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#### Abstract

We investigate convergence in a weighted $L_{1}$-norm of Hermite-Fejér and Hermite interpolation at the zeros of orthogonal polynomials associated with weights on the real line. The results are then applied to convergences of product integration rules. From the point of view of orthogonal polynomials, the new feature is that Freud and Erdös weights are treated simultaneously and that relatively few assumptions are placed on the weight. From the point of view of product integration, the rules exhibit convergence for highly oscillatory kernels (for example) and for functions of rapid growth at infinity.


1. Introduction. In this paper, we shall study the convergence of Hermite-Fejér and related interpolation operators in a weighted $L_{1}$ norm,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|g\|:=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|g(x)| W^{2}(x) d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $W:=e^{-Q}$, and $Q: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is even, continuous and of at least polynomial growth at infinity. The interpolation takes place at the zeros $\left\{x_{k n}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}=\left\{x_{k n}\left(W^{2}\right)\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ of the $n$th orthonormal polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(x):=p_{n}\left(W^{2}, x\right):=\gamma_{n} x^{n}+\cdots, \quad \gamma_{n}>0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $W^{2}$, defined by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{n}(x) p_{m}(x) W^{2}(x) d x=\delta_{m n} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The zeros are ordered so that

$$
-\infty<x_{n n}<x_{n-1, n}<x_{n-2, n}<\cdots<x_{1 n}<\infty .
$$

It is a classical result of Erdös-Turan that the natural setting for studying convergence of Lagrange interpolation at the zeros of $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is the $L_{2}$-setting. Recent results of Nevai and Vértesi [21] show that the natural analogue for Hermite-Fejér interpolation is the $L_{1^{-}}$ setting. They showed that if $w$ is a non-negative weight with support in the finite interval [ $a, b$ ], so that in particular

$$
0<\int_{a}^{b} w(x) d x<\infty
$$
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and if $H_{n}(w, f, x)$ is the $n$th associated Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomial at the zeros $\left\{x_{j n}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ of $p_{n}(w, x)$, defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{n}\left(w, f, x_{j n}\right)=f\left(x_{j n}\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \\
H_{n}^{\prime}\left(w, f, x_{j n}\right)=0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{b}\left|f(x)-H_{n}(w, f, x)\right| w(x) d x=0
$$

for each polynomial $f$. Furthermore, if $[a, b]=[-1,1]$, and $w$ is a generalized Jacobi weight of the form

$$
w(x)=g(x)(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta>-1,
$$

where $g$ is positive on $[-1,1]$ and $g^{\prime}$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order 1 on $[-1,1]$, then this limit remains valid for each $f$ continuous on $[-1,1]$.

Their careful analysis of the related convergence questions in $L_{p}, p \neq 1$, shows that these cases are inherently more complicated, and that much more needs to be assumed about the weight.

It is partly for this reason that we shall concentrate on the $L_{1}$-case in this paper. An interesting feature of the results is that simultaneously they treat Freud and Erdös weights. These are respectively the cases where $Q$ above is of polynomial, and of faster than polynomial growth, at infinity. Previously these have been treated separately $[8-13,15,18$, 20].

Once we have the convergence of Hermite-Fejér interpolation, we can consider convergence of the associated product quadrature rules. These rules involve approximation of

$$
\begin{equation*}
I[k ; f]:=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(x) f(x) d x \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by quadrature rules

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}[k ; f]:=\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j n}(k) f\left(x_{j n}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the weights $\left\{w_{j n}\right\}$ are usually determined by integration of some (typically polynomial) approximation to $f$. The philosophy is to split the integrand $k f$ into a difficult component $k$ with known types of singularities or oscillatory behaviour, and such that the weights $w_{j n}(k)$ can be evaluated explicitly, and a smooth component $f$ that is treated numerically.

To develop this theme further, we need some more notation. Let $\left\{\ell_{j n}(x)\right\}_{j=1}^{n}=$ $\left\{\ell_{j n}\left(W^{2}, x\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ denote the fundamental polynomials of Lagrange interpolation for $\left\{x_{j n}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$, so that $\ell_{j n}(x)$ has degree $n-1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{j n}\left(x_{k n}\right)=\delta_{j k}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq n \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fundamental polynomials of Hermite interpolation are then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j n}:=\left\{1-\frac{p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)}{p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)}\left(x-x_{j n}\right)\right\} \ell_{j n}^{2}(x), \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{h}_{j n}(x):=\left(x-x_{j n}\right) \ell_{j n}^{2}(x), \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$1 \leq j \leq n$. The Hermite-Fejér polynomial $H_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right)$ satisfying (1.4) with $w=W^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right):=\sum_{j=1}^{n} f\left(x_{j n}\right) h_{j n}(x) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)$ is defined, $1 \leq j \leq n$, one may also define the Hermite (or osculatory) interpolation polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right):=\sum_{j=1}^{n} f\left(x_{j n}\right) h_{j n}(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} f^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right) \hat{h}_{j n}(x) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{n}^{(\ell)}\left(W^{2}, f, x_{j n}\right)=f^{(\ell)}\left(x_{j n}\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \ell=0,1 . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both $H_{n}$ and $\hat{H}_{n}$ are special cases of the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}^{*}\left(W^{2}, f,\left\{d_{\ell n}\right\}, x\right):=\sum_{j=1}^{n} f\left(x_{j n}\right) h_{j n}(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{j n} \hat{h}_{j n}(x) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
H_{n}^{*}\left(W^{2}, f,\left\{d_{\ell n}\right\}, x_{j n}\right)=f\left(x_{j n}\right),  \tag{1.14}\\
H_{n}^{* \prime}\left(W^{2}, f,\left\{d_{\ell n}\right\}, x_{j n}\right)=d_{j n},
\end{array}\right\} \quad 1 \leq j \leq n
$$

In several classical cases, and in those treated in this paper, the contribution from $p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j n}\right) / p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left(x-x_{j n}\right)$ in $h_{j n}(x)$ turns out to be negligible. One is then tempted to introduce the very simple operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right):=\sum_{j=1}^{n} f\left(x_{j n}\right) \ell_{j n}^{2}(x) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has the advantage of being positive, that is

$$
f \geq 0 \text { in } \mathbb{R} \text { implies } Y_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, \cdot\right) \geq 0 \text { in } \mathbb{R} .
$$

Grünwald [7] investigated $Y_{n}$ for " $\rho$-normal sets" and Rabinowitz and Vértesi considered $Y_{n}$ in the context of product integration [27]. It is also a close cousin of Nevai's $G_{n}$ operator [19, p. 74].

The operator $H_{n}$ generates the product quadrature rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}[k ; f]:=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right) k(x) d x=\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j n}(k) f\left(x_{j n}\right), \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{j n}(k):=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_{j n}(x) k(x) d x, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obvious analogues are generated by $\hat{H}_{n}$ and $H_{n}^{*}$. For example, in the case of $\hat{H}_{n}$, we obtain the product quadrature rule

$$
\hat{I}_{n}[k ; f]:=I_{n}[k ; f]+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{w}_{j n}(k) f^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right),
$$

where

$$
\hat{w}_{j n}(k):=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{h}_{j n}(x) k(x) d x, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n .
$$

In this paper, under suitable conditions on $W^{2}$, the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
A:=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|k(x) / W^{2}(x)\right|<\infty, \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

will guarantee convergence, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{n}[k ; f]=I[k ; f], \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for functions that may grow almost as fast as $W^{-2}$. We typically require for some $\alpha=$ $\alpha(W)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|f(x)| W^{2}(x)\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|\right]^{\alpha+1}<\infty \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By contrast, when product quadrature rules based on Lagrange interpolation [8] were considered for weights on $\mathbb{R}, f$ was required to grow somewhat slower than $W^{-1}$. Of course, the condition on $k$ was correspondingly weaker.

Algorithmic aspects, such as evaluation of the weights $w_{j n}(k)$ via modified moments $I\left[k ; p_{n}\right]$, have been considered in [25]. Another relevant reference for product quadrature rules based on Hermite-Fejér interpolation is [1]. For product quadrature on infinite intervals, see [8, 14, 28].

We remark that the approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}[k ; f]:=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Y_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right) k(x) d x=\sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{j n}(k) f\left(x_{j n}\right) \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{j n}(k):=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell_{j n}^{2}(x) k(x) d x, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

has several advantages. First, if $k \geq 0$, then all the weights $v_{j n}(k)$ are non-negative. Furthermore, the algorithm to evaluate $v_{j n}(k)$ is simpler than that for $w_{j n}(k)$. In the special case $k \equiv W^{2}$, we recover the Gauss weights

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{j n}:=\lambda_{j n}\left(W^{2}\right): & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell_{j n}^{2}(x) W^{2}(x) d x  \tag{1.23}\\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell_{j n}(x) W^{2}(x) d x, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, under the condition (1.18), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v_{j n}(k)\right| \leq A \lambda_{j n}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that many of the convergence properties of the Gauss case carry over to this product quadrature rule. For example, when $f$ has some singularity, the process of "avoiding the singularity", well known to converge for the Gauss rule [12] also guarantees convergence for the product quadrature rule.

This paper is organised as follows: In Section 2, we introduce our class of weights, and state our main results. In Section 3, we present some technical estimates, perhaps proved for the first time simultaneously for Freud and Erdös weights. In Section 4, we prove some infinite-finite range inequalities. In Section 5, we estimate quantities relating to a differential equation, developed by Shohat, Nevai, Bonan, Bauldry, and Mhaskar, enabling us to bound $\left|p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) / p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|$. In Section 6 , we obtain estimates for Christoffel functions. Finally, in Section 7, we prove the results of Section 2.
2. Main results. The theory of orthogonal polynomials for weights on the real line, and its associated approximation theory, has in recent years been developed primarily for weights $W^{2}:=e^{-2 Q}$, where $Q$ is even, and of polynomial growth at infinity [20]the so-called Freud case. Quite recently, the case where $Q$ is of faster than polynomial growth-the so-called Erdös case, has also received attention. The following definition allows both cases:

Definition 2.1. We write $W \in \mathcal{W}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(a) $W=e^{-Q}$, where $Q: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is even, continuously differentiable, $Q^{\prime \prime}$ exists in $(0, \infty)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(0)=0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) For $x \in(0, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}(x)>0 \text { and } Q^{\prime \prime}(x) \geq 0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) There exist $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ and $\eta>0$, such that for $x \in\left(C_{1}, \infty\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \leq x Q^{\prime \prime}(x) / Q^{\prime}(x) \leq C_{2}\left(\log Q^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The normalization $Q(0)=0$ is merely for convenience, and can be achieved by multiplying $W$ by a suitable constant. The condition (b) forces $Q$ to be convex and $Q^{\prime}$ to be increasing in $(0, \infty)$. The left inequality in (2.3), combined with (2.2), ensures that $Q(x)$ grows at least as fast as $|x|^{1+\eta}$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$. In this connection, we remark that this "lower growth condition" is not so severe; the set of all polynomials is not dense in the space of continuous functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|:=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|f(x)| W^{2}(x) d x<\infty \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $W(x)=\exp (-Q(x))$, when $Q(x):=|x|^{\beta}, \beta<1$.
The right inequality in (2.3) is a weak regularity condition. In fact, for regularly growing $Q$, one has for each $\epsilon>0$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
x Q^{\prime \prime}(x) / Q^{\prime}(x)=O\left[\log Q^{\prime}(x)\right]^{1+\epsilon}
$$

In the general case, one can show that this relation holds outside a "small" set of $x$.
As examples of $Q$ satisfying (a)-(c), we mention

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x):=|x|^{\beta}, \quad \beta>1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x):=\exp _{k}\left(|x|^{\beta}\right)-\exp _{k}(0), \quad \beta \geq 1, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\exp _{k}(u):=\exp (\exp (\exp (\cdots \exp (u) \cdots))$ denotes the $k$ th iterated exponential.
We shall also need the Mhaskar-Rahmanov-Saff number $a_{u}=a_{u}(Q)$, defined as the positive root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} a_{u} t Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u} t\right)\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} d t, \quad u>0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $s Q^{\prime}(s)$ is positive and strictly increasing for $s \in(0, \infty)$, with limits 0 and $\infty$ at 0 and $\infty$ respectively, $a_{u}$ is uniquely defined. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{u \rightarrow 0+} a_{u}=0 ; \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} a_{u}=\infty \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The significance of $a_{u}$ in studying weights on $\mathbb{R}$ has become clear in recent years [16, 17].

The class of polynomials of degree at most $n$ with real coefficients is denoted by $\mathscr{P}_{n}$. Constants independent of $n, x$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ are denoted by $C, C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$ The same symbol does not necessarily denote the same constant in different occurrences. To emphasise that $C$ does not depend on a particular parameter $\alpha$, we write $C \neq C(\alpha)$, etc.

Following is our result for polynomials:

THEOREM 2.2. Let $W:=e^{-Q} \in \mathcal{W}$ and $a_{n}=a_{n}(Q), n \geq 1$. Then there exist $n_{1}$ and $C_{1}$ such that for $n \geq n_{1}$ and $R_{n} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mid & R_{n}(x)-H_{n}\left(W^{2}, R_{n}, x\right) \mid W^{2}(x) d x \\
& \leq C_{1} \frac{a_{n}^{3 / 2}(\log n)^{1 / 2}}{n}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(R_{n}^{\prime} W\right)^{2}(x) d x\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $C_{1} \neq C_{1}\left(n, R_{n}\right)$. In particular, iffor some $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x) \geq x^{3 / 2+\epsilon}, \quad x \text { large enough } \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for every polynomial $P$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|P(x)-H_{n}\left(W^{2}, P, x\right)\right| W^{2}(x) d x=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $3 / 2$ in (2.10) can possibly be replaced by 1 , but not using our present method of proof, which involves the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. With additional assumptions, it should be possible to prove this.

Next, we turn to general functions:
THEOREM 2.3. Let $W:=e^{-Q} \in \mathcal{W}$ and let $a_{n}=a_{n}(Q)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=o(n / \sqrt{\log n})^{2 / 3}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $\alpha>0$ such that for some $\sigma>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{n} / n\right)^{\alpha}=O\left(n^{-1-\sigma}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, iff $: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and Riemann-integrable in each finite interval, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|f(x)| W^{2}(x)\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|\right]^{\alpha+1}<\infty \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|f(x)-H_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right)\right| W^{2}(x) d x=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|f(x)-Y_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right)\right| W^{2}(x) d x=0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, (2.12) holds if (2.10) is satisfied, and in this case, we may choose any $\alpha>3$.

In the special case $Q(x):=|x|^{\beta}, \beta>3 / 2$, it is easily seen that for some $A>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=A n^{1 / \beta}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (2.13) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha>\beta /(\beta-1) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may reformulate condition (2.14) in this case as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|f(x)| W^{2}(x)\left[1+|x|^{2 \beta-1+\epsilon}\right]<\infty, \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

some $\epsilon>0$.
We note that (2.14) can be somewhat weakened if one places additional assumptions on the weight. If for example,

$$
a_{n}=o(\sqrt{n / \log n}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,
$$

one can replace (2.14) by

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|f Q^{\prime}(x)\right| W^{2}(x)(1+|x|)\{\log (2+|x|)\}^{2}<\infty,
$$

as is evident from the proofs.
We next turn to the operators $\hat{H}_{n}$ and $H_{n}^{*}$, defined by (1.11) and (1.13) respectively:
Theorem 2.4. Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 on $f, W^{2}$, and $\alpha$. For $n \geq 1$, let there be given $\left\{d_{j n}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|d_{j n}\right| W^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left(1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|\right)^{-\alpha} \leq C<\infty . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the generalized Hermite operators $\left\{H_{n}^{*}\right\}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|f(x)-H_{n}^{*}\left(W^{2}, f,\left\{d_{j n}\right\}, x\right)\right| W^{2}(x) d x=0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, iff exists in $\mathbb{R}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| W^{2}(x)\left(1+\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)^{-\alpha}<\infty, \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the Hermite interpolation polynomials $\left\{\hat{H}_{n}\right\}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|f(x)-\hat{H}_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right)\right| W^{2}(x) d x=0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now turn to the product quadrature rules. For simplicity, we discuss only the rules $I_{n}[k ; f]$ and $J_{n}[k ; f]$ defined by (1.16-17) and (1.21-22) respectively. Recall too the notation (1.5).

COROLLARY 2.5. Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 on $f, W^{2}$ and $\alpha$. Let $k: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable, and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|k(x) / W^{2}(x)\right|<\infty . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{n}[k ; f]=I[k ; f], \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{n}[k ; f]=I[k ; f] . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that Corollary 2.5 may be extended to handle functions $f$ with finitely many singularities, if we modify the rules so as to "avoid the singularity". To be more precise, we introduce classes of functions $M_{d}(\xi ; k)$ and $\bar{M}_{d}(\xi ; k)$ that have a dominated growth at a point $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ :

DEFINITION 2.6. (a) We say that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \in M_{d}(\xi ; k)$ if $f$ is continuous on $(\xi, \infty)$ and $\exists F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that
(i) $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|k F|(x) d x<\infty$,
(ii) $F=0$ on $(-\infty, \xi]$, and is continuous and monotone decreasing on $(\xi, \infty)$,
(iii) $|f(x)| \leq F(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$.
(b) We say that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \in \bar{M}_{d}(\xi ; k)$ if $f$ is continuous on $(-\infty, \xi)$ and $\exists F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that
(i) and (iii) above hold;
(ii) $F=0$ on $[\xi, \infty)$ and is continuous and monotone increasing on $(-\infty, \xi)$.

The set of singular functions $\mathcal{L}$ that we shall consider consists of those functions that can be expressed as a finite linear combination of functions in $M_{d}\left(\xi_{j} ; k\right), j=1,2,3, \ldots m$, and $\bar{M}_{d}\left(\eta_{j} ; k\right), j=1,2,3, \ldots p$, and functions bounded and Riemann integrable in every finite interval satisfying (2.14). Here the sets $X:=\left\{\xi_{j}: 1 \leq j \leq m\right\}$ and $Y:=\left\{\eta_{j}: 1 \leq\right.$ $j \leq p\}$ need not be distinct. Note that elements of $M_{d}(\xi ; k)$ and $\bar{M}_{d}(\eta ; k)$ are bounded outside a finite interval, and so functions in $\mathcal{L}$ satisfy (2.14) if we omit a suitable finite interval.

Let us now define the modified quadrature rule $J_{n}^{*}[k ; f]$ from $J_{n}[k ; f]$ by dropping the at most $m+p$ points $x_{j n}$ in the rule $J_{n}[k ; f]$ that are closest to the points of $X \cup Y$. For such rules, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.7. Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 on $W^{2}$ and $\alpha$, and let $k$
satisfy (2.24). Then for any $f \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{n}^{*}[k ; f]=I[k ; f] . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Technical estimates. Throughout this section, we assume that $W=e^{-Q} \in \mathcal{W}$ and we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x):=1+x Q^{\prime \prime}(x) / Q^{\prime}(x)=\frac{d}{d x}\left(x Q^{\prime}(x)\right) / Q^{\prime}(x), \quad x \in(0, \infty) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that from (2.2) and (2.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\eta \leq T(x) \leq C_{3}\left\{\log Q^{\prime}(x)\right\}^{2}, \quad x \geq C_{4} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall derive several upper and lower bounds for $Q^{(\ell)}\left(a_{u}\right), \ell=0,1,2, a_{2 u} / a_{u}$, and other quantities. The estimates are essentially proved in the same way as those in [10], but since only Erdös weights were treated there, we include the proofs.

Lemma 3.1. (a) For $x>0, L \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x) \leq L^{-1} Q(L x) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) $\exists C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(a_{u}\right) \leq C u, \quad u \in(0, \infty) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) $\exists C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
Q^{\prime}(x) & \geq C_{1} x^{\eta}, \quad x \geq C_{3} .  \tag{3.5}\\
Q(x) & \geq C_{2} x^{1+\eta}, \quad x \geq C_{3} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

(d)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{u} \leq C_{4} u^{1 /(1+\eta)}, \quad u \geq C_{5} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a)

$$
Q(t)=\int_{0}^{t} Q^{\prime}(s) d s \leq t Q^{\prime}(t), \quad t \in(0, \infty)
$$

as $Q^{\prime}$ is non-decreasing. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(L x) / Q(x) & =\exp \left(\int_{x}^{L x} \frac{Q^{\prime}(t)}{Q(t)} d t\right) \\
& \geq \exp \left(\int_{x}^{L x} \frac{d t}{t}\right)=L .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} a_{u} t Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u} t\right)\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} d t \\
& \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{1 / \sqrt{2}}^{1} a_{u} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u} t\right) d t=\frac{2}{\pi}\left[Q\left(a_{u}\right)-Q\left(a_{u} / \sqrt{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

since $t / \sqrt{1-t^{2}} \geq 1, t \in[1 / \sqrt{2}, 1)$. Applying (a) yields

$$
u \geq \frac{2}{\pi} Q\left(a_{u}\right)[1-1 / \sqrt{2}]
$$

(c) From (2.3),

$$
Q^{\prime \prime}(x) / Q^{\prime}(x) \geq \eta / x, \quad x \geq C_{1} .
$$

Integrating from $C_{1}$ to $s$ yields

$$
\log \left[Q^{\prime}(s) / Q^{\prime}\left(C_{1}\right)\right] \geq \eta \log \left[s / C_{1}\right]
$$

and (3.5) follows for $x=s \geq C_{3}$. Integrating (3.5) similarly yields (3.6).
(d) This follows directly from (3.4) and (3.6).

Lemma 3.2. There exists $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ such that for $v \geq u \geq C_{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+C_{1} / u\right)(v / u)^{1 /(1+\eta)} \geq a_{v} / a_{u} \geq(v / u)^{C_{2} /\left(\log Q^{\prime}\left(a_{v}\right)\right)^{2}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Differentiating (2.7) with respect to $u$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{a_{u}^{\prime} t Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u} t\right)+a_{u} t Q^{\prime \prime}\left(a_{u} t\right) a_{u}^{\prime} t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} d t \\
& =\frac{a_{u}^{\prime}}{a_{u}} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{a_{u} t Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u} t\right) T\left(a_{u} t\right)}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now give $B>1$, we have for large $u$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{B / a_{u}} \frac{a_{u} t Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u} t\right) T\left(a_{u} t\right)}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} d t & =\frac{1}{a_{u}} \int_{0}^{B} \frac{s Q^{\prime}(s) T(s)}{\sqrt{1-\left(s / a_{u}\right)^{2}}} d s \\
& \leq C_{4} / a_{u} \int_{0}^{B}\left(s Q^{\prime}(s)+s^{2} Q^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq C_{5} / a_{u} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using our upper bound in (3.2) with a suitable value of $B$, and using the monotonicity of $Q^{\prime}$, we then obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & \leq \frac{a_{u}^{\prime}}{a_{u}}\left[\frac{2}{\pi} C_{5} / a_{u}+C_{6}\left(\log Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)\right)^{2} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{B / a_{u}}^{1} \frac{a_{u} t Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u} t\right)}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} d t\right]  \tag{3.9}\\
& \leq \frac{a_{u}^{\prime}}{a_{u}} C_{7}\left(\log Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)\right)^{2} u .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly using our lower bound in (3.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \geq \frac{a_{u}^{\prime}}{a_{u}}(1+\eta) \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{B / a_{u}}^{1} \frac{a_{u} t Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u} t\right)}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} d t \\
& =\frac{a_{u}^{\prime}}{a_{u}}(1+\eta)\left[\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{a_{u} t Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u} t\right)}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} d t+O\left(1 / a_{u}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
\frac{a_{u}^{\prime}}{a_{u}} \leq \frac{1}{1+\eta} \frac{1}{u-C_{8}} .
$$

Then for large enough $C_{3}, v \geq u \geq C_{3}$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{v} / a_{u} & =\exp \left(\int_{u}^{v} \frac{a_{t}^{\prime}}{a_{t}} d t\right) \\
& \leq \exp \left(\frac{1}{1+\eta} \log \left(\frac{v-C_{8}}{u-C_{8}}\right)\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\frac{1}{1+\eta}\left[\log \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)+\log \left(\frac{1-C_{8} / v}{1-C_{8} / u}\right)\right]\right) \\
& \leq(v / u)^{1 /(1+\eta)}\left(1+C_{9} / u\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating (3.9) similarly yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{v} / a_{u} & =\exp \left(\int_{u}^{v} \frac{a_{t}^{\prime}}{a_{t}} d t\right) \\
& \geq \exp \left(\frac{1}{C_{7}\left(\log Q^{\prime}\left(a_{v}\right)\right)^{2}} \int_{u}^{v} \frac{d t}{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.3. (a)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{s Q^{\prime}(s)}{t Q^{\prime}(t)} \geq\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\max \{T(u): u \in[s, t]\}}, \quad t>s>0 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) For $u \geq C_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \leq a_{u} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right) \leq C_{1} u \log u \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Given fixed $r>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{r u} / a_{u} \geq 1+C_{3} /(\log u)^{2}, \quad u \geq C_{4} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{s Q^{\prime}(s)}{t Q^{\prime}(t)} & =\exp \left(-\int_{s}^{t} \frac{\frac{d}{d u}\left(u Q^{\prime}(u)\right)}{u Q^{\prime}(u)} d u\right) \\
& =\exp \left(-\int_{s}^{t} \frac{T(u)}{u} d u\right) \geq \exp \left(-\max \{T(u): u \in[s, t]\} \int_{s}^{t} \frac{d u}{u}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) From the monotonicity of $s Q^{\prime}(s)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{a_{u} t Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u} t\right)}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} d t \\
& \leq a_{u} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right) \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}}=a_{u} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have the lower bound in (3.11). Next using (3.10),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{u}{a_{u} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)}= & \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{a_{u} t Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u} t\right)}{a_{u} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} \\
\geq & \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{1-\left\{\log Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)\right\}^{-2}}^{1} t^{\max \left\{T(v): v \in\left[a_{u} t, a_{u}\right]\right\}} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} \\
\geq & \frac{2}{\pi}\left(1-\left\{\log Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)\right\}^{-2}\right)^{C_{3}\left\{\log Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)\right\}^{2}} \int_{1-\left\{\log Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)\right\}^{-2}}^{1} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} \\
& (\operatorname{by}(3.2)) \\
\geq & C_{4}\left(\log Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)\right)^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

by the inequality

$$
(1-1 / s)^{s} \geq e^{-1} / 2, s \text { large enough. }
$$

Then the upper bound in (3.11) follows.
(c) From (3.8),

$$
a_{r u} / a_{u} \geq r^{C_{2} /\left\{\log Q^{\prime}\left(a_{r u}\right)\right\}^{2}} \geq \exp \left(C_{3} /(\log u)^{2}\right)
$$

by (b). Then (3.12) follows.
4. Infinite-finite range inequalities. The basic result is due to Mhaskar and Saff [16]. Throughout, we assume that $W \in \mathcal{W}$. We also adopt the usual notation for $L_{p}$ norms on real intervals:

THEOREM 4.1. For $n \geq 1$ and $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P W\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}=\|P W\|_{L_{\infty}\left[-a_{n}, a_{n}\right]} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. See [16].
LEmmA 4.2 A CRUDE NiKOLSKII INEQUALITY. Let $0<p<\infty$. ヨC such that for $n \geq 1$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P W\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C n^{2 / \min \{p, 1\}}\|P W\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. See [13, p. 53]. Note that in [13], (7.15) should read

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} Q(x) / \log |x|=\infty
$$

rather than

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \log Q(x) / \log |x|=\infty
$$

as is obvious from the proof.

We shall need the following generalized $L_{p}$ infinite-finite range inequality. Other $L_{p}$ versions appear in [10, 13, 16, 20].

Theorem 4.3. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\ell=0,1$ or 2 . Let $0<p \leq \infty$ and $\sigma>0$. Then $\exists n_{0}=n_{0}(\sigma)$ such that for $n \geq n_{0}$ and $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P W\left[1+\left|Q^{(\ell)}\right|\right]^{\alpha} \mid\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} \leq\left(1+n^{-\sigma}\right)\left\|P W\left[1+\left|Q^{(\ell)}\right|\right]^{\alpha}\right\|_{L_{p}\left|-a_{4 n}, a_{4 n}\right|} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ and $j \geq 1$. Then

$$
t^{\left(2^{j}-1\right) n} P(t) \in \mathcal{P}_{2^{j} n},
$$

so by Theorem 4.1, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|t^{\left(2^{j}-1\right) n} P(t) W(t)\right| & \leq\left\|x^{\left(2^{j}-1\right) n}(P W)(x)\right\|_{\left.L_{\infty} \mid-a_{j_{j}}, a_{j_{n}}\right]} \\
& \leq a_{2 j_{n}}^{\left(2^{j}-1\right) n}\|P W\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for $|t| \geq a_{2^{j+1} n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P W|(t) \leq\left(a_{2 i_{n}} / a_{2^{j+1} n}\right)^{\left(2^{j}-1\right) n}\|P W\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, from (3.12), uniformly for $n \geq n_{1}$ and $j \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{2 n} / a_{2^{j+1} n} & \leq\left(1+C_{3} /\left\{\log \left[2^{j} n\right]\right\}^{2}\right)^{-1} \\
& \leq \exp \left(-C_{4} /\left(j^{2}+[\log n]^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(a_{2 n} / a_{2 j+1}\right)^{\left(2^{j}-1\right) n} & \leq \exp \left(-C_{4}\left(2^{j}-1\right) n /\left(j^{2}+[\log n]^{2}\right)\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
& \leq \exp \left(-C_{5} 2^{j / 2} n^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$j \geq 1, n \geq n_{0}$, some $n_{0}>n_{1}$. Furthermore, if

$$
I_{j, n}:=\left\{t: a_{2 j+1} \leq|t| \leq a_{2^{j+2} n}\right\},
$$

we obtain from (3.4), (3.11) and (2.3) that whenever $t \in \mathcal{I}_{j, n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|Q^{(\ell)}(t)\right| & \leq C_{6}\left(2^{j+2} n\right)\left(\log \left[2^{j+2} n\right]\right)^{3} \\
& \leq C_{7} 4^{j} n^{2}, \quad n \geq n_{0}, j \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (4.4), (4.5) and this last inequality yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{j_{j, n}}|P W|^{p}(t)[1+ & \left.\left|Q^{(\ell)}(t)\right|\right]^{\alpha p} d t \\
& \leq C_{9} \exp \left(-C_{8} 2^{j / 2} n^{1 / 2}\right)\|P W\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^{p}\left(4^{j} n^{2}\right)^{\alpha p} a_{2^{j+2} n} \\
& \left.\leq C_{10} n^{C_{11}}\|P W\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R}}^{p}\right)^{p / \alpha p+1)} \exp \left(-C_{8} 2^{j / 2} n^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the Nikolskii inequality Lemma 4.2, and the bound (3.7). Summing for $j=1$ to $\infty$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{|t| \geq a_{4 n}}|P W|^{p}(t)[1+ & \left.\left|Q^{(\ell)}(t)\right|\right]^{\alpha p} d t \\
& \leq\|P W\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})}^{p} C_{10} n^{C_{11}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 4^{j \mid \alpha p+1]} \exp \left(-C_{8} 2^{j / 2} n^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \leq\|P W\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})}^{p} n^{-2 \sigma p},
\end{aligned}
$$

if $n \geq n_{2}$, which depends only on $\sigma, \alpha, p$ and not on $P$ or $n$. Then

$$
\left\|P W\left[1+\left|Q^{(\ell)}\right|\right]^{\alpha}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(|t| \geq a_{4 n}\right)} \leq n^{-2 \sigma}\left\|P W\left[1+\left|Q^{(\ell)}\right|\right]^{\alpha}\right\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})},
$$

and (4.3) follows.
We remark that we need $a_{4 n}$, not $a_{n}(1+\epsilon)$, in the above lemma, since especially in the Erdös case, $Q^{\prime}\left(a_{n}(1+\epsilon)\right)$ may grow much faster than $Q^{\prime}\left(a_{4 n}\right)$. We can now obtain some standard estimates:

Corollary 4.4. $\exists n_{1}$ such that
(a) $\rho_{n}:=\gamma_{n-1} / \gamma_{n}$ satisfies for $n \geq n_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n} \leq a_{5 n} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) $x_{1 n}=x_{1 n}\left(W^{2}\right)$ satisfies for $n \geq n_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1 n} \leq a_{5 n} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) We use Theorem 4.3 in the following identity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{n}=\gamma_{n-1} / \gamma_{n} & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p_{n-1}(x) p_{n}(x) W^{2}(x) d x \\
& \leq\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2} p_{n-1}^{2}(x) W^{2}(x) d x\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{n}^{2}(x) W^{2}(x) d x\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq\left(1+n^{-100}\right)\left\{\int_{-a_{4 n}}^{a_{4 n}} x^{2} p_{n-1}^{2}(x) W^{2}(x) d x\right\}^{1 / 2} \cdot 1 \\
& \leq\left(1+n^{-100}\right) a_{4 n} \leq a_{5 n},
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3.12), if $n$ is large enough.
(b) This follows similarly from the well known identity [29]

$$
x_{1 n}=\max _{P \in Q_{n-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x P^{2}(x) W^{2}(x) d x / \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P^{2}(x) W^{2}(x) d x
$$

5. Differential equation estimates. Differential equations play a crucial role in analysing orthogonal polynomials. In recent times, work of Shohat, Nevai, Bonan, Bauldry and Mhaskar has had an influence. In this section, we shall use some recent work of Mhaskar [15].

Throughout, we assume that $W:=e^{-Q} \in \mathcal{W}$, and we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Q}(x, t):=\frac{Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}(t)}{t-x},(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, we define for $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}:=\rho_{n}\left(W^{2}\right):=\gamma_{n-1}\left(W^{2}\right) / \gamma_{n}\left(W^{2}\right), \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{n}:=\gamma_{n}\left(W^{2}\right)$ is as in (1.2), and we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(x):=2 \rho_{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{n}^{2}(t) W^{2}(t) \bar{Q}(x, t) d t \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown below, $A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)$ and $A_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)$ exist and play an important role in relating $p_{n}^{(j)}\left(x_{k n}\right)$, $j=0,1,2$, to each other. Throughout $x_{k n}, \lambda_{k n}$ (see (1.23)), $\ell_{k n}(x)$, and so on, have the meaning assigned to them in Section 1.

Lemma 5.1. For $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)=A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) p_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right), \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)=\left[2 Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)+A_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) / A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right] p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right), \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)=2 \lambda_{k n}^{-1} p_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\ell_{k n} p_{n} Q^{\prime} W^{2}\right)(t) d t \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) / A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) & =\frac{2}{\lambda_{k n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell_{k n}^{2}(t) W^{2}(t) Q^{\prime}(t) d t-2 Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)  \tag{5.7}\\
& =\frac{2}{\lambda_{k n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell_{k n}^{2}(t) W^{2}(t)\left[Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right] d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Assuming (for example) that $Q^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is continuous in $\mathbb{R}$, and that $W^{2}$ has all finite power moments, Mhaskar established the relations [15, Theorem 3.2]

$$
p_{n}^{\prime}(x)=A_{n}(x) p_{n-1}(x)-B_{n}(x) p_{n}(x)
$$

and [15, Theorem 3.4],

$$
p_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+M_{n}(x) p_{n}^{\prime}(x)+N_{n}(x) p_{n}(x)=0
$$

where [15, Theorem 3.5, Proposition 3.5],

$$
M_{n}(x)=-2 Q^{\prime}(x)-A_{n}^{\prime}(x) / A_{n}(x),
$$

and $B_{n}(x)$ and $N_{n}(x)$ are certain continuous functions of $x$. In this case, (5.4) and (5.5) then follow. We proceed to derive (5.6) and (5.7) in this case. Now [5, pp. 23-34]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{k n}(x)=\lambda_{k n} \rho_{n} p_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right) \frac{p_{n}(x)}{x-x_{k n}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so setting $x=x_{k n}$ and using (5.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\lambda_{k n} \rho_{n} p_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right) p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) \\
& =\lambda_{k n} \rho_{n} p_{n-1}^{2}\left(x_{k n}\right) A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k n} \rho_{n} p_{n-1}^{2}\left(x_{k n}\right)=1 / A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\ell_{k n}(x)\right|=\left\{\lambda_{k n} \rho_{n} / A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2}\left|\frac{p_{n}(x)}{x-x_{k n}}\right| \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)= & 2 \rho_{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n}(t)}{t-x_{k n}} p_{n}(t)\left[Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right] W^{2}(t) d t \\
= & 2 \rho_{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n}(t)}{t-x_{k n}} p_{n}(t) Q^{\prime}(t) W^{2}(t) d t \\
& \left(\text { by orthogonality of } p_{n} W^{2} \text { to } P_{n-1}\right) \\
= & 2\left\{\lambda_{k n} p_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right\}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell_{k n}(t) p_{n}(t) Q^{\prime}(t) W^{2}(t) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (5.6). Furthermore,

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)= & 2 \rho_{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{n}^{2}(t) \frac{-Q^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\left(t-x_{k n}\right)+\left(Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}\left(k_{k n}\right)\right)}{\left(t-x_{k n}\right)^{2}} W^{2}(t) d t \\
= & -2 \rho_{n} Q^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n}(t)}{t-x_{k n}} p_{n}(t) W^{2}(t) d t \\
& +2 \rho_{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p_{n}(t)}{t-x_{k n}}\right)^{2} W^{2}(t) Q^{\prime}(t) d t  \tag{5.11}\\
& -2 \rho_{n} Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p_{n}(t)}{t-x_{k n}}\right)^{2} W^{2}(t) d t \\
= & 0+2 A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) \lambda_{k n}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell_{k n}^{2}(t) W^{2}(t) Q^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& -2 Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) \lambda_{k n}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell_{k n}^{2}(t) W^{2}(t) d t
\end{align*}
$$

by (5.10). Taking account of (1.23) yields (5.7) in this case.

This proves the lemma when $Q^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is continuous. Now we observe that the identities (5.4) to (5.7) involve only $Q^{\prime}$, not $Q^{\prime \prime}$ or $Q^{\prime \prime \prime}$. We can by Carleman's Theorem, approximate our given $Q$ by $Q_{\epsilon}$ that is entire and such that for $j=0$ and 1 ,

$$
\left|Q_{\epsilon}^{(j)}(x)-Q^{(j)}(x)\right|<\epsilon, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Then $W_{\epsilon}:=\exp \left(-Q_{\epsilon}\right)$ satisfies (5.4) to (5.7) and for small enough $\epsilon$,

$$
\left|W_{\epsilon}(x)-W(x)\right|<W(x) 2 \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

This is sufficient to guarantee convergence of the moments of $W_{\epsilon}$ to those of $W$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$, and hence that $p_{n}\left(W_{\epsilon}^{2} ; x\right) \rightarrow p_{n}\left(W^{2} ; x\right), \epsilon \rightarrow 0+$, uniformly on compact sets. Continuity of the zeros of orthogonal polynomials then ensures that (5.4) to (5.7) hold for $W^{2}$.

We next turn to estimation of $A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)$ and $A_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) / A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)$. To estimate the former, we proceed as in [15].

LEmma 5.2. For $n \geq n_{1}$ and uniformly for $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) \geq C n /\left(a_{n} \log n\right), \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n} A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) \geq C n / \log n . \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first show that uniformly for $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)=2 \rho_{n} \int_{-a_{6 n}}^{a_{6 n}}\left(p_{n} W\right)^{2}(t) \bar{Q}\left(x_{k n}, t\right) d t+o(1) . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For, since $x_{k n} \leq a_{5 n}$ (by (4.7)), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{k n}: & =\int_{|t| \geq a_{6 n}}\left(p_{n} W\right)^{2}(t) \bar{Q}\left(x_{k n}, t\right) d t \\
& \leq \frac{Q^{\prime}\left(a_{5 n}\right)}{a_{6 n}-a_{5 n}} \int_{|t| \geq a_{6 n}}\left(p_{n} W\right)^{2}(t) d t+\frac{1}{a_{6 n}-a_{5 n}} \int_{|t| \geq a_{6 n}}\left(p_{n} W\right)^{2}(t)\left|Q^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \\
\leq & C_{1} n(\log n)^{3} a_{5 n}^{-2} n^{-100} \int_{-a_{6 n}}^{a_{6 n}}\left(p_{n} W\right)^{2}(t) d t \\
& +(\log n)^{2} a_{5 n}^{-1} n^{-100} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{6 n}\right) \int_{-a_{6 n}}^{a_{6 n}}\left(p_{n} W\right)^{2}(t) d t \\
& =o(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

by Theorem 4.3 and (3.11) and (3.12). Also by Theorem 4.3,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{k n} & :=2 \rho_{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(p_{n} W\right)^{2}(t)\left\{Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right\}^{2} d t \\
& =2 \rho_{n} \int_{-a_{6 n}}^{a_{6 n}}\left(p_{n} W\right)^{2}(t)\left\{Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right\}^{2} d t+o(1), \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Now by an elementary argument [15, Corollary 3.3], Mhaskar showed that

$$
\sigma_{k n} \geq n^{2} /\left(2 \rho_{n}\right)
$$

Then from (5.14) and (5.15),

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) & \geq o(1)+2 \rho_{n} \frac{\int_{-a_{6 n}}^{a_{6 n}}\left(p_{n} W\right)^{2}(t)\left\{Q^{\prime}(t)-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right\}^{2} d t}{\max _{|s| \leq a_{6 n}}\left\{\left|Q^{\prime}(s)-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|\left|s-x_{k n}\right|\right\}} \\
& \left.\geq o(1)+\left(n^{2} /\left(2 \rho_{n}\right)+o(1)\right) / C n \log n\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3.11). Then (5.13) follows and the bound (4.6) then yields (5.12).
We remark that if

$$
K_{n}(x, t)=\rho_{n} \frac{p_{n}(x) p_{n-1}(t)-p_{n}(t) p_{n-1}(x)}{x-t},
$$

is the usual kernel function, then using the idenitity

$$
\ell_{k n}(t)=\lambda_{k n} K_{n}\left(t, x_{k n}\right),
$$

we can re-express (5.7) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) / A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) & =2\left[\lambda_{k n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{n}^{2}\left(t, x_{k n}\right) W^{2}(t) Q^{\prime}(t) d t-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right]  \tag{5.1}\\
& =2\left[G_{n}\left(W^{2}, Q^{\prime}, x_{k n}\right)-Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $G_{n}\left(W^{2}, \cdot, \cdot\right)$ is Nevai's operator [19, p. 74]. Unfortunately, this interesting representation does not facilitate estimation of $A_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) / A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)$. That is the purpose of the following lemma:

Lemma 5.3. If $W \in \mathcal{W}$, then uniformly for $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) / A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right| \leq C_{1}\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|\right] . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

REMARK. If one assumes more, for example, that $Q^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is continuous and admits certain estimates, then one can prove much better bounds [15]. However, (5.17) holds more generally and is sufficient for our purposes.

Proof. From (5.11) we see that

$$
A_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)=2 \rho_{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(p_{n} W\right)^{2}(t) \frac{\bar{Q}\left(x_{k n}, t\right)}{t-x_{k n}} d t
$$

so

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|A_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right| \leq & 2 \rho_{n} \int_{\left|t-x_{k n}\right| \geq\left(1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|\right)^{-1}}\left(p_{n} W\right)^{2}(t)\left|\bar{Q}\left(x_{k n}, t\right)\right|\left(1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|\right) d t \\
& +2 \rho_{n} \int_{\left|t-x_{k n}\right| \leq\left(1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|\right)^{-1}}\left(p_{n} W\right)^{2}(t) \frac{\left|\bar{Q}\left(x_{k n}, t\right)\right|}{\left|t-x_{k n}\right|} d t  \tag{5.18}\\
= & : I_{1}+I_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, as $Q$ is convex, $\bar{Q}(x, t)$ is non-negative, so

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1} & \leq 2\left(1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|\right) \rho_{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(p_{n} W\right)^{2}(t) \bar{Q}\left(x_{k n}, t\right) d t  \tag{5.19}\\
& =\left(1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|\right) A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we estimate $I_{2}$. Suppose first $x_{k n} \neq 0$. Writing $\left|x_{k n}\right|=a_{u}$, some $u>0$, we have if $\left|t-x_{k n}\right| \leq\left(1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|\right)^{-1}$, then $|t| \leq a_{u}+\left(1+Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)\right)^{-1}$, which implies that

$$
\left|t / x_{k n}\right| \leq 1+\left(a_{u} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{u}\right)\right)^{-1} \leq 1+u^{-1}
$$

by (3.11), so if $u \geq u_{0}$, (3.12) yields

$$
|t| \leq a_{u}\left(1+u^{-1}\right) \leq a_{2 u}
$$

Also then if $|t|<\left|x_{k n}\right|$, we have $\left|Q^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|$, while if $|t| \geq\left|x_{k n}\right|$, (3.10) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|Q^{\prime}(t) / Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right| & \leq\left|t / x_{k n}\right|^{\max \left\{T(s): s \in\left[\left|x_{k n}\right|,|t| \mid\right\}-1\right.} \\
& \leq\left(1+u^{-1}\right)^{\max \left\{T(s): s \in\left[a_{u}, a_{2 u} \mid\right\}\right.} \\
& \leq\left(1+u^{-1}\right)^{C(\log u)^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3.2) if $u \geq u_{1}$, say. It follows that

$$
\left|Q^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C_{1}\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|, \quad\left|t-x_{k n}\right| \leq\left(1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|\right)^{-1}
$$

if at least $\left|x_{k n}\right| \geq C_{2}$. Since $Q^{\prime}$ is continuous in $\mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$
\left|Q^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C_{3}\left[1+\mid Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right], \quad\left|t-x_{k n}\right| \leq\left(1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|\right)^{-1},
$$

uniformly for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then for $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leq C_{4}\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|\right] \rho_{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p_{n}(t)}{t-x_{k n}}\right)^{2} W^{2}(t) d t \\
& =C_{4}\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|\right] \rho_{n}\left(\lambda_{k n} \rho_{n} \rho_{n-1}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right)^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell_{k n}^{2}(t) W^{2}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

(by (5.8))

$$
=C_{4}\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|\right] A_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)
$$

by (5.9) and (1.23). Together with (5.18) and (5.19), this last inequality yields (5.17).
6. Christoffel function estimates. The important role played by Christoffel function estimates in approximation theory is well known [20]. Recall that one can define the $n$th Christoffel function $\lambda_{n}\left(W^{2}, x\right)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{n}\left(W^{2}, x\right) & :=\inf _{P \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(P W)^{2}(t) d t / P^{2}(x),  \tag{6.1}\\
& =1 / \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_{j}^{2}(x) . \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we derive bounds for $\lambda_{n}\left(W^{2}, x\right)$ using fairly standard methods. We include full proofs as the results hold simultaneously for Freud and Erdös weights, so are formulated a little differently from those in the literature. For stronger results in different cases, see [9, 10, 20].

Lemma 6.1. Let $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $0<\epsilon<1 . \exists n_{1}$ such that for $n \geq n_{1}$ and $|x| \leq a_{n^{\epsilon}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}\left(W^{2}, x\right) \leq C \frac{a_{n}}{n} W^{2}(x) . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We adopt the method of Freud [6]. Using Theorem 4.3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}\left(W^{2}, x\right) \leq 2 \inf _{P \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}} \int_{-a_{4 n}}^{a_{4 n}}(P W)^{2}(t) d t / P^{2}(x) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n \geq n_{1}, x \in \mathbb{R}$. Define a linear polynomial in $t$,

$$
\psi_{x}(t):=Q(x)+(t-x) Q^{\prime}(x) .
$$

If $Q^{\prime \prime}$ exists throughout $\mathbb{R}$, we see that by convexity,

$$
\psi_{x}(t)-Q(t)=-\frac{1}{2} Q^{\prime \prime}(\xi)(t-x)^{2} \leq 0
$$

$t \in \mathbb{R}$, some $\xi$ between $t$ and $x$. As the left side does not involve $Q^{\prime \prime}$, a continuity argument establishes this even when $Q^{\prime \prime}(0)$ does not exist. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(\psi_{x}(t)\right) W(t) \leq 1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, if $n \geq n_{1}$, for $|x| \leq a_{n^{\star}}$ and $|t| \leq a_{4 n}$,

$$
\left|\psi_{x}(t)\right| \leq Q\left(a_{n^{\epsilon}}\right)+2 a_{4 n} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{n^{\epsilon}}\right) .
$$

Here, by (3.8), for $n$ large enough,

$$
a_{4 n} / a_{n^{\epsilon}} \leq C\left(n^{1-\epsilon}\right)^{1 /(1+\eta)}
$$

while by (3.4) and (3.11),

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q\left(a_{n^{\epsilon}}\right) \leq C n^{\epsilon} ; \\
a_{n^{\epsilon}} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{n^{\epsilon}}\right) \leq C n^{\epsilon} \log n .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then combining these estimates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi_{x}(t)\right| \leq C_{1}\left(n^{\epsilon}+n^{\frac{1+t \pi}{1+\eta}} \log n\right)=o(n) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $|t| \leq a_{4 n},|x| \leq a_{n^{\epsilon}}$. Now let

$$
s_{m}(u):=\sum_{j=0}^{m} u^{j} / j!.
$$

It is well known and easy to see that for some $C_{2}>0$,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \leq s_{m}(u) e^{-u} \leq 2, \quad|u| \leq C_{2} m .
$$

Define

$$
\tau_{x, n}(t):=s_{\langle n / 2\rangle}\left(\psi_{x}(t)\right),
$$

where $\langle x\rangle$ denotes the greatest integer $\leq x$. We see that $\tau_{x, n}(t)$ has degree at most $n / 2$ in $t$, and in view of (6.6), for $n \geq n_{1}$,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \leq \tau_{x, n}(t) \exp \left(-\psi_{x}(t)\right) \leq 2, \quad|t| \leq a_{4 n}, \quad|x| \leq a_{n^{k}}
$$

Then from (6.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \tau_{x, n}(t) W(t) \leq 2, \quad|t| \leq a_{4 n}, \quad|x| \leq a_{n^{\epsilon}} . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for this range of $x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{x, n}(x) W(x)=\tau_{x, n}(x) \exp \left(-\psi_{x}(x)\right) \geq 1 / 2 \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $P(t):=\tau_{x, n}(t) R(t)$ in (6.4), where $R \in \mathcal{P}_{\langle n / 2\rangle-1}$ is arbitrary, yields for $|x| \leq$ $a_{n^{\star}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{n}\left(W^{2}, x\right) W^{-2}(x) & \leq 2 \inf _{R \in \mathcal{P}_{\langle n / 2\rangle-1}} \int_{-a_{4 n}}^{a_{4 n}} R^{2}(t)\left(\tau_{x, n} W\right)^{2}(t) d t /\left\{R^{2}(x)\left(\tau_{x, n} W\right)^{2}(x)\right\} \\
& \leq 32 \inf _{R \in \mathcal{P}_{(n / 2\rangle-1}} \int_{-a_{4 n}}^{a_{4 n}} R^{2}(t) d t / R^{2}(x) \quad \text { (by (6.7) and (6.8)) } \\
& \leq 32 a_{4 n} \lambda_{\langle n / 2\rangle}\left(w ; x / a_{4 n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w \equiv 1$ in $[-1,1]$ is the Legendre weight. Using (3.8), we have $a_{4 n} \leq C a_{n}$, and then standard bounds for the Christoffel functions for the Legendre weight yield (6.3).

We shall also need an estimate for $\lambda_{n}\left(W^{2} ; x\right)$ for $|x| \geq a_{n^{\epsilon}}$. This is based on:
LEmma 6.2. For $n \geq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n} W^{-2}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left(2+x_{j n}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\log \left(2+x_{j n}^{2}\right)\right)^{-2} \leq C . \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Define for $n \geq 1$,

$$
\phi(x):=\exp \left[2 Q(\sqrt{x})-\frac{1}{2} \log (2+x)-2 \log \log (2+x)\right]
$$

Then

$$
\psi(x):=\frac{x \phi^{\prime}(x)}{\phi(x)}=\sqrt{x} Q^{\prime}(\sqrt{x})-\frac{x}{2(2+x)}-\frac{2 x}{\{\log (2+x)\}(2+x)} .
$$

It is easy to see that $\psi(x)$ is increasing for $x$ large, since

$$
\psi^{\prime}(x)=T(\sqrt{x}) Q^{\prime}(\sqrt{x}) /(2 \sqrt{x})+O\left(1 / x^{2}\right) \geq C_{2} x^{(\eta-1) / 2}
$$

by (3.5). (Recall here that $T$ is given by (3.1)). It is then also easy to see that for any fixed $L>1$, and $x$ large enough,

$$
\psi(L x)-\psi(x) \geq 1
$$

By modifying $Q$ for small $x$, we may assume this inequality holds for $x \geq 1$. A theorem of Clunie and Kovari [4, p. 19, Theorem 4], then asserts the existence of entire

$$
H(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_{j} z^{j}, \quad h_{j}>0, \quad j \geq 1,
$$

such that

$$
C_{1} \leq H(x) / \phi(x) \leq C_{2}, \quad x \geq 1 .
$$

We can obviously assume this is also true for $x \in[0,1]$. Then setting

$$
G(x):=H\left(x^{2}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_{j} x^{2 j},
$$

we have for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} \leq G(x) / \phi\left(x^{2}\right)=G(x) W^{2}(x)\left(2+x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\log \left(2+x^{2}\right)\right)^{2} \leq C_{2} . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generalized Markov-Stieltjes inequality [5, p. 92] yields

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n} G\left(x_{j n}\right) \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x) W^{2}(x) d x
$$

The last inequality and (6.10) yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n} W^{-2}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left(2+x_{j n}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} & \left(\log \left(2+x_{j n}^{2}\right)\right)^{-2} \\
& \leq C_{3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(2+x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\log \left(2+x^{2}\right)\right)^{-2} d x<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now deduce:
Lemma 6.3. Let $0<\epsilon<1$. Then for $n \geq n_{1}$ and $\left|x_{j n}\right| \geq a_{n^{\epsilon}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j n} \leq C \exp \left(-n^{\varepsilon / 2}\right) \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (3.7), (4.7), and the previous lemma,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{j n} & \leq C_{1} W^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right) a_{5 n}\left(\log a_{5 n}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq C_{2}(n \log n) \exp \left(-2 Q\left(x_{j n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for $\left|x_{j n}\right| \geq a_{n^{\epsilon}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(x_{j n}\right) & \geq Q\left(a_{n^{\epsilon} / 2}\right)+\int_{a_{n^{\epsilon} / 2}}^{a_{n} \epsilon} Q^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \geq Q^{\prime}\left(a_{n^{\epsilon} / 2}\right)\left[a_{n^{\epsilon}}-a_{n^{\epsilon} / 2}\right] \\
& \geq C_{4} n^{\epsilon} /(\log n)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3.12) and (3.11). Then we obtain (6.11).

## 7. Proof of the theorems.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Now [21, p. 44] if $R_{n} \in \mathscr{P}_{n}$, there is the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{n}(x)-H_{n}\left(W^{2}, R_{n}, x\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} R_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left(x-x_{j n}\right) \ell_{j n}^{2}(x) \\
& =\rho_{n} p_{n}(x) \sum_{j=1}^{n} R_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right) p_{n-1}\left(x_{j n}\right) \lambda_{j n} \ell_{j n}(x) \\
& =\rho_{n} p_{n}(x) L_{n}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (5.8), and $L_{n} \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ is the Lagrange interpolation polynomial satisfying

$$
L_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)=R_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right) p_{n-1}\left(x_{j n}\right) \lambda_{j n}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n .
$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Gauss quadrature formula yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & :=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|R_{n}(x)-H_{n}\left(W^{2}, R_{n}, x\right)\right| W^{2}(x) d x \\
& \leq \rho_{n}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(p_{n} W\right)^{2}(x) d x\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(L_{n} W\right)^{2}(x) d x\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& =\rho_{n}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n}\left(R_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right) p_{n-1}\left(x_{j n}\right) \lambda_{j n}\right)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \rho_{n} \max _{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_{j n}^{1 / 2}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n} R_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)^{2} /\left\{\rho_{n} A_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right\}\right\}^{1 / 2},
\end{aligned}
$$

by (5.9). Here by Lemmas 6.1 and 6.3 and the boundedness of $W^{2}$,

$$
\max _{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_{j n} \leq C a_{n} / n
$$

Also, applying (5.13) and (4.6) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & \leq C_{1} a_{5 n}^{1 / 2}\left(a_{n} / n\right)^{1 / 2}\left(a_{n} \log n / n\right)^{1 / 2}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n} R_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C_{2} a_{n}^{3 / 2}(\log n)^{1 / 2} / n\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(R_{n}^{\prime} W\right)(x)^{2} d x\right\}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the Gauss quadrature formula. This establishes (2.9). Finally, (2.11) follows easily from (2.10) and the bound (3.4): Together they imply that

$$
a_{n}^{3 / 2+\epsilon}=O(n),
$$

and hence that

$$
a_{n}^{3 / 2}=O\left(n^{1 /(1+2 \epsilon / 3)}\right)=o\left(n(\log n)^{-1 / 2}\right) .
$$

In proving the other results of Section 2, we shall need two lemmas:

Lemma 7.1. Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3. Then there exists $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n}^{2} W^{-4}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|\right]^{-2 \alpha} \leq C a_{n} / n, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\sigma$ be as in (2.13). Choose $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ so close to 1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\sigma) \epsilon>1+\sigma / 2 \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for $n \geq n_{1}$ and $\left|x_{j n}\right| \geq a_{n^{\epsilon}}$, we can write $\left|x_{j n}\right|=a_{m}$, with $m \geq n^{\epsilon}$. Then

$$
\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|=Q^{\prime}\left(a_{m}\right) \geq m / a_{m},
$$

by (3.11), so if $n_{1}$ is large enough,

$$
\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|\right]^{-\alpha} \leq\left(m / a_{m}\right)^{-\alpha} \leq C_{m}-1-\sigma \leq n^{-1-\sigma / 2}
$$

by (2.13), (7.2) and since $m \geq n^{\epsilon}$. Then for $n \geq n_{1}$ and $\left|x_{j n}\right| \geq a_{n^{\epsilon}}$, Lemma 6.2, (4.7) and (3.8) yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{j n} W^{-2}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|\right]^{-\alpha} & \leq C_{1}\left|x_{j n}\right|\left(\log \left|x_{j n}\right|\right)^{2} n^{-1-\sigma / 2} \\
& \leq C_{2} a_{n}(\log n)^{2} n^{-1-\sigma / 2} \\
& \leq C_{3} a_{n} / n
\end{aligned}
$$

Also for $\left|x_{j n}\right| \leq a_{n^{\epsilon}}$, Lemma 6.1 shows that this last inequality persists. Hence
(7.3) $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n}^{2} W^{-4}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|\right]^{-2 \alpha} \leq C_{3}\left(a_{n} / n\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n} W^{-2}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|\right]^{-\alpha}$.

To estimate this last sum, let us suppose that $\left|x_{j n}\right| \geq 1$, and write $\left|x_{j n}\right|=a_{m}$. As $Q^{\prime}$ is increasing and positive in $(0, \infty)$,

$$
\left|x_{j n}\right|=a_{m} \leq a_{m} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{m}\right) / Q^{\prime}(1) \leq C m \log m,
$$

by (3.11). Then by (3.11),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|^{-\alpha}= & Q^{\prime}\left(a_{m}\right)^{-\alpha} \leq\left(a_{m} / m\right)^{\alpha} \\
\leq & C m^{-1-\sigma} \leq C_{4}(m \log m)^{-1-\sigma / 2} \leq C_{5}\left|x_{j n}\right|^{-1-\sigma / 2} \\
& (b y(2.13))  \tag{7.4}\\
\leq & C_{6}\left(2+x_{j n}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\log \left(2+x_{j n}^{2}\right)\right)^{-2}
\end{align*}
$$

We deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n} W^{-2}\left(x_{j n}\right) & {\left[1+\left|Q\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|\right]^{-\alpha} } \\
& \leq C_{7} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n} W^{-2}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left(2+x_{j n}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\log \left(2+x_{j n}^{2}\right)\right)^{-2} \\
& \leq C_{8},
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 6.2. Now (7.3) yields the result.

Lemma 7.2. Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3. For $n \geq 1$, let $\left\{s_{j n}\right\}_{j=1}^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n}:=\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|s_{j n}\right| W^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|\right]^{\alpha}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n}:=\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|s_{j n}\right| W^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|\right]^{\alpha+1}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{j n}\left(x-x_{j n}\right) \ell_{j n}^{2}(x)\right| W^{2}(x) d x \leq C a_{n}^{3 / 2}(\log n)^{1 / 2} n^{-1} \chi_{n}, \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{j n} \frac{p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)}{p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)}\left(x-x_{j n}\right) \ell_{j n}^{2}(x)\right| W^{2}(x) d x \leq C a_{n}^{3 / 2}(\log n)^{1 / 2} n^{-1} \xi_{n} . \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us denote the left-hand side of (7.7) by $\Delta_{n}$. Then, using (5.8), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{n} & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\rho_{n} p_{n}(x) \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{j n} \lambda_{j n} p_{n-1}\left(x_{j n}\right) \ell_{j n}(x)\right| W^{2}(x) d x \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\rho_{n} p_{n}(x) L_{n}(x)\right| W^{2}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L_{n} \in \mathscr{P}_{n-1}$ is the Lagrange interpolation polynomial satisfying

$$
L_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)=s_{j n} \lambda_{j n} p_{n-1}\left(x_{j n}\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n .
$$

Then using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Gauss quadrature formula, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{n} \leq & \rho_{n}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(p_{n} W\right)^{2}(x) d x\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(L_{n} W\right)^{2}(x) d x\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
= & \rho_{n}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n}\left(s_{j n} \lambda_{j n} p_{n-1}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
= & \rho_{n}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n}^{2} s_{j n}^{2}\left(\rho_{n} A_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right)^{-1}\right\}^{1 / 2}(\text { by }(5.9)) \\
\leq & \max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left\{\rho_{n} / A_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2} \max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|s_{j n}\right| W^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|\right]^{\alpha} \\
& \times\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n}^{2} W^{-4}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|\right]^{-2 \alpha}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
\leq & C a_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(a_{n} \log n / n\right)^{1 / 2} \chi_{n}\left(a_{n} / n\right)^{1 / 2},
\end{aligned}
$$

by (4.6), (3.8), (5.12) and (7.1). So we have (7.7). To obtain (7.8) from (7.7), it suffices to show that uniformly for $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right) / p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right| \leq C\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)\right|\right] . \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality follows directly from (5.5) and (5.17).
Proof of Theorem 2.3. From (1.8), (1.10) and (1.15), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{n} & :=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|H_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right)-Y_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right)\right| W^{2}(x) d x \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} f\left(x_{j n}\right) \frac{p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)}{p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)}\left(x-x_{j n}\right) \ell_{j n}^{2}(x)\right| W^{2}(x) d x \\
& \leq C a_{n}^{3 / 2}(\log n)^{1 / 2} n^{-1} \xi_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where by Lemma 7.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{n} & :=\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|f\left(x_{j n}\right)\right| W^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|\right]^{\alpha+1} \\
& \leq\left\|f W^{2}\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\right|\right]^{\alpha+1}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}, \quad n \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (2.12) and (2.14) guarantee that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|H_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right)-Y_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right)\right| W^{2}(x) d x=0 \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed to establish (2.15). First, we note that the argument used at (7.4) also shows that

$$
\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}(x)\right|\right]^{-\alpha}=o\left(\left(2+x^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\log \left(2+x^{2}\right)\right)^{-2}\right), \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty
$$

and hence, for any polynomial $P$, as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
|f-P|(x) W^{2}(x)\left(2+x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\log \left(2+x^{2}\right)\right)^{2}=o(1)\left[1+\left\|f W^{2}\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\right|\right]^{\alpha}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}\right] .
$$

Here, of course, the $o(1)$ depends on $P$. Then if $G$ is the even entire function with nonnegative Maclaurin series coefficients satisfying (6.10), we have

$$
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|f-P|(x) / G(x)=0
$$

By a classical theorem on quadrature convergence [5, p. 94, Theorem 1.6(a)],

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n}|f-P|\left(x_{j n}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|f(x)-P(x)| W^{2}(x) d x
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|H_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right)-f(x)\right| W^{2}(x) d x \\
& \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|H_{n}\left(W^{2}, f-P, x\right)\right| W^{2}(x) d x \\
& \quad+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|H_{n}\left(W^{2}, P, x\right)-P(x)\right| W^{2}(x) d x+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|P(x)-f(x)| W^{2}(x) d x \\
& \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|Y_{n}\left(W^{2}, f-P, x\right)\right| W^{2}(x) d x+o(1)+o(1) \\
& \quad+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|P(x)-f(x)| W^{2}(x) d x \\
& \quad(\text { by }(7.10) \text { and Theorem 2.2) } \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n}|f-P|\left(x_{j n}\right)+o(1)+o(1)+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|P(x)-f(x)| W^{2}(x) d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

by (1.15) and (1.23). We deduce that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|H_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right)-f(x)\right| W^{2}(x) d x \leq 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|P(x)-f(x)| W^{2}(x) d x
$$

for any polynomial $P$. A classical theorem of M. Riesz [5, p 73, Theorem 3.3] asserts (in a somewhat stronger "one-sided" form) that this last right-hand side can be made arbitrarily small. Although stated there for functions of polynomial growth at infinity, by considering "truncations" of $f$ to finite intervals, we see that this persists for the present $f$. Thus we have (2.15). Then (7.10) yields (2.16).

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mid H_{n}^{*}\left(W^{2}, f,\{ \right. & \left.\left.d_{j n}\right\}, x\right)-f(x) \mid W^{2}(x) d x \\
\leq & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|H_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right)-f(x)\right| W^{2}(x) d x \\
& +\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{j n}\left(x-x_{j n}\right) \ell \ell_{j n}^{2}(x)\right| W^{2}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

it suffices to show that the second integral, which we denote by $\Delta_{n}$, approaches 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. To do this, we use Lemma 7.2. By that lemma,

$$
\Delta_{n} \leq C a_{n}^{3 / 2}(\log n)^{1 / 2} n^{-1} \chi_{n}=o\left(\chi_{n}\right)
$$

where by (7.5) and (2.20),

$$
\chi_{n}:=\max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|d_{j n}\right| W^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left[1+\left|Q^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|\right]^{\alpha}=O(1) .
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_{n}=0
$$

Then (2.21) follows, and (2.23) follows directly.
Proof of Corollary 2.5. Since

$$
I_{n}[k ; f]-I[k ; f]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{H_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right)-f(x)\right\} k(x) d x
$$

and

$$
J_{n}[k ; f]-I[k ; f]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{Y_{n}\left(W^{2}, f, x\right)-f(x)\right\} k(x) d x
$$

we obtain the result directly from Theorem 2.3.
Proof of Corollary 2.7. It can be shown as in[14] that the corresponding
modified Gauss rule $J_{n}^{*}\left[W^{2} ; f\right]$ converges to $I\left[W^{2} ; f\right]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then using (1.24), one proceeds as in [26] to complete the proof.
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