Cancer incidence in people with affective disorder: nationwide cohort study in Taiwan, 1997–2010
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Background
Cancer is a serious public health problem worldwide, and its relationship with affective disorders is not clear.

Aims
To investigate alcohol- and tobacco-related cancer risk among patients with affective disorders in a large Taiwanese cohort.

Method
Records of newly admitted patients with affective disorders from January 1997 through December 2002 were retrieved from the Psychiatric Inpatient Medical Claims database in Taiwan. Cancers were stratified by site and grouped into tobacco- or alcohol-related cancers. Standardised incidence ratios (SIRs) were calculated to compare the risk of cancer between those with affective disorders and the general population.

Results
Some 10,207 patients with bipolar disorder and 9,826 with major depression were included. The risk of cancer was higher in patients with major depression (SIR = 2.01, 95% CI 1.85–2.19) than in those with bipolar disorder (SIR 1.39, 95% CI 1.26–1.53). The elevated cancer risk among individuals ever admitted to hospital for affective disorders was more pronounced in tobacco- and/or alcohol-related cancers.

Conclusions
Elevated cancer risk was found in patients who had received in-patient care for affective disorders. They require holistic approaches to lifestyle behaviours and associated cancer risks.
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Affective disorders such as bipolar disorder and major depressive disorders are recurring mental illnesses complicated by high comorbidity and risk of poor health outcomes.1,3 Even though cancer has become a serious public health problem worldwide, studies have not consistently demonstrated a positive relationship between affective disorders and subsequent risk of cancer.3 There are several explanations for the link between affective disorders and cancer incidence. First, people with affective disorders typically have an unhealthy lifestyle,4 which could be related to their vulnerability to cancer, such as tobacco smoking and alcohol use; these substances are identified as avoidable risk factors for cancer.5–8 Second, affective disorders cause alterations in immune pathways and prompt inflammatory responses, predisposing individuals to immune-related diseases such as cancer. In addition to people with affective disorders having been demonstrated to have a marked increase in microglial and circulating monocyte activation,9 affective symptoms have moreover been associated with markers of increased inflammation (such as high levels of interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1Ra), high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) and soluble tumour necrosis factor receptor 1 (sTNF-R1)).10 As some of these markers have been noted to persist even in full remission, it is possible that some patients with affective disorders may experience chronic inflammation.10 Third, due to genetic heterogeneity, bipolar disorder and major depression could be risk factors of cancer. Indeed, several epidemiological studies support positive associations between bipolar disorders and major depressive disorders with subsequent cancer risk.11–13 Some studies, however, showed otherwise.8,14,15 One possible explanation for the inconsistency in previous research findings is that the cancer risk elevation in people with affective disorders may be site-specific. In other words, we have to look into the mechanism for the development of a specific cancer rather than assuming a generalised elevation of cancer risk. For example, one Danish study8 found increased risk for tobacco-related cancers (such as lungs, larynx, buccal cavity, oesophagus, pancreas, kidney and bladder cancers) in patients with depression and no risk elevation for non-tobacco-related cancers such as colon and breast cancers. From a public health perspective, research tapping into modifiable lifestyle factors and subsequent cancer risks in specific sites would have a greater impact than research into an overall cancer-risk elevation. This way, the scale of the problems in relation to lifestyle-associated cancers can be estimated, and public health measures can be enacted to change or to encourage healthier lifestyle behaviours. This means we would need a large cohort to investigate mechanism- and site-specific cancer incidence. Several previous studies were limited by their short time frames and small sample sizes for incident site-specific cancer events,7,16 and thus, the risks for site-specific cancers were not able to be estimated with sufficient statistical power. We found very few studies exploring lifestyle factors in relation to cancer risk in patients with affective disorders; even so, it is well-recognised that patients with affective disorders tend to live more unhealthy lifestyles (for example smoking and drinking alcohol)4 than the general population does. In Taiwan, 29.0% of patients with bipolar disorder have been shown to have a smoking habit17 and 8.6% to be alcoholics.18 The prevalence of substance use disorder has been found to be higher among patients with a diagnosis of major depressive disorder than among bipolar patients.19 The aim of this study was to estimate the risk of alcohol- and tobacco-related cancers in a large Taiwanese cohort with affective disorders.

Method
Details of the data source have been described previously.20 Briefly, Taiwan introduced a single-payer National Health Insurance...
Program on 1 March 1995 and 98% of the 23 million Taiwanese population were enrolled. In 1996, the National Health Research Institute in Taiwan established the National Health Insurance Research Database. The database includes medical claim files representative of the entire population in Taiwan. All investigators signed an agreement guaranteeing patient confidentiality before using the database.

We used the Psychiatric Inpatient Medical Claims database, a subset of the National Health Insurance Research Database, comprising a cohort of people admitted to hospital for any psychiatric disorder between 1996 and 2008 (n = 187 117). The database included patients with at least one psychiatric in-patient record and one discharge diagnosis of mental illness coded according to the ICD-9 as codes 290–319.21 The database includes patient demographic characteristics, diagnoses, medical expenditure and prescription claims data. Information that could be used to identify beneficiaries and medical care providers was scrambled by the National Health Research Institute.

**Identification of the study cohort**

We conducted a prospective cohort study to examine cancer incidence in people with affective disorder. The data on individuals analyzed in this study were identified through the Psychiatric Inpatient Medical Claims database (ICD-9-CM code: 296). Individuals who had a psychiatric admission to hospital between 1 January 1997 and 31 December 2002 with the diagnosis of affective disorders (ICD-9-CM code: 296) were included. Those who had been admitted during 1996 were excluded: we used a 1-year exclusion period to capture ‘first admitted’ patients. The earliest admission date for each cohort member was defined as the index date. Individuals were excluded if they: (a) did not have information on gender or age; (b) received a diagnosis of cancer before the index date; or (c) received any diagnosis of schizophrenia (ICD-9 CM code: 295) during 1996–2010.

Affective disorders were further categorized as bipolar disorder (ICD-9-CM codes: 296.0, 296.1 and 296.4–296.9, excluding 296.82) (n = 10 207) or major depressive disorder (ICD-9-CM codes: 296.2, 296.3 and 296.82) (n = 9826). The detailed patient identification algorithm is shown in Fig. 1. All patients (n = 20 033) had been monitored from the index date to cancer diagnosis, death or until the end of 2010, whichever came first.

**Identification of cancer events**

In the database, cancer was defined as a ‘catastrophic illness’, and thus, the status of ‘catastrophic illness’ enabled the co-payment for the treatment of the disease to be waived. Cytological and/or other pathological reports or evidence supportive of malignancy were required to apply for a catastrophic illness certificate for cancer. Cancer events in the present study were identified by the link of pathological reports or evidence supportive of malignancy. Accordingly, cancer events were retrieved from the cancer statistics website of the National Center for Cancer Registry.

The SAS System for Windows (Version 9.2) was used to manage and analyse the data. We used standardised incidence ratios (SIRs) to determine whether the occurrence of cancer in people with affective disorders was higher or lower than in the general population. Standardised incidence ratios were computed as the ratio of the observed number to the expected number of cancer events in the study cohort. The expected number of cancer events was calculated by multiplying the number of individuals at risk by the national cancer incidence rate and the length of follow-up time (years). The national incidence rates of all, and site-specific, cancers were retrieved from the cancer statistics website of the Health Promotion Administration, Ministry of Health and Welfare (https://cris.hpa.gov.tw/). The data has been recorded and updated annually (https://tc.c2.mcu.edu.tw/main.php?Page=N1) with the most recent data available at the time of this study having been for the year 2008. We hence took the average incidence rates of 1999–2008 to estimate the expected number of cancer events (i.e. the first 2 years and the last 2 years of the follow-up period were
excluded for the calculation of average cancer incidence rates). Confidence intervals of SIRs were estimated using the Boice–Monson method.26

Sensitivity analysis
To assess the robustness of our study results, we conducted numerous sensitivity analyses. First, the ICD-9 code 296.9 (affective disorder, unspecified) could contain bipolar, unipolar disorder or other atypical/unspecified conditions related to affective disorders. Therefore, we excluded people in this residual category (affective disorder, unspecified (296.9)) to assess if the results remained the same. Second, we excluded individuals with an alcohol-related diagnosis (ICD-9-CM codes: 291, 303, 305.0, 980.0) (n = 1514, 7.56%). As alcoholism is a risk factor for cancer,27 including people with alcohol-related diagnoses may inflate the cancer risk estimates for affective disorders. Third, we excluded people who were admitted because of affective disorder during 1996–1998, and restricted our analyses to those who received in-patient care during 1999–2002 alone. It is very likely that those who were admitted for the treatment of affective disorders during 1996–1998 could have had their first admission before the inception year (1996) of the National Health Insurance Program. A recent study28 reported that in Taiwan, the 1-year relapse rate for individuals with bipolar disorder who had a history of in-patient treatment was 55%. In an 18-month follow-up study conducted on patients with major depression, only about a quarter were never readmitted, and 49% experienced residual symptoms or recurrences.28 Therefore, by utilising a 3-year exclusion period, we were better able to capture the ‘true first admitted’ patients with affective disorder than when using the whole cohort collected during the period between 1997 and 2002.

### Results

**Incidence of cancer in patients with bipolar disorder and major depression**

The incidences of cancer in the affective disorder cohorts were 4.22 and 6.27 per 1000 person-years for people with bipolar disorder and major depressive disorders, respectively; the corresponding figure in the general population of Taiwan was 3.03 per 1000 person-years.

Elevated cancer risks were found in people with bipolar disorder and major depression and in both genders; the overall risk elevation, however, was higher in people with major depressive disorders (SIR 2.01, 95% CI 1.85–2.19) than in those with bipolar disorder (SIR 1.39, 95% CI 1.26–1.53) (Table 1). In-patient care for affective disorders at a young age was associated with a higher risk of subsequent cancer than at an older age; for those who received in-patient care for affective disorder at 70 years of age or older, the risk of cancer was lower than in the general population (Table 1).

### Site-specific cancers in people with bipolar disorder and depression

Table 2 presents site-specific cancers stratified by lifestyle behaviours for affective disorders. For bipolar and major depressive disorders, cancer sites that were related to both tobacco and alcohol use had the highest incidence rates when compared with cancer sites that were related to either tobacco or alcohol use or cancer sites that were not alcohol- or tobacco-related.

For bipolar disorder, the elevated cancer risk was significant, yet smaller, for cancer sites that were associated with single substance use (either tobacco or alcohol). It is remarkable that, for women with bipolar disorder, prominent elevated risk of breast

### Table 1: Baseline characteristics and follow-up cancer risk in people with bipolar disorder and major depression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Follow-up duration, years: mean (s.d.)</th>
<th>Cancer cases observed, n</th>
<th>Crude incidencea</th>
<th>Expected incidence, n</th>
<th>SIRb (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bipolar disorder</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5184</td>
<td>9.86 (2.84)</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>354.11</td>
<td>135.07</td>
<td>1.34 (1.16–1.55)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5023</td>
<td>9.11 (3.33)</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>498.26</td>
<td>159.44</td>
<td>1.43 (1.26–1.63)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yearsc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>10.32 (2.25)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>71.78</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>5.25 (3.66–11.69)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>2459</td>
<td>10.03 (3.12)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>113.53</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td>3.58 (2.47–5.18)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>2470</td>
<td>9.86 (2.93)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>291.53</td>
<td>26.79</td>
<td>2.65 (2.10–3.33)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>9.48 (3.10)</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>478.74</td>
<td>52.66</td>
<td>1.69 (1.37–2.08)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>1163</td>
<td>9.18 (3.33)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>758.69</td>
<td>56.25</td>
<td>1.44 (1.16–1.79)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>8.29 (3.64)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>1447.53</td>
<td>62.84</td>
<td>1.48 (1.21–1.81)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–79</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>7.17 (3.96)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1127.63</td>
<td>52.78</td>
<td>0.72 (0.52–0.99)</td>
<td>0.0428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.44 (3.71)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>682.50</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>0.37 (0.12–1.14)</td>
<td>0.0709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Major depression</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5147</td>
<td>9.21 (3.13)</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>590.67</td>
<td>126.13</td>
<td>2.22 (1.97–2.50)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4679</td>
<td>7.84 (3.58)</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>673.33</td>
<td>130.69</td>
<td>1.89 (1.67–2.14)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yearsc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>9.41 (2.26)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22.71</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.66 (0.23–11.81)</td>
<td>0.6069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>9.14 (2.28)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>78.08</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>2.46 (1.48–4.08)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>1787</td>
<td>9.25 (2.28)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>320.63</td>
<td>18.15</td>
<td>2.92 (2.33–3.82)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>1796</td>
<td>9.16 (3.22)</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>717.27</td>
<td>46.64</td>
<td>2.53 (2.11–3.03)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>8.99 (3.30)</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>954.24</td>
<td>56.04</td>
<td>1.82 (1.50–2.20)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>8.15 (3.82)</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>1423.78</td>
<td>84.25</td>
<td>1.46 (1.22–1.74)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–79</td>
<td>1211</td>
<td>6.20 (3.90)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1381.26</td>
<td>109.09</td>
<td>0.88 (0.72–1.08)</td>
<td>0.2261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>4.09 (3.39)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1533.16</td>
<td>22.89</td>
<td>0.83 (0.53–1.30)</td>
<td>0.4047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Incidence rate: incident number/100 000 person-years.

b. Standardised incidence ratio (SIR), observed number of cases/expected number of cases; the expected numbers of cancers were computed by multiplying the number in the study cohort ( stratified by bipolar disorder/major depressive disorder, age, gender) by the national cancer incidence rate.
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and gynaecological cancers was found. Elevated cancer risks were particularly high for the following specific cancer sites (SIR $\geq 2.5$): buccal cavity, oesophagus and nasal cavity/paranasal sinuses.

For people with major depressive disorder, a more generalised increase in cancer risk was observed across all cancer sites; except for skin, bladder and gynaecological cancers (i.e. cervical and uterine cancers). Elevated cancer risks were particularly high for specific cancer sites (SIR $\geq 2.5$); these were the buccal cavity, oesophagus, larynx, pancreas, kidney, brain, breast and prostate.

### Sensitivity analysis

All three sensitivity analyses did not differ significantly from the results using the full sample. When patients coded under ICD-9 296.9 (affective disorder, unspecified) were excluded, the SIRs for all other patients, those with bipolar disorder and those with major depression were 1.65 ($P < 0.001$), 1.35 ($P < 0.001$) and 1.96 ($P < 0.001$), respectively. In the analysis excluding individuals with alcohol-related disorders, the corresponding SIRs were 1.50 ($P < 0.001$), 1.24 ($P < 0.001$) and 1.80 ($P < 0.001$), respectively. The corresponding figures for the results using the 1999–2002 subset sample (i.e. 3-year exclusion period) were 1.72 ($P < 0.001$), 1.38 ($P < 0.001$), and 2.05 ($P < 0.001$).

### Discussion

#### Main findings

We found significantly increased cancer risk in a large cohort of people who had been admitted to hospital for affective disorders in Taiwan. However, the increase in cancer risk was not found among individuals whose first psychiatric admission to hospital was at 70 years of age or older. The extent of risk elevation was more pronounced among people with major depressive disorders than among those with bipolar disorders. The risk of site-specific cancers suggests that, for people with bipolar disorder, the elevated risks were generally limited to female-hormone-regulated cancers such as breast, uterine and cervical cancers and cancers associated with tobacco and alcohol use; whereas for individuals with major depression, a more generalised increase in cancer risk was found.

The elevated cancer risk among patients ever admitted to hospital for affective disorders was more pronounced in tobacco-and/or alcohol-related cancers and in those who received in-patient care for affective disorders at a young age. Because the prevalence of smoking and alcohol use is much higher in people with affective disorders than among the general population,29–31 lifestyle behaviours are likely to represent an important factor in the development of cancer in people with affective disorders.

#### Findings from other studies

One Danish study8 showed that the increased cancer risk in people with affective disorders was only found in cancers associated with tobacco use; no elevation was noted in non-tobacco-related cancer sites, unlike the findings of the present study. Therefore, the underlying mechanisms of the relationship between affective disorders and cancer risk may vary between different populations.

#### Age-related differences in incidence

Our results showed that cancer incidence in affective disorders was age-related. The younger a patient was when receiving their first...
in-patient treatment for affective disorders, the higher their subsequent risk of cancer. However, the risk of cancer for individuals whose first admission for affective disorder occurred when they were 70 years of age or older was paradoxically lower than that for the general population. To the best of our knowledge, no study to date has reported age-stratified cancer incidences among individuals with affective disorder. This is probably because very few cohorts had adequate sample sizes to conduct age-stratified analyses. Our findings suggest a common genetic liability for cancer and affective disorders as early-onset diseases tend to have a genetic origin. This hypothesis, however, has not been formally validated. One other explanation is the high prevalence of harmful health behaviours, such as cigarette smoking and alcohol use, in young people with affective disorders in Taiwan.32

Findings relating to major depression
The subsequent cancer risks in people with affective disorders were more pronounced in those with major depression than in those with bipolar disorder. In individuals with major depression, the average risk of cancers related to both alcohol and tobacco use (i.e. oral cavity and oesophageal cancers) reached 2.32, strongly indicating the role of health behaviours in exacerbating cancer risk. As Taiwanese people with major depression have been reported to have a higher prevalence of substance use disorder than those with bipolar disorder,19 it is likely that the increased cancer risk in those with depression is related to the higher prevalence of unhealthy lifestyle behaviours among this population. However, the elevated risk was not limited to tobacco- and alcohol-related cancers; for cancers that were not related to tobacco or alcohol use, a 51% excess risk was found in those with major depressive disorders compared with the general population. This figure is much higher than findings from Western countries. A meta-analysis pooling studies from 1990 to 2005, all conducted in the West, yielded a slightly and marginally increased cancer risk in patients with depressive disorders (adjusted odds ratio 1.12, 95% CI 0.99–1.26).3 The high incidence of cancer in people with major depression adds to the overall high risk status of these individuals in Taiwan. The prevalence of depression in Taiwan and other Asian countries is much lower than that reported in Western countries.34 Thus, our study results highlight the need to pay particular attention to the physical health of people with depressive disorders in Taiwan.

The increased risk of brain cancer in people with major depression was extremely high. Because depression can be an early manifestation of brain cancer, this finding highlights the importance of considering physical disease as a possible differential diagnosis for individuals with depressive symptoms.

Findings relating to bipolar disorder
Although the extent of cancer risk elevation was not as prominent in people with bipolar disorder compared with those with depressive disorders, we observed a significant increase in female-hormone-regulated cancers such as breast, cervical and uterine cancers, in addition to tobacco- and alcohol-related cancers, among people with bipolar disorder. One possible mechanism for this increase is the elevated prolactin levels subsequent to the use of psychotropic medications in patients with bipolar disorder.12 In fact, increased risk of cancer influenced by female hormones, such as breast cancer, was also found in people with schizophrenia32,33 even though the overall cancer risk is widely believed to be lower in individuals with schizophrenia compared with the general population.36–38 Active screening for female-hormone-regulated cancers in people with bipolar disorder should be integrated into clinical practice.

Strengths and limitations
One key strength of the present study is its large sample size. The study included more than 20,000 people with mood disorders and we assessed site-specific cancers to ascertain whether the risk elevation was associated with tobacco- or alcohol-related cancer. Nonetheless, several limitations are associated with the study. First, because we used the claims database, no information on smoking and drinking behaviours were included; thus, we could only identify cancer sites that were associated with tobacco and alcohol use. These are proxy measures rather than true assessments of unhealthy lifestyle behaviours.

Second, we only included people with mood disorders who were ever admitted to hospital and this population might not be representative of individuals with mood disorders who have not received in-patient treatment.

Third, cancer events in patients with affective disorders were measured based on the catastrophic illness database. This database is linked to the National Health Insurance Data. The process of applying for catastrophic disease registration is quite rigorous and the validity of case identification is very high.39,40 Hence, the catastrophic illness database has been widely used in previous studies to ascertain cancer events.39,40 The National Cancer Registry database is derived from a population-based cancer registry with the goal of monitoring the incidence of cancer among the general population of Taiwan. This Registry is not linked to the National Health Insurance Research Database, but can be used to calculate population incidence rates. The number of cancer events identified by the Catastrophic Illness database is likely to be lower than the number identified in the National Cancer Registry database. Thus, the SIRs were likely to be underestimated in this study. In other words, we provided a conservative estimation of SIRs in our sample; the true figures of cancer incidence in people with affective disorders could be even higher.

Fourth, this study excluded individuals with any diagnosis of cancer before the index date (n = 474). However, some of these excluded people may have experienced their onset of affective disorder prior to the inception year of the National Health Insurance Program. Therefore, it is likely that this contributed to a further underestimation of the effect size reported by this study.

Finally, individual variations in healthcare utilisation could have influenced the estimated association between affective disorder and subsequent cancer incidence. However, because Taiwan’s National Health Insurance covers 99% of the population for both psychiatric and medical in-patient and out-patient services, it is not likely that patterns of healthcare utilisation would affect our results significantly.

Implications
Given our findings, we recommend particular attention is focused on lifestyle behaviours and the associated cancer risks in people with affective disorders, especially those who have ever been admitted to hospital for affective disorders at a young age. To achieve better healthcare quality, caring for people with affective disorders should be holistic. Namely, in addition to patient mental health, physical conditions should be monitored proactively.
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