</td>
<td>4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All UZ</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>-12.61</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-90.70</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All LZ</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>-12.94</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>-92.90</td>
<td>5.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All BZ</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-11.08</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>-81.4</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice types 1–6</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>-12.70</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>-91.8</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3L8–33.35 m</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-13.47</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-95.03</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–3L8 and 33.3–45 m</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>-12.47</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-89.66</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. Bivariate co-isotopic plot of ice samples recovered from core 5. Least-squares linear regression statistics are presented for selected sample sub-groups (r$^2$ values are given in parentheses). A strong negative relationship between the δ$^18$O ratio against ice type (under the assumption that ice types 6 and 7 contain no gas) reveals a strong negative relationship in the case of total gas content, but weaker positive and negative relationships, respectively, for CO$_2$ concentration and O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio (Fig. 7).

Analysis of gas characteristics along core 5 (32 samples, of which 17 were analyzed for CO$_2$, O$_2$, and N$_2$ concentrations) reveals systematic trends in both total gas content and gas composition (Fig. 8). As expected on the basis of core stratigraphy, total included gas content is markedly lower in the LZ and BZ than in the UZ (Fig. 8a). Typical UZ gas concentrations exceed 0.02 mL g$^{-1}$ (mean = 0.025 mL g$^{-1}$), while LZ concentrations are generally well below this value (mean = 0.010 mL g$^{-1}$, commonly falling below the analytical detection limit). Initial examination of Figure 8a indicates that total gas content falls steadily (at a rate of about 0.005 mL g$^{-1}$ per 10 m depth) throughout the entire core length, rather than in a step-like manner at the UZ/LZ transition. This effect, however, may be an artefact of our sampling strategy, from which the analysis of bubble-free ice of types 6 and 7 was largely excluded (above). Since these ice types account for a markedly higher proportion of the LZ than of the UZ (Fig. 2), their under-representation results in a statistical over-calulation of the total gas content of the LZ. With this sampling bias in mind, we have constructed a proxy record of total gas content for core 5 by matching the core’s ice-type stratigraphy (Fig. 2) to the total gas content predicted (Table 7) on the basis of the bi-

Table 7. Summary gas data, classified by ice type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ice type</th>
<th>Total gas content</th>
<th>CO$_2$</th>
<th>O$_2$/N$_2$</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (σ)</td>
<td>Predicted</td>
<td>Mean (σ)</td>
<td>Predicted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mL g$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.028 (0.009)</td>
<td>0.0296</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>173 (127)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.021 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.0243</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>82 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.029 (0.009)</td>
<td>0.0393</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>208 (134)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.015 (0.006)</td>
<td>0.0442</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>174 (125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.010 (0.006)</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>385 (243)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt; 0.005 (–)</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Predicted total gas content (column 3) is calculated on the basis of the bivariate plot of total gas content against ice type (Fig. 7), except ice types 6 and 7, which are assumed to be zero.
Fig. 7. Bivariate plot of mean total gas content, CO$_2$ concentration and O$_2$/N$_2$ against ice type.

The bivariate plot presented in Figure 7. While the accuracy of this technique is limited by variability in the relationship between ice type and total gas content, it does give a valid alternative record of the pattern of change in total gas content along the core. In contrast to the raw data, this proxy record reveals a much stronger change in total gas content across the UZ/LZ boundary (Fig. 8a).

In contrast to total gas content, a similar reconstruction of down-core patterns of CO$_2$ concentration and O$_2$/N$_2$ ratio from ice-type data cannot be justified, since no type 6 or 7 gas composition data were available to plot against ice type (Fig. 7). The raw data, however, reveal that CO$_2$ concentration rises from ~100 ppmv at the top of the core to almost 600 ppmv at the base (Fig. 8b), while O$_2$/N$_2$ falls from a maximum value of 0.245 near the top of the core to a minimum value of 0.085 close to the glacier bed (Fig. 8c). Although O$_2$/N$_2$ appears to fall steadily along the entire core length, we have insufficient data to determine whether this decrease is characterised by a step at the UZ/LZ boundary. Sparse sampling also makes it difficult to identify any definite trend in CO$_2$ concentration through the UZ (mean = 126 ppmv), although there is evidence of a rapid downward increase through the LZ. The only samples with CO$_2$ concentrations that are greater than atmospheric (~360 ppmv) are located towards the base of the LZ (the highest measured CO$_2$ concentration, 837 ppmv, was recorded within 1 m of the base of core 4).

INTERPRETATION AND DISCUSSION

The LZ is principally composed of ice types 4–6. It is therefore largely devoid of both debris and high densities of small bubbles. These properties are similar to those that define clear-facies ice identified at the beds of numerous temperate-based glaciers (e.g. Theakstone, 1979; Hubbard and Sharp, 1995; Jansson and others, 1996), and we therefore equate the two. Ice cores recovered for the present study, however, reveal that clear-facies ice is >10 m thick. This is similar to the thickness of largely bubble-free blue ice identified at the base of a core drilled to the bed in the accumulation area of Vallée Blanche, France (Vallon and others, 1976) and observed in a subglacial gallery at Engabreen, Norway (personal communication from J. Kohler, 1999). However, it is markedly greater than the thickness generally inferred from previous observations made in natural basal cavities (e.g. Theakstone, 1979; Hubbard and Sharp, 1995). While assessing the thickness of clear-facies ice from basal cavities is difficult, this discrepancy may also reflect vari-

Fig. 8. Included gas characteristics measured in core 5: (a) total gas content, including that both measured (solid dots) and reconstructed from ice-type stratigraphy (solid line; see text for explanation); (b) CO$_2$ concentration; (c) O$_2$/N$_2$. The horizontal lines at ~30.8 and ~44.4 m mark, respectively, the boundaries between the UZ and the L$_Z$ and the L$_Z$ and the BZ.
ations in the largely qualitative and visually based facies definitions adopted by researchers to date. In the present study, clear-facies ice is defined semi-quantitatively as being devoid of any ice that contains a medium or high density of small bubbles (Tables 1 and 2). This definition effectively distinguishes clear-facies ice from the overlying, bubble-foliated englacial ice that forms by firmification near the glacier surface.

However, bubble-free ice is at least intermittently present throughout our ice cores: as thin (≤60 mm) layers forming folia and thick (>60 mm) layers disrupting folia in the UZ, as massive clear-facies ice in the LZ and as dispersed-facies basal ice in the BZ. A number of possible processes may be invoked to explain the formation of such bubble-poor ice. These may be broadly divided into near-surface, englacial and basal processes.

Near-surface processes of bubble-poor ice formation

These include surface crevasse or crack fills, and the freezing of percolating meltwater within the surface snowpack or firn layer. The latter will tend to be thin, probably on the order of 10–2 m, forming layers or lenses that are broadly conformable with primary stratification. Crevasse fills may be slightly thicker, perhaps up to 106 m, may cut across dominant stratification and foliation at a high angle and are likely to be separated from each other by at least 107 m of normal englacial ice (e.g. Poljola, 1994).

Englacial processes of bubble-poor ice formation

These include gas removal by meltwater generated by deformation-related grain-boundary melting and refreezing, and the local storage and/or refreezing of gas-poor meltwaters percolating through the ice. The former process would be expected to result in the gradual formation of a massive bubble-free ice layer that may be isotopically heavy relative to its initial composition (Hubbard and Sharp, 1995). In contrast, local meltwater storage and/or refreezing would affect a thinner layer of ice that may be isotopically light relative to its initial composition.

Basal processes of bubble-poor ice formation

These involve either the formation of a basal ice layer by basal adfreezing or regulation, or the pressurised transfer of basal meltwater into and through the overlying ice. Ice layers formed by the former process are likely to contain more debris than overlying englacial ice, and are unlikely to be thicker than 106 m at predominantly temperate-based glaciers (Hubbard and Sharp, 1989). Basal ice layers affected by the latter process may be isotopically heavy, and are predicted to be no thicker than 104 m (e.g. Lliboutry, 1993).

The geometry, location and stratigraphy of the <60 mm thick, bubble-poor ice layers contained within type 1 ice at Glacier de T'sanflueron strongly indicate a near-surface origin as ice layers or lenses formed from the refreezing of percolating meltwaters. Such processes universally accompany seasonally variable firmification, resulting in the formation of bubble-foliated englacial ice (e.g. Hambrey, 1975; Hooke and Hudleston, 1978). In contrast, the thicker (>60 mm) layers of bubble-poor ice present throughout the UZ show some evidence of increasing in both thickness and frequency with depth (Fig. 2). These characteristics suggest an englacial mode of formation. However, the scale and frequently repeated occurrence of these layers reduces the likelihood of formation as local zones of meltwater storage or as healed crevasses. We therefore believe these layers are formed in response to locally enhanced ice deformation and associated internal meltwater generation. They may be similar to the layers observed by Raymond and Harrison (1975), who identified metre-long, bubble-free sections of ice core at depths of 10, 20 and 23 m at Blue Glacier, U.S.A.

LZ clear-facies ice is massive, predominantly debris-free and ~10 m thick, precluding formation as a result of near-surface or basal processes. The facies therefore appears to form englacially: by deformation-related meltwater generation and removal, and/or by local storage or refreezing of percolating meltwaters. The former process is favoured, since, with the exception of the ice layer located at ~33 m depth in core 5 (discussed below), there is no evidence that clear-facies ice is isotopically lighter than overlying englacial ice. Further, the general down-core decrease in total gas content and the ratio of (more soluble) O2 to (less soluble) N2 in core 5 (Fig. 8c) is broadly consistent with the removal of gas in solution along triple-grain veins during such a process. Our evidence is therefore consistent with deformation-related, bubble-free ice formation as distinct, 10–1 m thick layers within the UZ (perhaps increasing in scale and frequency with depth) and as a massive 103 m thick layer within the LZ. This interpretation implies that deformation is similarly distributed, being focused along relatively discrete planes in the UZ and operating more or less pervasively through the LZ. In this case, the stratigraphic location of the transition between the UZ and the LZ may be related to a rapid increase in ice deformation as shear strain rate increases non-linearly with depth, perhaps supplemented by a local threshold in the response of ice metamorphism to deformation rate.

Bubble-poor, dispersed-facies basal ice is isotopically heavy and located within 106 m of the glacier bed. The facies is also debris-rich, merging into the overlying clear-facies ice via an increase in the spacing between, and a decrease in the thickness of, individual debris-rich bands. These properties are consistent with formation by refreezing at the basal interface, perhaps supplemented by permeating basal water flow, and have been analyzed in detail elsewhere (Tison and Lorrain, 1987; Hubbard and Sharp, 1995).

A ~1 m thick layer of bubble-poor ice that is isotopically light and characterised by an anomalously low co-isotopic slope is located just below the top of the clear-facies ice layer in core 5, ~12 m above the glacier bed. Statistical testing indicates that the isotopic composition of this layer is significantly (P = 0.05) different from that of the remainder of the ice core, suggesting the influence of a specific physical process, either during the formation of this layer or during its subsequent flow through the glacier. Since this layer is isotopically distinct from adjacent clear-facies ice, an alternative or supplementary mode of formation is sought. Two explanations for the bubble-poor and isotopically light character of the layer seem feasible: formation (1) as a crevasse fill near the ice surface, and (2) by the trapping of percolating water in an englacial location. In either case, the isotopic character of the layer is consistent with a water source supplied by melting–refreezing processes within overlying ice or snow. Herrmann and others (1981) added water to the upper surface of a recrystallising, temperate snow column and sampled the outflow as it emerged from the column's base. The first 50% of the outflow was isotopically lighter than the initial water and snow, and was aligned on a (~relatively low) co-isotopic slope of 6.3. The generation of such water is possible both at the surface of...
Glacier de Tsanfleuron and within the body of the glacier, in the former case from the base of the seasonal snowpack and in the latter from melting and incomplete refreezing within overlying ice. For percolating meltwater to be preferentially accumulated within this layer, the layer must be more permeable than the surrounding ice, and/or overlie ice that is relatively impermeable, creating a perched water table. It may therefore be significant that the isotopically light layer is located near the top of the LZ in core 5, since ice character (and therefore, to some extent, its permeability) changes at this location. The possibility that the isotopically light layer may be (or have been) more permeable than the overlying englacial ice is not surprising given the sharp local reduction in gas-bubble concentration across the UZ/LZ boundary. Such bubbles serve to impede inter-granular water flow both by blocking veins directly (e.g. Liboutry, 1974; Raymond and Harrison, 1975) and, where pressurised, by freezing vein waters (Raymond, 1976). These processes, however, cannot easily be invoked to explain reduced permeability immediately beneath ~33 m, although such an effect could be related to intense ice deformation and crystallographic changes in this zone (Liboutry, 1971). Such influences, however, are currently un constrained, pending future detailed investigations of ice structure and crystallography over the UZ/LZ transition.

The percentage mass of influence, isotopically light vein water required to produce the measured isotopic shift at ~33 m in core 5 may be approximated from simple mass-balance considerations. Here:

$$\delta_t = [\delta_i(1-V)] + (\delta_v V),$$

where $\delta_t$ and $\delta_i$ are, respectively, the final and initial isotopic compositions of the ice layer affected and $V$ is the mass proportion of the final ice layer composed of influence, percolating meltwater of isotopic composition $\delta_v$. For $\delta^{18}O$, our measurements indicate that $\delta = -13.47$% and that $\delta_{i}$ (for UZ ice) = -12.61% (Table 6). We assume that the composition of $\delta_v$ corresponds to the final 5% unfrozen fraction of a liquid with an initial isotopic composition similar to that of the initial ice, $\delta_i$ (i.e. -12.61%). Following Jouzel and Souchez (1982), the isotopic composition of ice $\delta_i$ formed by closed system freezing is:

$$\delta_i = 10(1000 + \delta_0) \left[ (1.1 - K)^f - (1 - K)^f \right] - 1000,$$

where $\delta_0$ is the initial composition of the parent water, $K$ is the equilibrium fractionation coefficient between water and ice, and $f$ is the proportion of the parent water source that is frozen. Substituting $\delta_0 = -12.61$% $K = 1.00291$ (Lehmann and Siegenthaler, 1991) and $f = 0.95$ into Equation 2 gives a bulk newly formed ice composition of -12.21% in $\delta^{18}O$. The corresponding composition of the unfrozen liquid remaining at $f = 0.95$ (and thus $\delta_i$ in Equation 1) is -20.21%. Substituting these isotopic values into Equation 1 and solving for $V$ indicates that ~11% of the 1m thick ice layer located at ~33 m depth in core 5 needs to be composed of isotopically light influent water. Since temperate ice typically contains <2% liquid water (e.g. Raymond and Harrison, 1975; Liboutry, 1976), much of the postulated storage within this layer must be in the form of refrozen ice.

Most of the clear-facies (LZ) ice layer is characterised by low ionic concentrations, as is the UZ ice (Table 4). These values conflict with those measured in clear-facies ice samples recovered from basal cavities in previous studies. For example, taking Ca$^{2+}$ as a crudely derived indicator ion, Hubbard (1992) recorded a mean concentration of 115 µeq L$^{-1}$ in clear-facies basal ice at Glacier de Tsanfleuron. Similarly, Jansson and others (1996) reported a mean Ca$^{2+}$ concentration of 3 µeq L$^{-1}$ for a visually similar basal ice layer (their “Unit C”) characterised by a mean sediment concentration of ~0.4 g L$^{-1}$ (0.4% wt) at Engabre, Norway, which flows over schists and gneisses. In contrast, the mean LZ Ca$^{2+}$ concentration in the present study (excluding debris-rich, type 7 ice) is 14.9 µeq L$^{-1}$ (Table 4). The discrepancy between the low ionic concentrations measured in clear-facies ice in the present study and the relatively high ionic concentrations measured in similar ice by Hubbard (1992) and possibly Jansson and others (1996) may reflect a variety of factors. These include sample contamination in difficult conditions at the glacier bed, particularly in the earlier Tsanfleuron study where ice was melted in situ for sampling; the presence of reactive debris entrained within samples of what appeared visually to be clean ice; and, perhaps most significantly, the transport of ionically enriched vein waters upward from the debris-rich basal ice where the solute was acquired. Measurements of enhanced concentrations of crustally derived ions in visibly debris-free, clear-facies ice above the debris-rich, dispersed-facies ice layer in the present study (Fig. 4) suggest that the latter process occurs over a scale of some decimetres. We therefore infer that, while ice metamorphism throughout the entire glacier thickness (but particularly within the LZ and BZ) results in the transfer of meltwaters towards the glacier bed, an active meltwater exchange may occur within a few decimetres of the glacier bed. Where such exchange occurs, concentration gradients in water-borne characteristics, such as ionic and dissolved-gas content, will tend to be reduced. It is interesting to note in this context that the concentration of atmospherically derived species (in particular, Cl$^{-}$ and NO$_3^-$) may be depleted within the type 7, BZ ice (Table 5). This scale of proposed vein-water exchange (10$^{-2}$ to 10$^{-1}$ m) agrees closely with Liboutry’s (1993) theoretical calculations of the thickness of the basal ice layer that experiences internal regulation cycles resulting from basally induced stresses.

Implications

Our data suggest that gas is expelled from englacial ice over much of the glacier thickness, and that the effectiveness of this metamorphism is markedly increased within 10–15 m of the glacier bed, where it results in the formation of a massive layer of clear-facies ice. The physical characteristics of this clear-facies ice are consistent with metamorphism through intense and variable ice deformation and associated internal pressure melting and refreezing. This process of ice metamorphism results in the expulsion of gas and meltwater to the basal interface in a manner that is probably both temporally continuous and spatially extensive. Such a supply of gas and water may have a significant impact on the geochemistry of subglacial water flow in a distributed drainage system, since these are not diluted by large and variable surface-generated meltwater fluxes. Indeed, meltwater samples collected from the bases of boreholes drilled in areas of distributed flow at Haut Glacier d’Arolla, Switzerland, are characterised by ratios of HCO$_3^-$ to SO$_4^{2-}$ of 2.2:1 (Tranter and others, 1997). Such values suggest the presence of a local subglacial CO$_2$ supply, since normal coupled sulphide oxidation–carbonation reactions result in a ratio of HCO$_3^-$ to SO$_4^{2-}$ of 1:1. Gas expulsion during basal ice metamorphism may well contribute to this subglacial CO$_2$
supply, the magnitude of which may be approximated in a general manner from our ice-core data. Since clear-facies ice is effectively devoid of gas at its contact with dispersed-facies basal ice, the quantity of CO$_2$ delivered per square metre of bed area per year to the basal interface by clear-facies ice formation ($\xi$) is equal to the annual thickness of englacial ice that is metamorphosed to clear-facies ice at the UZ/LZ boundary ($Q_{ce}$) multiplied by the initial CO$_2$ concentration of that englacial ice ($CO_{2e}$):

$$\xi = Q_{ce} \cdot CO_{2e}. \quad (3)$$

Here, CO$_{2e}$ is given by the product of the initial total gas content (standard temperature and pressure) of the englacial ice ($\sim$0.03 mL gel$^{-1}$) for core 5 (or, assuming an ice density of 900 kg m$^{-3}$, 27 L m$^{-3}$; Table 7; Fig. 8a) and the concentration of CO$_2$ in that gas ($\sim$0.005% for core 5; Table 7; Fig. 8b). At any given time, $Q_{ce}$ may be considered in terms of (i) the rate of increase of clear-facies ice-layer thickness as it progressively forms from the head of the glacier to the terminus ($Q_{ce(i)}$), and (ii) the maintenance of that thickness as the layer is progressively melted from its base ($Q_{ce(m)}$) (illustrated conceptually in Fig. 9). In approximating $Q_{ce(i)}$, we assume that the thickness of the clear-facies ice layer increases from zero at the head of the glacier to its maximum thickness at the terminus, such that:

$$Q_{ce(i)} = (T_e / L)U_b, \quad (4)$$

where $T_e$ is the maximum thickness of the clear-facies ice layer at the glacier terminus ($\sim$10 m; Table 3), $L$ is the glacier length ($\sim$2000 m; Fig. 1) and $U_b$ is the spatially averaged basal sliding velocity of the glacier (m a$^{-1}$). We take $U_b$ to be 5 m a$^{-1}$ on the basis of the recorded motion of a marker placed within a cavity beneath the glacier (Hubbard and Hubbard, 1998). Our assumption that the formation of clear-facies ice begins at the head of the glacier is supported by the ice-core records of Vallon and others (1976) reported above. $Q_{ce(m)}$ may be approximated from:

$$Q_{ce(m)} = (G_b + F_b + W_b), \quad (5)$$

where the righthand terms are annually and areally integrated basal melt rates due to geothermal heating ($G_b$), frictional heating ($F_b$) and frictional dissipation of heat in subglacial channels ($W_b$). Paterson (1994, p.112) gives typical values of $G_b$ and $F_b$ of 6 mm a$^{-1}$ each, and we make the assumption that $W_b$ above a linked-cavity-type drainage system (Sharp and others, 1990) brings the total to 20 mm a$^{-1}$. Thus $Q_{ce} = 0.045$ m a$^{-1}$, and Equation (3) gives a specific CO$_2$ flux due to clear-facies facies formation ($\xi$) of 0.012 L m$^{-2}$ a$^{-1}$ at Glacier de Tsanfleuron. The precise distribution of this supply, however, will depend on spatial and temporal variability in rates of clear-facies ice formation across the glacier bed, which is currently unconstrained. Further, this rate of CO$_2$ delivery may be supplemented by that generated by bacterially mediated oxidation of organic carbon within glacier ice, and in particular the debris-rich BZ (Sharp and others, 1999). This process may be at least partly responsible for the very high concentrations of CO$_2$ recorded close to the bases of our cores (e.g. Fig. 8b), although other processes such as CO$_2$ release during CaCO$_3$ precipitation and pyrite oxidation (Fairchild and others, 1994b) may also be significant.
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**Fig. 9.** Conceptual model of clear-facies ice formation at Glacier de Tsanfleuron used for CO$_2$ flux calculations. Rates of clear-facies ice growth by englacial ice metamorphism, and loss by basal melting are described in the text.
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