

A. Müller¹, F. Soto¹, M. Sepúlveda², P. Bittencourt³, J. L. Benevenuto⁴, P. Ikeda⁴, R. Z. Machado⁴ and M. R. André⁴

Short Paper

Cite this article: Müller A, Soto F, Sepúlveda M, Bittencourt P, Benevenuto JL, Ikeda P, Machado RZ, André MR (2018). *Bartonella vinsonii subsp. berkhoffii* and *B. henselae* in dogs. *Epidemiology and Infection* **146**, 1202–1204. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268818001127>

Received: 13 December 2017

Revised: 17 March 2018

Accepted: 4 April 2018

First published online: 6 May 2018

Key words:

Bartonella spp; Chile; PCR

Author for correspondence:

A. Müller, E-mail: ananda.muller@uach.cl

¹Instituto de Ciencias Clínicas Veterinarias, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile; ²Gerencia de Áreas Silvestres Protegidas, Corporación Nacional Forestal, Santiago de Chile, Chile; ³Escuela de Medicina Veterinaria, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Mayor, Chile and ⁴Departamento de Patología Veterinária, Faculdade de Ciências Agrárias e Veterinárias, Universidade Estadual Paulista (FCAV/UNESP), Jaboticabal, SP, Brazil

Abstract

This study aimed to molecularly survey *Bartonella* in dogs from Chile. Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) for *Bartonella* spp. based on *nuoG* gene was performed in 139 blood samples taken from dogs belonging to rural localities of the Valdivia Province, Los Ríos region, southern Chile. *nuoG* qPCR-positive samples were submitted to conventional PCR assays for *ftsZ*, *gltA*, *rpoB* and *nuoG* genes and sequencing for speciation and phylogenetic analysis. Based upon qPCR results, *Bartonella* spp. occurrence in dogs was 4.3% (6/139). Out of six *nuoG* qPCR-positive samples, six, three, two and none showed positive results in cPCR assays based on *gltA*, *ftsZ*, *rpoB* and *nuoG* genes, respectively. Consistent sequencing results were obtained only for the *ftsZ* gene from sample #1532 (GeneBank accession number: MG252491), and *gltA* gene from samples #1535 (MG252490) and #1532 (148 bp fragment that was not deposited in GenBank). Phylogenetic analysis of *ftsZ* and *gltA* genes allowed speciation of two *nuoG*-positive samples, one as *Bartonella vinsonii subsp. berkhoffii* and the other as *B. henselae*. *Bartonella vinsonii subsp. berkhoffii* and *B. henselae* are detected for the first time in dogs from Chile, highlighting the importance of the canine population as a source of zoonotic agents and potential infection risk to humans.

The *Bartonella* genus includes fastidious Haemotropic Gram-negative bacteria transmitted by arthropod vectors [1]. In Chile, *Bartonella henselae*, *B. clarridgeiae* and *B. koehlerae* DNA were previously detected in cats [2]; *B. rochalimae* in *Pulex irritans* from dogs and *B. henselae* and *B. clarridgeiae* in *Ctenocephalides felis* from cats [3]. No data concerning *Bartonella* spp. blood stream infection in dogs have been previously reported in the country so far. This study aimed to perform a molecular survey of *Bartonella* in dogs from Chile.

The study was approved by the Universidad Austral de Chile Bioethics Committee (250/2016). Blood samples were collected from 139 client-owned dogs from rural localities (Chaihuín (39°56'15"S, 73°35'19"W), Cadillal Alto (39°59'18"S, 73°31'11"W), Huiro (39°54'51"S, 73°30'55"W) and Huape (39°54'51" S, 73°30'55" W)) in the Valdivia Province, Southern Chile, including most of the dogs located in each of the communities. DNA extraction was performed (DNeasy® Blood & Tissue Kit; QIAGEN®, Valencia, CA, USA) and DNA concentration and purity were determined (NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer; Thermo Scientific®, Waltham, MA, USA). The *RPS19* was used as an endogenous gene [4]. Samples were screened by *nuoG* real-time PCR (qPCR) for *Bartonella* spp. [5] (CFX96 Thermal Cycler; BioRad®, Hercules, CA, USA). *nuoG* qPCR-positive samples were subsequently tested using conventional PCR assays based on *ftsZ* [6], *gltA* [7], *rpoB* [6] and *nuoG* [5] genes (T100 BioRad thermocycler; BioRad®). cPCR-positive samples were sequenced (Sanger method; ABIPrism310 genetic analyser; Applied Biosystems®/Perkin-Elmer, Foster City, CA, USA) for speciation and phylogenetic analysis. Phylogenetic inference based on maximum likelihood criterion (ML) was inferred with RAxML-HPC BlackBox 7.6.3 (CIPRES Science Gateway).

All 139 DNA samples (mean and standard deviation (s.d.) of DNA concentration = 33 ± 22.3 ng/μl; mean and s.d. 260/280 ratio = 1.8 ± 0.07) were positive for the *RPS19* gene. *Bartonella-nuoG* DNA (mean and s.d. efficiency: 96.2 ± 0.81%, $r^2 = 0.998 ± 0.00046$) was detected in 4.3% (6/139) of the tested dogs. Out of six *nuoG* qPCR-positive samples, six, three, two and none showed results consistent with *Bartonella* spp. in cPCR assays based on *gltA*, *ftsZ*, *rpoB* and *nuoG* genes, respectively. Consistent sequencing results were obtained only for the *ftsZ* gene (550 bp) from sample #1532 (GeneBank accession number: MG252491), and *gltA* gene (305 bp) from sample #1535 (MG252490) and from sample #1532 (148 bp fragment that was not deposited in GenBank). While Blastn analysis of *ftsZ* fragment showed 99% identity with *B. vinsonii subsp. berkhoffii* (CP003124.1, AF467764.1), *gltA* fragment shared 100% identity to *B. henselae* (HG969191.1; AJ439406). It was not possible to identify species in the other cPCR-positive samples due to low signal strength in the electropherogram. While *B. vinsonii subsp.*




Fig. 1. Phylogenetic relationships within the *Bartonella* genus based on: (a) 950 pb fragment of the *ftsZ* gene after alignment including *B. vinsonii* subsp. *berkhoffii* from dog #1532 (GeneBank accession number: MG252491), (b) 1320 pb fragment of the *gltA* gene after alignment, including *B. henselae* *gltA* gene (305 bp) from sample #1535 (MG252490) and **B. vinsonii* subsp. *berkhoffii* from #1532 (148 bp fragment that was not deposited in GenBank due to low length). The tree was inferred by using the ML method and evolutive model GTR+G+I. The numbers at the nodes correspond to the bootstrap values higher than 50% obtained with 1000 replicates. *Brucella abortus* and *Ochrobactrum anthropi* were used as out groups.

berkhoffii sequence (MG252491) from sample #1532 clustered with an American Type Culture Collection *B. vinsonii* subsp. *berkhoffii*, *B. henselae* sequence obtained from sample #1535 (MG252490) grouped with a human *B. henselae* Houston-1 isolate (Fig. 1).

Few reports in South America have evaluated the molecular occurrence of *Bartonella* spp. in dogs. For instance, *B. vinsonii* subsp. *berkhoffii* was molecularly detected only in a dog from Colombia [8] and in a dog from Brazil, co-infected with

B. vinsonii subsp. *berkhoffii* and *B. henselae* [9]. To the best of our knowledge, *B. vinsonii* subsp. *berkhoffii* and *B. henselae* are detected for the first time in dogs from Chile. Serologic surveys of *B. vinsonii* subsp. *berkhoffii* have been performed in North America, Europe, Asia and Africa, with an exposure that ranged from 3% to 65% [1]. Nevertheless, as observed in the present study, *B. vinsonii* subsp. *berkhoffii* DNA is rarely detected by PCR from domestic dogs because dogs tend to maintain a very low level of bacteraemia, which makes molecular confirmation of blood stream infection challenging [10]. Differences between qPCR and cPCR results in this study were in accordance with other authors [11, 12], with a higher sensibility of qPCR compared with cPCR assays, highlighting the use of multiple approaches in order to increase the sensitivity of *Bartonella* detection. Better performance of qPCR over cPCR in detecting low *Bartonella* DNA copy numbers was described before [12]. Evidence suggests that dogs from regions with cold average winter temperatures, as the observed in southern Chile, are less likely to be PCR-positive than dogs from other climatic zones [1]. Attempts to improve the detection of this *Bartonella* species from dog blood samples using pre-enrichment media should be addressed in the future [10], as the techniques used in this study may have resulted in a lower molecular prevalence than actually exists in the dogs from Chile. Although cats are known to play a major role as *B. henselae* reservoirs in Chile [13], our results suggest that this species is also circulating in domestic dogs from the country. As observed before in Brazil [9] and Colombia [8], and described in Africa and Asia [1], rural and stray dogs, such as the dogs from Valdivia Province, are more likely to be infected or seroreactive to *Bartonella* spp.

Bartonella vinsonii subsp. *berkhoffii* is an emerging bacteria that has been isolated from immunocompetent human patients with arthritis, endocarditis, neurological disease and vasoproliferative neoplasia [14]. Vector transmission is suspected among dogs, which are the primary reservoir hosts. Unlike the domestic cat, for which clinical manifestations of natural infection are rarely documented, a wide range of clinical abnormalities have been reported in *B. vinsonii* subsp. *berkhoffii* and *B. henselae* bacteraemic dogs [1].

Since *Bartonella* spp. infected dogs develop pathology that is very similar to their human counterparts, natural and experimental infection in dogs could provide important information to enhance knowledge of the disease in people [15]. In Chile, *B. henselae* has been implicated in more than 200 human cases of bartonellosis serologically diagnosed between 1997 and 2000 [12]. The presence of *B. vinsonii* subsp. *berkhoffii* suggests the need to consider this species when testing clinical samples from suspected human cases in Chile. High similarity detected between *B. vinsonii* subsp. *berkhoffii* and *B. henselae* from dogs and human isolates highlights the importance of the canine population as a potential source of zoonotic agents and infection risk to humans in the country.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the veterinary team of the UACH Veterinary Hospital for their helpful contributions in collecting samples. They also wish to thank the team of the Immunoparasitology Laboratory, Faculdade de Ciências Agárias e Veterinárias of the Universidade Estadual Paulista, Jaboticabal, Brazil, for their technical support.

Conflict of interest. None.

References

1. Chomel BB *et al.* (2006) *Bartonella* spp. in pets and effect on human health. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **12**, 389–394.
2. Müller A *et al.* (2016) Prevalence, hematological findings and genetic diversity of *Bartonella* spp. in domestic cats from Valdivia, Southern Chile. *Parasitology* **12**, 1–10.
3. Pérez-Martínez L *et al.* (2009) *Bartonella rochalimae* and other *Bartonella* spp. in fleas, Chile. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **15**, 1150–1152.
4. Brinkhof B *et al.* (2006) Development and evaluation of canine reference genes for accurate quantification of gene expression. *Analytical Biochemistry* **356**, 36–43.
5. Andre MR *et al.* (2015) Assessment of a quantitative 5' nuclease real-time polymerase chain reaction using the nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide dehydrogenase gamma subunit (*nuoG*) for *Bartonella* species in domiciled and stray cats in Brazil. *Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery* **18**, 783–790.
6. Paziewska A *et al.* (2011) Recombination within and between species of the alpha Proteobacterium *Bartonella* infecting rodents. *Microbial Ecology* **61**, 134–145.
7. Norman AF *et al.* (1995) Differentiation of *Bartonella*-like isolates at the species level by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism in the citrate synthase gene. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **33**, 1797–1803.
8. Brenner EC *et al.* (2013) *Bartonella* infection in urban and rural dogs from the tropics: Brazil, Colombia, Sri Lanka and Vietnam. *Epidemiology and Infection* **141**, 54–61.
9. Vissotto De Paiva Diniz PP *et al.* (2007) Canine bartonellosis: serological and molecular prevalence in Brazil and evidence of co-infection with *Bartonella henselae* and *Bartonella vinsonii* subsp. *berkhoffii*. *Veterinary Research* **38**, 697–710.
10. Duncan A, Ricardo M and Breitschwerdt E (2007) A combined approach for the enhanced detection and isolation of *Bartonella* species in dog blood samples: pre-enrichment liquid culture followed by PCR and subculture onto agar plates. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* **69**, 273–281.
11. Gonçalves LR *et al.* (2016) Association of *Bartonella* species with wild and synanthropic rodents in different Brazilian biomes. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* **15**, 7154–7164.
12. André MR *et al.* (2015) Assessment of a quantitative 5 nuclease real-time PCR using the NADH dehydrogenase gamma subunit (*nuoG*) for *Bartonella* species in domiciled and stray cats in Brazil. *Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery* **18**, 783–790.
13. Ferrés M *et al.* (2005) Presence of *Bartonella henselae* in cats: natural reservoir quantification and human exposition risk of this zoonoses in Chile. *Revista Médica de Chile* **133**, 1465–1471.
14. Breitschwerdt E *et al.* (2007) *Bartonella* species in blood of immunocompetent persons with animal and arthropod contact. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **13**, 938–941.
15. Breitschwerdt E *et al.* (2013) Koch's postulates and the pathogenesis of comparative infectious disease causation associated with *Bartonella* species. *Journal of Comparative Pathology* **148**, 115–125.