ABSTRACT

We report a case of a 53-year-old man whose first manifestation of coronary artery disease was an acute isolated posterior myocardial infarction (IPMI). Acute IPMI is relatively uncommon and predominantly due to occlusion of the left circumflex coronary artery. IPMI is challenging to diagnose due to the absence of ST segment elevation on a standard 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) even in the setting of total coronary artery occlusion and transmural (Q-wave) infarct. We discuss the diagnostic implications of the absence of tall R waves in leads V1 and V2 on this patient’s ECG. The utility of posterior leads (V7 through V9) is demonstrated. The controversy surrounding the use of thrombolytic therapy or primary angioplasty in the setting of acute IPMI without ST segment elevation on a standard 12-lead ECG is reviewed.
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Introduction

The posterior aspect of the left ventricle is typically supplied by the left circumflex coronary artery and is a challenging area of the heart in which to identify acute ischemia and infarction. During acute transmural myocardial infarction (MI), the characteristic ST-segment elevations seen in other areas of the heart are not seen in isolated posterior myocardial infarctions (IPMI) on standard 12-lead electrocardiograms (ECGs). If acute transmural IPMI were identified promptly in the emergency department (ED), these patients could be considered for thrombolytic therapy or immediate interventional cardiac catheterization. A few techniques have been developed to identify IPMI, including the use of posterior leads V7, V8 and V9, body surface mapping, and the development of posterior ECG leads.
specific 12-lead ECG criteria other than ST elevation.\textsuperscript{13–15} Papers from the pre-thrombolytic era\textsuperscript{14,15} and a recent consensus report from the American College of Cardiology that was endorsed by the American College of Emergency Physicians\textsuperscript{16} use the presence of tall R waves (typically defined as an R/S ratio $\geq 1^{17}$) in ECG leads V1 and V2 to define posterior MI. We present a case of an acute IPMI in which the ECG lacked tall R waves in leads V1 and V2. The use of posterior leads identified ST-segment elevation that changed patient management resulting in the patient going promptly to the cardiac catheterization laboratory.

Narrative

A 53-year-old Russian-speaking man presented to the ED (accompanied by his son, who translated for him) by private auto. He had a 4½-hour history of chest pain radiating to both arms. The onset of chest pain occurred while walking and was not relieved by rest. Associated symptoms included shortness of breath, nausea and diaphoresis. The patient’s only past medical history was of hypertension, for which he was taking losartan. He was taking no other medications. He had a 30 pack-year smoking history.

Initial vital signs revealed blood pressure 177/102 mm Hg, pulse 72 beats/min, respiratory rate 20 breaths/min and a normal body temperature. His initial ECG showed downsloping ST depression most prominently in leads V1 through V4 (Fig. 1) and a tall R wave in lead V3, but not in leads V1 or V2. Prompted by the ST depression and typical presentation for MI, a reading for posterior leads V7 through V9 (15-lead ECG) was immediately obtained and demonstrated ST elevations (Fig. 1). Standard management including oxygen, aspirin, morphine sulfate, intravenous nitroglycerin and heparin was initiated. A cardiology consultation was obtained, and the patient was taken promptly to the cardiac catheterization laboratory. Troponin I and other laboratory values were pending at that time.

Cardiac catheterization revealed total occlusion of the left circumflex artery, a normal left main coronary artery, a normal right coronary artery, a 60% proximal lesion of a small branch of the circumflex artery and luminal irregularities in the left anterior descending coronary artery. The patient underwent uncomplicated stenting of the left circumflex artery. A subsequent echocardiogram identified moderate to severe posterior wall hypokinesis and was otherwise unremarkable. The patient’s initial troponin I level was 1.4 ng/mL (CTNI Enzyme Immunoassay, Dade Behring, Inc., Newark, Del.; laboratory reference range 0.0–1.2 ng/mL) with a peak of 172 ng/mL 7 hours after presentation. Five hours after presentation a single CK measurement was obtained and was 3730 U/L with a CK-MB of 191 ng/mL (relative index 5.1%). The only complication identified during hospitalization was a single uncomplicated episode of hematemesis. The patient otherwise did well and was discharged home on hospital day 4.

Discussion

Our patient presented with an acute IPMI without ST elevation on the 12-lead ECG or tall R waves in V1 or V2. ST depressions in V1 and V2 and an upright T wave in V2 were present. ST elevations were only present in leads V8 and V9. The true incidence of IPMI is unknown but has been reported as 7% (3 of 46),\textsuperscript{18} 0% (0 of 13),\textsuperscript{9} 12% (4 of 34),\textsuperscript{6} 4% (23 of 544)\textsuperscript{13} and 3% (7 of 210)\textsuperscript{7} of patients with acute MI when posterior leads V7 through V9 were obtained.

Identifying patients with IPMI utilizing the standard 12-lead ECG may be challenging.\textsuperscript{12,13,10,12–14} Due to the location of the myocardial injury, ST-segment elevations that would
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**Fig. 1.** ECG of a 53-year-old man with isolated posterior myocardial infarction.
Posterior MI identified with V8 and V9

typically be associated with total coronary artery occlusion and MI.2,12 Because of this, efforts to develop criteria other than ST elevation for the identification of IPMI have been made. Some of these criteria were initially developed in the 1960s, but included few acute infarctions.14,15 Current diagnostic criteria for acute IPMI include: horizontal ST-segment depression, tall R waves, and prominent upright T waves in V1, V2 and/or V3.1,2 Our case demonstrated downsloping ST segments and lacked tall R waves in V1 and V2. An upright T wave in V2 and a tall R wave in V3 were present. It has been suggested that tall R waves in anterior leads (V1, V2 and V3) are simply the electrical equivalent of Q waves in the posterior leads (V7, V8 and V9).12 If this were the case, these two findings would always occur simultaneously on a 12-lead ECG (a 12-lead ECG + posterior leads). In a study of 18 patients with isolated posterior-lead ST elevation, Agarwal and coworkers reported anterior R waves in 8 patients and posterior Q waves in 8 patients, but both findings in only 4 patients.3 The correlation between anterior R waves, posterior Q waves, and the time course of their development in IPMI needs further study.

Posterior leads can display the anticipated ST-segment elevations associated with IPMI and coronary artery occlusion (predominantly the circumflex artery).3,4 There is, however, limited data as to the performance of posterior leads in clinical practice with regard to false-positive and false-negative findings. Zalensky and cohorts reported on 533 patients ≥35 years of age who presented to the ED with chest pain suggestive of ischemia or infarction and who were admitted to a cardiac care unit.4 All patients had standard 12-lead ECGs and 15-lead ECGs. There were 345 patients with MIs and 188 patients who were determined to not have had an MI. Although the IPMI cases were not tabulated separately, 34 of 345 (10%) of the MI patients had posterior-lead ST elevation and 3 of 188 (2%) of the patients who did not have MI had posterior-lead ST elevation. Zalensky and cohorts summarized the performance of posterior leads in appropriately identifying patients with MI: sensitivity 60%, specificity of 89%, positive predictive value 91%, negative predictive value 55%, accuracy 70%. Patients in this study were 15 to 21 times more likely to have acute MI if there were ST elevations in the posterior leads than if there were no ST elevations on any ECG. This analysis may be influenced by the definition of pathologic ST elevation (0.5 mm or 1 mm) in the posterior leads.8,19 Posterior leads may also be helpful in identifying acute IPMI in patients less likely to present with chest pain or in a “typical” fashion.20,21 Few physicians, however, seem to use posterior leads in their clinical practice. In a survey of Canadian physicians, only 11% of cardiologists and 9% of emergency physicians routinely use posterior leads.22

The optimal treatment of IPMI is currently unknown. Studies of thrombolysis in acute MI typically only include 12-lead ECGs.23,24 The GISSI study looked at 450 patients with suspected MI and ST depression and reported a higher mortality if these patients were treated with streptokinase than if they were treated with placebo.21 Patients with suspected MI and ST depression, however, are a heterogeneous group that includes patients with non-Q wave MI, unstable angina, noncardiac chest pain and IPMI.25 In contrast to the GISSI findings, Langer and colleagues reported a thrombolytic benefit for patients with non-Q wave MI (as defined on the 12-lead ECG) with >2 mm ST depression and presentation >6 hours after symptom onset.26 Unfortunately, this study did not separately report the findings of patients with ST depression isolated to the anterior leads. The benefit demonstrated in this study may be due to inclusion of cases with total circumflex coronary artery occlusion.25 Some effort has been made to differentiate IPMI from other causes of ST depression on a 12-lead ECG. Localization of the maximum ST depression in lead V2 or V3 has a reported sensitivity of 96% and specificity of 70% for identifying ischemia due to left circumflex artery occlusion.27 The total mass of myocardium lost in IPMI with circumflex artery occlusion is less than in other anatomic distributions (notably anterior MI).5 It would be expected that these smaller MIs would be associated with a relatively lower mortality than larger areas of infarction.5 Although survival is not the only important outcome measure, it has been estimated that a study of >10 000 subjects would be needed to demonstrate a survival advantage in treating patients with IPMI with thrombolytics.5,28 No study including this many IPMIs has been performed. Although still unresolved, a survey of Canadian physicians revealed that 89% of cardiologists and 78% of emergency physicians would administer thrombolysis to a patient who had isolated posterior-lead ST elevation.22

It is one of the major goals in the ED to identify patients who may benefit from thrombolysis or immediate interventional angiography. This case reveals some of the relatively subtle findings on standard 12-lead ECG that are suggestive of IPMI. This case also demonstrates the utility of posterior leads in identifying ST elevation in the setting of IPMI. Future studies may better define the role of acute reperfusion therapy in the setting of IPMI with isolated ST-segment elevations in the posterior leads.
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