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Abstract

Sea-ice pore microstructure constrains ice transport properties, affecting fluid flow relevant to oil-in-place transport and biogeochemical processes. Motivated by a lack of pore microstructural data, in particular for granular ice and across the seasonal cycle, throat size, tortuosity, connectivity, and other microstructural variables were derived from X-ray computed tomography for brine-filled pores in seasonal landfast ice off northern Alaska. Data were obtained for granular and columnar ice during the ice growth, transition, and melt season. While granular ice exhibits a more heterogeneous pore space than columnar ice, pore and throat size distributions are comparable. The greater tortuosity of pores in granular (1.2 < \( \tau_c \) < 1.7) compared to columnar ice (1.0 < \( \tau_c \) < 1.1) compounded with a less interconnected pore space translates into lower permeability for granular ice during the growth season for a given porosity. The microstructural data explain findings of granular ice hindering vertical oil-in-place transport during ice growth and transition stage. With granular ice more frequent in the changing Arctic, data from studies such as this are needed to inform improved modeling of porosity-permeability relationships.

Introduction

Sea ice is an essential component of Earth’s climate system and plays an important role in polar marine ecosystems (Thomas, 2017). Sea ice serves both as a habitat for a broad range of flora and fauna, from microorganisms to higher trophic levels (Horner and others, 1992; Bluhm and Gradinger, 2008; Gradinger and others, 2010), and as a platform that accumulates and transports dissolved and particulate matter sustaining biological activity (Meiners and Michel, 2017). The distinct sea-ice microstructure plays a critical role in the biogeochemical transformation and cycling (Vancoppenolle and others, 2013; Steiner and others, 2016). In particular, pore microstructure controls nutrient supply to ice microalgal communities, contributing to steep gradients in ice algal biomass in the bottommost ice layers (Arrigo, 2014) and near the surface (Ackley and Sullivan, 1994). However, the linkages between pore microstructure seasonal evolution and fluxes of nutrients, gases and dissolved organic matter are still poorly understood. Lack of relevant pore microstructural data for the two most common ice textural classes, granular and columnar ice, to help improve permeability-porosity modeling are a particular limitation (Steiner and others, 2016; Steffen and others, 2018).

Such pore microstructural data are also relevant in the context of contaminant entrainment and transport in sea ice, specifically the percolation of oil. In recent years, growing maritime and resource development activities in the Arctic (Eguíluz and others, 2016), have increased the probability for oil spills in ice-covered waters (Wilkinson and others, 2015). Potential harmful impacts on Arctic ecosystems have placed an emphasis on improved spill response in the Arctic (Arctic Council, 2013). Understanding the movement of crude oil within sea ice is crucial to improved spill response capabilities and to effective clean-up efforts. Recent work by Oggier and others (2020b) highlights the need for pore microstructural data, in particular pore network connectivity, to support the prediction of oil movement in sea ice. Furthermore, an empirical 5-stage oil migration model drawing on large-scale ice tank experiments (Oggier and others, 2020b) also emphasized the need for data on the seasonal evolution of pore microstructure. Measurements for granular ice are lacking in particular. As shown by Oggier and others (2020b), granular ice, typically present in the surface ice layers, greatly hinders upward migration and surfacing of crude oil following an under-ice oil release.

Owing to its common occurrence in the upper ice layers, derived from a consolidation of frazil ice during the early ice-growth stages, granular ice and its microstructure play an important role in a number of contexts, such as flooding of sea ice and snow-ice formation (Webster and others, 2018) or microwave remote sensing of the ice cover (Lubin and Massom, 2006). Given the lack of microstructural data in particular for granular ice, this study aims to quantify its pore microstructure relative to that of columnar ice, with a focus on pore-space networks and related metrics previously identified as constraining movement of fluids and gases through sea ice, such as throat size, connectivity and tortuosity. These microstructural parameters are relevant as well for our understanding of the nutrient cycle and biogeochemical processes. Drawing on core sampling and ice property characterization of first-year landfast ice in northern Alaska, we explore the seasonal evolution of sea-ice pore microstructure derived from...
computed tomography (CT) X-ray images. In addition to deriving ice microstructural features of broader interest, we quantify variables such as pore connectivity and tortuosity that are central to transport process modeling and support the development of an oil-in-ice percolation model.

**Background**

Sea-ice pore space microstructure and pore network connectivity impact many of the physical and biogeochemical processes within the ice cover (Hudier and others, 1995; Eicken and others, 2002; Vancoppenolle and others, 2007; Arrigo, 2017). The size, shape, and spatial arrangement of the brine-filled pore space evolves with temperature changes (Petrich and Eicken, 2017). Cooling of the ice is associated with freezing of brine, potential salt precipitation and segregation of pores. In contrast, warming, whether during winter storm events or in spring toward the end of the ice-growth season, enlarges brine inclusions, increasing connectivity of the pore space (Pringle and others, 2009). In spring, when the ice becomes permeable, meltwater percolates through the pore space, desalinating the ice cover, but also carrying particulate material and nutrients (Freitag, 1999; Krembs and others, 2011). The physical properties of the ice are tightly linked with nutrient and light availability for microbial communities that colonize the ice bottom layers, where environmental conditions are more stable and pore connectivity constrains nutrient supply (Arrigo, 2017). The pore space morphology also provides microorganisms with protection from larger predators (Krembs and others, 2011).

As sea water cools below its freezing point, small ice crystals form in the upper layers of the ocean. The consolidation of such frazil ice once it has floated to the surface, yields ice of granular texture with small, isometric, randomly oriented grains with small intergranular brine inclusions. Underneath such an ice cover composed of granular ice, quiescent conditions typically foster the growth of sea ice through accretion, leading to vertically elongated ice crystals known as columnar ice (Petrich and Eicken, 2017). The convective overturning of brine in the ice and associated drainage processes result in the formation of brine channels which extend vertically over several cm to dm, with feeder channels extending at 45° (Cole and Shapiro, 1998; Wells and others, 2011).

The pore microstructure of both columnar and granular ice has been described qualitatively by Weissenberger and others (1992) and quantitatively by Perovich and Gow (1991), Bock and Eicken (2005), and Crabeck and others (2016). Most of the Arctic ice cover is composed of columnar ice (Weeks, 1998). The seasonal evolution of its pore microstructure is reasonably well understood (Cole and Shapiro, 1998; Cottier and others, 1999; Light and others, 2003) and different models relating aspects of pore microstructure to ice macroscopic properties have been developed (Petrich and others, 2006; Golden and others, 2007; Jones and others, 2012; Steffen and others, 2018). Brine movement through columnar ice has been quantified in the context of percolation transitions in a pore network (Pringle and others, 2009; Steffen and others, 2018) or mushy-layer theory (Feltham and others, 2006; Griewank and Notz, 2013). In contrast, granular ice pore microstructure has received much less attention. Despite the substantial contrasts in microstructure – described as tortuous (Weissenberger and others, 1992; Liebappen and others, 2018) relative to columnar ice, quantitative data are lacking. The few existing measurements of permeability and resistivity (Freitag, 1999; Freitag and Eicken, 2003; Kawamura and others, 2006; O’Sadnick and others, 2016) are not sufficient to inform the development of fluid flow through granular ice. Hence, the presence of granular ice is often either not considered (Golden and others, 2007; Griewank and Notz, 2013) or aggregated with columnar ice in representations of ice stratigraphy (Buffo and others, 2018).

**Methods**

**Sample collection**

We collected sea-ice samples for microstructure analysis in spatially homogeneous, level undeformed landfast ice at Utqiaġvik, Alaska, during the winters and springs of 2013 and 2014 (Fig. 1). The ice is representative of Arctic undeformed sea ice, both in terms of microstructure and ice properties (Druckenmiller and others, 2009; Oggier and others, 2020a). We collected microstructure samples at three different times throughout the seasonal cycle to be representative of the growth period (end of March), the transition to the melt season...
(mid-May), and the melt period (mid-June). Figure 1 shows the sea ice temperature from April to June 2014. Winter 2014 was warmer than 2013 by about 2°C on average (Eicken, 2016).

At the same time, we measured temperature and salinity profiles on co-located ice cores, using standard methods (Oggier and others, 2020a). Brine volume fraction was later computed from salinity and temperature profiles (Cox and Weeks, 1983).

Samples for X-ray tomography were centrifuged in a refrigerated centrifuge at in situ temperature for 5 min at 1500 rpm immediately after collection, sealed in aluminum foil and polyethylene, and then stored at −40°C for further processing. Based on thin section analysis performed by O’Sadnick (2015) on an ice core extracted contemporaneously at the same location, we selected samples from the upper ice cover (0–20 cm) of granular texture and samples from the interior (30–100 cm) of columnar texture. A few samples collected between 20 and 30 cm consisting predominantly but not exclusively of granular ice were grouped with the granular samples. The first letter of the sample names refers to the ice texture (Granular or Columnar), while the second letter indicates the seasonal stage (Growth, Transition or Melt). The letters are followed by an incremental number. An asterisk denotes samples without vertical connection, defined as the presence of a connected pore space extending from the bottom to the top of the sample. For example, GM-0* refers to a sample of granular ice collected during the melt period without vertical connection.

**X-ray computed tomography imaging**

Each sample was lathed into a cylinder of 30 mm diameter and 30 mm height in a cold room at ambient temperatures of −15 to −20°C. For the CT-imaging, a Skyscan 1074 portable micro-CT was installed in a temperature-controlled sub-chamber of the cold room set at −20°C. During the imaging process, samples were set on a rotating stage and rotated 180°~ the vertical axis by increments of 0.9°. At each step, an X-ray projection was captured on the instrument’s 768 × 576 pixel 8-bit camera with a pixel size of 41.5 × 41.5 μm. The X-ray source was set to a voltage of 40 keV and a current of 1 mA with an exposure time of about 540 ms (O’Sadnick and others, 2016). We used the NRecon software (Bruker microCT, Kontich, Belgium) to reconstruct the 3D CT volume. Each volume consists of a large number of discrete cubic elements, known as voxels.

During the reconstruction process, each voxel was given a Hounsfield value, measured in Hounsfield Units (HU), which corresponds to its average linear X-ray attenuation coefficient μ, a function of the atomic number and the radiation energy (Hounsfield, 1973):

\[
HU = 1000 \left( \frac{\mu - \mu_w}{\mu_w - \mu_a} \right)
\]  

(1)

where \(\mu_w\) and \(\mu_a\) are the linear absorption coefficients of water and air and, by definition, have Hounsfield values of \(HU_{w} = 1000\) HU, and \(HU_{a} = 0\) HU, respectively. Crabeck and others (2016) and Kawamura (1988) find Hounsfield values of −74 HU and −83 HU for ice, respectively. Hounsfield values for brine of increasing salinity and precipitated salts vary from 60 HU up to 700 HU. Since a voxel may contain different phases, its Hounsfield value depends on the volume fraction of air \(V_a\), ice \(V_i\), or brine \(V_b\), with the Hounsfield value of the brine, \(HU_b\), given as:

\[
HU = V_aHU_a + V_iHU_i + V_bHU_b
\]  

(2)

To simplify the calculation, we used a Hounsfield value for brine of 200 HU. We set the range of HU from −1000 to 638 HU, which encompasses air, ice, and brine, and neglects any artifacts present at higher values and subsequently converted to values between 0 and 255. The 3D CT volumes were exported into vertical stacks of 8-bit images. Each image represents a horizontal slice of the original ice sample (see Supplemental material).

**Microstructure analysis**

**Post-processing**

Each sample was examined individually. For samples with columnar ice texture, we determined the preferential orientation of the sub-parallel brine layers and rotated the sample along its vertical axis so that brine layers were parallel to the horizontal x-axis. This realignment also facilitates visual intercomparison between samples. Crystals in granular ice are randomly oriented (Petrich and Eicken, 2017), so this step was skipped for granular samples.

As the surface of the cylindrical ice samples presented defects due to machining, we selected a region of interest centered in the sample that omitted the outer layer of the sample cylinder. We extracted a cuboid with a square base of 375 pixels (15 mm) edge length in the x−y plane, and 450 pixels (18 mm) in the vertical (z) direction. We applied a 3D 3-pixel median filter on the selected volume to reduce noise.

To segment the images, we used a threshold based on a mixture model approach (Eqn. 2). Thus, every pixel with less than 50% ice was categorized either as air or brine. During the centrifugation process, most of the brine was extracted from the samples. For highly connected samples, almost no brine remained in the samples. In this case, we assumed \(V_b = 0\), leading to an air-threshold \(HU_{ia} = 540\) HU. Lower values correspond to voxels with more than 50% air (Table 1). Otherwise, some of the brine remained trapped in pores disconnected from the sample surface. As the connectivity of sea ice decreases with decreasing temperature (Pringle and others, 2009), a larger fraction of brine remained in colder samples. Under the assumption that the fraction of ice is 50%, replacing a small fraction of air by brine, e.g., \(V_i = 0.05\), respectively, \(V_b = 0.1\), increases the air-threshold value to \(−480\) HU, respectively to \(−420\) HU. To account for the time of year the sample was collected and the temperature of the sample, we selected 3 different air-ice thresholds (Table 1). The ice-brine threshold was set at 50% ice and 50% brine, leading to \(HU_{ib} = 60\) HU. The final threshold value for each sample was based on a combination of the seasonal stage, ice temperature, and bulk salinity. We used the threshold values converted to the closest grayscale number to segment each CT volume into ternary images.

One of the main drawbacks of micro-CT imagery of centrifuged samples is the technical impossibility to distinguish between air bubbles and empty brine pockets, as both are filled with air after centrifugation. Thus, we finally merged the air and brine phases together to obtain binary images.

**Pore network extraction and microstructure analysis**

In addition to the total and open pore space, we defined the following two subsets of the open pore space:

1. **Vertically connected pore space**: connected to both the bottom and the top face of the sample, allowing for fluid movement from the bottom to the top across the sample.
2. **Bottom connected pore space**: connected to the bottom of the sample, including pores that terminate inside the sample volume.
Further data analysis was carried out using Python 3.8 (Van Rossum and Drake, 2009) with packages that included mainly NumPy (van der Walt and others, 2011), Pandas (McKinney, 2010), Matplotlib (Hunter, 2007), and SciPy (Virtanen and others, 2020). For each pore space subset, we computed the pore volume fraction as the ratio between the number of voxels belonging to a particular pore space subset and the total number of voxels in the sample.

We used the open-source python module PoreSpy v. 1.2.0 (Gostick and others, 2019) to extract a representation of the pore and throat network from a selected pore space, using the SNOW algorithm (subnetwork of the over-segmented watershed). The SNOW algorithm initially segments the pore space using the watershed algorithm developed for sedimentary rocks, which divides the pore space according to the measured local thickness (Rabbani and others, 2014). The segmentation yields a pore space divided into regions characterized by the maximal local thickness, which appears as a peak in the watershed representation of the pore space. The algorithm addresses potential over-segmentation of the pore space in nongranular media in two steps. First, thin extensions connecting large pores are merged with one of the neighboring pores according to a reanalysis of the local geometry. Second, large adjacent pore regions are merged when their respective peaks were closer to each other than to the nearest voxels of the adjacent ice grains (Gostick, 2017). Each final region corresponds to a pore, and throats are defined as connections between two pores. The size of the pore is defined as the radius of the largest sphere inscribed in the region, without exceeding the boundaries of the pore. The throat length corresponds to the center-to-center distance between two pores, less the radius of the pore. The throat radius was then given by the radius of the inscribed sphere at the cross-section between the two pores. The distribution of the pore size, throat size and length can be computed from the network geometry. In this paper, we used the term pathway to refer to a succession of connected nodes (pores) and sticks (throats). While the SNOW algorithm performs well on sedimentary rocks, the use of the watershed algorithm has been applied successfully to other types of porous media ranging from granular to fibrous (Jervis and others, 2018; Banerjee and others, 2019). We used the OpenPNM v. 2.5.1 module (Gostick and others, 2016) in conjunction with ParaView (Ahrens and others, 2005) to visualize the pore space. Each node corresponds to an identified sphere, and a stick to a throat. Using a PoreSpy subroutine we searched for any pathways connecting pores present on the bottom face and the pores present on the top face. The fractional connectivity \( f_c \) within the sample is given by:

\[
f_c = \frac{N_{psc}}{N_{ps}}
\]

Where \( N_{ps} \) is the total number of pores present on the start face of the volume, and \( N_{psc} \) is the number of pores present on the start face of the volume and connected via a path to the opposite end face (Pringle and others, 2009). By definition, the fractional connectivity is independent of the number of paths and their shape. We computed the fractional connectivity using a cuboid with a horizontal extent of 400 × 400 pixels and increasing height from the surface up to the full sample height (2–375 slices). According to Pringle and others (2009) an exponential curve of the form \( f = A \exp(-z/z_0) \) can be fitted to the data. The value \( z_0 \) corresponds to the vertical correlation length of the sample, where \( A \) is a constant. The specific surface area (SSA), \( A_v \), is defined as the ratio between the surface of the pore space and the volume of the pore space. It was measured using a PoreSpy subroutine, which fits a triangular mesh to smooth the cubic voxels that comprise the discretized pore space. This method leads to a lower SSA than the approach of Lieb-Lappen and others (2017) who computed microstructural measures directly from the voxels.

In addition, we measured the tortuosity of the pore space. Several definitions exist for this metric describing the complexity of a pathway (Clennell, 1997). In fluid dynamics, the hydraulic tortuosity, \( \tau_h \), is used and defined as the ratio of the length along the flow path divided by the distance measured in a straight line in the direction of flow. However, the actual length of the flow path is difficult to measure without complex flow simulations. In this study, we use the geometric tortuosity defined by Gommers and others (2009) as the ratio between the geodesic distance, \( L_G \), and Euclidian distance, \( L_E \), between two points:

\[
\tau = \frac{L_G}{L_E}
\]

The Euclidian distance is the straight-line distance between those points, while the geodesic distance is the distance along the shortest path between two points fully contained within the pore space. The tortuosity tends to 1 as the pathway becomes more direct across the volume.

This method has been implemented in the python module FibTortuosity (Taillon and others, 2018), which does not require a significant computation effort. After adapting the module to our use, we repeatedly computed the tortuosity value over a cuboid with a horizontal extent of 400 × 400 pixels, and a vertical extent of 20 slices (\( L_E = 0.8 \) mm). We repeated the measurement for subsets of increasing vertical length under consideration from 5 to 200 slices, \( L_E = 8 \) mm, with the start face located every 10 vertical slices from the bottom of the sample.

**Results**

**Overview of microstructural data**

Figure 2 shows pairs of 3D volumetric renderings of the pore space for granular and columnar ice at different times of the year, representative of the ice growth, transition, and melt periods. Striking differences exist between granular (Fig. 2, left) and columnar (Fig. 2, right) ice texture, in line with the observations of Weissenberger and others (1992). First, as expected, the pore space in columnar ice is elongated along the vertical z-axis. In the horizontal direction, following the rotation of the volume around the z-axis, the remnant brine layers of columnar ice are oriented roughly parallel to the x-axis. In granular ice, we do not observe any preferential orientation in any direction, thus no rotation along the x-axis was applied. Second, although most pores of columnar ice are disconnected pockets during the growth season, all samples but two (CG-0, CT-2) have at least one channel extending through the full vertical extent of the sample. In contrast and despite the apparent tightness of the pore network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period, ice temperature</th>
<th>( V_a )</th>
<th>( V_b )</th>
<th>( V_i )</th>
<th>( \text{HU}_{\text{pse}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melt, warm, or growth, warm</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(-546)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt, cold or growth, warm</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>(-632)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth, cold</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>(-475)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth, cold</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(-420)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth, cold</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>(-452)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Final HU threshold is bold. \( V_a, V_b, \) and \( V_i \) are volume fractions of air, brine, or ice in the voxel.
in granular ice, the pore space in the granular samples remains often poorly connected at the sample length-scale with 9 out of 12 samples not vertically connected.

Third, at the pore scale, columnar ice pores are vertically elongated, with a horizontal cross-section significantly smaller than the vertical extent (Figs 2c, d). With the warming of the ice, the pores become larger but remain mostly long and straight (Fig. 2g), with few branches developing in the horizontal direction (Fig. 2h). In granular ice, the pores vary significantly in shape and size, but overall, the ratio between vertical to a horizontal extent is visibly smaller than for columnar ice (Fig. 2a). We notice the presence of a few macropores formed by large pores connected by small throats. With the warming of the ice, the pore network becomes more tortuous (Fig. 2e), with branching developing in all directions (Figs 2f, j).

Figure 3 shows an example of the extracted pore and throat network from the original pore space. Hereafter, we will use the term ‘node’ to refer to the pore of the extracted pore and throat network. At the pore scale, granular ice exhibits highly connected clusters of pores, with self-similarity indicative of fractal structure. As expected in columnar ice, the connections are mostly vertically oriented. We note that a vast majority of pores one would identify as brine tubes several mm long (Fig. 2h) are formed by a succession of poorly branched nodes and throats (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, we identify the presence of a few extreme cases where long tubes are made of a chain of nodes, connected exclusively to two throats, i.e. without branching (Fig. 3b).

Figure 4a shows the frequency of coordination numbers, $N_c$, defined as the number of nodes directly linked by a throat to a given node. Nodes with a coordination number $N_c = 1$ are of no interest from the perspective of connectivity. Obviously, $N_c = 0$ is a single, fully disconnected node. Despite being the most common ($f > 65\%$), nodes with $N_c = 1$ are either connected to a node of the same kind – forming a disconnected pair or terminating in an extension of a connected pore network. Analysis shows that nodes with a large coordination number ($N_c > 4$) are often

![Fig. 2. Three-dimensional visualization of pore microstructure with respect to ice textural units (granular or columnar) and stage in the seasonal cycle of a cuboid measuring 16 mm along the horizontal edges and 15 mm height. For each sample, the full pore space is depicted on the left (a, c, e, g, j and l), and the vertically connected pore space on the right (b, f, h, i). In absence of vertically connected pore space, the bottom connected pore space is shown for GG-0* and GG-1* (b, d).](https://www.cambridge.org/core/core.13Mar2022at11:27:46,subjecttothecambridgencorettermsofuse.)
found in larger pores, which in network representation can be constituted of multiple interconnected nodes. Nodes with a coordination number of $N_c = 2$ or $N_c = 3$ are often located either in a convoluted section of the pore space in granular ice, or in elongated pores of columnar ice. While columnar ice tends to have more nodes with a high coordination number than granular ice, their frequency remains overall small ($f < 1\%$). The most noticeable difference is for $N_c > 2$, which accounts for about 30% of the total node number in columnar ice, about twice as much as in granular ice.

Chains of connected nodes are of particular interest from the perspective of transport properties. A chain of connected nodes consists of a set of nodes each linked by a single throat to its two neighbors in the chain ($N_c = 2$). A chain is either terminated with an extremity ($N_c = 1$), or a branching ($N_c > 2$). Figure 4b summarizes the frequency of chain length $L_c$, defined as the number of consecutive nodes with $N_c = 2$. Overall, small chains ($L_c < 3$) are the most frequent in both ice textures. The longest chain observed in granular ice is about half of that of columnar ice, and accounts for less than 1% of the total pore number. For comparison, 10% of the chains in columnar ice are of similar length ($5 \leq L_c \leq 7$), and longer chains ($8 \leq L_c$) still account for several percent of the chain population. The frequency of those long chains in columnar ice is indications of numerous elongated pores, as illustrated in Fig. 3b.

Figure 5 shows the contribution of closed, vertically connected, and open porosity to the total porosity, along with the computed brine volume fraction from bulk salinity and temperature. The increase in porosity follows the rise in temperature, with the total porosity increasing by a factor of four from the growth to the melt season. While closed porosity accounts for more than half of the total porosity during the growth season, its contribution decreases as the ice becomes more porous. At the same time, the contribution of open and vertically connected porosity increases with the advance in a seasonal stage. It is important to note that the two most porous samples (GT-2 and GM-0*) are both composed of granular ice, and contribute to the visible decrease of the average value of vertically connected pore space from the transition to the melt season.

The computed brine volume fraction deviates significantly from the porosity inferred from the CT imagery for a number of samples considered individually. However, the average values for each seasonal stage are similar. In addition, computing Pearson’s correlation coefficient between porosity and brine volume fraction by texture, we found that the correlation is significant for both granular ice ($R = 0.62$, $p$-value = 0.050) and columnar ($R = 0.89$, $p$-value = 0.001). Figure 5 shows that the difference between measured porosity and calculated brine volume fraction is much larger for granular ice than for columnar ice. In addition, porosity in columnar ice is always lower than the computed brine volume fraction.

**Pore and throat size distributions**

**Pore size distribution**

The cumulative distribution functions (CDF) of the pore diameter, throat diameter, throat length, and pore horizontal cross-section area are shown in Figure 6 for the total pore space (Figs 6a–h), and the subset of vertically connected pore space (Figs 6i–l). Overall, we observed an increase in pore and throat diameters and lengths with the advance in seasonal stage. The values of pore areas (Figs 6d, h, I) are similar to data obtained by Perovich and Gow (1996, Fig. 7), and equivalent cross-sections estimated by Light and others (2003). With respect to the total pore space, the columnar ice is more homogeneous with a smaller spread of both pore and throat diameter, as well as throat length. During the transition and melt seasons, pore and throat diameters above 0.1 mm increase strongly in granular ice relative to columnar ice. Figures 6i–k suggests that vertically connected pore space is made up of pores and throats with the largest diameters, but similar throat length. A table with key pore and throat size parameters is available as Supplementary material.

**Fractional connectivity**

Figure 7a shows the decay in fractional connectivity as a function of the height of the sample. In columnar ice, we observe the same exponential decay as Pringle and others (2009) for low-porosity ($\phi < 8\%$). In percolation theory, the correlation length is equivalent to the average distance between two connected pores. The porosity value at which the correlation length diverges is known as the percolation threshold, above which the pore space is considered fully connected. The correlation length follows a similar power law of the form $f \propto \phi^w$ for the same porosity range (Fig. 7b, $\phi < 8\%$, dashed line). We expected an asymptotic behavior above the percolation threshold, here $\phi_c = 8\%$. For granular ice, we were able to fit the fractional connectivity to an exponential curve. However, the wide spread of the correlation length and porosity prevented us from fitting a power law and estimating a percolation threshold for granular ice. Nonetheless, it is important to note that the correlation length of granular ice is overall much smaller than that of columnar ice, even for high porosity samples (Fig. 7b, dotted line). Only GT-0 shows a correlation length above 2 mm for granular ice. Our data do not indicate that either a linear, power-law or exponential function would serve better to fit the granular ice fractional connectivity.
Fig. 5. Contribution of closed ($q_c$), vertically connected porosity ($q_v$) and open porosity ($q_o - q_v$, i.e. not accounting for the vertically connected porosity), as measured on the imaged samples. The vertically connected porosity is a subset of the open porosity ($q_o$). The brine volume fraction ($V_{fb}$) was computed from bulk salinity and temperature profile. The crosses (X) indicate the temperature in the middle of the sample. The mean and Std dev. for samples as a function of the ice texture (Granular or Columnar) and period (Growth, Transition or Melt) and are displayed at right. Note that for each seasonal stage, we organized samples in order of increasing depth. The total porosity of GT-2 $q_o$ is 32%.

Fig. 6. Pore characteristics of granular and columnar ice as function of seasonal stages for the total pore network (a–h) and the vertically connected pore space (i–l): (a, e, i) pore diameter, (b, f, j) throat diameter, (c, g, k) throat length and pore horizontal cross-sectional area (d, h, l).
Derivation of vertical correlation length $z_v$ for columnar and granular ice: (a) fractional connectivity $f$, for different porosity ranges. (b) Vertical correlation length $z_v$. The dashed and dotted lines are a guide to the eye for columnar and granular ice.

**Tortuosity**

Figure 8a shows the distribution of the vertical tortuosity $\tau_v$ as a function of crystal texture and seasonal stage computed for 0.8 mm high slices of the vertically connected pore space. The range of values is asymmetric, which is expected as tortuosity values range from 0 to infinity by definition. Overall, the tortuosity median and range are significantly smaller in columnar ice ($\tau_{v,c} = 1.06$) than in granular ice ($\tau_{v,g} = 1.20$). In addition, the difference tends to decrease with the advance in a seasonal stage. However, locally the tortuosity of granular ice can reach extreme values of up to 3.38, 2.56 or 1.48 depending on the seasonal stage. In contrast, the maximum value reached by columnar ice is 1.26 during the growth season.

For the vertically connected pore space, Figure 8b shows the dependence of tortuosity on the vertical length under consideration. The differences in tortuosity for different seasonal stages and textures are striking: While changes in tortuosity within columnar ice are small (with a maximum of +10% during the growth season), the tortuosity increases with the vertical length for granular ice. In addition, the interquartile range remains narrow in columnar ice, and increases greatly in granular ice. However, we note that tortuosity seems to reach a plateau at roughly 3 mm in granular ice, and 7 mm in columnar ice.

**Specific surface area**

Figure 9 summarizes the SSA of the connected pore space. The values are at the low end of the range measured by Lieb-Lappen and others (2017) for their samples’ total porosity. The omission of closed pores, which tend to have a higher surface-to-volume ratio, shifts our distribution to a lower SSA. While the SSA distribution is the same for both ice textures ($\approx 13 \text{ mm}^{-1}$) during the growth season, the values for granular ice ($\approx 6 \text{ mm}^{-1}$) are about a third lower than those for columnar ice ($\approx 9 \text{ mm}^{-1}$) during the melt stage. During the transition stage, variability is large in the distribution for granular ice ($5 \text{ mm}^{-1} \leq \text{SSA} \leq 13 \text{ mm}^{-1}$), covering the whole range observed during the growth and melt stage. In contrast, the distribution in columnar ice during the transition stage remains similar to that of the growth stages ($10 \text{ mm}^{-1} \leq \text{SSA} \leq 14 \text{ mm}^{-1}$). Overall, the SSA decreases for both ice textures during the advance of the seasonal cycle.

**Discussion**

**Methodological advances and constraints**

Although many microstructure analyses rely on thin-section microphotography to describe pore size distribution (Perovich and Gow, 1991; Eicken and others, 2000; Light and others, 2003; Galley and others, 2015; Frantz and others, 2019), this destructive method is labor-intensive and mostly only allows for quantification of pore sizes every few millimeters (Frantz and others, 2019). The majority of studies drawing on 3D datasets that were derived from either magnetic resonance imaging (Eicken and others, 2000; Bock and Eicken, 2005; Galley and others, 2015) or CT scans (Lieblappen and others, 2018), limit their pore size analysis to slice-by-slice quantification. Thus, past studies—with few exceptions such as work by Pringle and others (2009) on columnar ice single-crystals, lacked information about the 3-D shape and connectivity of individual brine inclusions throughout the depth of a sample, preventing differentiation between pores and throats. By describing the pore space with a node-and-stick model, we are able to quantify not only the pore and throat size distribution, but also estimate the throat length distribution (Fig. 6).

Although one expects the throat radii to be much smaller than the pore radii, the measured throat radius distribution is barely smaller than the pore size distribution in sea ice, independent of the combination of ice textures and type of pore space (e.g. Figs 6a, b, e, f). Considering a single pathway, the radii of the spheres inscribed into the pore space that are identified as individual pores, are likely larger than the radii of the throats, identified as cylinders connecting two pores and fitting within the more confined portion of the pore space in between both pores. However, if we consider a pore space that is getting overall smaller, like a feeder of a brine channel, the pore radius of a feeder could be smaller than the throat radius of the main brine channel, even though they belong to the same pathway. Considering the total pore space, it is likely radii of pores corresponding to small, disconnected...
pockets will be smaller than pore radii that are part of much larger brine inclusions. The pore and throat size distributions measured on a full sample are not necessarily significantly distinct from one another, which is in line with previous microstructural analysis finding pore sizes to conform to a lognormal or power-law distribution (Perovich and Gow, 1996; Bock and Eicken, 2005). At the same time, by explicitly segmenting the pore space into throats and pores, and through analysis of key variables describing these microstructural inventory elements (Fig. 6), this study illustrates that summary analysis of pore size distribution is not sufficient to gain insight into microstructural controls of transport properties.

The stick-and-node or throat-and-pore model was employed to extract connected pathways, as applied routinely in the analysis of granular sedimentary rocks such as sandstones (Silin and Patzek, 2006; Dong and Blunt, 2009), with grain microstructures somewhat comparable to granular ice. However, this method may have limitations in being applied to columnar ice pore space. While the SNOW algorithm successfully addressed oversegmentation of nongranular microstructure, resolving the elongated pore space of columnar ice, such as brine layers and brine tubes, remains a challenge. The algorithm was not designed to merge regions whose watershed peaks are farther away from one another than from the solid matrix. This leads to the creation of long chains of pores, especially in elongated pores (Fig. 4b), as well as highly connected nodes in narrow planar and tubular pores, both of which are more common in columnar ice than in granular ice. However, in applying the pore-and-throat model consistently for both ice types, the derived quantities and insights gained into microstructural contrasts and evolution can inform flow and transport modeling in sea ice, while highlighting some of the challenges to extract universally applicable measures of pore space microstructure.

The length of the major axis of the ellipsoid fitted to a brine inclusion is commonly used as a proxy for the pore size (Perovich and Gow, 1991; Eicken and others, 2000). Thus, the pore size distribution is likely to be shifted toward somewhat larger sizes, as it is inferred here from the radius of the largest spheres fitted within the pore space. This inscribed sphere radius would be smaller than the major axis of an ellipsoid inscribed into

---

**Fig. 8.** Tortuosity along the vertical axis as a function of ice texture and seasonal stage: (a) Distribution of tortuosity computed for sample segments of 0.8 mm height. The boxplots show the median with the first and third quartiles. The whiskers are set at the 5th and 95th percentile. For clarity most outliers are omitted, but the maximum tortuosity is indicated by a black cross (x). Within each combination, samples are classified as a function of increasing depth. (b) Evolution of median tortuosity for all samples of each of the three growth/melt stages plotted against the vertical length under consideration. Shaded area includes data between the first and third quartiles.

**Fig. 9.** Specific surface area (SSA) of the connected pore space computed for sub-samples of 0.8 mm height. The boxplots show the median with the first and third quartiles. The whiskers are set at the 5th and 95th percentile. The upper and lower facing triangles indicate the maximum and minimum value for each sample, respectively. Triangles represent granular ice, and circles columnar ice.
the typically nonisometric pore space of columnar ice. The throat size distribution of Lieblappen and others (2018) is based on the definition of the throat size as the length of the minor axis of each inclusion, without differentiating between throats and pores. In fact, the length of the minor axis of an ellipsoid is similar to the radius of a circle or sphere inscribed in that ellipsoid. Finally, Maus and others (2015) used the same definition for pore size as we did in this study, their throat size distribution was determined using a porosimetry algorithm, which returns the pore size distribution extracted from the volume fraction of the pore space accessible by spheres of decreasing diameter injected at the top and bottom of the sample. Thus, the throat size distribution of Lieblappen and others (2018) and Maus and others (2015) do not describe throats as constrictions in a pore space connecting two larger pores as we define them in this study, but consider any sea-ice inclusion as a throat. Finally, bearing in mind that the metrics used to describe size distributions vary between studies, and that the size distribution is also dependent on the imaging resolution, our pore and throat size distributions and the derived seasonal trends are in line with previous work in terms of ice temperature and seasonal stage constraining pore microstructure (Perovich and Gow, 1996; Eicken and others, 2000; Light and others, 2003; Maus and others, 2013; Lieblappen and others, 2018).

The throat length is highly dependent on the chosen pore-throat microstructural model and image processing workflow. We chose not to merge chains of pores, although it could be argued that a pore chain corresponds to a single pore, where the pore length is the sum of the throat lengths and pore diameters and the pore radius is a function of throat and pore radius. In addition, the throat length distribution in columnar ice can be compared to the size distribution of the major axis of brine inclusions measured in vertical sections of columnar ice (Eicken and others, 2000, their Fig. 12). This suggests that isolated inclusions in columnar ice are modeled by a pair of pores, the second most common type of pores, behind ending pores. While the throat length distributions for both columnar and granular ice are similar (Figs 6c, g), the presence of longer chains of pores in columnar ice (Fig. 4b), and higher correlation lengths (Fig. 7b), suggests that columnar ice provides a longer pathway for the brine to move through the sample.

Correlation length
The correlation length pattern for columnar ice (Fig. 7b) is similar to the findings of Pringle and others (2009) with the correlation length diverging with the porosity greater than 5% (\(z_{c,c} > 7\) mm), thus validating our method. In granular ice, while correlation length does not allow drawing any quantitative conclusion about the percolation threshold, the significantly lower correlation length (\(z_{g,g} < 2\) mm) than for columnar ice of similar porosity is a clear indication that the granular pore space is less connected for porosity up to 15%. At higher porosity, further work is required due to the small number of high porosity samples. We observed either a pore space becoming connected (\(z_{c,c} > 7\) mm), or remaining poorly connected (\(z_{g,g} < 2\) mm) among our samples. The later appears to be paradoxical with respect to the high porosity value (\(\varphi > 25\%\)). Based on these data we were not able to determine a percolation threshold for granular ice, although we hypothesize that it exists between 15 and 25%. Considering that the fluid permeability is limited by the connectivity of the pore space, the permeability is somewhat proportional to the correlation length. Consequently, the vertical permeability of granular ice should be smaller than that of columnar ice (\(k_{c,c} > k_{g,g}\)).

However, data for the permeability of granular sea ice are not available. While Freitag (1999) measured the anisotropy of permeability between the vertical and horizontal direction in granular ice, no values were published. For columnar ice, the permeability has been described as a function of total brine volume fraction (Golden and others, 2007); however, no such model exists for granular ice.
The power-law relationship that links porosity to correlation length (Section 4.2.2) can be inverted to relate correlation lengths of granular and columnar ice samples of different porosity. Specifically, we observed that the correlation length in granular ice with a porosity of up to 15% is \( z_{0.2} < 2 \text{ mm} \), which corresponds to the correlation length of columnar ice with a porosity of about 3–4%. In addition, our analysis is limited by the sample size which does not capture the heterogeneity of the sea-ice cover on the decimeter scale (Weeks, 2010). For example, while observations attest to the development of cm-wide channels as the porosity increases during the transition stage and into the melt season (Petrich and Eicken, 2017), none of our samples displays such features. Thus, while the vertical permeability in granular ice would be lower by up to one order of magnitude relative to that of columnar ice during the growth season, the permeability in granular ice is likely to increase quickly with surface warming of the ice, with disproportional increases in porosity and pore connectivity in the surface granular ice. Ultimately, permeability measurements of granular ice are required to confirm the functional relationships, drawing on pore connectivity and pore shape, explored here.

**Tortuosity**

The tortuosity of columnar ice is as low as expected (1 < \( \tau_c < 1.1 \), Fig. 8a), since the tortuosity of straight features is by definition close to 1, and the pore space in columnar ice consists mostly of vertically elongated pores (Fig. 2). The larger tortuosity values during the melt season are likely the result of lateral and diagonal pore pathways opening up as a result of warming and incipient brine drainage. Later in the season, the presence of fully developed brine channel networks dominated the decreasing tortuosity of the pore space, also observed qualitatively by Cole and Shapiro (1998). Furthermore, the median tortuosity measured with the increasing length of the volume under consideration remains small (1 < \( \tau_L < 1.1 \)), and the spread is consistently narrow (\( \Delta \tau_c \pm 0.05 \), Fig. 8b). These values are to be expected for an elongated and straight geometry, such as brine channels. Simple calculations show that any noticeable kink in a rather straight path will drastically increase the tortuosity to values of \( \tau > 1.2 \). We currently do not have an explanation for the higher tortuosity values observed during the melt stage for vertical lengths under consideration larger than 4 mm. However, the differences between the growth and transition stage are statistically not significant. Additional samples need to be analyzed to shed light on these deviations. The strong agreements between measurements and expectations, based on the known geometry of brine channels in columnar ice, validate the methodology developed in this study, and lend credence to data obtained for granular ice as well.

In granular ice, the larger tortuosity indicates that fluid moving in the pore space must cover on average a distance 30% longer than that in columnar ice to move over the same Euclidian distance. In extreme cases, this distance in granular ice is double that in columnar ice (\( \tau > 2 \), Fig. 8). The large variability in small-scale tortuosity in granular ice (Fig. 8a) is of interest. It indicates that the pore space of granular ice is more complex than that of columnar ice at the pore-scale level. Sections of the pore space with large tortuosity values (\( \tau > 1.5 \)) indicative of convoluted pore geometry, may significantly impede fluid flow through the pore space.

The visible decrease in tortuosity with the advance in the seasonal cycle is linked to the shift in the pore size distribution toward larger values. Protuberances, constrictions, and corners in the pore space increase its tortuosity. With a larger surface-to-volume ratio than a straight tube, these features will see a greater reduction in tortuosity during ice warming and melt than less convoluted pores. Specifically, both pores and throats widen during warming, but constrictions within throats also disappear, reducing tortuosity.

As the vertical length under consideration increases, the likelihood that a connected pathway contains at least a section of pore space with higher tortuosity increases. The presence of a short but very convoluted section is sufficient to increase the overall tortuosity. In addition, a sample where the vertical length under consideration corresponds to the correlation length is likely to contain pore space sections representative of the small-scale tortuosity distribution. This explains the plateau reached in the vertical tortuosity beyond \( L_e = 4 \text{ mm} \). This characteristic length relates to the size of the representative elementary volume (REV), defined as the scale at which a metric should be measured to avoid pore-scale heterogeneity (Costanza-Robinson and others, 2011).

The median values have mostly converged at \( L_e \approx 7 \text{ mm} \) for columnar ice, and \( L_e \approx 4 \text{ mm} \) for granular ice. The finding for columnar ice is in line with previous observations indicating that columnar ice with a brine volume fraction above 5% can be considered permeable (Golden and others, 2007), and whose correlation length at such a percolation threshold of 5% is about 7 mm (Pringle and others, 2009). However, for columnar ice samples at lower porosities and for granular ice samples with a porosity below 15%, the derived correlation length (Fig. 7b) is smaller than the estimated characteristic length (Fig. 8b). In addition, the variability in tortuosity increases with increasing length under consideration, as shown by the quartile envelope in Fig. 8b. This suggests that measurements of tortuosity that assume a fixed REV confine the pore-scale tortuosity and the larger-scale connectivity of the pore space. This potential concern can be addressed by either focusing exclusively on the statistics of pore-scale tortuosity (illustrated by the plot in Fig. 8a), or for the derivation of bulk properties employ a variable REV that is a function of the observed correlation length.

We resort to the Kozeny–Carman (K–C) relationship to estimate how the broad range of measured tortuositites for granular and columnar ice impacts the vertical permeability. Applied with success to a range of different materials, especially porous rocks (Bear, 1972; Paterson, 1983; Berg, 2014; Schön, 2015), the K-C relationship describes permeability as a function of different microstructural parameters. One of its expressions is of particular interest, as the permeability, \( k \), depends on the tortuosity \( \tau \) and the connected porosity \( \phi^* \):

\[
 k = \frac{1}{F_s \tau} \phi^* \frac{1}{\text{SSA}^2} 
\]

(6)

where \( F_s \) is Kozeny’s shape factor to account for differently shaped tubes, varying from 2 to 3 for an ellipse-shaped cross-section, and SSA is the SSA of the connected porosity, which can be calculated from 3-D microstructural imaging data.

Figure 10 shows permeabilities derived from the Kozeny–Carman relationship, which are about two orders of magnitude higher than permeabilities computed from the semi-empirical equation derived from a hierarchical model developed by Golden and others (2007). In addition, in columnar ice, the K-C permeability values overestimate measured permeabilities by a similar factor (Freitag, 1999; Pringle and others, 2009). The discrepancy between Kozeny–Carman and the hierarchical model was to be expected, as the sample volumes over which we measured tortuosity were small, on the order of a few millimeters (Fig. 8b), and each sample had to also be vertically connected with at least one pathway with a diameter of 40 \( \mu \text{m} \) – corresponding to the minimal resolution of our X-ray measurement – to avoid a zero connected porosity in Eqn (6). The height
of samples used to measure permeability ranges typically from 5 cm (Merkel, 2008) up to 20 cm (Freitag, 1999). This height is several times longer than their correlation length, and thus the open and vertically connected porosity are likely to be smaller. However, since this finding applies to both columnar and granular ice, the ratio of the permeability derived from Kozeny–Carman for columnar and granular ice still yields valid insights about microstructural controls of permeability.

Bearing in mind the link between tortuosity and pore-space connectivity, and the importance of sampling an REV to avoid the effects of pore-scale heterogeneity for porosity and SSA, we approximated the vertical permeability for both small-scale ($L_E = 0.8$ mm) and other characteristic lengths corresponding to the correlation length for the different seasonal stages ($L_E = 2$ to $L_E = 7$ mm). While we expected the difference in tortuosity between both textures, apparent for each characteristic length, to drive significant differences in permeability in relation to porosity and SSA, the results were mostly inconclusive.

First, the variability in porosity and SSA decreases with a larger characteristic length ($L_E > 4$ mm), while the variability in tortuosity increases. The opposite trend for the magnitude of variability as a function of the length under consideration contributes to overall consistently large variability in the resulting permeability. Further analysis is required to determine if various characteristic lengths are required to describe different sea-ice microstructural parameters. Second, with respect to the seasonal stage, the high variability in porosity (Fig. 5) and SSA (Fig. 9) results in similar permeability values and ranges for both ice textures. This latter effect is particularly marked during the period between $n$ sea-ice growth and decay, a critical time for biogeochemical processes (Thomas, 2017). The small number of samples collected does not allow us to follow the evolution of microstructure during the transition stage in detail. Additional samples, and replicates, would also benefit the analysis by limiting the impact of potentially unrepresentative samples, such as GT-2 or GM-0°.

The transition between an effectively impermeable and a largely permeable sea-ice cover is central to the seasonal evolution of sea ice (Eicken and others, 2002; Pringle and others, 2009; Gradinger and others, 2010). The impact of microstructural changes on ice permeability during the transition between growth and melt season is poorly studied but the key to developing models of fluid transport through sea ice. Here, we discuss this interdependence of microstructure and permeability by focusing on the trends of the variables represented in Eqn (7). At the end of the growth season, the SSA is similar in both columnar and granular ice (Fig. 9). Thus, only the connected porosity and the tortuosity determine permeability contrasts between the two ice types at this stage. The connected porosity is a fraction of the brine volume fraction, which in turn is a function of the sea-ice bulk salinity and temperature (Cox and Weeks, 1983). For an order-of-magnitude estimate of permeability contrasts, we consider granular ice to be confined to the top ice layers and columnar ice to constitute the bulk of the underlying ice cover. For typical salinity profiles at the end of the growth season (Oggier and others, 2020a), salinities of granular and columnar ice are at roughly 10 and 5%, respectively. With a surface temperature of $-10^\circ$C, the brine volume fraction is about 5% for both ice textures. However, our microstructural data indicate that the pore space of granular ice at such temperatures is less connected than that of columnar ice and thus has a smaller fraction of connected porosity (Figs 2 and 5). Following the requirement of a nonzero value of the connected porosity to obtain a nontrivial value when computing the K-C permeability, the sample volume under consideration is only a few millimeters in height, much smaller than samples sectioned for bulk salinity or permeability measurements. Figure 10b shows that taller volumes, especially in the case of some of the granular ice samples, lead to a decrease in connected porosity. For some of our samples, the connected porosity reached the critical value of 0 (Fig. 2). Consequently, the K-C permeability for granular ice would always be smaller than for columnar ice for a similar total porosity, especially for larger, representative sample sizes of a few centimeters in thickness. With the measured tortuosity values ($\tau_c = 1.1$ and $\tau_g = 1.3$) and 20% less connected porosity in the columnar ice (Fig. 5), granular ice would be at least half as permeable as columnar ice.

With the onset of melt, the upper ice layers warm and granular ice can quickly become much more permeable than columnar ice, as not only the connected porosity in granular ice becomes larger than in columnar ice, but the larger tortuosity of granular ice is counterbalanced by the decrease in its SSA.

Finally, the granular ice structure looks somewhat similar to the highly branched pore space that developed in columnar ice in the presence of extracellular polymeric substances (Krembs and others, 2000; Petrich and Eicken, 2017). While the permeability was not measured directly, Krembs and others (2011) conclude that the increase of spatial complexity of the pore space—as determined from the brine inclusion perimeter to length ratio—should lead to a decrease in permeability. This measure of pore complexity is tied to tortuosity, as a larger perimeter can only be achieved in the presence of pore protuberances that would increase the tortuosity.

Broader implications for fluid transport in sea ice

Ice texture constraints on tortuosity and connectivity impact fluid flow through sea ice. Put simply, an increase in tortuosity will lengthen the pathway followed by any fluid, and a decrease in connectivity will lower the number of connected pathways throughout a sample. Consequently, the pore space of granular ice with higher tortuosity and lower connectivity is more constraining to fluid flow than the less tortuous, more connected pore space of columnar ice.

Arctic sea ice typically consists of an upper layer of granular ice, usually one to a few decimeters thick, and originating from the early growth stages of sea ice under more dynamic conditions (Weeks, 2010; Petrich and Eicken, 2017). This surface layer is typically underlain by columnar ice grown through the congelation of seawater at the ice bottom. With typical annual Arctic sea-ice growth of 1.0 to 1.8 m, the impact of the tortuous pore space in the granular ice layers remains small considering the total thickness of the columnar ice. However, the lengthening of the open-water season (Markus and others, 2009; Barnhart and others, 2015) with greater fetch and more dynamic freeze-up conditions may contribute to an increase in the thickness and occurrence of granular ice at the expense of columnar ice which requires quiescent growth conditions. Consequently, the ratio of granular to columnar ice is likely to increase in the near future, with the more tortuous pore space of granular ice becoming of greater importance in constraining transport properties of sea ice.

In the following, we focus on oil-in-ice transport, specifically the movement of the oil phase through the pore space, which constrains the macroscopic pervasion of oil in ice. Experiments show that if crude oil is spilled under the ice cover during the growth season, it quickly rises toward the surface and that the presence of granular ice strongly hinders oil movement (Oggier and others, 2020b). The microstructural pore data collected in this study can help explain this observation. In columnar ice, oil movement is limited to vertical channels (Oggier and others, 2020b). The pressure differential, driven by the buoyancy difference between oil and sea water, is enough to drive the oil upwards with respect to the channel cross-section (NORCOR, 1975; Maus and others, 2015; Oggier and others, 2020b). The low tortuosity values of
columnar ice \((r < 1.1)\) do not affect the flow of oil. However, the lower connectivity of the pore space \((L_c < 2 \text{ mm})\) not only restrains the ability of the oil to move, but also prevents the displaced brine from moving further. In columnar ice during the growth season, the flow is controlled by the vertical sea-ice permeability, previously used by Petrich and others (2013) to estimate oil penetration depth.

As the oil reaches the granular/columnar interface, further oil movement in the columnar ice depends on how easily the oil, and displaced brine, can move through granular ice. The higher tortuosity of granular pore space implies that both oil and brine displaced by invading oil have to move over a longer distance to cover the same vertical displacement. According to the Hagen–Poiseuille relationship for flow in a tube,

\[
Q = \frac{-\pi R^4 \Delta p}{8 \mu \Delta L}
\]  

where \(R\) is the pore radius and \(\mu\) is the dynamic viscosity. If the distance \(\Delta L\) increases, the flow \(Q\) has to decrease, since the pressure differential, \(\Delta p\), in the system is constant. In addition, we need to acknowledge that with oil density close to ice density, expelling oil onto the ice surface requires a pressure differential that is typically larger than that provided by the buoyancy of oil under the ice. This means that once oil and brine have reached hydrostatic equilibrium, additional oil can flow through columnar ice only if oil and displaced brine can spread laterally. Above, we showed that the lateral permeability of columnar ice is lower or equal to that of granular ice. Hence, the oil can and is likely to move laterally after reaching a granular ice layer at the surface. This is consistent with observations made in large-scale ice tank experiments (Oggier and others, 2020b).

Finally, assuming physical properties to be fairly isotropic in granular ice due to its microstructure, the tortuous pore space of granular ice likely controls the oil flow. Further analysis is required to determine connectivity and tortuosity constraints along a pathway that transitions from the vertical migration to lateral spreading.

The movement of oil and displaced brine in both columnar and granular ice pore space is confined to the connected pore space. While the tortuosity of granular ice pores plays a major role, the variability in pore and throat diameter also has a direct effect on oil flow, as the buoyancy-induced pressure differential has to overcome the capillary pressure determined by the pore radius. An increase of tortuosity from 1.1 to 1.5 leads to an increase of the distance \(\Delta L\) by 36%. To maintain a constant flow, \(Q\), in Eqn (7), the pressure differential \(\Delta p\) has to increase by the same factor. The pressure differential is proportional to the oil lens thickness, \(h_o\):

\[
\Delta p = \Delta p \ g \ h_o
\]  

Since the density difference between oil and seawater, \(\Delta \rho\), is constant, the lens thickness has to increase by 36% in order for the pressure to increase. At the same time, the capillary pressure, \(p_c\), in a pore is related to the pressure differential via the Young–Laplace equation (Maus and others, 2015):

\[
\Delta p = p_c = \frac{\gamma \cos(\theta)}{R}
\]  

Where \(R\) is the radius of the pore the oil may invade, \(\gamma\) the surface tension between oil and ice, \(\theta\) the contact angle of the oil/ice/sea water system. Since the pore radius is inversely proportional to the pressure difference, the required pressure increases by 36% is similar to a decrease in the pore radius by 25%. Since such a distinction is not clearly visible between pore and throat size distributions (Fig. 6), we conclude that the impact of tortuosity on oil movement should be taken in account when developing pore-scale oil movement models.

In sea-ice modelling, bulk permeability in sea ice is often described by relationships developed by Freitag (1999) and Golden and others (2007). However, both expressions were derived from columnar ice measurements. In the context of a potentially increasing granular-to-columnar-ice ratio due to longer open water seasons and more dynamic ice growth processes in the Arctic, the tortuosity of granular ice pore space may play a more important role in brine and meltwater transport. This is especially true for warming events during the winter and for the transition season, when the combination of lower salinity and temperature creates an impermeable layer within the ice cover, but may allow for large scale lateral movement in the surface ice layers.

A detailed analysis of the stick-and-and model used to determine the pore and throat size distribution, as well as the correlation length, is beyond the scope of this paper. Nonetheless, it is important to point out that a more tortuous pore space increases the probability of encountering a constriction limiting the fluid flow, while covering the same vertical or horizontal distance. A comprehensive analysis of the pore-to-throat ratio would likely improve the K-C permeability approach (Müller-Huber and Schön, 2013), and a statistical description of the extracted 3D pore networks to determine the probability of a pathway to merge, split, or remain may be a necessary step to fully capture the constraints granular pore space imposes on transport processes in sea ice.

### Summary and Conclusions

We have presented X-ray CT imaging data showing the seasonal evolution of the sea-ice pore microstructure for both granular and columnar ice. Pore space was imaged at a resolution \((40 \mu \text{m})\) relevant for both oil-in-ice transport and for biogeochemical processes (Krembs and others, 2011). While the 3D reconstructions of the pore space (Fig. 2) display the same contrasts between granular and columnar ice that have previously been highlighted by Weissenberger and others (1992), we quantify these differences through metrics that describe the shape, size and connectivity of the pore space for the ice growth, transition and melt stage.

We found that, overall, the pore and throat size distributions are not significantly different between granular and columnar ice, with the exception of the presence of large spheroidal pores in granular ice, attributed to the presence of air bubbles. However, the vertical pore tortuosity of granular ice \((1.2 < r < 1.7)\) was determined to be significantly larger than that of columnar ice \((1.0 < r < 1.1)\), which translates into connected pathways that need to be at least 20% longer to cover the same vertical distance. In addition, we found that the pore-space correlation length in granular ice is significantly smaller than in columnar ice, and the percolation threshold is higher, both expressions of the fact that the pore space of granular ice is less connected than that of columnar ice.

The combination of these two factors indicates a lower permeability for granular as compared to columnar ice. Drawing on the link between permeability and correlation length, and interpreting microstructural data through the lens of the Kozeny–Carman relationship, we established that the vertical permeability of granular ice is likely to be one order of magnitude smaller during the growth stage than that of columnar ice for the same brine volume fraction. Note for the same brine volume fraction, we established that the connected porosity is smaller in granular ice than in columnar ice. Macroscopic brine or oil movement models
based on bulk permeability would benefit from a better description of the permeability in relation to pore microstructure (in particular tortuosity and correlation length), for granular and columnar ice as well as other ice textures (platelet ice, mixed granular/columnar, etc.). Such work could prepare the ground for more refined oil-in-ice models and may also improve the representation of the impact of ice texture and ice stratigraphy on heat and brine transport through sea ice.

By showing how the presence of the tortuous granular pore space hinders the vertical movement of oil-in-ice during the growth and transition stages, we highlight the potential importance of this surface layer for other biogeochemical processes or meltwater drainage, whose dependence on ice texture is not well understood. The anticipated increase in the proportion of granular ice in the changing Arctic with more dynamic ice growth conditions lends additional support to the need for such work. Further sampling and data collection are needed, in particular for the transition stage, a critical time in the sea-ice seasonal cycle. Given the limited availability of data, we found no significant difference in the permeability derived from the K-C relationship between granular and columnar ice. Further work is required to quantify the relationship between microstructure and permeability that includes permeability measurements and 3d pore-space imaging conducted on the same samples.

While bulk pore tortuosity, connectivity, and permeability measurements can contribute to the prediction of macroscopic-scale oil movement, it is also important to understand how the oil and the displaced brine move at a pore microscopic scale level. The idealized pore network extracted with the stick-and-node model includes information required to further identify connected pathways, which are defined in terms of pore-to-throat ratio, tortuosity, and pathway length. Rather than performing computationally expensive fluid dynamic simulations on the full pore space, modeling the flow along such pathways and using bulk properties as boundary conditions may be a promising approach to develop an efficient model that is able to run fast enough to be used in oil spill response settings and large-scale models.

Finally, the more tortuous pore space of granular ice resembles the tortuous pore space of columnar ice in the presence of exopolymer substances observed by Krembs and others (2011). Such changes near the ice bottom would impact not only oil movement but also nutrient exchange and colonization of sea ice by microorganisms. With higher brine viscosities due to exopolymer presence (Krembs and others, 2011), our analysis of pore pathway tortuosity and connectivity is hence also relevant in the context of understanding nutrient, gas, and microbial transport through the lower ice layers. Moreover, this work complements network modeling studies such as that of Steffen and others (2018), that require data describing pore complexity and specifically pore throat sizes and distributions to quantify their role in constraining fluid flow through the ice.
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