## AUTOMORPHISMS OF METABELIAN GROUPS

## ATHANASSIOS I. PAPISTAS

ABSTRACT. We investigate the problem of determining when  $IA(F_n(\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{A}))$  is finitely generated for all n and m, with  $n \ge 2$  and  $m \ne 1$ . If m is a nonsquare free integer then  $IA(F_n(\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{A}))$  is not finitely generated for all n and if m is a square free integer then  $IA(F_n(\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{A}))$  is finitely generated for all n, with  $n \ne 3$ , and  $IA(F_3(\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{A}))$ is not finitely generated. In case m is square free, Bachmuth and Mochizuki claimed in ([7], Problem 4) that  $TR(\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{A})$  is 1 or 4. We correct their assertion by proving that  $TR(\mathbf{A}_m\mathbf{A}) = \infty$ .

1. Introduction. For any group G, let IA(G) be the IA-automorphism group of G, that is, the kernel of the natural mapping from Aut(G) into Aut(G/G'), where G' denotes the derived group of G. For each positive integer c, we write by  $\gamma_c(G)$  the c-th term of the lower central series of G. So,  $\gamma_2(G) = G'$ . If  $a_1, \ldots, a_c$  are elements of a group G then  $[a_1, a_2] = a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1}$  and, for  $c \ge 3$ ,  $[a_1, \ldots, a_c] = |[a_1, \ldots, a_{c-1}], a_c|$ . For a positive integer *n*, with  $n \ge 2$ , we will denote by  $F_n$  the (absolutely) free group of rank *n* freely generated by the set  $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ . If V is a variety of groups, we write  $F_n(V)$  for the free group of rank *n* in **V** and  $V(F_n)$  for the verbal subgroup of  $F_n$  corresponding to **V**. Every element in the image of the natural mapping from  $Aut(F_n)$  into  $Aut(F_n(V))$  is called *tame*. For a non-negative integer m, with  $m \neq 1$ ,  $A_m$  denotes the variety of all abelian groups of exponent dividing m, interpreted in such a way that  $A_0 = A$  is the variety of all abelian groups. Further,  $\mathbf{W}_m$  is the variety of all extensions of groups in  $\mathbf{A}_m$  by groups in A. We write W for  $W_0$ . In the papers ([5] and [6]), Bachmuth and Mochizuki have proved that IA( $F_n(\mathbf{W})$ ) is finitely generated for  $n \neq 3$  and IA( $F_3(\mathbf{W})$ ) is not finitely generated. Bachmuth *et al.* (see [3], Theorem C) have shown that  $IA(F_2(\mathbf{W}_m))$  is not finitely generated if m is a free integer and finitely generated if m is a square free integer. In this paper we extend the latter result for all *n*, with  $n \ge 2$ .

We say  $\{\operatorname{Aut}(F_n(\mathbf{V})), n \ge 1\}$  has tame range infinite, denoted by  $\operatorname{TR}(\mathbf{V}) = \infty$ , if there does not exist a positive integer *d* such that all automorphisms of  $F_n(\mathbf{V})$  are tame for all  $n \ge d$ . Otherwise, we say it has a finite one. We deduce from [5] and [6] that  $\operatorname{TR}(\mathbf{W}) = 4$ . So, we concentrate on *m*, with  $m \ge 2$ . If *m* is prime, say *p*, then  $\operatorname{TR}(\mathbf{W}_p) = 4$ . Indeed, by means of techniques of [6] or more easily of [9], every automorphism of  $F_n(\mathbf{W}_p)$  is induced by an automorphism of  $F_n$  for all  $n \ge 4$ . As we shall see in Section 4,  $\operatorname{IA}(F_3(\mathbf{W}_p))$ is not finitely generated and so  $\operatorname{TR}(\mathbf{W}_p) = 4$ . The method of proving  $\operatorname{IA}(F_3(\mathbf{W}_p))$  is not finitely generated is based on ideas of Bachmuth and Mochizuki in [5]. Thus, we may assume *m* is not prime. If *m* is nonsquare free, it follows from a result of Bachmuth and

©Canadian Mathematical Society 1998.

98

Received by the editors August 6, 1996. AMS subject classification: 20F28.

Mochizuki [8] that  $\text{TR}(\mathbf{W}_m) = \infty$ . In case *m* is square free, Bachmuth and Mochizuki claimed in ([7], Problem 4) that  $\text{TR}(\mathbf{W}_m)$  is 1 or 4. We correct their assertion by proving that  $\text{TR}(\mathbf{W}_m) = \infty$ .

For positive integers *n* and *m*, with  $n, m \ge 2$ , let G(n, m) be a free group of rank *n* in the variety  $\mathbf{W}_m$ . We write  $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ , where  $p_i$  are distinct prime integers and  $\alpha_i \in N$ . For  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ , we denote by G(n, m, i) the free group of rank *n* in the variety  $\mathbf{W}_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$ . By a result of Bachmuth and Mochizuki [4], IA(G(n, m)) is isomorphic to a direct product IA $(G(n, m, 1)) \times \cdots \times IA(G(n, m, r))$ . Suppose *m* is a nonsquare free integer. Then there exists a prime *p* such that  $p^2$  divides *m*. Let *T* be the subgroup of Aut $(G(n, p^2))$  consisting of all tame automorphisms. Since *T* is finitely generated, Aut $(G(n, p^2)) = TIA(G(n, p^2))$  and Aut $(G(n, p^2))$  is not finitely generated for all *n*, with  $n \ge 2$ , (see [8]), we obtain that IA $(G(n, p^2))$  is not finitely generated for all *n*. Therefore IA(G(n, m)) is not finitely generated. Thus, we may assume *m* is square free. Our main result deals with this case. In Section 4, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. Let G(n,m) be a free group of rank n in the variety  $\mathbf{W}_m$ , with  $n, m \ge 2$ . (i) If m is a nonsquare free integer then IA(G(n,m)) is not finitely generated for all n. (ii) If m is a square free integer then IA(G(n,m)) is finitely generated for all  $n \ne 3$  and IA(G(3,m)) is not finitely generated. Further, IA(G(n,m)) contains nontame elements for all n.

COROLLARY. The tame range  $TR(W_m)$  is infinite for any positive integer m, with  $m \ge 2$ , but not prime.

2. **Preliminaries.** Let  $C = A *_U B$  be the free product of groups A and B with amalgamated subgroup U. An element c of C can be written as  $c = c_1 \cdots c_r$  where each  $c_i$  belongs to A or B,  $c_i$  and  $c_{i+1}$  cannot both belong to A or both to B and r is uniquely determined. The number r is called the *length* of c and the length of the identity element is defined to be 0. By the length of a subset  $\Gamma$  we will mean the length of the shortest element in the subset.

We shall state the Subgroup Theorem for amalgamated products as in Cohen [10], who used the theory of groups acting on trees. Let *H* be a subgroup of *C*. Following Cohen, let  $\{D_{\alpha}\}$  be a set of double coset representatives for (H, A) in *C* and  $\{D_{\beta}\}$  be a set of double coset representatives for (H, B) in *C*. Further, for each  $D_{\alpha}$ , let  $\{E_{\mu}\}$  be a set of double coset representatives containing 1 of  $(D_{\alpha}^{-1}HD_{\alpha} \cap A, U)$  in *A*, and for each  $D_{\beta}$ , let  $\{E_{\nu}\}$  be a set of double coset representatives containing 1 of  $(D_{\beta}^{-1}HD_{\beta} \cap B, U)$  in *B*. For each  $\alpha$  and associated  $\mu$ , there exists a unique element  $D_{\beta}$  corresponding  $E_{\nu}$  and  $u \in U$  such that  $D_{\alpha}E_{\mu} \in HD_{\beta}E_{\nu}u$ . Thus

$$t_{\alpha,\mu} = D_{\alpha}E_{\mu}(D_{\beta}E_{\nu}u)^{-1} \in H,$$

and  $t_{\alpha,\mu} \neq 1$  if and only if  $D_{\alpha}E_{\mu}$  is neither a (H,A) double coset representative nor a (H,B) double coset representative.

SUBGROUP THEOREM (cf. [10], THEOREM 3). Let H be a subgroup of  $A *_U B$ , where  $U = A \cap B$ . Then,

(*i*) those  $t_{\alpha,\mu} \neq 1$  freely generate a free subgroup of *H*;

(ii) the group K generated by all  $H \cap (D_{\alpha}AD_{\alpha}^{-1})$  and  $H \cap (D_{\beta}BD_{\beta}^{-1})$  is the tree product of these groups, two such groups being adjacent if  $D_{\alpha} = D_{\beta} = 1$  or if  $D_{\alpha} = D_{\beta}b, b \in B$ , or  $D_{\beta} = D_{\alpha}a$  for some  $a \in A$ ; the subgroup amalgamated between two adjacent groups is  $H \cap (DUD^{-1})$ , where D is the longer of  $D_{\alpha}$  and  $D_{\beta}$ ;

(iii) H is the HNN-group

$$\langle K, t_{\alpha,\mu}; t_{\alpha,\mu}(H \cap D_{\beta}E_{\nu}UE_{\nu}^{-1}D_{\beta}^{-1})t_{\alpha,\mu}^{-1} = H \cap D_{\alpha}E_{\mu}UE_{\mu}^{-1}D_{\alpha}^{-1}\rangle$$

over all  $t_{\alpha,\mu} \neq 1$  and corresponding  $D_{\beta}, E_{\nu}$ .

Concerning tree products and HNN-groups, we refer the reader to ([11] and [12]). Since  $H \cap D_{\alpha}E_{\mu}UE_{\mu}^{-1}D_{\alpha}^{-1} \subseteq H \cap D_{\alpha}AD_{\alpha}^{-1}$  and  $H \cap D_{\beta}E_{\nu}UE_{\nu}^{-1}D_{\beta}^{-1} \subseteq H \cap D_{\beta}BD_{\beta}^{-1}$ , we apply a result of Karrass and Solitar ([11], Lemma 6) to obtain the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.1. In the notation of the Subgroup Theorem, if H is a finitely generated subgroup of  $A *_U B$ , then only finitely many of the  $t_{\alpha,\mu} \neq 1$  and K is the tree product of finitely many of the  $H \cap (D_{\alpha}AD_{\alpha}^{-1})$  and the  $H \cap (D_{\beta}BD_{\beta}^{-1})$ .

The proof of the following lemma is elementary.

LEMMA 2.2. Let *R* be a principal ideal domain (PID), which is not a field, and let  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$  be a nonunit of *R*. Then the localization  $R_S$  of *R* away from *S* is a PID, where  $S = \{a^n : n \ge 0\}$ .

3. A reduction. For a fixed prime *p*, we set  $G := F_3(\mathbf{W}_p)$  and  $x_i := f_i(\mathbf{W}_p(F_3))$ , i = 1, 2, 3. Thus, *G* is a free group of rank 3 in  $\mathbf{W}_p$  freely generated by  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ . We denote by *M* a (left) free  $Z_p(F_3/F'_3)$ -module with a basis  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\}$  and by  $\Omega$  the cartesian product of  $F_3/F'_3$  by *M*. The set  $\Omega$  becomes a group by defining a multiplication

$$(\tilde{u}, m_1)(\tilde{v}, m_2) = (\tilde{u}\tilde{v}, \tilde{u}m_2 + m_1) = (\tilde{u}v, \tilde{u}m_2 + m_1).$$

for all  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in F_3/F'_3$ , where  $\tilde{u} = uF'_3$  and  $\tilde{v} = vF'_3$ , with  $u, v \in F_3$ , and  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ . For i = 1, 2, 3, let  $s_i = f_iF'_3$ . The mapping from *G* into  $\Omega$  sending  $x_i$  to  $(s_i, \lambda_i)$  is an embedding (see [2], [13]). Further, an element  $(g, a_1\lambda_1 + a_2\lambda_2 + a_3\lambda_3)$  represents an element of *G* if and only if  $a_1\sigma_1 + a_2\sigma_2 + a_3\sigma_3 = 1 - g$ , where  $\sigma_i = 1 - s_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ . We identify *G* with its image in  $\Omega$  and let  $\phi$  be an IA-automorphism of *G*. Then  $\phi$  can be described by

(3.1) 
$$\phi: (s_j, \lambda_j) \longrightarrow (s_j, a_{1j}\lambda_1 + a_{2j}\lambda_2 + a_{3j}\lambda_3)$$

where

$$(3.2) a_{1i}\sigma_1 + a_{2i}\sigma_2 + a_{3i}\sigma_3 = \sigma_i,$$

for all  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . The mapping of IA(G) into  $GL_3(Z_p(F_3/F'_3))$  given by  $\phi \rightarrow (a_{ij})$ , where  $\phi$  is given by (3.1), is an embedding. By a result of Bachmuth (see [2],

100

Proposition 2),  $(a_{ij}) \in GL_3(Z_p(F_3/F'_3))$  is in the image of this embedding if and only if the columns of  $(a_{ij})$  satisfy the condition (3.2).

We adopt the convention that a 2  $\times$  2 matrix  $(a_{ij})$  over a ring R is written as  $(a_{11}, a_{12}; a_{21}, a_{22})$ . Following [5], we identify  $Z_p(F_3/F'_3)$  with  $Z_p[s_1^{\pm 1}, s_2^{\pm 1}, s_3^{\pm 1}]$  and each element  $\phi$  of IA(G) can be uniquely represented by an element of GL<sub>3</sub>( $Z_p[s_1^{\pm 1}, s_2^{\pm 1}, s_3^{\pm 1}]$ ) of the form

(3.3) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \sigma_2 a_1 + \sigma_3 a_2 & -\sigma_2 b_3 - \sigma_3 b_1 & -\sigma_3 c_3 + \sigma_2 c_2 \\ -\sigma_1 a_1 + \sigma_3 a_3 & 1 + \sigma_3 b_2 + \sigma_1 b_3 & -\sigma_3 c_1 - \sigma_1 c_2 \\ -\sigma_1 a_2 - \sigma_2 a_3 & -\sigma_2 b_2 + \sigma_1 b_1 & 1 + \sigma_1 c_3 + \sigma_2 c_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Further, there exists a representation  $\tau$  of IA(G) into GL<sub>2</sub>( $Z_p[s_1^{\pm 1}, s_2^{\pm 1}]$ ) by sending a matrix of the form (3.3) into a matrix of the form

$$(3.4) \qquad (1 + \sigma_1 \hat{b}_3 + \sigma_2 \hat{a}_1, -\hat{c}_2; -\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \hat{b}_2 + \sigma_1^2 \hat{b}_1 + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \hat{a}_2 + \sigma_2^2 \hat{a}_3, 1 + \sigma_1 \hat{c}_3 + \sigma_2 \hat{c}_1)$$

where  $\hat{a}$ ,  $\hat{b}$  and  $\hat{c}$  are the images of a, b and c in  $Z_p[s_1^{\pm 1}, s_2^{\pm 1}, s_3^{\pm 1}]$  via the natural mapping from  $Z_p[s_1^{\pm 1}, s_2^{\pm 1}, s_3^{\pm 1}]$  into  $Z_p[s_1^{\pm 1}, s_2^{\pm 1}]$  by sending  $s_3$  into 1 and  $Z_p[s_1^{\pm 1}, s_2^{\pm 1}]$  is mapped identical onto itself. Let A be the image of the representation  $\tau$  and B =  $A \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p[s_1^{\pm 1}, s_2^{\pm 1}])$ . Similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 1 of [5], we have the following result.

LEMMA 3.1. If A is finitely generated then B is finitely generated.

4. **Proof of Theorem.** Let *R* be a PID with a quotient field *Q* and *t* an indeterminate over *R*. Write  $SL_2(Q[t])^S$  for  $S^{-1}(SL_2(Q[t]))S$  where S = (t, 0; 0, 1). By Ihara's Theorem we obtain  $SL_2(Q[t, t^{-1}]) = SL_2(Q[t]) *_U SL_2(Q[t])^S$ , where  $U = SL_2(Q[t]) \cap SL_2(Q[t])^S$ .

LEMMA 4.1. Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible element of R. Then the matrices A(i) = $(1,0;\frac{1}{\pi},1)$ , with  $i \ge 1$ , can be chosen as part of a set of double coset representatives of  $(\operatorname{SL}_2(R[t]), U)$  in  $\operatorname{SL}_2(Q[t])$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose there exist  $1 \le i < j$  such that A(i) and A(j) are in the same double coset. By setting t = 0, we obtain

$$A(i) = (f, g; h, k)A(j)(\delta^{-1}, \xi; 0, \delta)$$

where  $(f, g; h, k) \in SL_2(R)$  and  $(\delta^{-1}, \xi; 0, \delta) \in SL_2(Q)$ . Therefore,

$$(4.1) f + \frac{g}{\pi i} = \delta$$

(4.2) 
$$h + \frac{k}{\pi i} = \frac{\delta}{\pi i}$$

(4.2) 
$$h + \frac{1}{\pi^{j}} = \frac{1}{\pi^{i}}$$
  
(4.3) 
$$\xi\left(f + \frac{g}{\pi^{j}}\right) + g\delta = 0$$

(4.4) 
$$\xi\left(h + \frac{k}{\pi^j}\right) + k\delta = 1$$

From (4.1) and (4.3), we obtain that  $\xi = -g$ . So (4.4) becomes

$$\delta^{-1} = -\frac{g}{\pi^i} + k$$

We write  $\delta = \delta_1/\delta_2$ , where  $(\delta_1, \delta_2) = 1$ . We claim  $\pi^j$  divides g. To get a contradiction, we assume that  $\pi^j$  does not divide g. We first show that  $\pi$  divides g. Indeed, if not and since  $\pi$  is prime in R, we obtain from (4.1) that  $\pi$  divides  $\delta_2$ . Further, we obtain from (4.5) that  $\pi$  divides  $\delta_1$  which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $g = \pi^{\mu}g'$ , where  $g' \in R$  and  $(\pi, g') = 1$ . Since g is not divided by  $\pi^j$  and  $\pi$  divides g, we have  $1 \leq \mu \leq j - 1$ . Now, either  $\mu < i$  or  $i \leq \mu \leq j - 1$ . Suppose  $\mu < i$ . Then, we obtain from (4.1) that  $\pi$  divides  $\delta_2$ . Similarly, from (4.5), we have  $\pi$  divides  $\delta_1$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $i \leq \mu \leq j - 1$ . By (4.5),  $\delta^{-1} \in R$  and so  $\pi^j$  must divide g. Therefore, we obtain from (4.1) and (4.2) that  $\delta \in R$  and  $\pi^{j-i}$  must divide k. Thus,  $\pi$  divides both g and k. Since (f, g; h, k) is invertible over R and the ideal  $\langle \pi \rangle$  is properly contained in R, we get the required contradiction.

Let m be a square free integer. If  $n \neq 3$  then IA(G(n, m)) is finitely generated (see, Introduction). So, we may assume that n = 3. To prove that IA(G(3,m)), with  $m \ge 2$ , is not finitely generated, it is enough to show that, for any prime p, IA(G(3, p)) is not finitely generated. For a fixed prime p, we recall that  $G := F_3(\mathbf{W}_p)$  and  $x_i := f_i(\mathbf{W}_p(F_3))$ , i = 1, 2, 3. Thus, G is a free group of rank 3 in the variety  $\mathbf{W}_p$  freely generated by  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ . We set  $R := \mathbb{Z}_p[s_1, s_2^{-1}], \pi := s_1 + 1$  and  $A(i) := (1, 0; \frac{1}{(s_1+1)^i}, 1)$ , with  $i \ge 1$ . By Lemma 2.2, we obtain that R is a PID. Further, it is easily checked that  $\pi$  is an irreducible element of R. By Lemma 4.1, the set  $\{A(i), i \ge 1\}$  may be included as part of a set of double coset representatives of  $SL_2(R[s_2], U)$  in  $SL_2(Q[s_2])$ , where  $U = SL_2(Q[s_2]) \cap SL_2(Q[s_2])^S$  and  $S = (s_2, 0; 0, 1)$ . Hence also part of representatives of  $(B \cap SL_2(R[s_2]), U)$  in  $SL_2(Q[s_2])$ . We apply the Subgroup Theorem to B as a subgroup of  $SL_2(Q[s_2, s_2^{-1}])$ . Let  $\{D_{\alpha}\}$  be a set of double coset representatives for  $(B, SL_2(Q[s_2]))$  in  $SL_2(Q[s_2, s_2^{-1}])$  and  $\{D_\beta\}$  a set of double coset representatives for  $(B, SL_2(Q[s_2])^S)$  in  $SL_2(Q[s_2, s_2^{-1}])$ . Recall that the group K generated by all  $B \cap (D_{\alpha} \operatorname{SL}_2(Q[s_2])D_{\alpha}^{-1})$  and  $B \cap (D_{\beta} \operatorname{SL}_2(Q[s_2])^{s}D_{\beta}^{-1})$ is the tree product of these groups. To show that B is not finitely generated, it is enough, by Proposition 2.1, to show that infinitely many of the A(i) are not double coset representatives of  $(B, SL_2(Q[s_2])^S)$  in  $SL_2(Q[s_2, s_2^{-1}])$ . To get a contradiction, we suppose that infinitely many of the A(i) are double coset representatives. We assert that K is not the tree product of only finitely many of the  $B \cap D_{\alpha} \operatorname{SL}_2(Q[s_2])D_{\alpha}^{-1}$  and the  $B \cap D_{\beta} \operatorname{SL}_2(Q[s_2])^S D_{\beta}^{-1}$ . In particular, we claim that  $B \cap A(i)UA(i)^{-1}$  is a proper subgroup of  $B \cap A(i)$  SL<sub>2</sub>( $Q[s_2]$ )<sup>S</sup> $A(i)^{-1}$  for all *i*. To see this, let  $\Lambda = (1, \pi^{2i}\sigma_1^2\sigma_2s_2^{-1}; 0, 1)$ . Then  $A(i)\Lambda A(i)^{-1} = (1 - \pi^i s_2^{-1} \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2, \pi^{2i} \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2 s_2^{-1}; -s_2^{-1} \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2, 1 + \pi^i s_2^{-1} \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2).$  It is easily seen that both  $\Lambda$  and  $A(i)\Lambda A(i)^{-1}$  belong to B, also  $A(i)\Lambda A(i)^{-1} \in B \cap A(i) \operatorname{SL}_2(R[s_2])^S A(i)^{-1}$ , but  $A(i)\Lambda A(i)^{-1}$  does not belong to  $B \cap A(i)UA(i)^{-1}$ , which is the required contradiction.

To complete the proof of Theorem (ii), we need some further notation. Recall that G(n,m) is a free group of rank *n* in the variety  $\mathbf{W}_m$ , with  $n, m \ge 2$ . For the next few

lines, we set H := G(n, m) and  $y_i := f_i(\mathbf{W}_m(F_n))$ , i = 1, ..., m. Thus, the set  $\{y_1, ..., y_m\}$ freely generates H. Let  $m = p_1 \cdots p_r$ , with  $r \ge 2$ , where  $p_i$  are distinct prime integers. Since  $Z_m$  is equal to the direct product  $I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_r$ , where  $I_i$  is an ideal of  $Z_m$  which is isomorphic to  $Z_{p_i}$  as rings, there exists a (necessarily unique) complete set  $\{e_1, ..., e_r\}$ of pairwise orthogonal idempotents in  $Z_m$  such that  $I_i = e_i Z_m$ , i = 1, ..., r. Note that H'may be regarded as a right  $Z_m(H/H')$ - module, where the action of H/H' comes from conjugation in H. For  $u \in H'$  and  $d \in Z_m(H/H)'$  we write  $u^d$  for the image of u under the module action by d.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let  $n \ge 2$  and, in the notation described above, let  $\lambda$  be the endomorphism of H satisfying  $\lambda(y_1) = y_1[y_1, y_2]^{-e_1s_1}$ ,  $\lambda(y_2) = y_2[y_1, y_2]^{(1-e_1)s_2}$ , and  $\lambda(y_i) = y_i$ ,  $i \ge 3$ . Then  $\lambda$  is a non-tame automorphism of H.

PROOF. Let  $\mu$  be the endomorphism of H satisfying  $\mu(y_1) = y_1[y_1, y_2]^{e_1s_1s_2}$ ,  $\mu(y_2) = y_2[y_1, y_2]^{-(1-e_1)s_1s_2}$ , and  $\mu(y_i) = y_i$ ,  $i \ge 3$ . It is easily checked that  $\mu\lambda = \lambda\mu = 1$ , where 1 denotes the identity mapping on H. Hence,  $\lambda$  is an automorphism of H. By Corollary 3.2 of [4],  $\lambda$  may be represented by an  $n \times n$  matrix over  $Z_m(F_n/F'_n)$ , say  $\lambda^*$ . It is easy to see that the determinant of  $\lambda^*$  is equal to  $(1 - e_1)s_1 + e_1s_2$ . Now, suppose  $\lambda$  is tame automorphism. Thus, there exists an automorphism  $\psi$  of  $F_n$  such that  $\psi$  induces  $\lambda$  on H. Since  $H/H' \cong F_n/F'_n$ , we may take  $\psi \in IA(F_n)$ . Let  $\phi$  be the induced IA-automorphism of  $\psi$  on  $F_n(\mathbf{W})$ . By Theorem 1 of [1],  $\phi$  corresponds to an  $n \times n$  matrix  $C(\phi)$  over  $Z(F_n/F'_n)$  such that  $C(\phi)$  induces  $\lambda^*$ . Namely,  $C(\phi) = I_n + (\alpha_{ij})$ , where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix,  $\alpha_{11} = -e_1(1 - s_2) + u_{11}$ ,  $\alpha_{12} = (1 - e_1)(1 - s_2) + u_{12}$ ,  $\alpha_{21} = e_1(1 - s_1) + u_{21}$ ,  $\alpha_{22} = (1 - e_1)(1 - s_1) + u_{22}$  and  $\alpha_{ij} = u_{ij}$  otherwise. Each  $u_{ij}$  is a polynomial in the variables  $s_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, s_n^{\pm 1}$  over Z with coefficients multiples of m. But the determinant of  $C(\phi)$  equals  $\prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{\alpha_i}$ , where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore, by working over  $Z_m$ , we have  $\prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{\alpha_i} = (1 - e_1)s_1 + e_1s_2$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\lambda$  is a non-tame automorphism of H for all  $n \ge 2$ .

## REFERENCES

- 1. S. Bachmuth, Automorphisms of free metabelian groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 118(1965), 93–104.
- Automorphisms of a class of metabelian groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 127(1967), 284–293.
  S. Bachmuth, G. Baumslag, J. Dyer and H. Y. Mochizuki, Automorphisms of 2-generator metabelian
- groups. J. London Math. Soc. **36**(1987), 393–406.
- S. Bachmuth and H. Y. Mochizuki, Automorphisms of a class of metabelian groups II. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 127(1967), 294–301.
- 5. \_\_\_\_\_, IA-automorphisms of free metabelian group of rank 3. J. Algebra 55(1979), 106–115.
- 6. <u>Aut</u>(F)  $\rightarrow$  Aut(F/F'') is surjective for free groups F of rank  $n \ge 4$ . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **292**(1985), 81–101.
- The tame range of automorphism groups and GL<sub>n</sub>. Group Theory Proc. Singapore Group Theory Conf., 1987, 241–251, de Gruyter, New York, 1989.
- Infinite generation of automorphism groups. Proceedings of Groups Korea 1988, Pusan, 1988, 25–28, Lecture Notes in Math. 1398, Springer, Berlin, New York, 1989.
- R. M. Bryant and J. R. J. Groves, Automorphisms of free metabelian groups of infinite rank. Comm. Algebra (11) 18(1990), 3619–3631.
- 10. D. E. Cohen, Subgroups of HNN-groups. J. Austral. Math. Soc. 17(1974), 394-405.
- 11. A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *The subgroups of a free product of two groups with an amalgamated subgroup*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **150**(1970), 227–255.

## ATHANASSIOS I. PAPISTAS

12. \_\_\_\_\_, Subgroups of HNN-groups and groups with one defining relation. Canad. J. Math. 23(1971), 627–543.

13. A. F. Krasnikov, Nilpotent subgroups of relatively free groups. Algebra i Logika 17(1978), 389-401.

Department of Mathematics Aristotle University of Thessaloniki GR 540 06, Thessaloniki Greece e-mail: apapist@ccf.auth.gr

104