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In this paper we shall consider finite groups satisfying the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis I. Let $G$ be a finite group which admits an automorphism $\sigma$ of prime order $p,(p,|G|)=1$. Assume the fixed point subgroup $B=C_{G}(\sigma)$ contains some Sylow 2-subgroup.

Let $G(q)$ be a finite simple group of Lie type defined over the finite field $G F(q), q$ odd. Let $p$ be an odd prime with $(p,|G(q)|)=1$. With the exception of the groups ${ }^{3} D_{4}(q),|G(q)|=q^{m} \prod_{i}\left(q^{a_{i}}-1\right) \Pi_{j}\left(q^{b_{j}}+1\right) / d, d=\left(c, q^{v}-1\right)$ or $\left(c, q^{v}+1\right)$. The integers $a_{i}, b_{j}, c, v, d$ are independent of $q$ and depend only on the rank and family of the group [5]. By matching terms it is seen that $\left[G\left(q^{p}\right): G(q)\right]$ is the product of an odd integer and integer factors $\left(\left(q^{p}\right)^{a}-\right.$ 1) $/\left(q^{a}-1\right)$ and $\left(\left(q^{p}\right)^{b}+1\right) /\left(q^{b}+1\right)$. As $\left(\left(q^{a}\right)^{p}-1\right) /\left(q^{a}-1\right)=\left(q^{a}\right)^{p-1}+$ $\left(q^{a}\right)^{p-2}+\ldots+\left(q^{a}\right)+1$ is a sum of $p$ odd integers, $\left.\left(\left(q^{p}\right)^{a}-1\right) /\left(q^{a}-1\right)\right)$ is odd. Similarly $\left(\left(q^{p}\right)^{b}+1\right) /\left(q^{b}+1\right)$ is odd and we conclude $\left[G\left(q^{p}\right): G(q)\right]$ must be odd. Moreover, $\left(q^{p}\right)^{a} \pm 1 \equiv q^{a} \pm 1(\bmod p)$ so $(p,|G(q)|)=1$ if and only if $\left(p,\left|G\left(q^{p}\right)\right|\right)=1$. Let $\sigma$ be a field automorphism of $G\left(q^{p}\right)$ of order $p$. Then $C_{G}(\sigma) \cong G(q)$ and we conclude that $G\left(q^{p}\right)$ is a finite simple group satisfying Hypothesis I. A similar argument shows the groups ${ }^{3} D_{4}\left(q^{p}\right)$ satisfy Hypothesis I.

The above remarks illustrate that the simple Lie groups $G\left(q^{p}\right), q$ odd, satisfy Hypothesis I. Our first step toward obtaining the converse of this statement is the following result proved in Section 1.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a finite simple group satisfying Hypothesis I. Then $G \cong L_{2}\left(q^{p}\right), q$ odd, or $G$ is of component type.

The classification of groups of component type has been the object of a considerable amount of research in the last few years. (See Section 1 for definitions and notation.) Recent progress suggests that work has nearly been completed in classifying all simple groups with an involution $t$ for which $O\left(C_{G}(t)\right) \neq 1$. This classification, now called the Unbalanced Group Conjecture, is stated in Section 1. Under the assumption of the Unbalanced Group Conjecture, simple groups with a component of type $G(q), q$ odd, have been determined. We shall show that the following conjecture can be proved from the Unbalanced Group Conjecture and the classification of groups of component type $G(q), q$ odd.

Conjecture. Let $G$ be a finite simple group satisfying Hypothesis $I$. Then $G$ is a Chevalley group over $G F\left(q^{p}\right)$, $q$ odd.

This proof as well as the proof of Theorem 1 uses several results of $(i$. Glauberman. Because $(|G|, p)=1$, every non-empty subset $Q \subseteq B=C_{G}(\sigma)$ has $N_{G}(Q)=N_{B}(Q) C_{G}(Q)$. This factorization is used in conjunction with the main theorem of [2] which states that if $G$ contains an involution $t$ whose centralizer is contained in $B$, then $G$ has a proper normal subgroup of odd order.

In Section 2 of this paper, we shall determine the structure of finite groups which satisfy Hypothesis I with $B=C_{G}(\sigma)$ solvable. The following statement is the main result.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a finite group satisfying Hypothesis $I$. Assume $B=C_{G}(\sigma)$ is solvable. Then one of the following occurs:
i) $G$ is solvable with $G=O_{2},(G) B$.
ii) $G$ contains characteristic subgroups $G_{1}, G_{2}$ such that $G_{1} \unlhd G_{2} \unlhd G$ with $G_{1}, G / G_{2}$ solvable and $G_{2} / G_{1} \cong L_{1} \times \ldots \times L_{n}, L_{i} \cong L_{2}\left(3^{p}\right), 1 \leqq i \leqq n$.

Corollary 2. Let $G$ be a finite simple group satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 2. Then $G \cong L_{2}\left(3^{p}\right)$.

It is seen that Corollary 2 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2. Moreover, the argument of Section 2 shows $G_{1}$ to be the largest normal solvable subgroup of $G$ while $G_{2}$ is the preimage in $G$ of the largest normal semisimple subgroup of $G / G_{1}$.

1. Groups of component type. We recall some notation and terminology from [1] and [3]. A group $A$ is quasisimple if $A$ is its own commutator group and, modulo its center, $A$ is simple. A component of a group is a subnormal quasisimple subgroup. The core of a group is its largest normal subgroup of odd order. A 2-component of a group is a subnormal subgroup $A$ such that $A$ is its own commutator subgroup and $A$ is quasisimple modulo its core. $G$ is of component type if the centralizer in $G$ of some involution contains a 2 -component. This is equivalent to requiring that the centralizer is not 2 -constrained (see 2.11, [7]).

For any group $H$, we let $\widetilde{E}(H)$ be the inverse image in $H$ of the socle of $C_{H}(F(H)) / Z(F(H))$, where $F(H)$ is the Fitting subgroup of $H$. We then define $E(H)$ to be the last term of the derived series of $\widetilde{E}(H)$, and put $F^{*}(H)=$ $E(H) F(H)$. Lemma (2.1) in [3] shows $E(H)$ to be the central product of uniquely determined quasisimple groups, which are called the components of $E(H)$ and are permuted under conjugation by $H$. Moreover, the components of $E(H)$ are exactly the set of all subnormal quasisimple subgroups of $H$. See Section 2 of [3] for further properties of $E(H)$ and $F^{*}(H)$.

The first result of this section characterizes $L_{2}\left(q^{p}\right), q$ odd, $\left(p,\left|L_{2}(q)\right|\right)=1$, as the only family of simple groups satisfying Hypothesis I and not of component type.
(1.1) Let $G$ be a finite simple group which satisfies Hypoihesis I. If the centralizer of each involution of $G$ is 2-constrained, then $G \cong L_{2}\left(q^{p}\right)$, q odd.

Proof. Let us first assume $G$ has 2-rank at least 3. Let $S \in \operatorname{Syl}_{2}(B)$ and notice for any involution $t \in S, C_{G}(t)$ is $\sigma$-invariant. The coprime action of $\sigma$ on $C_{G}(t)$ produces $T \in \operatorname{Syl}_{2}\left(C_{G}(t)\right)$ with $T \sigma$-invariant and Lemma 6 of $[\mathbf{2}]$ shows $T$ to be contained in some $\sigma$-invariant conjugate of $S$. Because $\sigma$-invariant Sylow 2subgroups of $G$ are conjugate by an element of $B$ (Lemma 5, [2]), we conclude that $\sigma$ acts trivially on $T$. By assumption $G$ has 2 -rank at least 3 so that [4] implies $O_{2^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(t)\right)=1$ provided $\mathrm{SCN}_{3}(2) \neq \emptyset$. A simple group with $S C N_{3}(2) \neq \emptyset, 2$-rank at least 3 , and 2 -constrained centralizers of involutions is isomorphic to $G_{2}(3)$ or the sporadic group $J_{3}$ (see [ $\mathbf{6}$, Corollary A]). The group $J_{3}$ does not satisfy Hypothesis I so we may assume $O_{2^{\prime}}(C(t))=1$ in any case. Set $X=C_{G}(t)$ and $Q=O_{2}(X)$. Lemma 5 in [2] and the fact that $\sigma$ acts trivially on $Q$ imply $X=C_{X}(Q) N_{B} \cap X(Q)$. Then, as $X$ is 2 -constrained, $C_{X}(Q) \subseteq Q$ and we have $X=N_{B} \cap X(Q) \subseteq B$. Theorem 1 in [2] shows $G$ to have a normal subgroup $N$ which does not contain $t$. This contradicts the simplicity of $G$.

We may now assume $G$ has 2 -rank at most 2. A result of Brauer and Suzuki implies $G$ cannot have rank 1 . Hence $G$ is a simple group of 2 -rank 2 . Corollary A in [6] shows $G$ is isomorphic to one of the groups $L_{2}(q), L_{3}(q), U_{3}(q), q$ odd, $U_{3}(4), A_{7}$ or $M_{11}$. The last three groups do not satisfy Hypothesis I and among the groups $L_{3}(q), U_{3}(q)$, only $L_{3}(3), U_{3}(3)$ have 2 -constrained centralizers. As $L_{3}(3), U_{3}(3)$ do not admit an automorphism satisfying Hypothesis I, $G \cong L_{2}(r)$, $r$ odd. The structure of $P \Gamma L(2, r)$ forces the existence of a field automorphism $\sigma$ of $G$ of order $p$. We conclude that $G \cong L_{2}\left(q^{p}\right), q$ odd.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a finite simple group satisfying Hypothesis $I$. Then either $G \cong L_{2}\left(q^{p}\right), q$ odd or $G$ is of component type.

Proof. By (1.1), we may assume $G$ contains an involution $t$ such that $C_{G}(t)$ is not 2 -constrained. Corollary 2.11 in $[7]$ implies $C_{G}(t)$ contains a 2 -component and we conclude that $G$ is of component type.

At this point we prove the conjecture as stated in the introduction. We first state the Unbalanced Group Conjecture and the relevant theorem for groups of component type.

Unbalanced Group Conjecture. Let $G$ be a finite group with $F^{*}(G)=L$ simple and $O\left(C_{G}(t)\right) \neq 1$ for some involution $t$ in $G$. Then one of the following holds:
(1) L is a Chevalley group of odd characteristic,
(2) $L$ is an alternating group of odd degree, or
(3) $L$ is isomorphic to $L_{3}(4)$ or Held's group.

Component Theorem (Aschbacher-Walter). Let $G$ be a finite group with $F^{*}(G)=L$ simple containing an involution $t$ such that $C_{G}(t)$ has a component $A$
with $A / Z(A)$ a Chevalley group over $G F\left(r^{p}\right)$ where $r$ is an odd prime power and $p \geqq 3$. Then $L$ is a Chevalley group over $G F(q)$ for some odd $q$.

The authors wish to point out that the Unbalanced Group Conjecture has been established modulo successful completion of Harris's work on groups with an $L_{2}(q)$ component. The Component Theorem with certain modifications has been announced by John Walter. Aschbacher has distributed a preprint of his part of the work.

Conjecture. Let $G$ be a simple group which satisfies Hypothesis I. Then $G$ is a Chevalley group over $G F\left(q^{p}\right)$, q odd.

Proof. Let $G$ be a minimal counterexample. Choose $t$ to be an involution of $G$. If $O\left(C_{G}(t)\right) \neq 1$, the Unbalanced Group Conjecture implies that $G$ is a Chevalley group over $G F(r), r$ odd, $A_{2_{n+1}}, L_{3}(4)$ or Held's group. The groups $A_{2 n+1}, L_{3}(4)$ and Held's group admit no automorphism of order $p$ with $(p,|G|)=1$. Hence $G$ is a Chevalley group over $G F(r), r$ odd. Since $(p,|G|)=1$, $\sigma$ must be a field automorphism so $r=q^{p}, q$ odd. This contradicts our choice of $G$.

We therefore have that $O\left(C_{G}(t)\right)=1$ for every involution $t$ of $G$. Let $X=C_{G}(t)$ and suppose $X$ is 2 -constrained for some involution $t$. Then $X=N_{X}\left(O_{2}(X)\right)=C_{X}\left(O_{2}(X)\right) N_{B \cap X}\left(O_{2}(X)\right) \leqq B$, against [2]. Therefore $E(X) \neq 1$. Let $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n}$ be the components of $E=E(X)$. Because $\sigma$ is trivial on a Sylow 2 -subgroup of $G, \sigma$ leaves each $L_{i}$ invariant.
Suppose $E \subseteq B$. Then $X=C_{G}(t)=N_{X}(E)=C_{X}(E) N_{B \cap X}(E) \subseteq$ $O_{2}(X) E N_{B} \cap_{X}(E) \subseteq B$. By [2], this is impossible. Hence $\sigma$ is non-trivial on some $L_{i}$. Furthermore, $L_{i}$ is perfect so $\sigma$ is non-trivial on $L_{i} / Z\left(L_{i}\right)$. By induction, $L_{i} / Z\left(L_{i}\right)$ is a Chevalley group over $G F\left(r^{p}\right), r$ odd.The Component Theorem implies $G$ is a Chevalley group over $G F\left(q_{1}\right)$ for some odd $q_{1}$. However, $(p,|G|)=1$ so $\sigma$ must be a field automorphism and $q_{1}=q^{p}, q$ odd. This contradicts our choice of $G$ and the conjecture follows.
2. Groups with $B=C_{G}(\sigma)$ solvable. In this section we shall determine the structure of finite groups satisfying Hypothesis I with $B=C_{G}(\sigma)$ solvable. We prove the following main result.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a finite group satisfying Hypothesis I. Assume $B=C_{G}(\sigma)$ is solvable. Then one of the following occurs:
i) $G$ is solvable with $G=O_{2^{\prime}}(G) B$.
ii) $G$ contains characteristic subgroups $G_{1}, G_{2}$ such that $G_{1} \unlhd G_{2} \unlhd G$ with $G_{1}$, $G / G_{2}$ solvable and $G_{2} / G_{1} \cong L_{1} \times \ldots \times L_{n}, L_{i} \cong L_{2}\left(3^{p}\right), 1 \leqq i \leqq n$.

Let $G$ be a finite group satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 2 . If $G$ is solvable, set $Q=O_{2^{\prime}{ }_{2}(G)}(G)$ choose $S \in \operatorname{Syl}_{2}(B)$. Then $T=S \cap Q$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $Q$ and $G=Q N_{G}(T)$ by a Frattini argument. Lemma 5 in $\left[\mathbf{2 ]}\right.$ and the fact that $\sigma$ acts trivially on $T$ imply $N_{G}(T)=C_{G}(T) N_{B}(T)$. As $G$ is
solvable, $C_{G}(T) \subseteq Q$ so $G=Q N_{B}(T) \subseteq O_{2},(G) B$. Hence $G=O_{2^{\prime}}(G) B$ and (i) of Theorem 2 is established.

We may now assume $G$ is nonsolvable and set $G_{1}=S(G)$, the largest normal solvable subgroup of $G$. Let $\bar{G}=G / G_{1}$. Then $\sigma$ induces an automorphism of $\bar{G}$ with $C_{\bar{G}}(\sigma)=\bar{B}$ where $\bar{B}$ denotes the image of $B$ in $\bar{G}$. (See Lemma 3, [2].) If $\bar{G}=\bar{B}, G$ is solvable. We conclude that $\bar{B}$ is a proper subgroup of $\bar{G}$ and $\bar{G}$ is a nonsolvable group satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 2 with $S(\bar{G})=1$. Now proving Theorem 2 for $\bar{G}$ is equivalent to proving the theorem for $G$, so we may assume for the remainder of this section that $G$ satisfies

Hypothesis II. Let $G$ be a finite nonsolvable group satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 2 with $S(G)=1$.

First we recall some definitions from [3]. Suppose $A \leqq T \leqq X$ are groups such that whenever $a \in A, x \in X$, and $a^{x} \in T$, then $a^{x} \in A$. In this situation we say $A$ is strongly closed in $T$ with respect to $X$.

The next series of propositions establish the existence of a strongly closed Abelian 2-subgroup $A$ of $G$.
(2.1) Let $G$ satisfy Hypothesis I and choose $S \in \operatorname{Syl}_{2}(B)$. If $S_{1} \leqq S$ is strongly closed in $S$ with respect to $B$, then $S_{1}$ is strongly closed in $S$ with respect to $G$.

Proof. Suppose $S_{1}$ is strongly closed in $S$ with respect to $B$ and $s^{g} \in S$ for some $s \in S_{1}, g \in G$. Lemma 5 of [2] implies the existence of $b \in B$ such that $s^{g}=s^{b}$. By assumption $s^{b} \in S_{1}$ so $s^{g} \in S_{1}$ as desired.
(2.2) Let $G$ satisfy Hypothesis $I$ and suppose $H \unlhd B, S \in \operatorname{Syl}_{2}(B)$. Then $S \cap H$ is strongly closed in $S$ with respect to $G$.

Proof. Set $S_{1}=S \cap H$ and suppose $s^{b} \in S$ for some $s \in S_{1}, b \in B$. Because $H \unlhd B, s^{b} \in S_{1}$ and we conclude $S_{1}$ is strongly closed in $S$ with respect to $B$. By (2.1), $S_{1}$ is strongly closed in $S$ with respect to $G$.
(2.3) Let $G$ satisfy Hypothesis $I$. Then there exists $S \in \operatorname{Syl}_{2}(B)$ and an Abelian 2 -subgroup $A \subseteq S, A \neq 1$, such that $A$ is strongly closed in $S$ with respect to $G$.

Proof. By hypothesis, $B$ is solvable so that $B / O(B)$, has a minimal normal elementary Abelian 2 -subgroup. Let $T$ be an elementary Abelian 2 -group of $B$ so that $T O(B)$ is the preimage of this subgroup in $B$. Then $T O(B) \triangleleft B$ and, by (2.2), $S \cap(T O(B))=A \neq 1$ is a strongly closed Abelian 2 -subgroup of $S$ with respect to $G$.

Let $S \in \operatorname{Syl}_{2}(B)$ and $A \subseteq S$ be a strongly closed Abelian 2 -group of $S$. By (2.3), $A \neq 1$. Set $K=\left\langle A^{G}\right\rangle$. Theorem A in $\lceil\mathbf{6}]$ implies $K / O(K)$ is the central product of an Abelian 2 -group and certain quasisimple groups. Because $S(G)=1, O_{2^{\prime}, 2}(K)=1$ and $K=L_{1} \times \ldots \times L_{m}$ where $L_{i}$ is simple, $1 \leqq i \leqq m$. According to [6], $L_{i}$ may be of Type I or II. A group of Type I is isomorphic to one of the groups $L_{2}\left(2^{n}\right), n \geqq 3, S z\left(2^{2 n+1}\right), n \geqq 1$ or $U_{3}\left(2^{n}\right), n \geqq 2$. Groups of

Type II are $L_{2}(q), q \equiv 3,5(\bmod 8)$ or simple groups of Janko-Ree type. The automorphism $\sigma$ centralizes $S \cap K \in \operatorname{Syl}_{2}(K)$ and thus must centralize a Sylow 2 -subgroup of each $L_{i}$. Each group of Type I is a $C$-group so that centralizers of involutions are solvable and consequently 2 -constrained. The argument (1.1) of Section 1 may then be used to show that these simple groups cannot admit an automorphism $\sigma$ satisfying Hypothesis I. The solvability of $B$ implies $\sigma$ must act faithfully on $L_{i}$ and consequently $L_{i}$ must be a group of Type II. We are now able to prove the following:
(2.4) Let $G$ satisfy Hypothesis $I I$. Then $G$ contains a normal subgroup $K$ such that $K=L_{1} \times \ldots \times L_{m}, L_{i} \cong L_{2}\left(3^{p}\right), 1 \leqq i \leqq m$.

Proof. The remarks preceding (2.4) show the existence of $K \unlhd G$ such that $K=L_{1} \times \ldots \times L_{m}, L_{i}$ simple of type $L_{2}(q), q \equiv 3,5(\bmod 8)$ or isomorphic to a simple group of Janko-Ree type.

We first show no factor $L_{i}$ of $K$ is of Janko-Ree type. Suppose $L$ is a simple group of Janko-Ree type admitting an automorphism $\sigma$ satisfying Hypothesis I. Let $T=C_{L}(\sigma)$ and choose $t \in T$, with $t$ an involution. From [3; 9], $C_{L}(t)=\langle t\rangle \times F, F \cong L_{2}\left(3^{2 n+1}\right), n \geqq 1$. It follows that $\sigma$ leaves $F$ invariant with $C_{F}(\sigma)$ a solvable subgroup containing a Sylow 2 -subgroup of $F$ of order 4 . The structure of $P \Gamma L\left(2,3^{2 n+1}\right)$ forces $\sigma$ to be a field automorphism with $G F(3)$ the fixed field of $\sigma$ (see $[\mathbf{8}, \mathrm{p} .632]$ ). Hence $F \cong L_{2}\left(3^{p}\right)$ and $C_{F}(\sigma) \cong A_{4}$, the alternating group on four letters. We conclude that $C_{T}(t)=\langle t\rangle \times D, D \cong A_{4}$. A Sylow 2-subgroup of $L$ is elementary Abelian of order 8 so by a transfer argument all involutions of $L$ are conjugate. Let $R \in \operatorname{Syl}_{2}(T)$. Lemma 5 in [2] shows $T$ controls fusion in $R$ and hence $T$ has no normal subgroup of index 2 . Let $\langle a, b\rangle$ be a four-group of $R$ and set $O=O_{2^{\prime}}(T)$. Then $O=C_{o}(a) C_{o}(b) C_{o}(a b)$. However, for $t \in\langle a, b\rangle^{*}, C_{T}(t)$ has no normal subgroup of odd order so $O_{2^{\prime}}(T)=1$. The solvability of $T$ implies $R \unlhd T$ so that $\left[T: C_{T}(t)\right]=7$, $|T|=2^{3} \cdot 3 \cdot 7$. Let $x \in T$ be an element of order 3 fixed by $\sigma$. Lemma 6 in [2] implies the existence of a $\sigma$-invariant Sylow 3 -subgroup $Q$ of $L$ containing $x$. Moreover, [9] shows $|Q|=3^{3 p}$. Now $\sigma$ must act fixed-point freely on the remaining $3^{3 p}-3$ elements of $Q$ so $3^{3 p}-3 \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. But, $3^{3 p}-3 \equiv 3^{3}-$ $3=24(\bmod p)$, a contradiction to our choice of $p$. We conclude that a group $L$ of Janko-Ree type admits no automorphism $\sigma$ satisfying Hypothesis I.

We may now conclude that $K=L_{1} \times \ldots \times L_{m}, L_{i} \cong L_{2}(q), q \equiv 3,5$ $(\bmod 8)$. Because $\sigma$ fixes a Sylow 2 -subgroup of $L_{i}, \sigma$ must be a field automorphism with $C_{L_{i}}(\sigma)$ solvable. Thus $q=3^{p}$ and $L_{i} \cong L_{2}\left(3^{p}\right), 1 \leqq i \leqq m$.
(2.5) Let G satisfy Hypothesis II. Then $E(G)=L_{1} \times \ldots \times L_{n}, L_{i} \cong L_{2}\left(3^{p}\right)$, $1 \leqq i \leqq n$. Moreover, $C_{G}(E(G))=1$.
Proof. We use induction on $|G|$. Set $E=E(G)$. By (2.5), $G$ contains a normal subgroup $K=L_{1} \times \ldots \times L_{m}, L_{i} \cong L_{2}\left(3^{p}\right), 1 \leqq i \leqq m$. Then $K \leqq E$ and $E=K C_{E}(K)$. If $C_{E}(K)=1, E=K$ and (2.5) holds. Because $G$ satisfies Hypothesis II, we may assume $C_{E}(K)$ is a proper nonsolvable $\sigma$-invariant sub-
group of $E$. In fact, $C_{E}(K)$ is the direct product of certain simple components of $G$ so $E\left(C_{E}(K)\right)=C_{E}(K)$. By induction, $C_{E}(K)$ is the direct product of copies of $L_{2}\left(3^{p}\right)$. The first conclusion of (2.5) now follows.

By hypothesis, the Fitting subgroup $F(G)=1$ so that $F^{*}(G)=F(G) E(G)=$ $E(G)$. From (2.2) of [3], $C_{G}(E(G)) \subseteq E(G)$. We conclude that $C_{G}(E(G))=1$.
(2.6) Let $G$ satisfy Hypothesis II. Then $G / E(G)$ is solvable.

Proof. Set $E=E(G)$. The structure of $E$ is given in (2.5). Let $S \in \operatorname{Syl}_{2}(B)$. A Sylow argument shows $G=E N_{G}(S \cap E)$ and Lemma 5 in [2] implies $N_{G}(S \cap E)=C_{G}(S \cap E) N_{B}(S \cap E)$. Because $N_{B}(S \cap E)$ is solvable, it remains to show $C_{G}(S \cap E)$ is solvable.

Set $X_{1}=C_{G}(S \cap E)$ and assume $X_{1} \neq 1$. By (2.5), $C_{G}(E)=1$ so $X_{1}$ does not centralize each factor of $E$. Notice $X_{1}$ must leave each factor of $E$ invariant so, after a suitable rearrangement of the subscripts on the $L_{i}$, we may assume $X_{2}=C_{X_{1}}\left(L_{1}\right)$ is a proper normal subgroup of $X_{1}$. Then $X_{1} / X_{2}$ is isomorphic to a group of automorphisms of $L_{1}$ which centralizes a Sylow 2 -subgroup of $L_{1}$. The structure of $P \Gamma L\left(2,3^{p}\right)$ forces $X_{1} / X_{2}$ to be solvable. A similar argument shows $X_{2}=1$ or $X_{2}$ contains a proper normal subgroup $X_{3}$ such that $X_{2} / X_{3}$ is solvable. Consequently, $X_{1}$ contains a subnormal series $X_{1} \unrhd X_{2} \unrhd \ldots \unrhd 1$ for which $X_{i} / X_{i+1}$ is solvable. We conclude $X_{1}=C_{G}(S \cap E)$ is solvable. The result (2.6) now follows.

The proof of Theorem 2 now follows from (2.5), (2.6) and the remarks preceding (2.1). Specifically, let $G_{1}=S(G)$ and choose $G_{2}$ to be the preimage in $G / G_{1}$ of $E\left(G / G_{1}\right)$.

Notice that the groups which satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2 may have $E(G)=1$. For example, let $G$ be isomorphic to the centralizer of a "central" element of order 3 in $P S p_{4}\left(3^{p}\right)$ where $\left(p,\left|P S p_{4}\left(3^{p}\right)\right|\right)=1$. From [8], $G=U L$, $U \cap L=1,|U|=3^{3 p}, L \cong S L_{2}\left(3^{p}\right)$ with $U=O_{3}(G)$. Then, if we take $t$ to be the central involution of $L, G_{1}=U\langle t\rangle, G_{2}=G$ and because $L$ is not subnormal in $G, E(G)=1$.

On the other hand, consider $X=\mathrm{Sp}_{8}\left(3^{p}\right)$ where $\left(p,\left|\mathrm{Sp}_{8}(3)\right|\right)=1$. It is shown in [10] that $X$ contains an elementary 2 -subgroup $D$ of order 16 generated by symplectic involutions of type 2 . Furthermore, $C_{X}(D)=L_{1} \times L_{2} \times L_{3} \times L_{4}$, $L_{i} \cong S L_{2}\left(3^{p}\right)$ with $N_{X}(D) / C_{X}(D) \cong S_{4}$. Clearly the field automorphism of $X$ of order $p$ induces an automorphism of $N_{X}(D)$ which satisfies Hypothesis I. Now take $H$ to be any finite solvable group with $(p,|H|)=1$ and let $L$ be a group isomorphic to $N_{X}(D)$. Set $G=H \times L$. The automorphism $\sigma$ of order $p$ which acts trivially on $H$ and acts as a field automorphism of $L$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2. In fact, if $Q=O_{2}(L), G_{1}=H \times Q, G_{2}=H C_{L}(Q)$, and $G / G_{2} \cong S_{4}$. Here $E(G)=C_{L}(Q) \cong L_{1} \times L_{2} \times L_{3} \times L_{4}, L_{i} \cong L_{2}\left(3^{p}\right)$.
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