Personality Disorders and Long-Term Sick Leave: A Population-Based Study of Young Adult Norwegian Twins
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Personality disorders (PDs) reduce global functioning, are associated with high levels of work disability, and are thus also likely to influence long-term sick leave (LTSL). Previous research has indicated significant genetic influence on both DSM-IV PDs and LTSL. To what degree genes contributing to PDs also influence LTSL has not been investigated. The aims of the current study were to investigate which PDs were significantly associated with LTSL, to what extent the genetic contributions to these PDs account for the heritability of LTSL, and to explore the hypothesis of a causal association between PDs and LTSL. The sample consisted of 2,771 young, adult Norwegian twins, born 1967–1979. PDs were assessed using the Structured Interview for DSM-IV Personality (SIDP-IV). The age range for the interview was 20–32. The data were subsequently linked to public records of LTSL (sick leave >16 days) up to 11 years later. The odds ratio for being in the highest LTSL category (>15% sick leave) when fulfilling the DSM-IV criteria for any PD diagnosis was 2.6 (1.8–3.8, 95% CI). Dimensional representations of schizotypal, paranoid, and borderline PD were independently and significantly associated with LTSL. The heritability of LTSL was 0.50. Genetic factors shared with the PDs accounted for 20% of this. The association between PDs and LTSL was due to shared genetic and not environmental influences, and was mainly explained by one common genetic factor. The hypothesis of a causal association was not supported, indicating that the association is explained by overlapping genetic liability between PDs and LTSL.
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High levels of sick leave cause concern in many developed countries, as this is a burden both for affected individuals and workplaces, and for the economy in general (Moncrieff & Pomerleau, 2000; OECD, 2010). Sick leave benefits are granted for disease or injury that results in reduced work capacity (Soderberg & Alexanderson, 2003). Sick leave constitutes a complex phenomenon with many potential risk factors (Dekkers-Sanchez et al., 2008). Definitions and processes of certification vary across countries (Henderson et al., 2011) and this might partly explain the lack of an international research standard for defining sick leave, and for separating short- from long-term sick leave. The focus in this study is on long-term sick leave, hereafter referred to as LTSL. Research on LTSL is important, as individuals who have had one or more episodes of LTSL have increased risk for disability pensioning (Gjesdal et al., 2005; Hultin et al., 2012).

LTSL due to mental disorders has increased in western countries in the last two decades (Hensing et al., 2006; Vaez et al., 2007). Anxiety and depression are important risk factors for LTSL (Knudsen et al., 2013). Mental disorders most often emerge in adolescence and early adulthood (Kessler et al., 2005), and may therefore be particularly detrimental to education and subsequent employment (Suvisaari et al.,
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been investigated. By clarifying this, the question of causal mental contributions. This has, to our knowledge, not yet next step after investigating heritability is to investigate whether these phenotypes share genetic and/or environ-
mental structure of PDs (Kendler et al., 2008, 2011; Roysamb et al., 2011), but less about the consequences of PDs, particularly for work participation. Both PDs and extreme scores on normal personality traits decrease global functioning (McCrae et al., 2005; Skodol et al., 2007), and Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF) scores have been found to decrease with increasing number of PD criteria met (Nakao et al., 1992). Extreme scores on personality traits are also associated with impaired work functioning (Michon et al., 2008) and short- and long-term sick leaves (Stormer & Fahr, 2013; Vlasveld et al., 2012). We are not aware of studies that have investigated the association between PDs and sick leave per se, but PDs have been found to be associated with problems maintaining job positions (Noren et al., 2007). Further, borderline, dependent, schizoid, and schizotypal PDs are found to be significantly associated with disability pensioning (Knudsen et al., 2012; Ostby et al., submitted).

Both PDs and LTSL are influenced by genetic as well as environmental factors. The heritability for dimensional representations of DSM-IV PDs varies between 0.21 and 0.38 (Kendler et al., 2006; Reichborn-Kjennerud et al., 2007; Torgersen et al., 2008), and between 0.36 and 0.49 for LTSL defined as sick leave extending beyond 15–16 days (Gjerde et al., 2013; Svedberg et al., 2012). As no specific genes are identified by the World Health Organization (WHO). Members of a pair were assessed by different interviewers blind to the information obtained from the co-twin. The majority of interviews were conducted face to face, and 231 were interviewed over the phone.

By using the unique national identification numbers issued to all Norwegians at birth, data were in 2011 linked to the historical-event database (FD-Trygd). This database contains information regarding all social security benefits.
including, for example, sickness benefits, social assistance, rehabilitation allowance, disability pension, and unemployment benefits (Akselsen et al., 2007). As the register data at Statistics Norway are updated annually, we have obtained a detailed, longitudinal dataset on the twins, including annual information on the variables listed above from 1998 to 2008. The number of individuals with valid interview data after linkage was 2,771 (mean age in 1998: 25.6 years), as 23 declined to participate. For 4 of the 2,771 we lack information on zygosity. The sample for the twin modeling analyses was therefore 2,767, including 1,365 complete pairs, comprising 219 monozygotic (MZ) male pairs, 117 dizygotic (DZ) male pairs, 436 MZ female pairs, 257 DZ female pairs, 336 DZ opposite sex pairs, and 37 single responders.

Zygosity was initially determined using questionnaire items previously shown to classify correctly more than 97% of the twin pairs (Magnus et al., 1983), followed by DNA analyses on a subgroup of the sample. The discrepancy between classification based on the questionnaire and DNA markers implied an expected misclassification rate of <1% for the whole sample, which is unlikely to bias our results (Neale, 2003).

For the interview study, approval was received from the Regional Ethical Committee and the Norwegian Data Inspectorate, and written informed consent was obtained from the participants after complete description of the study. The linkage of data from NIPHTP with registries at Statistics Norway was approved by the Regional Ethical Committee.

Measures
In Norway, the first 16 days of sick leave is paid for by the employers, and thereafter mandatorily covered by the Norwegian Insurance Scheme (NIS) for up to 52 weeks (Gjesdal & Bratberg, 2003). We defined LTSL as sickness absence of >16 days, the minimum sick leave period recorded in our dataset. After 52 weeks of sick leave, an individual who is still unable to work can be granted medical and/or vocational rehabilitation benefits in order to undergo treatment or training aimed at regaining work ability (NOU, 2000). We included periods of receiving rehabilitation benefits in the LTSL variable, as this reflects a continuation of LTSL. The total number of sick days, rehabilitation, and working days in the 11-year follow-up period were summed either up to the time of granted disability pension (N = 76), death (N = 12), or by the end of 2008. The LTSL variable was then constructed as a ratio (0–100%) between the cumulative number of sick days and rehabilitation days over potential working days (Gjerde et al., 2013). The LTSL proportion variable was positively skewed (skewness: 3.0, kurtosis: 9.0), and was thus further divided into four categories: 0 = no registered sick leave in the period (N = 2,646), 1 = up to 5% LTSL in the period (N = 2,249), 2 = 5–15% LTSL in the period (N = 1,411), and 3 = >15% LTSL in the period (N = 1,251). This variable had acceptable skewness and kurtosis values (0.4 and -1.1, respectively), and correlated 0.86 with the sum of the number of sick days in the observation period. A multiple threshold test confirmed that the LTSL categories reflect differences of severity on a normally distributed liability continuum. Only subjects eligible for sickness allowance during the period were included in the analyses; 153 twins were censored out, either due to no work in the period (N = 143) or for being granted disability pension before 2000 (N = 10).

PDs were assessed by a Norwegian version of the SIDP-IV (Pfohl & Zimmerman, 1995), a comprehensive semi-structured diagnostic interview for the assessment of all DSM-IV Axis II diagnoses. The instrument includes non-pejorative questions organized into topical sections to produce a natural flow in the interview. The questions address behaviors, cognitions, and feelings that have been predominant for most of the past 5 years, and thus are considered to be representative for the individual’s long-term personality functioning. This 5-year assumption is supported by empirical evidence of high stability of normal personality traits during adulthood (McCrae & Costa, 1990). Each DSM-IV criterion is scored as 0 = absent, 1 = subthreshold, 2 = present or 3 = strongly present.

For the bivariate logistic regression analysis, we constructed a dichotomous variable defined as having at least one full categorical DSM-IV PD diagnosis (a score of ≥2 on at least three to five SIDP-IV criteria; APA, 1994).

In the rest of the analyses of the SIDP-IV data, we used a dimensional approach by constructing the PDs as ordinal variables. The number of criteria scored ≥1 was summed, assuming that the liability for each trait is continuous and normally distributed. Due to low prevalence of full PDs, the PD variables were truncated by collapsing the upper criteria counts into three to five categories to avoid empty cells in the twin analyses. This approach has been used in previous publications on the same sample (Gjerde et al., 2012; Kendler et al., 2006, 2007; Reichborn-Kjennerud et al., 2007; Torgersen et al., 2008). The PD variables have been tested and approved with multiple threshold tests used to examine whether they can be regarded as differences of severity on a normally distributed continuum of liability (see, e.g., Kendler et al., 2006). Thus, for convenience we refer to PDs, but are in fact assessing dimensional representations of PDs.

Interrater reliability was assessed by two raters scoring 70 audio-taped interviews. Intraclass correlations for the number of endorsed PD criteria at the subthreshold level ranged from +0.81 to +0.96.

Statistical Analyses
Regression analyses. We first conducted a simple logistic regression analysis between the LTSL variable (dichotomized into above/below 15% sick leave days) and any categorical DSM-IV PD diagnosis, in order to demonstrate the crude association between PDs and LTSL.
To explore which dimensional representations of PDs were associated with LTSL, we conducted ordinal logistic regression analyses, first separately and then in a multivariate model, including the PDs that were significantly associated with LTSL. As the PDs consist of sum scores of criteria ≥ 1 truncated into three to five groups, the resulting odds ratios (OR) are not directly comparable between the PDs. We adjusted for sex in all the regression analyses, as prevalence rates for the PDs vary across sex. We corrected for dependency between twin pairs using generalized estimating equations (GEE; Dobson, 2002). The significance level was set to 0.05. All PDs have previously been found to correlate weakly to moderately (0.13–0.58; Roysamb et al., 2011), indicating that multicollinearity was not an issue.

**Twin model fitting.** As our data are ordinal, we use a liability-threshold model (Falconer, 1965) to estimate the genetic and environmental contributions to twin resemblance on the variables. We assume that ordered categories are indicators of an unobserved, normally distributed liability that can be estimated as thresholds discriminating between the categories.

In the classical twin design (Jinks & Fulker, 1970; Martin & Eaves, 1977), individual differences in liability are assumed to arise from additive genetic (A), shared environmental (C), and non-shared environmental (E) sources. As MZ twins share all, and DZ twins share on average half of their segregating genes, A would tend to make MZ twins correlate twice as high as DZ twins. C is defined as environmental factors contributing to similarity between twins, and is further assumed to have an equal effect on MZ and DZ twins. E is per definition not shared between twins in a pair, and hence does not contribute to twin similarity. E also contains measurement error. The influence of these factors on the variables can be estimated using structural equation modeling (SEM; Neale & Maes, 2000). The liability-threshold models were fitted on raw data in OpenMx (Boker et al., 2011), which has the advantages of including single responders, and thus maximizing power. The difference in -2 times the log likelihood (Δ2LL) is asymptotically distributed, allowing testing for significant deterioration in χ² for nested submodels. If the difference in χ² is non-significant, the simpler model is preferred over the more highly parameterized and complex model. In addition, as an index of parsimony, Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), calculated as χ² - 2df (Akaike, 1987) was used to select the best fitting model. Preferred models are those with the lowest AIC value.

For the current study, multiple phenotypes were analyzed simultaneously. Multivariate analyses can be advantageous, compared to univariate analyses, as having multiple phenotypes makes it possible to use the additional information inherent in cross-twin cross-trait correlations (Martin & Eaves, 1977). A common multivariate method is the triangular Cholesky decomposition (Neale & Cardon, 1992), which is a convenient method for constraining maximum likelihood estimates of genetic and environmental covariance matrices to be positive definite. We first fitted a full ACE multivariate Cholesky model to the data, allowing for quantitative (scalar) sex differences. Quantitative sex differences involve the same genetic and environmental effects for males and females, but in different quantities for the sexes. We therefore constrained the A and C correlations to be equal for males and females based on the strategy suggested by Neale et al. (2006). We tested for quantitative sex differences (common sex limitation model [CSL]) by allowing the A, C, and E parameter effects to differ across male and female twins and then compared the fit of this model with a model constraining the parameters to be equal across sex (no sex limitation model [NSL]). We could not test for qualitative sex differences, which involve different genetic and/or environmental effects for males and females, as this is problematic in a multivariate Cholesky model (Neale et al., 2006). After testing for sex differences, we ran submodels to test for significance of the A and C parameters by fixing selected parameters to be 0 in an AE-, CE-, and E model, consecutively.

**Results**

The prevalence of having had at least one episode of LTSL in the 11-year follow-up period was 63.9% (45.9% for males and 74.4% for females). The number of days of sick leave (from sick leave periods > 16 days) and rehabilitation in the period ranged from 0 to 3,717 days (0–3,673 for males), although 95% of the sample was within a range of 0–1,300. Median days were 53 (0 for males and 117 for females). The mean number of sick leave periods > 16 days was 1.9. By the end of the observation period (2008), 48.5% had achieved education at a tertiary level (undergraduate or postgraduate), which was slightly higher than the general population in the same age group (SSB, 2013), 65% had children, and 44.4% were married; 99.9% of the sample was registered as working at least one time during the observation period. After separating out those without work, work days ranged from 44 to 4,015 days (median: 2,841 days). The prevalence of any categorical PD diagnosis was 5.1%. The mean number of subthreshold PD-criteria varied between 0.4 for schizoid PD (SPD) and 1.9 for obsessive-compulsive PD (OCPD).

**Regression Analyses**

The OR for being in the highest LTSL group (>15% of working days) when fulfilling the criteria for at least one categorical DSM-IV PD diagnosis was 2.6 (1.8–3.8, 95% CI).

The results from the GEE ordinal logistic regression analyses are shown in Table 1.

When testing each dimensional PD against LTSL and adjusting for sex, all PDs were positively and significantly
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TABLE 1
Results From Ordinal Logistic Regression Analyses: Odds Ratios for LTSL (Sick Leave >16 Days) by Dimensionally Measured Personality Disorder (PD) Traits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paranoid PD</td>
<td>1.21 (1.12–1.30)</td>
<td>1.11 (1.01–1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizoid PD</td>
<td>1.04 (0.92–1.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizotypal PD</td>
<td>1.36 (1.22–1.52)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.05–1.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisocial PD</td>
<td>1.10 (0.98–1.23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline PD</td>
<td>1.23 (1.13–1.34)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.03–1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histrionic PD</td>
<td>1.07 (1.00–1.14)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.89–1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcissistic PD</td>
<td>1.03 (0.96–1.10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidant PD</td>
<td>1.12 (1.05–1.19)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.94–1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependent PD</td>
<td>1.17 (1.09–1.25)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.99–1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive PD</td>
<td>1.07 (1.01–1.12)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.95–1.06)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *PD traits are summed and truncated into three to five categories. 
*Significant at p < .05; **Significant at p < .01; ***Significant at p < .001.

TABLE 2
Tetravariate Model Fitting Results for STPD, PPD, BPD, and LTSL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>-2LL</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>AIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. ACE CSL</td>
<td>33,914.94</td>
<td>15,786</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2,342.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. AE CSL</td>
<td>33,940.53</td>
<td>15,800</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2,340.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. CE CSL</td>
<td>33,983.47</td>
<td>15,800</td>
<td>&lt;0.00</td>
<td>2,383.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. E CSL</td>
<td>36,068.84</td>
<td>15,814</td>
<td>&lt;0.00</td>
<td>4,440.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. ACE NSL</td>
<td>33,944.60</td>
<td>15,800</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2,344.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. AE NSL</td>
<td>33,953.72</td>
<td>15,810</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2,333.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. CE NSL</td>
<td>34,045.77</td>
<td>15,810</td>
<td>&lt;0.00</td>
<td>2,425.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. E NSL</td>
<td>36,336.90</td>
<td>15,820</td>
<td>&lt;0.00</td>
<td>4,696.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Best fitting model in bold type; STPD = schizotypal personality disorder; PPD = paranoid personality disorder; BPD = borderline personality disorder; LTSL = long-term sick leave; CSL = common sex limitation model; NSL = no sex limitation model.

TABLE 3
Genetic and Environmental Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STPD</th>
<th>PPD</th>
<th>BPD</th>
<th>LTSL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+0.56</td>
<td>+0.43</td>
<td>+0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.48–0.62)</td>
<td>(0.34–0.51)</td>
<td>(0.00–0.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The genetic correlations between the PDs and LTSL are shown in the lower triangle, and the environmental correlations are shown in the upper triangle. LTSL = long-term sick leave; STPD = schizotypal personality disorder; PPD = paranoid personality disorder; BPD = borderline personality disorder.

Discussion
We studied a population-based sample of 2,771 young adult Norwegian twins, born 1967–1979, for which we had an 11-year follow-up period (1998–2008) covering sick leave and rehabilitation days. Our first aim was to investigate whether there was a significant association between PDs and LTSL. We found that those in the highest LTSL category had an OR of 2.6 for having at least one categorical PD diagnosis when compared to those in the lowest LTSL category. This finding is in accordance with a previous study that found an OR of 2.76 between disability pensioning and any probable PD diagnosis (Knudsen et al., 2012). For our
second aim, we found that most of the PDs were significantly associated with LTSL. When adjusting for the other significant PDs, only three PDs remained significantly associated with LTSL, namely STPD, PPD, and BPD, which may reflect the extensive comorbidity between PDs (Coid et al., 2006; Lenzenweger et al., 2007; Marinangeli et al., 2000). Thus, the general PD tendency that increases risk for LTSL may be mediated through traits associated with these three disorders. A previous study on the same sample found that SPD, BPD, and dependent PD (DEPD) were significantly associated with disability pensioning after adjusting for other PDs, socio-economic status, and sex (Østby et al., submitted). These findings are similar to ours, as SPD is often associated with STPD (Lenzenweger et al., 2007), but diverge with regard to DEPD and PPD. The different results may be explained by the different outcomes — sick leave versus disability pensioning, and the different designs.

Two of the PDs found to be significantly associated with LTSL, STPD, and PPD, are placed in the DSM-IV Axis II Cluster A group, characterized by odd and eccentric traits. BPD is placed in the Cluster B group, characterized by dramatic, emotional, and erratic traits (APA, 1994). STPD is associated with discomfort in close relationships and preference for spending time alone (APA, 1994). This could complicate workforce participation. Individuals with STPD may also be suspicious and have excessive social anxiety (APA, 1994), which makes it difficult to interact with colleagues and thus may increase the risk for LTSL. The most important characteristics of individuals with PPD are pervasive distrust and suspiciousness of other people (APA, 1994). These characteristics, along with a grudging attitude towards others and vigilance for possible attacks, will make it hard to function in a work environment. BPD is characterized by instability of interpersonal relationships, self-image, and affects (APA, 1994), and individuals suffering from BPD often experience high emotional distress along with varying degrees of mental and physical disability (Grant et al., 2008; Holm & Severinsson, 2008). Many of the criteria for BPD make it difficult to maintain and thrive in a job. Symptoms such as strong reactivity to interpersonal stresses, dysphoric mood, self-harm, and suicidal thoughts are all possible causes for frequent or long episodes of sick leave.

Our third aim was to estimate to what extent the genetic contributions to the selected PDs could account for the heritability of LTSL. We found that the genetic contributions to STPD, PPD, and BPD could account for a modest amount (20%) of the heritability of LTSL. The association was mainly due to one genetic factor shared in common for PDs and LTSL, as the second factor was not statistically significant. This finding is in accordance with a previous study that showed substantial genetic overlap between DSM-IV PDs (Kendler et al., 2008). The best fitting twin model according to AIC was an AE model with no sex differences, and hence we did not find evidence for shared environmental effects or genetic and environmental factors influencing PDs and LTSL to different degrees for males and females.
For the fourth aim, we explored the hypothesis of a causal pathway between PDs and LTSL. As PDs and LTSL were found to be influenced by both genetic and environmental factors (Figure 1), there should be both a genetic and an environmental correlation between at least one of the PDs and LTSL for us to argue that the association could be causal (De Moor et al., 2008; Ligthart & Boomsma, 2012). We found significant genetic correlations between all three PDs and LTSL, but small and mostly non-significant environmental correlations (Table 3). Thus, our results do not support a causal association. It could be that if most of the E effects were due to measurement error, and these could be separated out, we would expect higher and maybe significant E cross-loadings. However, there is no reason to assume that most of the E effects are measurement errors, as previous studies have shown that even after correcting for measurement error, there are substantial E effects for PDs (Gjerde et al., 2012; Kendler et al., 2007; Torgersen et al., 2012). We do not know of any studies that have corrected for measurement error on LTSL, but as this is a population-based registry measure, we expect such errors to be of limited importance. To reach a more informed conclusion on the issue of causality a more formal model-testing approach should be used with a larger sample (Neale & Kendler, 1995).

Despite the absence of evidence for a causal association, early detection and treatment of STPD, PPD, and BPD is important, as these have previously been found to be the most impairing types of PDs (Nakao et al., 1992). The finding that PDs do not seem to be causally related to LTSL could be due to limited statistical power and should therefore be interpreted with caution.

Limitations

Due to the low prevalence of categorical PDs in the current sample, we used dimensional representations of PDs to be able to carry out the twin modeling analyses. There is, however, strong empirical support for conceptualizing PDs as dimensions (Widiger & Mullins-Sweatt, 2009).

As PDs were measured between 1999 and 2004, although 90% within the end of 2002, whereas LTSL was measured between 1998 and 2008, it could be argued that we should have censored out LTSL before 2004. However, as PDs have an onset in adolescence or early adulthood (APA, 1994), and are measured as ‘during the last 5 years’, we feel confident that PDs preceded LTSL in the observation period.

We could not test for qualitative sex differences due to limitations posed by the multivariate Cholesky model (Neale et al., 2006). Further, the finding of no quantitative sex differences or shared environmental effects could be due to limited statistical power. More studies with larger sample sizes are needed to conclude whether sex differences or shared environmental effects are important to explain individual differences in PDs and LTSL.

The sample used in the present study consisted of young adult Norwegian twins, and it is possible that the results are not representative for other age or ethnic groups.

Conclusion

The aim of the present study was to clarify the largely unexplored association between PDs and LTSL among young adults. STPD, PPD, and BPD were significantly associated with LTSL after adjusting for sex and comorbidity of other significant PD traits. Genetic contributions to these PDs accounted for 20% of the heritability of LTSL. The association between the PDs and LTSL was mainly due to one shared genetic factor, rendering a causal relationship between PDs and LTSL unlikely.
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