

ON r -GRAPHS AND r -MULTIHYPERGRAPHS WITH GIVEN MAXIMUM DEGREE

ZOLTÁN FÜREDI

(Received 15 April 1988; revised 12 April 1990)

Communicated by L. Caccetta

Abstract

It is well-known that if G is a multigraph (that is, a graph with multiple edges), the maximum number of pairwise disjoint edges in G is $\nu(G)$ and its maximum degree is $D(G)$, then $|E(G)| \leq \nu[3D/2]$. We extend this theorem for r -graphs (that is, families of r -element sets) and for r -multihypergraphs (that is, r -graphs with repeated edges). Several problems remain open.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*) (1985 Revision): primary 05 C 65; secondary 05 B 40.

1. Notations, preliminaries

A *multihypergraph* \mathbf{H} is a pair (V, \mathcal{E}) where V is a (finite) set, the *vertex-set*, and \mathcal{E} is a collection of subsets of V , the *edge-set*. If \mathcal{E} does not contain multiple edges then \mathbf{H} is called a *hypergraph*. For brevity we use the word “hypergraph” instead of “multihypergraph” if it does not cause ambiguity. The *rank* of \mathbf{H} is the maximum cardinality of its edges, $r(\mathbf{H}) = \max\{|E| : E \in \mathcal{E}\}$. If all edges have r elements \mathbf{H} is *r -uniform*. In this case \mathbf{H} is also called an *r -graph* (or *r -multihypergraph*). The *degree* of a vertex v in \mathbf{H} is denoted by $\deg_{\mathbf{H}}(v)$, or briefly by $\deg(v)$, and is the number

This paper was written while the author visited the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, whose hospitality is greatly acknowledged. Research was supported in part by the Hungarian Foundation for Scientific Research, grant No. 1812.

© 1991 Australian Mathematical Society 0263-6115/91 \$A2.00 + 0.00

of edges from \mathcal{E} containing v . Let $D(\mathbf{H}) := \max\{\deg(v) : v \in V\}$, the maximum degree. A subset of edges $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is called a *matching* if every two numbers $M, M' \in \mathcal{M}$ are disjoint. The largest size of a matching in \mathbf{H} is the matching number, $\nu(\mathbf{H})$. If $\nu(\mathbf{H}) = 1$ then \mathbf{H} is *intersecting*.

Abbott, Hanson, Katchalski and Liu investigated the following problem in a series of papers ([1], [2], [4], [5]). Let r, ν, D be positive integers and put $N = N(r, \nu, D)$, the largest integer N for which there exists an r -uniform multihypergraph with N (not necessarily distinct) edges and having no independent set of edges of size greater than ν (that is, the matching number is at most ν) and no vertex of degree exceeding D . Such a family will be called an (r, ν, D) -*multihypergraph*.

The problem of evaluating $N(r, \nu, D)$ for all values of the parameters seems to be difficult. Nevertheless, the above authors established a couple of upper and lower bounds and obtained exact values of $N(r, \nu, D)$ for various infinite classes of values of r, ν and D . They proved

$$(1.0)[1] \quad N(2, \nu, D) = \nu \lfloor \frac{3}{2} D \rfloor,$$

$$(1.1)[5] \quad N(3, \nu, D) \leq \frac{7}{3} \nu D \text{ with equality if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

These theorems were also proved partly by Bollobás [9], [10]. (It follows from a theorem of Shannon (see, for example, [11]), that the *chromatic index* of a multigraph \mathbf{G} is at most $\lfloor 3D/2 \rfloor$.) (See the last section, Section 8.)

$$(1.2)[1] \quad N(r, \nu, 2) = (r + 1)\nu.$$

$$(1.3)[2] \quad N(r, \nu, 3) = \begin{cases} (2r + 1)\nu & \text{if } r \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 2r\nu & \text{if } r \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

$$(1.4)[1] \quad N(r, \nu, D) \leq \nu(r(D - 1) + 1),$$

and in (1.4) equality holds if and only if there exists an $S(n, D, 2)$ Steiner system over $n = r(D - 1) + 1$ vertices. Although (1.4) is trivial, it gives the exact values of $N(r, \nu, D)$ for several large classes of parameters. (A (multi) hypergraph \mathbf{S} is an $S(n, D, 2)$ Steiner system if it is D -uniform, $|V(\mathbf{S})| = n$, and every two vertices are contained in exactly one edge.) It is well-known that if $S(n, D, 2)$ exists then $(n - 1)/(D - 1)$ and $\binom{n}{2} / \binom{D}{2}$ are integers, and these two constraints are sufficient for $n > n_0(D)$. (See Wilson [19].) In all these cases $r \geq D$. In this paper we concentrate on the case when D is large.

2. Fractional matchings and covers

To state our results we recall more definitions. An r -uniform hypergraph over $r^2 - r + 1$ vertices is called a *finite projective plane* of order $r - 1$,

denoted by $PG(2, r - 1)$, if it is an $S(r^2 - r + 1, r, 2)$ Steiner system. Such planes are known to exist if $r - 1$ is a prime power or $r = 1, 2$.

A cover T of the hypergraph \mathbf{H} is a finite set which intersects all its edges. The minimum size of a cover is the covering number, $\tau(\mathbf{H})$. For example, $\tau(PG(2, r - 1)) = r$. A fractional cover t is a non-negative real-valued function $t: V(\mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ such that

$$\sum_{x \in E} t(x) \geq 1$$

holds for all edges $E \in E(\mathbf{H})$. The value of t , $\|t\|$, is the sum $\sum t(x)$. The minimum value among all fractional covers is the fractional covering number, $\tau^*(\mathbf{H})$. The calculation of $\tau^*(\mathbf{H})$ is a linear programming problem, all coefficients are integer (0 or 1) and so the value of τ^* is always a rational number.

A fractional matching w of the hypergraph \mathbf{H} is the real relaxation of matchings. It is a non-negative real-valued function over the edges of \mathbf{H} such that

$$\sum_{E \ni x} w(E) \leq 1$$

hold for all $x \in V(\mathbf{H})$. The value of w , $\|w\|$, is the total sum $\sum w(E)$. The maximum of $\|w\|$ is the fractional matching number, $\nu^*(\mathbf{H})$. The calculation of τ^* and ν^* are dual linear problems, and hence $\nu^* = \tau^*$ holds for all hypergraphs.

It is easy to see that $\tau^*(PG(2, r - 1)) = r - 1 + (1/r)$. In [17] the following theorem was proved: if the (multi)hypergraph \mathbf{H} of rank r (where $r \geq 3$) does not contain $p + 1$ (pointwise) disjoint copies of $PG(2, r - 1)$ then

$$(2.1) \quad \tau^*(\mathbf{H}) \leq \nu(r - 1) + p/r.$$

This is a slight improvement on the trivial inequality

$$\tau^* \leq \tau \leq r\nu.$$

Let $\tau^*(r, \nu) = \sup\{\tau^*(\mathbf{H}) : r(\mathbf{H}) \leq r, \nu(\mathbf{H}) \leq \nu\}$. In [12] the following statement is proved: there exists a hypergraph \mathbf{H} of rank r and matching number ν such that $\tau^*(\mathbf{H}) = \tau^*(r, \nu)$ and

$$(2.2) \quad |E(\mathbf{H})| \leq r\tau^*(r, \nu) \leq (r^2 - r + 1)\nu.$$

By (2.1) we have that $\tau^*(r, \nu) = (r - 1 + (1/r))\nu$ if and only if a $PG(2, r - 1)$ exists. Otherwise $\tau^*(r, \nu) \leq (r - 1)\nu$.

3. Multihypergraphs with bounded maximum degree

The following example is due to Bermond, Bond and Saclé [8].

EXAMPLE 3.1. Suppose that there exists a projective plane of order $r - 1$, $PG(2, r - 1)$. Let L_0 be a line and $A_0 \subset L_0$ a set of $D - r\lceil D/r \rceil$ elements. Let H be the multihypergraph obtained from $PG(2, r - 1)$ such that the multiplicity of a line L is

$$\begin{aligned} \lfloor D/r \rfloor & \quad \text{if } L \cap A_0 = \emptyset, \\ \lceil D/r \rceil & \quad \text{if } L \cap A_0 \neq \emptyset, L \neq L_0, \\ D - (r - 1)\lceil D/r \rceil & \quad \text{if } L = L_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then H is intersecting of rank r , maximum degree is D and $E(H) = rD - (r - 1)\lceil D/r \rceil$.

If we take ν disjoint copies of H we get

$$(3.1) \quad \nu(rD - (r - 1)\lceil D/r \rceil) \leq N(r, \nu, D),$$

whenever a $PG(2, r - 1)$ exists. Here we will prove

THEOREM 3.2. For every r, ν and D one has

$$\tau^*(r, \nu)D - r\tau^*(r, \nu) < N(r, \nu, D) \leq \tau^*(r, \nu)D.$$

THEOREM 3.3. If $D \geq (r - 1)^2\nu$ and a $PG(2, r - 1)$ exists then

$$N(r, \nu, D) = \nu(rD - (r - 1)\lceil D/r \rceil).$$

Theorem 3.2 and (2.1) imply that

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \nu, D)}{D} = \tau^*(r, \nu) \leq \nu \left(r - 1 + \frac{1}{r} \right).$$

In [2] it was proved that

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 1, D)}{D} \leq r - 1 + \max_n \frac{n(r^2 - r) - r^4 + 4r^3 - 6r^2 + 4r}{n^2 - n(2r + 1) + r^3 - 2r^2 + 3r}.$$

Substituting $n = 2r^2 - r + 1$ one gets that the right-hand side of (3.3) is at least

$$(r - 1) + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{11r^2 - 19r + 12}{4r(4r^2 - 7r + 3)}.$$

This is always larger than the bound in (3.2). In the case $\nu = 1$, Theorem 3.3 was conjectured by Bermond, Bond and Saclé [8] and in a slightly weaker form in [7]. They proved that equality holds in (3.1) for $\nu = 1$ and $r \leq 4$ for all D . Moreover they determined $N(r, 1, 3)$, (see (1.3)) and $N(r, 1, 4)$ for $r \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. This case was completed by Bermond and Bond [6]:

$$N(r, 1, r) = \begin{cases} 3r + 1 & \text{if } r \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 3r & \text{if } r \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \text{ but } r \neq 3, \\ 8 & \text{if } r = 3. \end{cases}$$

4. The largest (r, ν, D) -hypergraphs

Denote by $f(r, \nu, D)$ the maximum number of r -tuples contained in an r -graph F with $\nu(F) \leq \nu$ and $D(F) \leq D$. Now multiple edges are not allowed. The function $f(2, \nu, D)$, that is, the case of graphs, was investigated by several authors ([3], [14], [18]). The determination of $f(2, \nu, D)$ was completed by Chvátal and Hanson [13]. In particular they proved that if $D > 2\nu$, then

$$f(2, \nu, D) = \nu D.$$

Bollobás [9] conjectured that this result has the following extension: suppose r is such that there exists a finite projective plane of order $r-2$, or $r = 2, 3$. If D is sufficiently large and divisible by $r - 1$, then

$$(4.1) \quad f(r, \nu, D) = \frac{r^2 - 3r + 3}{r - 1} \nu D.$$

The lower bound in (4.1) is obtained as follows.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Take ν pointwise disjoint projective planes of order $r - 2$ (or triangles, or points if $r = 3, 2$) each with $(r-2)^2 + (r-2) + 1 = r^2 - 3r + 3$ points and with $r - 1$ points on each line. For each line of each plane take $D/(r - 1)$ r -tuples in such a way that each of these r -tuples intersects these projective planes exactly in this line. (If $D > \nu(r^2 - 3r + 3)$, then a point not in these planes has degree less than D .)

Bollobás [10] proved his conjecture for $r = 3$ whenever $D > 72\nu^3$. In general (4.1) was proved in [16]. Here we give a more exact version which is valid if $D/(r - 1)$ is not an integer, too.

THEOREM 4.2. *For any given r and ν there exists a real $c(r, \nu)$ such that*

$$\tau^*(r - 1, \nu)D - c(r, \nu) \leq f(r, \nu, D) \leq \tau^*(r - 1, \nu)D + c(r, \nu).$$

THEOREM 4.3. *If D is sufficiently large compared to r and ν , and there exists a finite projective plane $PG(2, r - 2)$ (or $r = 2, 3$), then*

$$f(r, \nu, D) = N(r - 1, \nu, D).$$

Here the value of $N(r - 1, \nu, D)$ is

$$\nu \left((r - 1)D - (r - 2) \left\lceil \frac{D}{r - 1} \right\rceil \right)$$

by Theorem 3.3.

5. Proof of Theorem 3.2

Lower bound. Consider a hypergraph **H** of rank *r* and matching number ν such that $\tau^*(\mathbf{H}) = \tau^*(r, \nu)$. Such a hypergraph exists, and by (2.2) we may suppose that $|E(\mathbf{H})| \leq r\tau^*(\mathbf{H})$. Let $w : E(\mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ be an optimal fractional matching. Multiply every edge *E* of **H** $\lfloor w(E)D \rfloor$ times. The obtained multihypergraph gives the lower bound.

Note that we obtained that (considering a rational *w*) equality holds in Theorem 3.2 for infinitely many values of *D* for any given *r* and ν .

Upper bound. Let **H** be an arbitrary multihypergraph. Then $w(E) = 1/D$ is a fractional matching with value $|E(\mathbf{H})|/D$, and hence we have

$$(5.1) \quad |E(\mathbf{H})| \leq D\tau^*(\mathbf{H}).$$

If **H** is an (r, ν, D) -multihypergraph then the right-hand-side of (5.1) is not larger than $D\tau^*(r, \nu)$.

6. Proof of Theorem 3.3

The lower bound for $N(r, \nu, D)$ is given by (3.1). To prove the upper bound let **H** be an (r, ν, D) -multihypergraph. Then (2.1) implies that either $\tau^*(\mathbf{H}) \leq \nu(r - 1 + 1/r) - 1/r$, or **H** contains ν disjoint $PG(2, r - 1)$. In the first case **H** has at most $(\tau^*(r, \nu) - 1/r)D$ edges by (5.1), which is less than the left-hand-side of (3.1) for $D > \nu(r - 1)^2$. In the latter case **H** has no edge which is not a line of a $PG(2, r - 1)$. If a line *L* in a component of **H** has multiplicity at least $\lceil D/r \rceil$. Then that component consists of at most

$$\lceil D/r \rceil + \sum_{x \in L} (\deg_{\mathbf{H}}(x) - \lceil D/r \rceil) \leq rD - (r - 1)\lceil D/r \rceil$$

edges. Otherwise, if each line has multiplicity at most $\lfloor D/r \rfloor$, then clearly a component of **H** has only $\leq \lfloor D/r \rfloor(r^2 - r + 1)$ edges.

7. Proof of Theorems 4.2 and 4.3

The lower bounds for $f(r, \nu, D)$ follow from the trivial inequality

$$f(r, \nu, D) \geq N(r - 1, \nu, D),$$

and from Theorem 3.2 which yields

$$N(r - 1, \nu, D) > \tau^*(r - 1, \nu)D - \tau^*(r - 1, \nu)r.$$

To prove the upper bounds we need a definition and a lemma. The set-system F_1, \dots, F_k is called a Δ -system with nucleus N if, for every $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, we have $F_i \cap F_j = N$. A well-known theorem of Erdős and Rado [14] is as follows.

(7.1) Suppose $r \geq 2$. If the set-system H is of rank r and $|E(H)| \geq k^r r!$, then it contains a Δ -subsystem consisting of k members.

PROOF OF THE UPPER BOUNDS. We follow the method of [16]. Suppose that the r -graph F has at most ν disjoint edges and its maximum degree is not more than D . We will prove that $c(r, \nu) \leq (r\nu + 1)^r r!$, so without loss of generality we may suppose that $|E(F)| > (r\nu + 1)^r r!$. We define two hypergraphs N and F_0 and a multihypergraph F_N with vertex set $V(F)$ as follows. Let N be a system of nuclei of those Δ -subsystems of F which contain at least $r\nu + 1$ different edges of F . Clearly $\emptyset \notin E(N)$. Let F_0 be the r -graph obtained from F by omitting those r -tuples that contain an edge of N . Since F_0 does not contain a Δ -system with $r\nu + 1$ members, we get by (7.1) that

$$(7.2) \quad |E(F_0)| \leq (r\nu + 1)^r r!.$$

Let us associate with each edge $F \in E(F) - E(F_0)$ a nucleus $N \in E(N)$ such that $N \subset F$. Denote by F_N the multihypergraph of the nuclei with these multiplicities, that is, the multihypergraph containing each member of N as many times as it has been associated. Note that since every member of N is a nucleus of a Δ -system of size at least $r\nu + 1$, we have $\nu(N) \leq \nu(F)$. Hence

$$(7.3) \quad \nu(F_N) \leq \nu(N) \leq \nu(F) \leq \nu.$$

Obviously,

$$(7.4) \quad \deg_{F_N}(p) \leq \deg_F(p) \leq D$$

holds for all vertex p . Apply (5.1) to F_N ; then we have by (7.2)–(7.4) that

$$(7.5) \quad |E(F)| \leq D\tau^*(F_N) + (r\nu + 1)^r r!.$$

As the rank of F_N is at most $r - 1$ we have $\tau^*(F_N) \leq \tau^*(r - 1, \nu)$, which implies the upper bound in Theorem 4.2.

Now we prove the upper bound for $f(r, \nu, D)$ in Theorem 4.3 for $D > (r - 1)(r\nu + 1)^r r! + \nu(r - 1)(r - 2)$. We distinguish two cases. Suppose first that

$$(7.6) \quad \tau^*(F_N) \leq \nu(r - 2) + (\nu - 1)/(r - 1).$$

Then (7.5) implies that for large enough D we have

$$|E(\mathbf{F})| \leq \frac{r^2 - 3r + 3}{r-1} \nu D - \frac{D}{r-1} + (r\nu + 1)^r r! < N(r-1, \nu, D).$$

If $\tau^*(\mathbf{F}_N)$ is larger than the right-hand-side of (7.6), then \mathbf{N} contains ν pointwise disjoint projective planes of order $r-2$ by (2.1). Then every r -tuple of $F \in E(\mathbf{F})$ contains a line of one of these planes, since otherwise we can find ν disjoint edges of \mathbf{F} which are disjoint from F as well. That is, $E(\mathbf{F}_0) = \emptyset$. Then

$$|E(\mathbf{F})| = |E(\mathbf{F}_N)| \leq N(r-1, \nu, D).$$

8. Problems

(8.1) Clearly, $N(r, \nu, D) \geq \nu N(r, 1, D)$ and, by Theorem 3.3, equality holds if a $PG(2, r-1)$ exists (at least whenever D is large). One can think that here equality holds for all r .

(8.2) The following is a slightly weaker conjecture than (8.1): for all r one has $\tau^*(r, \nu) = \nu \tau^*(r, 1)$.

(8.3) If $D \geq 3$ then $f(2, \nu, D) \geq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(2\nu + 1)D \rfloor = \nu D + \lfloor D/2 \rfloor > \nu f(2, 1, D) = \nu D$. But one can think that in the case $r \geq 3$ there exists a $D_0 = D_0(r)$ such that for all ν, r and $D > D_0$ we have $f(r, \nu, D) = \nu f(r, 1, D)$.

(8.4) The *chromatic index* of a (multi)hypergraph \mathbf{H} is the smallest integer $q = q(\mathbf{H})$ such that one can decompose $E(\mathbf{H})$ into q matchings. It is well-known that, for a 2-graph \mathbf{G} ,

$$D \leq q(\mathbf{G}) \leq D + 1,$$

and for a 2-multigraph \mathbf{G} ,

$$q(\mathbf{G}) \leq \lceil \frac{3}{2}D \rceil.$$

(These are due to Vizing and Shannon, respectively. See, for example, [11].) Find the analogy of these theorems for r -(multi)hypergraphs. This question was proposed by Faber and Lovász [15] in 1972.

References

- [1] H. L. Abbott, D. Hanson and A. C. Liu, 'An extremal problem in graph theory', *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Soc.* (2) **31** (1980), 1-7.
- [2] H. L. Abbott, D. Hanson and A. C. Liu, 'An extremal problem in hypergraph theory II', *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **31** (1981), 129-135.

- [3] H. L. Abbott, D. Hanson and N. Sauer, 'Intersection theorems for systems of sets', *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **12** (1972), 381–389.
- [4] H. L. Abbott, M. Katchalski and A. C. Liu, 'An extremal problem in hypergraph theory', *Discrete Math. Analysis and Comb. Comp.*, (1980), Conference Proceedings, School of Computer Science, Univ. New Brunswick, Fredericton, pp. 74–82.
- [5] H. L. Abbott, M. Katchalski and A. C. Liu, 'An extremal problem in graph theory II', *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **29** (1980), 417–424.
- [6] J.-C. Bermond and J. Bond, 'Combinatorial designs and hypergraphs of diameter one', *Proc. First China-USA Conf. on Graph Theory*, (1986).
- [7] J.-C. Bermond, J. Bond, M. Paoli and C. Peyrat, 'Graphs and interconnection networks: diameter and vulnerability', *Surveys in Combinatorics* (E. K. Lloyd, ed.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 82, Cambridge, 1983, pp. 1–30.
- [8] J.-C. Bermond, J. Bond and J. F. Saclé, 'Large hypergraphs of diameter 1', *Graph Theory and Combinatorics* (Cambridge, 1983), pp. 19–28 (Academic Press, London, New York, 1984).
- [9] B. Bollobás, 'Extremal problems in graph theory', *J. Graph Theory* **1** (1977), 117–123.
- [10] B. Bollobás, 'Disjoint triples in a 3-graph with given maximal degree', *Quart J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **28** (1977), 81–85.
- [11] B. Bollobás, *Extremal graph theory*, (Academic Press, London, 1978).
- [12] F. R. K. Chung, Z. Füredi, M. R. Garey and R. L. Graham, 'On the fractional covering number of hypergraphs', *SIAM J. Disc. Math.* **1** (1988), 45–49.
- [13] V. Chvátal and D. Hanson, 'Degrees and matchings', *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **20** (1976), 128–138.
- [14] P. Erdős and R. Rado, 'Intersection theorems for systems of sets', *J. London Math. Soc.* **35** (1960), 85–90.
- [15] V. Faber and L. Lovász, 'Problem 18 in Hypergraph Seminar', *Proc. First Working Seminar*, Columbus, Ohio, 1972, edited by C. Berge and D. K. Ray-Chaudhuri, p. 284 (Lecture Notes in Math. 411, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974).
- [16] P. Frankl and Z. Füredi, 'Disjoint r -triples in an r -graph with given maximum degree', *Quart J. Math. Oxford (2)* **34** (1983), 423–426.
- [17] Z. Füredi, 'Maximum degree and fractional matchings in uniform hypergraphs', *Combinatorica* **1** (1981), 155–162.
- [18] N. Sauer, 'The largest number of edges of a graph such that not more than g intersect at a point and not more than n are independent', *Combinatorial Math. and Appl.*, Proc. Conf. Oxford, 1969, edited by D. J. Welsh, ed., pp. 253–257, (Academic Press, London, 1971).
- [19] R. M. Wilson, 'An existence theory for pairwise balanced designs I–III', *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **13** (1972), 220–273 and **18** (1975), 71–79.

Mathematical Institute
 Hungarian Academy of Sciences
 1364 Budapest POB 127
 Hungary