Dietary and lifestyle quality indices with/without physical activity and markers of insulin resistance in European adolescents: the HELENA study
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Abstract
Emerging data indicate that higher levels of insulin resistance (IR) are common among children and adolescents and are related to cardiometabolic risk; therefore, IR requires consideration early in life. In addition, there is a lack of conclusive evidence regarding the role of dietary nutrients on IR. The Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence Cross-Sectional Study (HELENA-CSS) was conducted in European adolescents aged 12.5–17.5 years. A total of 637 participants with valid homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) index data and who completed at least a 2 d 24 h dietary recall were included in the study (60% of the total HELENA-CSS sample). There were two dietary indices calculated, with the only difference between them being the inclusion or not of physical activity (PA). Markers of IR such as HOMA and the quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (QUICKI) were calculated. Pubertal status, BMI and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) were measured as potential confounders. The dietary index including PA was inversely associated with HOMA and directly with the QUICKI in females, but not in males, after adjusting for pubertal status, centre, BMI and CRF. In conclusion, the present study indicates that considering PA as part of the dietary index is of relevance as the resulted index is inversely related to IR independently of potential confounders including CRF. Overall, these findings suggest that intervention studies aimed at preventing IR in young people should focus on increasing the quality of the diet and also on including an optimal PA level in healthy adolescents.
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Emerging data indicate that higher levels of insulin resistance (IR) are common among children and adolescents and are related to cardiometabolic risk; therefore, IR requires consideration early in life(11). In addition, there is a lack of conclusive evidence regarding the role of dietary nutrients on IR(2). However, higher physical activity (PA) levels are consistently associated with an improved metabolic profile and a reduced risk for IR in children and adolescents (<18 years)(3–5).

Recent studies have analysed the relationship of different dietary factors with IR in children and adolescents(6–10). These dietary factors include the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages(7,8), PUFA(9) or dietary patterns(6,10). However, the relevant use of dietary indices to assess a compendium of different aspects of the diet (such as quality) in relation to several outcomes has been highlighted in a recent review(11). They conclude that few studies on index–health associations have been performed, and most analyses have insufficiently adjusted for potential confounders. Thus, the necessity for further research in order to develop the utility of dietary indices has been stated(11).

Only two studies have focused on the association between diet quality and IR(12,13). In a study performed in Cretan children and adolescents, it was observed that the presence of three or more factors of the metabolic syndrome was strongly associated with a poor Healthy Eating Index and a low physical fitness, as well as overweight(12). Moreover, Manios et al.(13) proposed a Healthy Lifestyle–Diet Index in a sample of 729 school children from Greece aged 10–12 years that included PA. These authors have observed that adolescents with a lower Healthy Lifestyle–Diet index score were at increased probability for IR.

PA has been shown to be inversely associated with the markers of IR in adolescents(3,4,14,15). Although dietary and PA interventions have been suggested as the ideal approach for the treatment of IR(10), it would be of interest to study the independent and combined associations of these factors with IR, also taking into consideration sex-specific information. To our knowledge, there are no studies examining the associations of the dietary quality index including PA with the markers of IR in a large cohort of European adolescents. The purpose of the present study was to examine the association of several dietary quality indices, with and without PA, in relation to the markers of IR after controlling for potential confounders.

**Methods**

The Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence Cross-Sectional Study (HELENA-CSS) is a school-based, multi-centre study, designed to obtain reliable and valid data on nutrition- and health-related factors in adolescents aged 12.5–17.5 years in ten European cities from nine countries(17). Further details of the methodology of the study have been described elsewhere(18). The total sample of the HELENA-CSS was 3546 adolescents with a subset of 1089 with blood samples. Only nine centres have been included in the present study because incomplete information was obtained for 24 h dietary recall analyses from Heraklion. Participants with valid homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) index data and who completed the 24 h dietary recall for at least 2 d were included in the study. Based on these criteria, a total of 637 adolescents (60% of the total HELENA study sample) were finally included in the study. Adolescents from this subsample of the HELENA-CSS included in the analyses did not differ from those excluded in the following variables: age; sex; weight; height; HELENA-DIAT (Dietary Assessment Tool) (all P>0.2). The study was performed following the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki (1964) (revision of Edinburgh 2000), the Good Clinical Practice and the legislation about clinical research in human subjects in each of the participating countries. The protocol was approved by the Human Research Review Committees of the involved centres(19). Written informed consents were obtained from both adolescents and their parents.

**Dietary intake assessment**

Dietary intake was assessed by two non-consecutive 24 h recalls(20). The 24 h recalls were collected with the use of a computer-based, self-administered tool, the HELENA-DIAT. This tool was adapted from a previous version developed and validated in Flemish adolescents(21). This assessment tool is based on six meal occasions (breakfast, morning snacks, lunch, afternoon snacks, evening meal and evening snacks) referring to the previous day. Trained dietitians assisted the adolescents to complete the 24 h recalls when needed. Adolescents selected autonomously all the consumed foods and beverages from a standardised food list in the HELENA-DIAT(22). Items not available in the list could be added by the participant at any moment. Consumed foods were translated to nutrients using the German Food Code and Nutrient Data Base (Bundeslebensmittelschlüssel, BLS, version II.3.1)(23). The Multiple Source Method was used to estimate the usual dietary intake of nutrients and foods(24). This statistical modelling technique takes into account within-person and between-person variability and calculates usual intakes corrected for age, sex and study centre.

**Dietary quality index for adolescents**

A previously validated diet quality index (DQI), originally developed for preschool-aged children(25), was adapted for use in adolescents to measure their compliance to the Flemish food-based dietary guidelines(26,27). These Flemish food-based dietary guidelines put forward three basic principles for a healthy and balanced diet, namely dietary quality, dietary diversity and dietary equilibrium. Furthermore, the daily diet was divided into nine recommended food groups, namely (1) water, (2) bread and cereals, (3) grains and potatoes, (4) vegetables, (5) fruit, (6) milk products, (7) cheese, (8) meat, fish, eggs and substitutes and (9) fat and oils. For each of these food groups, a range of recommended daily intakes was provided. These guidelines were very similar to dietary guidelines in other countries(28), making the index applicable to a European population.
Dietary quality. It was expressed as whether the adolescent made optimal food quality choices within a food group and was represented by a ‘preference group’ (e.g. fresh fruit and cereal/brown bread), a ‘moderation group’ (e.g. white bread) and a ‘low-nutrient, energy-dense group’ (e.g. soft drinks and sweet snacks).

Dietary diversity. It was expressed as the degree of variation in the diet. This score was obtained by giving points ranging from 0 to 9 when at least one serving of food of a recommended food group was consumed.

Dietary equilibrium. It was calculated from the difference between the adequacy score (which was the percentage of the minimum recommended intake for each of the main food groups) and the moderation score (which was the percentage of intake exceeding the upper level of the recommendation).

Meal index. The concept of the meal index is that a healthy diet implies also the consumption of a certain number of meals per day. The meal index was calculated from the frequency variables ‘breakfast’, ‘lunch’ and ‘dinner’, representing the number of days per week that these meals were consumed.

The mean of the four components (dietary quality, diversity and equilibrium and meal index) was calculated, resulting in scores ranging from −25 to 100. The score was calculated for each day and a mean of the daily scores was taken as the global index score of the individual.

A second index including PA (DQIPA) was employed in the present study. The PA score was obtained by the International PA Questionnaire for Adolescents (for more details on the International PA Questionnaire for Adolescents component see the following section).<ref>
Physical activity

The International PA Questionnaire for Adolescents was used to assess the PA. This questionnaire has first been developed as an instrument for adults and later adapted and validated for the HELENA population. Participants were classified into low, moderate, high and vigorous PA categories according to the guidelines for data processing and analyses of the International PA Questionnaire (http://www.ipaq.ki.se/ipaq.htm). The PA level of the adolescents was compared with the recommendation that states that adolescents should at least spend 60 min in moderate to vigorous physical activities per day. The concept of the meal index is that a healthy diet implies also the consumption of a certain number of meals per day. The meal index was calculated from the frequency variables ‘breakfast’, ‘lunch’ and ‘dinner’, representing the number of days per week that these meals were consumed.

Dietary diversity. It was expressed as whether the adolescent made optimal food quality choices within a food group and was represented by a ‘preference group’ (e.g. fresh fruit and cereal/brown bread), a ‘moderation group’ (e.g. white bread) and a ‘low-nutrient, energy-dense group’ (e.g. soft drinks and sweet snacks).

Dietary equilibrium. It was calculated from the difference between the adequacy score (which was the percentage of the minimum recommended intake for each of the main food groups) and the moderation score (which was the percentage of intake exceeding the upper level of the recommendation).

Meal index. The concept of the meal index is that a healthy diet implies also the consumption of a certain number of meals per day. The meal index was calculated from the frequency variables ‘breakfast’, ‘lunch’ and ‘dinner’, representing the number of days per week that these meals were consumed.

The mean of the four components (dietary quality, diversity and equilibrium and meal index) was calculated, resulting in scores ranging from −25 to 100. The score was calculated for each day and a mean of the daily scores was taken as the global index score of the individual.

A second index including PA (DQIPA) was employed in the present study. The PA score was obtained by the International PA Questionnaire for Adolescents (for more details on the International PA Questionnaire for Adolescents component see the following section).<ref>

Physical examination

Weight was measured in underwear and without shoes with an electronic scale (Type SECA 861; SECA) to the nearest 0.05 kg, and height was measured barefoot plane with a tele- metric height-measuring instrument (Type SECA 225; SECA) to the nearest 0.1 cm in the Frankfort plane. BMI was calculated as body weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared (kg/m²). Skinfold thickness was measured to the nearest 0.2 mm in triplicate on the right side at biceps, triceps, subscapular, suprailiac, thigh and medial calf with a Holtain caliper (Holtain Limited). The sum of six skinfold thicknesses was used as an indicator of total body fat. Waist circumference was measured in triplicate at the midpoint between the lowest rib and the iliac crest with an anthropometric tape (SECA 200; SECA). Pubertal stage was assessed by a physician according to Tanner & Whitehouse. Briefly, the degree of pubertal development ranged from 1 to 5 (stages), and the classification was based on the development of the testes, scrotum and penis size in males, and on breast development and pubic hair in females. The anthropometric methods followed in the HELENA-CSS have been described in detail elsewhere.

Blood samples

Detailed blood handling procedures have been described elsewhere. Serum concentrations of glucose and insulin were measured after an overnight fast. The HOMA index was calculated as: (fasting insulin (pmol/l) × fasting glucose (mmol/l)/22.5). A quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (QUICKI) was calculated as: QUICKI = 1/(log insulin (µIU/ml) + log glucose (mg/dl)). (To convert insulin values to pmol/l, multiply by 6.945).

Cardiorespiratory fitness

Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) was measured by the progressive 20 m shuttle run test. This test required the subjects to run back and forth between two lines set 20 m apart following a running pace determined by audio signals and with an initial speed of 8.5 km/h increasing by 0.5 km/h every minute (1 min equals one stage). The test was finished when the adolescent failed to reach the end lines concurrent with the audio signals on two consecutive occasions and the final score was computed as the number of stages completed (precision of 0-5 stages). Maximal oxygen consumption (VO2max) was estimated using the formula described by Leger et al.

Statistical analysis

Predictive Analytics Software (PASW, version 18; SPSS, Inc.) was used to perform the analyses. Statistical significance was set at P<0.05. Data are presented as means and standard deviations, unless otherwise stated. To achieve normality in the residuals, BMI, insulin, CRF and the DQI-A were transformed using the square root, natural logarithm and HOMA was raised to the power of 1/3. Since an interaction effect was observed for sex on IR (P<0.01) using interaction terms (DQI × sex and DQIPA × sex) in the regression models, the analyses were thus performed separately for females and males.
Partial correlation analyses controlling for pubertal status were performed to examine the association between dietary quality indices, markers of IR and possible confounders such as BMI and CRF. Multiple linear regression models were used to study the association of dietary quality indices (exposure variables) with the markers of IR (outcomes) after controlling for pubertal status, centre, BMI and CRF. Centre and pubertal status were entered into the models as dummy variables. The following three different models were conducted: model I included pubertal status and centre as covariates; model II included model I plus BMI; model III included model II plus CRF. Further analyses were performed using waist circumference or skinfold thickness instead of BMI. Finally, sensitivity regression analyses including total energy intake and family affluence scale as additional confounders were performed (data not shown).

Results

Table 1 shows the descriptive characteristics of the study sample. Males had significantly higher weight, height, waist circumference, glucose and CRF than females (all \(P<0.001\)). Females had a significantly higher sum of skinfolds, insulin levels and scores for the dietary indices than males (all \(P<0.05\)). Table 2 shows partial correlations among the dietary quality indices, the markers of IR and several confounders after controlling for pubertal status. The DQI-A was directly associated with CRF in males, while the DQIPA was directly associated with CRF in both sexes.

The results of the multiple linear regression models showing the association of the dietary quality indices with the markers of IR after controlling for potential confounders for males and females are presented in Table 3. Neither the DQI-A nor the DQIPA showed any association with the markers of IR in any sexes. The present results concur with those previously observed by Royo-Bordonada et al. (40), D. Jiménez-Pavón et al.

Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of European adolescents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All (n 637)</th>
<th>Males (n 290)</th>
<th>Females (n 347)</th>
<th>(P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>14.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>14.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>14.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubertal status (I/II/III/IV/V) (%)</td>
<td>1/6/20/46/27</td>
<td>1/9/20/44/26</td>
<td>0/5/21/47/27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>58.9 ± 13.0</td>
<td>62.0 ± 14.8</td>
<td>56.4 ± 10.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.1 ± 4.1</td>
<td>2.1 ± 3.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)*</td>
<td>21.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td>21.2 ± 4.1</td>
<td>21.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of six skinfolds (mm)</td>
<td>89.4 ± 41.3</td>
<td>73.8 ± 39.0</td>
<td>102.1 ± 38.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>72.2 ± 8.2</td>
<td>73.8 ± 8.5</td>
<td>70.9 ± 7.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin ((\mu)U/ml)*†</td>
<td>9.8 ± 7.5</td>
<td>9.5 ± 7.9</td>
<td>10.1 ± 7.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA*</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>2.2 ± 2.0</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUICKI</td>
<td>0.152 ± 0.013</td>
<td>0.153 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.151 ± 0.012</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF (ml/kg per min)*</td>
<td>41.3 ± 7.9</td>
<td>45.8 ± 7.5</td>
<td>37.1 ± 5.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQI-A*</td>
<td>63.2 ± 13.5</td>
<td>61.4 ± 14.1</td>
<td>64.8 ± 12.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQIPA</td>
<td>69.4 ± 11.6</td>
<td>67.7 ± 12.3</td>
<td>70.7 ± 10.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HOMA, homeostasis model assessment; QUICKI, quantitative insulin sensitivity check index; CRF, cardiorespiratory fitness; DQI-A, dietary quality index for adolescents; DQIPA, dietary quality index including physical activity.

* Non-transformed data are presented, but analyses were performed on log-transformed data or raised to the power of 1/3. ANOVA was used for sex differences.

† To convert insulin values to pmol/l, multiply by 6.945.

Discussion

The main finding of the present study was that the DQIPA was associated with IR in European females after adjusting for several confounders including CRF. Overall, the DQIPA seems to be a more sensitive index than the DQI-A when looking for IR relationships. To our knowledge, this is the first study examining the association between several dietary quality indices (with/without PA) and IR in adolescents in a same report, which hamper comparisons with other studies. This is supported by a recent review in which authors have concluded that most of the studies examining the associations between dietary indices and health parameters are focused on the markers of adiposity as the main outcome (11). Few studies, however, have examined the associations of dietary indices with other health parameters such as blood pressure (39), cholesterol, TAG, glucose (40) and the metabolic syndrome (41).

In the descriptive analysis, the present results showed that females had significantly higher scores for the DQI-A and DQIPA than males accordingly with the overall literature that found similar trends with several diet indices, but without using PA in the index (11). Moreover, in the initial models of adjustment (pubertal status, centre and BMI), we observed that neither the DQI-A nor the DQIPA was associated with the markers of IR in any sexes. The present results concur with those previously observed by Royo-Bordonada et al. (40).
et al. highlighted that the present results concur with the only study which found only for females may be due to the higher QUICKI in females but not in males. The significant associations found for the DQI-A and DQIPA with CRF in the present study, further analyses including CRF in the model were performed. Thus, the DQIPA was associated with CRF in the present study, further analyses including CRF in the model were performed. Thus, the DQIPA was inversely associated with HOMA and directly with the QUICKI in females but not in males. The significant associations found only for females may be due to the higher DQIPA levels showed for this population. It is important to highlight that the present results concur with the only study of Manios et al.\(^ {135} \) including PA as part of the Healthy Lifestyle-Diet-Index in children aged 10–12 years, but they did not compare the potentially different results of including or not PA in the index. Thus, to include PA and to show the different associations of the indices with and without PA is a unique contribution of the present study.

Adolescents with a lower quality of diet and PA levels should be considered in order to prevent them from having IR. There are many factors determining the quality of the diet, but our DQIPA includes a complete spectrum of these factors and the novelty of PA information. In addition, sociodemographic characteristics depending on the country, pubertal maturation, body composition and fitness level were taken into account in the analyses. Thus, the mechanism underlying the present findings could be the lack of quality in the diet and enough PA levels that could fail to guarantee an adequate glycaemic index. This would be in accordance with studies that observed associations of the diet with glycaemic control\(^ {7,42,43} \) and also of PA levels with IR\(^ {15,44,45} \). It is necessary to acknowledge that the inclusion of CRF as a potential confounder could act as an intermediate variable in the pathway from the DQIPA to IR, which could introduce some bias\(^ {46} \). In that case, considering that the association between the DQIPA and IR could be mediated, at least in part, by the direct effect of CRF on IR would be appropriate.

The strengths of the present study include the geographical diversity of the HELENA-CSS centres as well as the large sample of adolescents, giving a fair approximation of the overall picture of the situation in Europe. Moreover, the inclusion of PA as part of the DQI-A, the use of IR as the outcome, the adjustment for several confounders including fitness as well as a standardised and harmonised methodology are strong points from the present study. However, the present study has some limitations. First, due to its cross-sectional design, causal

| Table 2. Partial correlations between the dietary quality indices, markers of insulin resistance and possible confounders after adjusting for pubertal status |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                            | HOMA†           | Insulin§         | QUICKI          | BMI†            | CRF†            |
| DQI-A                      |                 |                 |                 |                 |                  |
| Males                      | 0.019           | 0.010           | 0.001           | −0.052          | 0.150*          |
| Females                    | −0.083          | −0.077          | 0.086           | 0.101           | 0.035           |
| DQIPA                      |                 |                 |                 |                 |                  |
| Males                      | 0.012           | 0.006           | 0.006           | −0.062          | 0.260***        |
| Females                    | −0.101          | −0.095          | 0.103           | 0.048           | 0.160***        |

HOMA, homeostasis model assessment; QUICKI, quantitative insulin sensitivity check index; CRF, cardiorespiratory fitness; DQI-A, dietary quality index for adolescents; DQIPA, dietary quality index including physical activity.

\(^*P<0.001, \ ^{**}P<0.001\).

\(†\) Log-transformed data or raised to the power of 1/3.

who did not find any association between a dietary variety index and glucose, as well as other health parameters. In addition, Pan & Pratt\(^ {41} \) observed a lower prevalence of the metabolic syndrome with a higher overall Healthy Eating Index among adolescents aged 12–19 years. However, based on the correlations found for the DQI-A and DQIPA with CRF in the present study, further analyses including CRF in the model were performed. Thus, the DQIPA was inversely associated with HOMA and directly with the QUICKI in females but not in males. The significant associations found only for females may be due to the higher DQIPA levels showed for this population. It is important to highlight that the present results concur with the only study of Manios et al.\(^ {135} \) including PA as part of the Healthy Lifestyle-Diet-Index in children aged 10–12 years, but they did not compare the potentially different results of including or not PA in the index. Thus, to include PA and to show the different associations of the indices with and without PA is a unique contribution of the present study.

Adolescents with a lower quality of diet and PA levels should be considered in order to prevent them from having IR. There are many factors determining the quality of the diet, but our DQIPA includes a complete spectrum of these factors and the novelty of PA information. In addition, sociodemographic characteristics depending on the country, pubertal maturation, body composition and fitness level were taken into account in the analyses. Thus, the mechanism underlying the present findings could be the lack of quality in the diet and enough PA levels that could fail to guarantee an adequate glycaemic index. This would be in accordance with studies that observed associations of the diet with glycaemic control\(^ {7,42,43} \) and also of PA levels with IR\(^ {15,44,45} \). It is necessary to acknowledge that the inclusion of CRF as a potential confounder could act as an intermediate variable in the pathway from the DQIPA to IR, which could introduce some bias\(^ {46} \). In that case, considering that the association between the DQIPA and IR could be mediated, at least in part, by the direct effect of CRF on IR would be appropriate.

The strengths of the present study include the geographical diversity of the HELENA-CSS centres as well as the large sample of adolescents, giving a fair approximation of the overall picture of the situation in Europe. Moreover, the inclusion of PA as part of the DQI-A, the use of IR as the outcome, the adjustment for several confounders including fitness as well as a standardised and harmonised methodology are strong points from the present study. However, the present study has some limitations. First, due to its cross-sectional design, causal

| Table 3. Multiple linear regression models showing the association of the dietary quality indices with the markers of insulin resistance in European adolescents |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| (Standardised regression coefficients (\(\beta\)) and coefficients of determination (\(R^2\))) |
|                            | Model I†         | Model II†        | Model III‡       |
|                            | \(\beta\)        | \(R^2\)          | \(P\)            | \(\beta\)        | \(R^2\)          | \(P\)            | \(\beta\)        | \(R^2\)          | \(P\)            |
| DQI-A                      |                 |                 |                  |
| Males                      |                 |                 |                  |
| HOMA§                      | 0.039           | 0.058           | 0.519            | 0.009           | 0.218           | 0.859            | 0.041           | 0.226           | 0.490            |
| Insulin§                   | 0.037           | 0.060           | 0.547            | 0.006           | 0.224           | 0.915            | 0.034           | 0.234           | 0.562            |
| QUICKI                     | −0.024          | 0.065           | 0.691            | 0.006           | 0.214           | 0.913            | −0.016          | 0.216           | 0.788            |
| Females                    |                 |                 |                  |
| HOMA§                      | −0.074          | 0.105           | 0.170            | −0.065          | 0.157           | 0.199            | −0.108          | 0.147           | 0.083            |
| Insulin§                   | −0.072          | 0.117           | 0.179            | −0.061          | 0.176           | 0.229            | −0.1            | 0.166           | 0.106            |
| QUICKI                     | 0.079           | 0.109           | 0.139            | 0.07            | 0.157           | 0.168            | 0.113           | 0.143           | 0.071            |
| DQIPA                      |                 |                 |                  |
| Males                      |                 |                 |                  |
| HOMA§                      | 0.015           | 0.056           | 0.801            | 0.007           | 0.224           | 0.904            | 0.052           | 0.228           | 0.414            |
| Insulin§                   | 0.016           | 0.059           | 0.718            | 0.01            | 0.231           | 0.863            | 0.053           | 0.239           | 0.403            |
| QUICKI                     | −0.001          | 0.065           | 0.983            | −0.008          | 0.222           | 0.884            | −0.023          | 0.219           | 0.715            |
| Females                    |                 |                 |                  |
| HOMA§                      | −0.086          | 0.107           | 0.101            | −0.071          | 0.16            | 0.076            | −0.125          | 0.147           | <0.05            |
| Insulin§                   | −0.085          | 0.119           | 0.104            | −0.087          | 0.138           | 0.084            | −0.115          | 0.164           | 0.068            |
| QUICKI                     | 0.091           | 0.111           | 0.084            | 0.095           | 0.159           | 0.064            | 0.128           | 0.142           | <0.05            |

DQI-A, dietary quality index for adolescents; HOMA, homeostasis model assessment; QUICKI, quantitative insulin sensitivity check index; DQIPA, dietary quality index including physical activity.

\(^*\) Included pubertal status and centre as covariates.

\(^†\) Included model I plus BMI.

\(^‡\) Included model II plus cardiorespiratory fitness.

\(§\) Log-transformed data or raised to the power of 1/3.
associations cannot be definitely established. Second, studies using questionnaire data have inherent limitations: (1) the self-reported method is subject to inaccuracy and bias, especially with behaviours such as dietary habits; (2) an individual’s dietary habit can vary greatly from one day to another, limiting the reliability of a short-term recall on long-term patterns.

Conclusion

The results of the present study indicate that considering PA as part of the DQI-A is of relevance as the resulted DQIPA is inversely related to IR independently of potential confounders including CRF. Overall, these findings suggest that intervention studies aimed at preventing IR in young people should focus on increasing the quality of the diet as well as including an optimal PA level in healthy adolescents. More research on the relationships between dietary indices and different health parameters such as IR, cholesterol, the metabolic syndrome, inflammation and so on is warranted.
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