# An X-ray study of mass-loss rate and wind acceleration of massive stars

Yoshitomo Maeda<sup>1</sup>, Yasuharu Sugawara<sup>2</sup> and the WR140 collaborations

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency 3-1-1 Yoshinodai, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 229-8510 email: ymaeda@astro.isas.jaxa.jp

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Faculty of Science & Engineering, Chuo University, 1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo, Tokyo 112-8551

email: sugawara@phys.chuo-u.ac.jp

**Abstract.** By monitoring WC7 and the O5.5 binary WR 140 with the *Suzaku* telescope, we demonstrate a new method to measure the mass loss rates of both stars. By using the absorption column density, we found a mass-loss rate for the WC7 component :  $\dot{M}_{\rm WC7} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot} {\rm yr}^{-1}$ . We also measured the mass-loss rate of the companion O component using a luminosity variation in phases:  $\dot{M}_{\rm O5.5} \approx 5 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot} {\rm yr}^{-1}$ .

Keywords. Massive star, GRB progenitor, mass-loss rate

#### 1. Introduction

Evolution of massive stars is critical to understand the explosion of the gamma-ray burst (GRB). The stellar wind is also helpful to understand the afterglow of the GRB. However, fundamental parameters of massive stars such as stellar mass and mass-loss rate are not easy to be derived. Therefore, understanding of these parameters still remains an unresolved issue in astronomy.

WR 140 is a wide colliding wind binary system of WC7+O5.5. Its orbit has been well determined with  $P_{\rm orb} = 2896.35$  days,  $i = 119.6^{\circ}$ , and e = 0.8964 by detailed optical monitoring (Monnier *et al.* 2011). We pursued this via *Suzaku* monitoring during the periastron passage in 2009, which includes broad band X-ray spectra. A full paper of the results will be published as Sugawara *et al.* (2012). In this proceeding, we introduce measurements of mass loss rates of both stars from Sugawara *et al.* (2012).

## 2. Wind acceleration and mass-loss rate

We estimate the mass-loss rate of the WC7 star using the observed column density  $N_{\rm He}$ . We found the column density obtained at around the periastron passage can be explained by  $\dot{M}_{\rm WC7} \sim 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \ {\rm M}_{\odot} {\rm yr}^{-1}$ . Fahed *et al.* (2011) also estimated  $\dot{M}_{\rm WC7} \sim 3 \times 10^{-5} {\rm M}_{\odot} {\rm yr}^{-1}$  that is larger by a factor of three than our value.

According to Stevens *et al.* (1992), the X-ray luminosity of the colliding wind zone can be written as

$$L_{\rm X} \propto D^{-1} (1+A)/A^4$$
 (2.1)

where the wind momentum flux ratio,  $A = ((\dot{M}_{WC7} v_{WC7}(r))/(\dot{M}_{O5.5} v_{O5.5}(r)))^{1/2}$ . We also adapted a simple beta law for the wind acceleration as

$$v(r) = v_{\infty} (1 - R/r)^{\beta}.$$
 (2.2)

351

$\dot{M}_{0.5.5}$ / $\dot{M}_{WC7}$	M <sub>O5.5</sub>	$\dot{M}_{05.5}$ [M <sub><math>\odot</math></sub> yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\dot{\beta}_{\rm WC7}$	$\dot{M}_{\mathrm{WC7}}$ [M <sub><math>\odot</math></sub> yr <sup>-1</sup> ]
0.2 0.1 0.04	$\begin{vmatrix} 3\\2\\1 \end{vmatrix}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1 \times 10^{-6} \\ 5 \times 10^{-7} \end{array} $	any any any	$ \begin{vmatrix} 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \\ 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \\ 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \end{vmatrix} $

**Table 1.** Summary of wind-acceleration parameter  $\beta$  and mass-loss rate  $\dot{M}$ .

Here,  $v_{\infty}$  and R are the terminal wind-velocity and stellar radius, respectively. We used the value of  $v_{\infty,WC7}=2860 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Williams *et al.* 1990),  $v_{\infty,O5.5}=3100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Setia Gunawan *et al.* 2001),  $R_{WC7} = 2 \text{ R}_{\odot}$  and  $R_{O5.5} = 26 \text{ R}_{\odot}$  (cf. Williams *et al.* (2009)). The mass-loss rate from the O5.5 star can be solved as a function of mass-loss rate ratio  $\dot{M}_{O5.5}$  /  $\dot{M}_{WC7}$  as summarized in Table 1. Since it is widely believed that  $\beta$  for O stars is about unity, we conclude  $\dot{M}_{O5.5}$  to be  $\sim 5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$ .

### 3. Discussion

Using the direct imaging technique of the stars and the shocked cone combined with the optical spectroscopy, the mass of the O5.5 star was measured as  $41 \pm 6 \, M_{\odot}$  (Fahed *et al.* 2011 and reference therein). Vink *et al.* (2001) theoretically gave a recipe (eq. 24) to calculate the mass-loss rate and predict for the O5.5 star ( $\log L_{O5.5} = 6.18$ ,  $T_{\rm eff,O5.5} = 44,000 \, \text{K}$ ) to be  $\sim 6 \times 10^{-5} \, M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$  that is two orders of magnitude larger than our mass-loss number of  $\dot{M}_{O5.5} \sim 5 \times 10^{-7} \, M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$ .

If we assume that the WC7 star had the same mass loss rate for ~ 2 M yr, the initial mass of the WC7 star can be calculated as ~40 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>. The initial mass of the WC7 star should be much larger than the present mass of the companion O5.5 star if we assume that both stars were born at the same age. The WC7 star may then prefer a longer lifetime in the WR phase. A longer solution for the WR life in the solar metallicity is predicted for the case of fast-rotation (Meynet & Maeder 2005). If it is true, the WC7 star could be a progenitor of a future GRB event.

#### References

Fahed, R., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 418, 2

Meynet, G. & Maeder, A. 2005, A&A, 429, 581

Monnier, J. D., Zhao, M., Pedretti, E., et al. 2011, ApJL, 742, L1

Setia Gunawan, D. Y. A., van der Hucht, K. A., Williams, P. M., et al. 2001, A&A, 376, 460

Stevens, I. R., Blondin, J. M., & Pollock, A. M. T. 1992, ApJL, 386, 265

Sugawara, Y. et al. 2012, PASJ, submitted

Vink, J. S., de Koter, A., & Lamers, H. J. G. L. M. 2001, A&A, 369, 574

Williams, P. M., van der Hucht, K. A., Pollock, A. M. T., Florkowski, D. R., van der Woerd, H., & Wamsteker, W. M. 1990, MNRAS, 243, 662

Williams, P. M., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 395, 1749