the purpose of this analysis we have randomly selected 50% of the anesthesia related studies from the year 2008-2013. We have collected information pertaining to drug/device study, origin, type, design, subspecialty, enrollment target, anesthesia type, and adult/pediatric, sponsored investigator initiated, population studied and start and end date. Studies with an ongoing, terminated, or unknown status were excluded from the analysis. For results, we initially searched the results section associated with each study; also we searched for any publication link at the study result area of the registry. For studies with no results and publication links we searched on PubMed, Google Scholar, and Embase by trial registration number, study title, and investigators name for matching manuscripts. In addition, we also analyzed the proportion of studies with positive and negative conclusions. We used descriptive and univariate statistics to report the results. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: Overall, 5448 studies were identified within the queried timeframe. We have included 2649 studies in our final analysis and detailed analysis were performed for 1778 studies with the status “completed.” The mean, standard deviation of subjects enrolled in completed trials was 392.47 ± 6378. Only 162 (9.9%) studies registered were in the pediatric population, and 1616 (90.9%) were in the adult population. Finally, of the reviewed studies, 1486 (83.6%) were investigator-initiated, 207 (11.6%) were sponsored, and 85 (4.8%) were registered as collaborated studies. Among the completed studies only 296 (16.6%) studies posted results to the result section of the registry. Additionally, a link associated with a publication was posted in only 393 (22.1%) of the studies. The proportion of studies with posted results were 208 (14%), 61 (29%) and 27 (31.8%) in investigator-initiated, sponsored, and collaborated studies p < 0.001 respectively. In the 1778 studies we reviewed, 954 (53.7%) studies were associated with one publication. In the published studies, 721 (75.6%) studies reported a positive conclusion for their publication. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: In the published studies, 954 (75.6%) studies reported a positive conclusion for their publication. In the 1778 studies we reviewed, 954 (53.7%) studies were associated with one publication. In the published studies, 721 (75.6%) studies reported a positive conclusion for their publication. 
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OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS: Reducing radiologic exams has been a focus of cost reduction in healthcare systems. The utility and justification of obtaining cross-sectional imaging (PPCSI) before surgical intervention continues to be evaluated. For peripheral artery disease (PAD) consensus guidelines regarding PPCSI do not exist and may be influenced by patient complexity, variation of disease presentation, and physician preference. The objective of this study was to determine the utility of PPCSI before percutaneous PAD intervention. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: Patients receiving first-time endovascular revascularization procedure for PAD from 2013 to 2015 were evaluated for PPCSI done within 180 days prior to revascularization. Patient and physician demographics, perioperative characteristics, and disease distribution/severity were evaluated. The primary outcome was technical success defined as improving inflow and/or revascularization of the target outflow vessels to <50% stenosis. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: Of the 348 patients who underwent an attempted revascularization procedure 159 (45.7%) patients underwent PPCSI, including 151 CTA and 8 MRA. Of these, 48% were ordered by the referring provider (84% at an outside institution), and 52% were ordered by the treating physician. PPCSI was performed a median of 26 days (IQR 9–53) prior to procedure. Individual vascular surgeon practice identified PPCSI rates ranging from 31% to 70%. On multivariate analysis chronic kidney disease (OR = 0.35; CI 0.17–0.73) had the strongest effect against of PPCSI, and Inpatient/ED evaluation (OR = 3.20; CI 1.58–6.50), aorto-iliac (OR = 2.78; CI 1.46–5.29) and femoral-popliteal occlusions (OR = 2.51; CI 1.38–4.55) most strongly predicted PPCSI. After excluding 31 diagnostic procedures, technical success did not differ between endovascular procedures with PPCSI (91.3%) or without PPCSI (85.6%), p = 0.11. When analyzing 89 femoral-popliteal occlusions, technical success was higher with PPCSI (88%) compared to procedures without PPCSI (69%), p = 0.026. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: PPCSI use is influenced by inpatient status, chronic kidney disease, and anatomic consideration. PPCSI was not associated with overall technical success although it appeared beneficial for femoral-popliteal occlusions. Routine practices of ordering of PPCSI may not be warranted when considering technical success but may be important in treatment planning. Further studies are warranted to determine if radiation, cost, and contrast load justify PPCSI.