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OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS: Leadership is an essential and recognized team science competency. Modeled after the successful LEAD (Leadership in Emerging Academic Departments) program at University of Texas Southwestern (UTSW), ConduITS LEAD Program is designed to: (1) provide personal and professional development opportunities for participants; (2) promote organizational change through applied leadership skills; (3) provide a platform for integrating multiple disciplines and fostering interprofessional relationships among investigators and clinicians. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: The 1-year structured LEAD program curriculum includes monthly interactive seminars covering personal and situational leadership; unconscious bias; communication and influence; navigating personal conflict; negotiation and networking; selecting and managing the right team; teamwork; financing the academic mission; budgets and business plan development; strategic planning and vision; presentation skills. To foster the development of leadership skills participants engage in Hogan Assessments, individual and peer mentoring from an executive coach and self-directed learning activities and assignments. Completion of an individual Capstone leadership project empowers learners to enact practice change through the implementation of leadership concepts in practice. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: In collaboration with the Office of Academic Enrichment & Development (OAED), the first competitive RFA was issued in November of 2016. In total, 63 applications were received including: gender: 29 M; 34 F; URM: 10; Degrees: M.D. (40); Ph.D. (11); M.D./Ph.D. (6); M.D./M.P.H. (3); M.D./M.S.C.R. (2); PharmD (1); Departments: 19; Institutes/Centers: 12; MSHS: 3 sites. Through a competitive and rigorous application process, 24 junior faculty with evidence of leadership potential and trajectory were chosen to participate. The current cohort of LEAD participants joined in February 2017, and will complete the program in January 2018. Using qualitative and quantitative survey methodology, participants will be evaluated for self-reported change to attitudes, belief, skills and development of new relationships and collaborations. Submitted Capstone projects were mainly focused on implementing situational and personal leadership concepts to practice, with one additionally focused on the use of behavioral interviewing techniques to optimize team building and teamwork. At the time of abstract submission 30% of the cohort has implemented their Capstone project in practice. Participants will be followed-up in 6 months’ time to evaluate the impact of the LEAD program on their practice. Following a second RFA, 24/52 candidates have been selected as our next cohort, and will start in February 2018. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: Leadership skillment of teams and the ability to implement leadership into practice may advance personal and professional change. This program addresses the need to empower Junior Faculty and residents to engage in leadership in practice. In addition, this program is able to provide added value to extend the reach of the OADE, promote new individual collaborations and facilitate additional leadership training efforts at our Institution. Future collaborators will focus on common outcomes as well as institutional differences between these 2 CTSAs institutions.
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OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS: (1) Evaluate the auditory narrative process as a learning experience for interviewer, editor, and interviewee. (2) Discuss methodologies for developing or selecting audio narratives and suggest how to effectively integrate them into the DPT curriculum, or thread into individual coursework. (3) Experience and appraise podcast components developed for a DPT psychosocial aspects of disability course, METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: Students were provided preassessment and postassessment on empathy. Other methodologies include conducting interviews, developing story boards, and editing audio narratives. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: Learner feedback indicated that course material was experienced in a way that deepens one’s understanding of the complex and challenging issues facing patients, caregivers, and themselves as they embark on their professional journey. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: The utility of integrating different modalities within coursework is to enable learner experience to encourage self-reflection and awareness of not only their identity but that of multidisciplinary collaborators.

Mount Sinai health hackathon: Harnessing the power of collaboration to advance experiential team science education
Janice Lynn Gabrilove, Peter Backeris, Louise Lammers, Anthony Costa, Layla Fattah, Caroline Eden, Jason Rogers and Kevin Costa
Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS: Innovation in healthcare is increasingly dependent on technology and teamwork, requiring effective collaboration between disciplines. Through an intensive team-based competition event, Mount Sinai Health Hackathon 2017, aimed to harness the power of multidisciplinary and transdisciplinary collaboration to foster innovation in the field of cancer. Participants were immersed in an intensive weekend working in teams to develop technology solutions to important problems affecting patients and care providers in the field of cancer. The learning objectives were to enable participants to: Identify cancer-related healthcare problems which lend themselves to technology-based solutions. Delineate key behaviors critical to multidisciplinary team success Identify optimal strategies for communicating in multidisciplinary teams. Engage and inspire participants to apply knowledge of technology to meaningfully impact clinical care and well-being. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: The Mount Sinai Health Hackathon was an annual 48-hour team-based competition, using a format adapted from guidelines provided by MIT Hacking Medicine. The 2017 event gathered a total of 87 participants (120 registered), representing 17 organizations from as far as California, with a diverse range of backgrounds in bioinformatics, software and hardware, product design, business, digital health and clinical practice. The overall participation model included: Phase 0: Health Hackathon 101 summer workshops; Phase 1: pre-Hackathon priming activities using online forums Trello and Slack; Phase 2: a 48-hour onsite hackathon to catalyze innovation through problem sharing, solution pitches, team formation and development of prototype solutions; Phase 3: competitive presentations to judges and prize awards; Phase 4: a suite of post-hackathon support to stimulate continued development of innovations. The event sponsored by ConduITS, was also co-sponsored by Persistent Systems, IBM Watson, Tisch Cancer Institute, Sinai ApplLab, Sinai Bioscience and other ISMMS Institutes. Mentors circulated throughout the event to support the teams in the technical, clinical, and business development aspects of their solutions. In total, the 14 teams formed during the Hackathon, created innovations ranging from diagnostic devices, networking apps, artificial intelligence tools; and others. The top 3 teams were each awarded $200 to support their projects’ future development. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: Qualitative and quantitative post-event survey data revealed the Hackathon experience fostered collaborative attitudes and a positive experience for participants, providing insight into the potential benefits of team science. In the post-event survey (n = 24) 92% of participants reported that the experience increased their ability to solve problems and 96% made new professional or personal connections. In addition, 96% of respondents would attend future Hackathon events and 75% reported they were likely to continue working on their project after the Hackathon. Qualitative feedback from 1 participant reported it was “a wonderful event that really highlighted how much interdisciplinary team science can achieve.” Along with intermediate support interactions, including the winning teams participating in a Shark Tank style event with pitches to external entrepreneurs and investors, all teams will be followed up in 6 months time to determine if participants are using their innovations, filed new patents, create new companies, or leverage the new connections made through the Health Hackathon experience. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: Our experience indicates that a Health Hackathon is a compelling and productive forum to bring together students, trainees, faculty, and other stakeholders to explore tech-based solutions to problems in cancer and other areas of biomedicine. It is a valuable tool to foster collaboration and transdisciplinary team science and education. Follow-up analysis will determine to what extent the Mount Sinai Health Hackathon is contributing to an ecosystem that encourages professionals and trainees in healthcare and in technology development to work together to address unmet needs in healthcare with innovative technology solutions.