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#### Abstract

We investigate the problem of determining when $\operatorname{IA}\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbf{A}_{m} \mathbf{A}\right)\right)$ is finitely generated for all $n$ and $m$, with $n \geq 2$ and $m \neq 1$. If $m$ is a nonsquare free integer then $\operatorname{IA}\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbf{A}_{m} \mathbf{A}\right)\right)$ is not finitely generated for all $n$ and if $m$ is a square free integer then $\operatorname{IA}\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbf{A}_{m} \mathbf{A}\right)\right)$ is finitely generated for all $n$, with $n \neq 3$, and $\operatorname{IA}\left(F_{3}\left(\mathbf{A}_{m} \mathbf{A}\right)\right)$ is not finitely generated. In case $m$ is square free, Bachmuth and Mochizuki claimed in ([7], Problem 4) that $\operatorname{TR}\left(\mathbf{A}_{m} \mathbf{A}\right)$ is 1 or 4 . We correct their assertion by proving that $\operatorname{TR}\left(\mathbf{A}_{m} \mathbf{A}\right)=\infty$.


1. Introduction. For any group $G$, let $\operatorname{IA}(G)$ be the IA-automorphism group of $G$, that is, the kernel of the natural mapping from $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ into $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G / G^{\prime}\right)$, where $G^{\prime}$ denotes the derived group of $G$. For each positive integer $c$, we write by $\gamma_{c}(G)$ the $c$-th term of the lower central series of $G$. So, $\gamma_{2}(G)=G^{\prime}$. If $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{c}$ are elements of a group $G$ then $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]=a_{1} a_{2} a_{1}^{-1} a_{2}^{-1}$ and, for $c \geq 3,\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{c}\right]=\left[\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{c-1}\right], a_{c}\right]$. For a positive integer $n$, with $n \geq 2$, we will denote by $F_{n}$ the (absolutely) free group of rank $n$ freely generated by the set $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$. If $\mathbf{V}$ is a variety of groups, we write $F_{n}(\mathbf{V})$ for the free group of rank $n$ in $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{V}\left(F_{n}\right)$ for the verbal subgroup of $F_{n}$ corresponding to $\mathbf{V}$. Every element in the image of the natural mapping from $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$ into $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}(\mathbf{V})\right)$ is called tame. For a non-negative integer $m$, with $m \neq 1, \mathbf{A}_{m}$ denotes the variety of all abelian groups of exponent dividing $m$, interpreted in such a way that $\mathbf{A}_{0}=\mathbf{A}$ is the variety of all abelian groups. Further, $\mathbf{W}_{m}$ is the variety of all extensions of groups in $\mathbf{A}_{m}$ by groups in $\mathbf{A}$. We write $\mathbf{W}$ for $\mathbf{W}_{0}$. In the papers ([5] and [6]), Bachmuth and Mochizuki have proved that $\operatorname{IA}\left(F_{n}(\mathbf{W})\right)$ is finitely generated for $n \neq 3$ and $\operatorname{IA}\left(F_{3}(\mathbf{W})\right)$ is not finitely generated. Bachmuth et al. (see [3], Theorem C) have shown that IA $\left(F_{2}\left(\mathbf{W}_{m}\right)\right)$ is not finitely generated if $m$ is a free integer and finitely generated if $m$ is a square free integer. In this paper we extend the latter result for all $n$, with $n \geq 2$.

We say $\left\{\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}(\mathbf{V})\right), n \geq 1\right\}$ has tame range infinite, denoted by $\operatorname{TR}(\mathbf{V})=\infty$, if there does not exist a positive integer $d$ such that all automorphisms of $F_{n}(\mathbf{V})$ are tame for all $n \geq d$. Otherwise, we say it has a finite one. We deduce from [5] and [6] that $\operatorname{TR}(\mathbf{W})=4$. So, we concentrate on $m$, with $m \geq 2$. If $m$ is prime, say $p$, then $\operatorname{TR}\left(\mathbf{W}_{p}\right)=4$. Indeed, by means of techniques of [6] or more easily of [9], every automorphism of $F_{n}\left(\mathbf{W}_{p}\right)$ is induced by an automorphism of $F_{n}$ for all $n \geq 4$. As we shall see in Section 4, $\operatorname{IA}\left(F_{3}\left(\mathbf{W}_{p}\right)\right)$ is not finitely generated and so $\operatorname{TR}\left(\mathbf{W}_{p}\right)=4$. The method of proving $\operatorname{IA}\left(F_{3}\left(\mathbf{W}_{p}\right)\right)$ is not finitely generated is based on ideas of Bachmuth and Mochizuki in [5]. Thus, we may assume $m$ is not prime. If $m$ is nonsquare free, it follows from a result of Bachmuth and

[^0]Mochizuki [8] that $\operatorname{TR}\left(\mathbf{W}_{m}\right)=\infty$. In case $m$ is square free, Bachmuth and Mochizuki claimed in ([7], Problem 4) that $\operatorname{TR}\left(\mathbf{W}_{m}\right)$ is 1 or 4 . We correct their assertion by proving that $\operatorname{TR}\left(\mathbf{W}_{m}\right)=\infty$.

For positive integers $n$ and $m$, with $n, m \geq 2$, let $G(n, m)$ be a free group of rank $n$ in the variety $\mathbf{W}_{m}$. We write $m=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}$, where $p_{i}$ are distinct prime integers and $\alpha_{i} \in N$. For $i=1, \ldots, r$, we denote by $G(n, m, i)$ the free group of rank $n$ in the variety $\mathbf{W}_{p_{i}}$. By a result of Bachmuth and Mochizuki [4], IA $(G(n, m))$ is isomorphic to a direct product $\operatorname{IA}(G(n, m, 1)) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{IA}(G(n, m, r))$. Suppose $m$ is a nonsquare free integer. Then there exists a prime $p$ such that $p^{2}$ divides $m$. Let $T$ be the subgroup of Aut $\left(G\left(n, p^{2}\right)\right)$ consisting of all tame automorphisms. Since $T$ is finitely generated, $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G\left(n, p^{2}\right)\right)=T \operatorname{IA}\left(G\left(n, p^{2}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G\left(n, p^{2}\right)\right)$ is not finitely generated for all $n$, with $n \geq 2$, (see [8]), we obtain that $\operatorname{IA}\left(G\left(n, p^{2}\right)\right)$ is not finitely generated for all $n$. Therefore $\operatorname{IA}(G(n, m))$ is not finitely generated. Thus, we may assume $m$ is square free. Our main result deals with this case. In Section 4, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. Let $G(n, m)$ be a free group of rank $n$ in the variety $\mathbf{W}_{m}$, with $n, m \geq 2$. (i) If $m$ is a nonsquare free integer then $\operatorname{IA}(G(n, m))$ is not finitely generated for all $n$. (ii) If $m$ is a square free integer then $\operatorname{IA}(G(n, m))$ is finitely generated for all $n \neq 3$ and IA $(G(3, m))$ is not finitely generated. Further, IA $(G(n, m))$ contains nontame elements for all $n$.

COROLLARY. The tame range $\operatorname{TR}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{m}}\right)$ is infinite for any positive integer $m$, with $m \geq 2$, but not prime.
2. Preliminaries. Let $C=A *_{U} B$ be the free product of groups $A$ and $B$ with amalgamated subgroup $U$. An element $c$ of $C$ can be written as $c=c_{1} \cdots c_{r}$ where each $c_{i}$ belongs to $A$ or $B, c_{i}$ and $c_{i+1}$ cannot both belong to $A$ or both to $B$ and $r$ is uniquely determined. The number $r$ is called the length of $c$ and the length of the identity element is defined to be 0 . By the length of a subset $\Gamma$ we will mean the length of the shortest element in the subset.

We shall state the Subgroup Theorem for amalgamated products as in Cohen [10], who used the theory of groups acting on trees. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $C$. Following Cohen, let $\left\{D_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a set of double coset representatives for $(H, A)$ in $C$ and $\left\{D_{\beta}\right\}$ be a set of double coset representatives for $(H, B)$ in $C$. Further, for each $D_{\alpha}$, let $\left\{E_{\mu}\right\}$ be a set of double coset representatives containing 1 of ( $D_{\alpha}^{-1} H D_{\alpha} \cap A, U$ ) in $A$, and for each $D_{\beta}$, let $\left\{E_{\nu}\right\}$ be a set of double coset representatives containing 1 of $\left(D_{\beta}^{-1} H D_{\beta} \cap B, U\right)$ in $B$. For each $\alpha$ and associated $\mu$, there exists a unique element $D_{\beta}$ corresponding $E_{\nu}$ and $u \in U$ such that $D_{\alpha} E_{\mu} \in H D_{\beta} E_{\nu} u$. Thus

$$
t_{\alpha, \mu}=D_{\alpha} E_{\mu}\left(D_{\beta} E_{\nu} u\right)^{-1} \in H
$$

and $t_{\alpha, \mu} \neq 1$ if and only if $D_{\alpha} E_{\mu}$ is neither a $(H, A)$ double coset representative nor a $(H, B)$ double coset representative.

Subgroup Theorem (cf. [10], THEOREM 3). Let H be a subgroup of $A *_{U} B$, where $U=A \cap B$. Then,
(i) those $t_{\alpha, \mu} \neq 1$ freely generate a free subgroup of $H$;
(ii) the group $K$ generated by all $H \cap\left(D_{\alpha} A D_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)$ and $H \cap\left(D_{\beta} B D_{\beta}^{-1}\right)$ is the tree product of these groups, two such groups being adjacent if $D_{\alpha}=D_{\beta}=1$ or if $D_{\alpha}=D_{\beta} b, b \in B$, or $D_{\beta}=D_{\alpha}$ a for some $a \in A$; the subgroup amalgamated between two adjacent groups is $H \cap\left(D U D^{-1}\right)$, where $D$ is the longer of $D_{\alpha}$ and $D_{\beta}$;
(iii) $H$ is the HNN-group

$$
\left\langle K, t_{\alpha, \mu} ; t_{\alpha, \mu}\left(H \cap D_{\beta} E_{\nu} U E_{\nu}^{-1} D_{\beta}^{-1}\right) t_{\alpha, \mu}^{-1}=H \cap D_{\alpha} E_{\mu} U E_{\mu}^{-1} D_{\alpha}^{-1}\right\rangle
$$

over all $t_{\alpha, \mu} \neq 1$ and corresponding $D_{\beta}, E_{\nu}$.
Concerning tree products and HNN-groups, we refer the reader to ([11] and [12]). Since $H \cap D_{\alpha} E_{\mu} U E_{\mu}^{-1} D_{\alpha}^{-1} \subseteq H \cap D_{\alpha} A D_{\alpha}^{-1}$ and $H \cap D_{\beta} E_{\nu} U E_{\nu}^{-1} D_{\beta}^{-1} \subseteq H \cap D_{\beta} B D_{\beta}^{-1}$, we apply a result of Karrass and Solitar ([11], Lemma 6) to obtain the following result.

Proposition 2.1. In the notation of the Subgroup Theorem, if His a finitely generated subgroup of $A *_{U} B$, then only finitely many of the $t_{\alpha, \mu} \neq 1$ and $K$ is the tree product of finitely many of the $H \cap\left(D_{\alpha} A D_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)$ and the $H \cap\left(D_{\beta} B D_{\beta}^{-1}\right)$.

The proof of the following lemma is elementary.
Lemma 2.2. Let $R$ be a principal ideal domain (PID), which is not a field, and let $a \in R \backslash\{0\}$ be a nonunit of $R$. Then the localization $R_{S}$ of $R$ away from $S$ is a PID, where $S=\left\{a^{n}: n \geq 0\right\}$.
3. A reduction. For a fixed prime $p$, we set $G:=F_{3}\left(\mathbf{W}_{p}\right)$ and $x_{i}:=f_{i}\left(\mathbf{W}_{p}\left(F_{3}\right)\right)$, $i=1,2,3$. Thus, $G$ is a free group of rank 3 in $\mathbf{W}_{p}$ freely generated by $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$. We denote by $M$ a (left) free $\mathrm{Z}_{p}\left(F_{3} / F_{3}^{\prime}\right)$-module with a basis $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right\}$ and by $\Omega$ the cartesian product of $F_{3} / F_{3}^{\prime}$ by $M$. The set $\Omega$ becomes a group by defining a multiplication

$$
\left(\tilde{u}, m_{1}\right)\left(\tilde{v}, m_{2}\right)=\left(\tilde{u} \tilde{v}, \tilde{u} m_{2}+m_{1}\right)=\left(\tilde{u} v, \tilde{u} m_{2}+m_{1}\right),
$$

for all $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in F_{3} / F_{3}^{\prime}$, where $\tilde{u}=u F_{3}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{v}=v F_{3}^{\prime}$, with $u, v \in F_{3}$, and $m_{1}, m_{2} \in M$. For $i=1,2,3$, let $s_{i}=f_{i} F_{3}^{\prime}$. The mapping from $G$ into $\Omega$ sending $x_{i}$ to $\left(s_{i}, \lambda_{i}\right)$ is an embedding (see [2], [13]). Further, an element ( $g, a_{1} \lambda_{1}+a_{2} \lambda_{2}+a_{3} \lambda_{3}$ ) represents an element of $G$ if and only if $a_{1} \sigma_{1}+a_{2} \sigma_{2}+a_{3} \sigma_{3}=1-g$, where $\sigma_{i}=1-s_{i}, i=1,2,3$. We identify $G$ with its image in $\Omega$ and let $\phi$ be an IA-automorphism of $G$. Then $\phi$ can be described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi:\left(s_{j}, \lambda_{j}\right) \longrightarrow\left(s_{j}, a_{1 j} \lambda_{1}+a_{2 j} \lambda_{2}+a_{3 j} \lambda_{3}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1 j} \sigma_{1}+a_{2 j} \sigma_{2}+a_{3 j} \sigma_{3}=\sigma_{j} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $j \in\{1,2,3\}$. The mapping of $\operatorname{IA}(G)$ into $\mathrm{GL}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{p}\left(F_{3} / F_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ given by $\phi \rightarrow$ $\left(a_{i j}\right)$, where $\phi$ is given by (3.1), is an embedding. By a result of Bachmuth (see [2],

Proposition 2), $\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{p}\left(F_{3} / F_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is in the image of this embedding if and only if the columns of $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ satisfy the condition (3.2).

We adopt the convention that a $2 \times 2$ matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ over a ring $R$ is written as $\left(a_{11}, a_{12} ; a_{21}, a_{22}\right)$. Following [5], we identify $\mathrm{Z}_{p}\left(F_{3} / F_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mathrm{Z}_{p}\left[s_{1}^{ \pm 1}, s_{2}^{ \pm 1}, s_{3}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ and each element $\phi$ of $\operatorname{IA}(G)$ can be uniquely represented by an element of $\mathrm{GL}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{p}\left[s_{1}^{ \pm 1}, s_{2}^{ \pm 1}, s_{3}^{ \pm 1}\right]\right)$ of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1+\sigma_{2} a_{1}+\sigma_{3} a_{2} & -\sigma_{2} b_{3}-\sigma_{3} b_{1} & -\sigma_{3} c_{3}+\sigma_{2} c_{2}  \tag{3.3}\\
-\sigma_{1} a_{1}+\sigma_{3} a_{3} & 1+\sigma_{3} b_{2}+\sigma_{1} b_{3} & -\sigma_{3} c_{1}-\sigma_{1} c_{2} \\
-\sigma_{1} a_{2}-\sigma_{2} a_{3} & -\sigma_{2} b_{2}+\sigma_{1} b_{1} & 1+\sigma_{1} c_{3}+\sigma_{2} c_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Further, there exists a representation $\tau$ of $\operatorname{IA}(G)$ into $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{p}\left[s_{1}^{ \pm 1}, s_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]\right)$ by sending a matrix of the form (3.3) into a matrix of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\sigma_{1} \hat{b}_{3}+\sigma_{2} \hat{a}_{1},-\hat{c}_{2} ;-\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \hat{b}_{2}+\sigma_{1}^{2} \hat{b}_{1}+\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \hat{a}_{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2} \hat{a}_{3}, 1+\sigma_{1} \hat{c}_{3}+\sigma_{2} \hat{c}_{1}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{a}, \hat{b}$ and $\hat{c}$ are the images of $a, b$ and $c$ in $Z_{p}\left[s_{1}^{ \pm 1}, s_{2}^{ \pm 1}, s_{3}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ via the natural mapping from $\mathrm{Z}_{p}\left[s_{1}^{ \pm 1}, s_{2}^{ \pm 1}, s_{3}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ into $\mathrm{Z}_{p}\left[s_{1}^{ \pm 1}, s_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ by sending $s_{3}$ into 1 and $Z_{p}\left[s_{1}^{ \pm 1}, s_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ is mapped identical onto itself. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the image of the representation $\tau$ and $\mathcal{B}=$ $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{p}\left[s_{1}^{ \pm 1}, s_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]\right)$. Similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 1 of [5], we have the following result.

Lemma 3.1. If $\mathcal{A}$ is finitely generated then $\mathcal{B}$ is finitely generated.
4. Proof of Theorem. Let $R$ be a PID with a quotient field $Q$ and $t$ an indeterminate over $R$. Write $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(Q[t])^{S}$ for $S^{-1}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(Q[t])\right) S$ where $S=(t, 0 ; 0,1)$. By Ihara's Theorem we obtain $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[t, t^{-1}\right]\right)=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(Q[t]) *_{U} \mathrm{SL}_{2}(Q[t])^{S}$, where $U=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(Q[t]) \cap \mathrm{SL}_{2}(Q[t])^{S}$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\pi$ be an irreducible element of $R$. Then the matrices $A(i)=$ $\left(1,0 ; \frac{1}{\pi^{i}}, 1\right)$, with $i \geq 1$, can be chosen as part of a set of double coset representatives of $\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(R[t]), U\right)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(Q[t])$.

Proof. Suppose there exist $1 \leq i<j$ such that $A(i)$ and $A(j)$ are in the same double coset. By setting $t=0$, we obtain

$$
A(i)=(f, g ; h, k) A(j)\left(\delta^{-1}, \xi ; 0, \delta\right)
$$

where $(f, g ; h, k) \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(R)$ and $\left(\delta^{-1}, \xi ; 0, \delta\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(Q)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{gather*}
f+\frac{g}{\pi^{j}}=\delta  \tag{4.1}\\
h+\frac{k}{\pi^{j}}=\frac{\delta}{\pi^{i}}  \tag{4.2}\\
\xi\left(f+\frac{g}{\pi^{j}}\right)+g \delta=0  \tag{4.3}\\
\xi\left(h+\frac{k}{\pi^{j}}\right)+k \delta=1 \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (4.1) and (4.3), we obtain that $\xi=-g$. So (4.4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{-1}=-\frac{g}{\pi^{i}}+k \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $\delta=\delta_{1} / \delta_{2}$, where $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)=1$. We claim $\pi^{j}$ divides $g$. To get a contradiction, we assume that $\pi^{j}$ does not divide $g$. We first show that $\pi$ divides $g$. Indeed, if not and since $\pi$ is prime in $R$, we obtain from (4.1) that $\pi$ divides $\delta_{2}$. Further, we obtain from (4.5) that $\pi$ divides $\delta_{1}$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $g=\pi^{\mu} g^{\prime}$, where $g^{\prime} \in R$ and $\left(\pi, g^{\prime}\right)=1$. Since $g$ is not divided by $\pi^{j}$ and $\pi$ divides $g$, we have $1 \leq \mu \leq j-1$. Now, either $\mu<i$ or $i \leq \mu \leq j-1$. Suppose $\mu<i$. Then, we obtain from (4.1) that $\pi$ divides $\delta_{2}$. Similarly, from (4.5), we have $\pi$ divides $\delta_{1}$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $i \leq \mu \leq j-1$. By (4.5), $\delta^{-1} \in R$ and so $\pi^{j}$ must divide $g$. Therefore, we obtain from (4.1) and (4.2) that $\delta \in R$ and $\pi^{j-i}$ must divide $k$. Thus, $\pi$ divides both $g$ and $k$. Since $(f, g ; h, k)$ is invertible over $R$ and the ideal $\langle\pi\rangle$ is properly contained in $R$, we get the required contradiction.

Let $m$ be a square free integer. If $n \neq 3$ then $\operatorname{IA}(G(n, m))$ is finitely generated (see, Introduction). So, we may assume that $n=3$. To prove that $\operatorname{IA}(G(3, m))$, with $m \geq 2$, is not finitely generated, it is enough to show that, for any prime $p, \operatorname{IA}(G(3, p))$ is not finitely generated. For a fixed prime $p$, we recall that $G:=F_{3}\left(\mathbf{W}_{p}\right)$ and $x_{i}:=f_{i}\left(\mathbf{W}_{p}\left(F_{3}\right)\right)$, $i=1,2,3$. Thus, $G$ is a free group of rank 3 in the variety $\mathbf{W}_{p}$ freely generated by $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$. We set $R:=\mathrm{Z}_{p}\left[s_{1}, s_{2}^{-1}\right], \pi:=s_{1}+1$ and $A(i):=\left(1,0 ; \frac{1}{\left(s_{1}+1\right)^{2}}, 1\right)$, with $i \geq 1$. By Lemma 2.2, we obtain that $R$ is a PID. Further, it is easily checked that $\pi$ is an irreducible element of $R$. By Lemma 4.1, the set $\{A(i), i \geq 1\}$ may be included as part of a set of double coset representatives of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(R\left[s_{2}\right], U\right)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}\right]\right)$, where $U=\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}\right]\right) \cap \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}\right]\right)^{S}$ and $S=\left(s_{2}, 0 ; 0,1\right)$. Hence also part of representatives of $\left(\mathcal{B} \cap \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(R\left[s_{2}\right]\right), U\right)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}\right]\right)$. We apply the Subgroup Theorem to $\mathcal{B}$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}, s_{2}^{-1}\right]\right)$. Let $\left\{D_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a set of double coset representatives for $\left(\mathcal{B}, \operatorname{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}\right]\right)\right)$ in $\operatorname{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}, s_{2}^{-1}\right]\right)$ and $\left\{D_{\beta}\right\}$ a set of double coset representatives for $\left(\mathcal{B}, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}\right]\right)^{S}\right)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}, s_{2}^{-1}\right]\right)$. Recall that the group $K$ generated by all $\mathcal{B} \cap\left(D_{\alpha} \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}\right]\right) D_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{B} \cap\left(D_{\beta} \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}\right]\right)^{S} D_{\beta}^{-1}\right)$ is the tree product of these groups. To show that $\mathcal{B}$ is not finitely generated, it is enough, by Proposition 2.1, to show that infinitely many of the $A(i)$ are not double coset representatives of $\left(\mathcal{B}, \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}\right]\right)^{S}\right)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}, s_{2}^{-1}\right]\right)$. To get a contradiction, we suppose that infinitely many of the $A(i)$ are double coset representatives. We assert that $K$ is not the tree product of only finitely many of the $\mathcal{B} \cap D_{\alpha} \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}\right]\right) D_{\alpha}^{-1}$ and the $\mathcal{B} \cap D_{\beta} \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}\right]\right)^{S} D_{\beta}^{-1}$. In particular, we claim that $\mathcal{B} \cap A(i) U A(i)^{-1}$ is a proper subgroup of $\mathcal{B} \cap A(i) \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(Q\left[s_{2}\right]\right)^{S} A(i)^{-1}$ for all $i$. To see this, let $\Lambda=\left(1, \pi^{2 i} \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2} s_{2}^{-1} ; 0,1\right)$. Then $A(i) \Lambda A(i)^{-1}=\left(1-\pi^{i} s_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}, \pi^{2 i} \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2} s_{2}^{-1} ;-s_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}, 1+\pi^{i} s_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}\right)$. It is easily seen that both $\Lambda$ and $A(i) \Lambda A(i)^{-1}$ belong to $\mathcal{B}$, also $A(i) \Lambda A(i)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B} \cap A(i) \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(R\left[s_{2}\right]\right)^{S} A(i)^{-1}$, but $A(i) \Lambda A(i)^{-1}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{B} \cap A(i) U A(i)^{-1}$, which is the required contradiction.

To complete the proof of Theorem (ii), we need some further notation. Recall that $G(n, m)$ is a free group of rank $n$ in the variety $\mathbf{W}_{m}$, with $n, m \geq 2$. For the next few
lines, we set $H:=G(n, m)$ and $y_{i}:=f_{i}\left(\mathbf{W}_{m}\left(F_{n}\right)\right), i=1, \ldots, m$. Thus, the set $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right\}$ freely generates $H$. Let $m=p_{1} \cdots p_{r}$, with $r \geq 2$, where $p_{i}$ are distinct prime integers. Since $\mathrm{Z}_{m}$ is equal to the direct product $I_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus I_{r}$, where $I_{i}$ is an ideal of $\mathrm{Z}_{m}$ which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Z}_{p_{i}}$ as rings, there exists a (necessarily unique) complete set $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right\}$ of pairwise orthogonal idempotents in $\mathrm{Z}_{m}$ such that $I_{i}=e_{i} \mathrm{Z}_{m}, i=1, \ldots, r$. Note that $H^{\prime}$ may be regarded as a right $\mathrm{Z}_{m}\left(H / H^{\prime}\right)$ - module, where the action of $H / H^{\prime}$ comes from conjugation in $H$. For $u \in H^{\prime}$ and $d \in \mathrm{Z}_{m}(H / H)^{\prime}$ we write $u^{d}$ for the image of $u$ under the module action by $d$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $n \geq 2$ and, in the notation described above, let $\lambda$ be the endomorphism of $H$ satisfying $\lambda\left(y_{1}\right)=y_{1}\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]^{-e_{1} s_{1}}, \lambda\left(y_{2}\right)=y_{2}\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]^{\left(1-e_{1}\right) s_{2}}$, and $\lambda\left(y_{i}\right)=y_{i}, i \geq 3$. Then $\lambda$ is a non-tame automorphism of $H$.

Proof. Let $\mu$ be the endomorphism of $H$ satisfying $\mu\left(y_{1}\right)=y_{1}\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]^{e_{1} s_{1} s_{2}}, \mu\left(y_{2}\right)=$ $y_{2}\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]^{-\left(1-e_{1}\right) s_{1} s_{2}}$, and $\mu\left(y_{i}\right)=y_{i}, i \geq 3$. It is easily checked that $\mu \lambda=\lambda \mu=1$, where 1 denotes the identity mapping on $H$. Hence, $\lambda$ is an automorphism of $H$. By Corollary 3.2 of [4], $\lambda$ may be represented by an $n \times n$ matrix over $Z_{m}\left(F_{n} / F_{n}^{\prime}\right)$, say $\lambda^{*}$. It is easy to see that the determinant of $\lambda^{*}$ is equal to $\left(1-e_{1}\right) s_{1}+e_{1} s_{2}$. Now, suppose $\lambda$ is tame automorphism. Thus, there exists an automorphism $\psi$ of $F_{n}$ such that $\psi$ induces $\lambda$ on $H$. Since $H / H^{\prime} \cong F_{n} / F_{n}^{\prime}$, we may take $\psi \in \operatorname{IA}\left(F_{n}\right)$. Let $\phi$ be the induced IA-automorphism of $\psi$ on $F_{n}(\mathbf{W})$. By Theorem 1 of [1], $\phi$ corresponds to an $n \times n$ matrix $C(\phi)$ over $\mathrm{Z}\left(F_{n} / F_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $C(\phi)$ induces $\lambda^{*}$. Namely, $C(\phi)=\mathrm{I}_{n}+\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$, where $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ is the identity matrix, $\alpha_{11}=-e_{1}\left(1-s_{2}\right)+u_{11}, \alpha_{12}=\left(1-e_{1}\right)\left(1-s_{2}\right)+u_{12}, \alpha_{21}=e_{1}\left(1-s_{1}\right)+u_{21}$, $\alpha_{22}=\left(1-e_{1}\right)\left(1-s_{1}\right)+u_{22}$ and $\alpha_{i j}=u_{i j}$ otherwise. Each $u_{i j}$ is a polynomial in the variables $s_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, s_{n}^{ \pm 1}$ over $Z$ with coefficients multiples of $m$. But the determinant of $C(\phi)$ equals $\prod_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$, where $\alpha_{i} \in \mathrm{Z}$. Therefore, by working over $\mathrm{Z}_{m}$, we have $\prod_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}=\left(1-e_{1}\right) s_{1}+e_{1} s_{2}$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\lambda$ is a non-tame automorphism of $H$ for all $n \geq 2$.
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