J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 49 (1990), 175-195

BALANCED TOURNAMENT DESIGNS WITH ALMOST ORTHOGONAL RESOLUTIONS

E. R. LAMKEN and S. A. VANSTONE

(Received 29 April 1988; revised 4 August 1989)

Communicated by L. Caccetta

Abstract

A balanced tournament design, BTD(n), defined on a 2n-set V is an arrangement of the $\binom{2n}{2}$ distinct unordered pairs of the elements of V into an $n \times 2n - 1$ array such that (1) every element of V is contained in precisely one cell of each column, and (2) every element of V is contained in at most two cells of each row. In this paper, we investigate the existence of balanced tournament designs with a pair of almost orthogonal resolutions. These designs can be used to construct doubly resolvable (v, 3, 2)- *BIBD* s and, in our smallest applications, have been used to construct previously unknown doubly resolvable (v, 3, 2)- *BIBD* s.

1980 Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.) (1985 Revision): 05 B 30.

1. Introduction

A balanced tournament design, BTD(n), defined on a 2*n*-set V is an arrangement of the $\binom{2n}{2}$ distinct unordered pairs of the elements of V into an $n \times 2n - 1$ array such that

(1) every element of V is contained in precisely one cell of each column, and

(2) every element of V is contained in at most two cells of each row.

An example of a balanced tournament design, a BTD(3), is displayed in Figure 1.

The existence of BTD(n)s was established in [16]. (A simpler proof of this result appears in [7].)

^{© 1990} Australian Mathematical Society 0263-6115/90 \$A2.00 + 0.00

12	15	45	24	03
53	20	23	05	14
04	_ 3 4	01	13	2 5

FIGURE 1. BTD(3)

THEOREM 1.1. For *n* a positive integer, $n \neq 2$, there exists a BTD(n).

An element which is contained only once in row i of a BTD(n) is called a deficient element of row i. The two deficient elements of row i are referred to as the deficient pair of row i. It is easy to prove the following.

LEMMA 1.2. The deficient pairs of a BTD(n) defined on a 2n set V partition the elements of V into n pairs.

A resolution of a BTD(n) is a resolution of the (2n, 2, 1)-BIBD contained in its cells. Let B be a BTD(n). Let R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n be the rows of B and let $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{2n-1}$ be the columns of $B \cdot C = \{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{2n-1}\}$ C_{2n-1} is a resolution of B. A resolution $D = \{D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{2n-1}\}$ will be called almost orthogonal to C if

- (i) $C_{2n-1} = D_{2n-1}$, (ii) $|C_i \cap D_j| \le 1$ for $1 \le i, j \le 2n-2$, (iii) $|D_j \cap R_i| = 1$ for j = 1, 2, ..., 2n-1 and i = 1, 2, ..., n.

If D exists, we say that B has a pair of almost orthogonal resolution (AORs). The columns of the array form one resolution and the columns of the array in Figure 2 form an almost orthogonal resolution.

12	15	4 5	24	03
05	23	20	53	14
34	04	13	01	25

FIGURE 2. BTD(3)

Let B be a BTD(n) with AOR s. Suppose that the resolution class which is shared by the pair of almost orthogonal resolutions contains the deficient pairs of B. Then B is said to have Property C'. As an example, the BTD(3) in Figures 1 and 2 has Property C'.

In several previous papers, we have described new constructions for balanced tournament designs, factored balanced tournament designs (FBTDs), and partitioned balanced tournament designs (PBTDs) [7-10]. The original study of BTD(n)s [16] was motivated by their tournament scheduling applications. The designs have become of interest more recently because of their relationships to several other types of combinatorial designs, such as Room squares and Howell designs. In this paper, we investigate the existence of balanced tournament designs with a pair of almost orthogonal resolutions. In [11], we describe an application of these designs to constructing doubly resolvable (v, 3, 2)-BIBDs. Examples of these constructions can be found in [6]. In the smallest cases, we have been able to use balanced tournament designs with AORs to construct previously unknown DR(v, 3, 2)-BIBDs. Thus, determining the spectrum of balanced tournament designs with AORs would provide new DR(v, 3, 2)-BIBDs.

In Section 2, we describe direct constructions and constructions which use Howell designs of side 2n and order 2n + 2, H(2n, 2n + 2), for balanced tournament designs with AOR s. There are two basic frame constructions for balanced tournament designs with AOR s; these use complementary frames with Property T and frames with Property T2. We define the frames and describe the constructions in Section 3. Existence results for complementary frames with property T and frames with Property T2 are provided in Section 4. Finally, we apply our constructions in the last section to prove the existence of several infinite classes of balanced tournament designs with AOR s.

2. Direct constructions and Howell design constructions

In this section, we use Howell designs of side 2n and order 2n + 2, H(2n, 2n + 2), to construct balanced tournament designs with AOR s.

Let V be a set of 2n elements. A Howell design of side s and order 2n, or more briefly an H(s, 2n), is an $s \times s$ array in which each cell is either empty or contains an unordered pair of elements from V such that

(1) each row and each column is Latin (that is, every element of V is in precisely one cell of each row and column), and

(2) every unordered pair of elements of V is in at most once cell of the array. It follows immediately from the definition of an H(s, 2n) that $n \le s \le 2n - 1$. The spectrum of Howell designs was determined by D. R. Stinson in [17].

THEOREM 2.1 [16]. There exists an H(s, 2n) if and only if (2n, s) satisfies $n \le s \le 2n - 1$ and $(s, 2n) \notin \{(3, 4), (2, 4), (6, 6), (5, 8)\}$.

Our first construction is a starter-adder construction for a BTD(n + 1). We will use a starter for an H(2n, 2n + 2). For definitions and results on starters and adders for H(2n, 2n + 2), we refer to [1]. THEOREM 2.2. Let $S = \{\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}, \dots, \{x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\}, \{\alpha, z_1\}, \{\infty, z_2\}\}$ be a starter for an H(2n, 2n + 2) defined on $Z_{2n} \cup \{\alpha, \infty\}$. Let $S' = S - \{x_i, y_i\}$ for some $i, 1 \le i \le n - 1$. Suppose there is an adder $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ of n distinct elements of Z_{2n} such that (i) $|a_k - a_i| \ne n$ for $1 \le i, j \le n$, and

(ii) $(S' + A) \cup (S' + (A + n))$ contains every element of $Z_{2n} \cup \{\alpha, \infty\}$ at most twice.

Then there is a BTD(n+1).

PROOF. Let $S' = (B_1, B_2, ..., B_n)$ and let $A = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$. The pair which has been removed from S is $\{x_i, y_i\}$ and the pairs which do not occur in the H(2n, 2n+2) are $\{\alpha, \infty\}$, $\{0, n\}$, $\{1, n+1\}$, ..., $\{n-1, 2n-1\}$. Let $(S' + A) \cup (S' + (A + n)) = 2(Z_{2n} \cup \{\alpha, \infty\}) - (\{u_1, v_1\} \cup \{u_2, v_2\}))$ where $|u_i - v_i| = n$ for i = 1, 2.

We construct an $n+1 \times 2n+1$ array *B* defined on $Z_{2n} \cup \{\alpha, \infty\}$ as follows. Label the rows of *B* 0, 1, 2, ..., *n* and the columns of *B* 0, 1, 2, ..., 2*n*. For i = 1, 2, ..., n and j = 0, 1, 2, ..., n-1, place $B_i + a_i + j$ in cell $(j, a_i + j)$ and place $B_i + a_i + n + j$ in cell $(j, a_i + n + j)$. (The second argument is taken modulo 2n.) In row *n* and column *j* place $\{x_i + j, y_i + j\}$ for j = 0, 1, ..., 2n - 1. In row *j* and column 2n place $\{u_1 + j, v_1 + j\}$ for j = 0, 1, ..., n - 1. Finally, in cell (n, 2n) place the pair $\{\alpha, \infty\}$.

The resulting array B is a BTD(n+1) defined on $Z_{2n} \cup \{\alpha, \infty\}$. The first column of B contains the pairs in S. Column j of B contains the pairs in S+j for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n-1$. Column 2n of B contains the pairs $\{\alpha, \infty\}$, $\{0, n\}, \{1, n+1\}, \ldots, \{n-1, 2n-1\}$. Thus, each column of B contains every element of $Z_{2n} \cup \{\alpha, \infty\}$ precisely once. The adder A is defined so that every element of $Z_{2n} \cup \{\alpha, \infty\}$ occurs at most twice in each row of B. The deficient pair for row j is $\{u_2 + j, v_2 + j\}$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $\{\alpha, \infty\}$ for row n. Since we have used a starter for an H(2n, 2n+2) and added the pairs which did not occur, every distinct pair in $Z_{2n} \cup \{\alpha, \infty\}$ occurs once in B. This verifies that B is a BTD(n+1).

This construction was modified in [7, 8] to produce factored BTDs (FBTDs) and partitioned BTDs (PBTDs). The following corollary describes how to use this starter-adder construction to produce balanced tournament designs with AORs.

COROLLARY 2.3. Let B be a BTD(n + 1) constructed using Theorem 2.2. Let $|x_i - y_i| = \Delta$ and let $T = (S' + A) \cup (S' + (A + n))$. Let $T' = \{T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n\}$ be a set of n pairs chosen from T such that every difference in Z_{2n} except n and Δ occurs once and such that the elements α and ∞ each occur once in T'. Suppose there is an adder $A' = (a'_1, a'_2, \ldots, a'_n)$ of n distinct elements of Z_{2n} such that

(i)
$$|a'_k - a'_j| \neq n \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n$$
,

(ii) $Z_{2n} \cup \{\alpha, \infty\} - (T' + A') = \{r, t\}$ where $|r - t| = \Delta$, (iii) $(S' + c) \cap (T' + A') = \emptyset$ where $\{x_i + c, y_i + c\} = \{r, t\}$. (T' + A')and S' + c are sets of pairs here.)

Then B has a pair of almost orthogonal resolutions.

PROOF. The columns $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{2n+1}$ form one resolution for the BTD(n + 1). The adder A' is defined so that an almost orthogonal resolution contains C_{2n+1} and the resolution classes R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_{2n} where

$$R_i = (T' + A' + (i-1)) \cup \{r + i - 1, t + i - 1\}, \qquad 1 \le i \le 2n.$$

The smallest non-trivial BTD with AORs is displayed in Figures 1 and 2. This design could also be described using starters and adders. Since there do not exist starters and adders for H(6, 8) and H(8, 10) [5], we illustrate this theorem for the next case, using a starter-adder pair for an H(10, 12).

LEMMA 2.4. There exists a BTD(6) with AOR s.

PROOF. We list the starters and adders below. The array is displayed in Figure 3.

$$\overline{0\ 3\ 5\ 9\ 1\ 2\ \alpha\ 4\ \infty\ 7} \quad \{r,\,t\} = \{6,\,8\}$$

The next construction in this section uses the equivalence between BTD s with AORs and H(2n, 2n+2)s with Property T2.

Let H be an H(2n, 2n+2) defined on a set $V = \{x_i, y_i | 1 \le i \le n+$ 1}. Suppose the pairs which do not occur in H are $\{x_i, y_i\}$ for $1 \le i \le i$ n + 1; and let M denote this set of pairs. We say H has Property T2 if we can partition the nonempty cells of H into n+1 transversals of 2ncells each, $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_{n+1}$, such that (1) every element in V occurs at most twice in the pairs in T_i , $1 \le i \le n+1$, and (2) the four elements $u_{i1}, u_{i2}, v_{i1}, v_{i2}$ which occur at most once each in T_i can be used to form

two pairs $\{u_{i1}, v_{i1}\}$ and $\{u_{i2}, v_{i2}\}$ where $\{\{u_{i1}, v_{i1}\}|i=1, 2, ..., n+1\} = M$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \{u_{i2}, v_{i2}\} = V$.

69	α 8	26	45	∞ 2	14	α3	71	90	∞ 7	05
<u>∞ 8</u>	70	α9	37	56	∞ 3	25	α4	82	01	16
12	<u>∞ 9</u>	81	α0	48	67	∞ 4	36	α 5	93	27
04	23	∞ 0	92	α1	59	78	∞ 5	47	α6	38
α 7	15	34	∞ 1	03	α2	60	89	<u>∞ 6</u>	58	49
35	46	57	68	79	80	91	02	13	24	$\alpha \infty$

FIGURE 3. BTD(6) with AORs

THEOREM 2.5. There exists a BTD(n+1) with a pair of almost orthogonal resolutions if and only if there is an H(2n, 2n+2) with Property T2.

PROOF. Let B be a BTD(n + 1) with AORs defined on a set V. The columns $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{2n+1}$ form one resolution of the design. Suppose the almost orthogonal resolution has resolution classes $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{2n+1}$ where $B_{2n+1} = C_{2n+1}$. Let $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_{n+1}$ be the rows of B.

We construct an H(2n, 2n + 2), H from B by using the pair of almost orthogonal resolutions. Index the rows of H by the resolution classes B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{2n} and the columns by C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{2n} . If $B_i \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$, place $B_i \cap C_j$ in cell (B_i, C_j) . The pairs in R_i with the pair in C_{2n+1} deleted will form a transversal T_i of H. Every element in V will occur at most twice and the pair in C_{2n+1} will not occur in H. Thus, the rows of B correspond to a set of n+1 transversals of H and H has Property T2.

Conversely, if *H* has Property T2, we use the transversals to form n + 1 rows of an array *B*. Use the columns of *H* to form the columns of the array *B* and add a last column with the pairs $\{u_{i1}, v_{i1}\}$ for $1 \le i \le n+1$. The rows of *H* will form an almost orthogonal resolution (the last column will be the same in each resolution). The deficient pairs will be $\{u_{i2}, v_{i2}\}$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n+1.

We use Theorem 2.5 to provide results for the next two smallest cases, BTD(n) for n = 4 and 5.

LEMMA 2.6. There does not exist a BTD(4) with a pair of almost orthogonal resolutions.

PROOF. There exist three non-isomorphic Howell designs H(6, 8) [15]. We show that none of these designs has Property T2.

181

(i) Let

[7]

	٢13	68		24		ך 57	
	67	14		58		23	
	48		15	37	26		
$H_1 =$	25		47	16	38		
	1	35	28		17	46	
	L	27	36		45	18	

The pairs which do not occur in H_1 are $\{1, 2\}$, $\{3, 4\}$, $\{5, 6\}$, and $\{7, 8\}$. There are three possible transversals of H_1 which contain the pair $\{1, 3\}$. These are

13	35	58	26	47	18
13	27	58	46	15	38.
13	27	58	46	26	47

Since none of these transversals is missing a pair which does not occur in H_1 , H_1 cannot have Property T2.

(ii) Let

	٢13	57	68	24		٦	
$H_2 =$	67	14	23	58			
	48		15		36	27	
			47	16	28	35	ĺ
	25	38			17	46	
	L	26		37	45	18	

The pairs which do not occur in H_2 are $\{1, 2\}$, $\{3, 4\}$, $\{5, 6\}$ and $\{7, 8\}$. There are two possible transversals which contain $\{1, 3\}$. These are

If H_2 is to satisfy Property T2, then the first transversal must have $\{u_{11}, v_{11}\} = \{1, 2\}$ and the second must have $\{u_{11}, v_{11}\} = \{3, 4\}$. But, this forces $u_{12} = v_{12}$ in both cases. So H_2 cannot have Property T2.

(iii) Let

	٢13	68		24		ך 57	
и	67	14		58		23	
	48	27	15		36		
$n_{3} =$	[35	47	16	28		
	25		38		17	46	
	L		26	37	45	18	

There is no transversal of H_3 which contains the pair $\{6, 7\}$, and so H_3 cannot have Property T2.

LEMMA 2.7. There exists a BTD(5) with a pair of almost orthogonal resolutions.

PROOF. The BTD(5) in Figure 4 was constructed from the H(8, 10) in [12, Figure 1]. The columns of the arrays displayed in Figure 4 and Figure 5 form a pair of almost orthogonal resolutions for a BTD(5).

$\overline{2}\overline{3}$	∞ 1	∞ 2	01	α 3	3 2	0 1	α0	$\overline{0} \overline{3}$
13	αĪ	α 2	0 2	$\infty \overline{0}$	1 3	0 2	∞ 3	03
∞ 0	0 2	13	∞ 3	0 2	α 2	α 1	3 1	12
αŌ	23	10	α 3	2 3	∞ ī	$\infty \overline{2}$	10	<u>1</u> <u>2</u>
<u>ī</u> 2	30	<u>0</u> 3	21	11	0 0	3 3	2 2	α∞

FIGURE 4. A BTD(5) with AOR s

α0	23	$\overline{0} \overline{1}$	α 3	3 2	∞ 1	∞ 2	0 1	$\overline{0} \overline{3}$
$\infty \overline{0}$	0 2	13	$\infty \overline{3}$	<u>0</u> 2	α 2	α 1	1 3	03
ī <u>3</u>	α 1	α 2	0 2	∞ 0	3 1	0 2	∞ 3	12
23	∞ ī	$\infty \overline{2}$	0 1	α 3	2 3	1 0	α 0	$\overline{1} \overline{2}$
21	03	30	12	1 1	0 Ō	3 3	2 2	α ∞

FIGURE 5. A BTD(5) whose columns form an almost orthogonal resolution for the BTD(5) in Figure 4

3. Frame constructions

In this section, we describe frame constructions for balanced tournament designs with almost orthogonal resolutions. There are two basic constructions. The first one uses complementary frames with Property T and sets of orthogonal partitioned incomplete Latin squares to construct balanced tournament designs with AOR s. The second uses frames with Property T2 to construct Howell designs with Property T2. In order to describe these constructions, we require several definitions.

Let V be a set of v elements. Let G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m be a partition of V into m sets. A $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m\}$ -frame F with block size k, index λ , and latinicity μ is a square array of side v which satisfies the properties listed below. We index the rows and columns of F by the elements of V.

(1) Each cell is either empty or contains a k-subset of V.

(2) Let F_i be the subsquare of F indexed by the elements of G_i . F_i is empty for i = 1, 2, ..., m. (The subsquares of F_i are often referred to as the holes of F.)

(3) Let $j \in G_i$. Row j of F contains each element of $V - G_i \mu$ times and column j of F contains each element of $V - G_i \mu$ times.

(4) The collection of blocks obtained from the nonempty cells of F is a $GDD(v; k; G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m; 0, \lambda)$. (See [21] for the notation for group divisible designs (GDD s).)

If there is a $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m\}$ -frame H with block size k, index λ , and latinicity μ such that

(1) $H_i = F_i$ for i = 1, 2, ..., m, and

(2) *H* can be written in the empty cells of $F - \bigcup_{m=1}^{i=1} F_{i}$,

then *H* is called a complement of *F* and denoted by \overline{F} . If a complement of *F* exists, we call *F* a complementary $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m\}$ -frame. A complementary $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m\}$ -frame *F* is said to be skew if at most one of the cells (i, j) and (j, i) $(i \neq j)$ is nonempty.

We will use the following notation for frames. If $|G_i| = h$ for i = 1, 2, ..., m, we call F a $(\mu, \lambda; k, m, h)$ -frame. The type of a $\{G_1, G_2, ..., G_m\}$ -frame is the multiset $\{|G_1|, |G_2|, ..., |G_m|\}$. We will say that a frame has type $t_1^{u_1}t_2^{u_2}\cdots t_k^{u_k}$ if there are u_i G_j 's of cardinality t_i , $1 \le i \le k$. A frame of type t^n is called uniform, since all the holes have the same size. In this paper, we will restrict our attention to frames with $\mu = \lambda = 1$ and k = 2. For notational convenience, if $\mu = \lambda = 1$ and k = 2, we will denote a frame simply by its type or partitioning $(\{G_1, G_2, ..., G_m\})$.

Let $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} V_i$ and let $W = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} W_i$ where $|V_i| = |W_i|$ for i = 1, 2, ..., m. Let F be a complementary $\{V_1, V_2, ..., V_m\}$ -frame defined on V. Let \overline{F} be a complement of F defined on W so that \overline{F} is a $\{W_1, W_2, ..., W_m\}$ -frame. A will denote the array of pairs formed by the superposition of F and \overline{F} , $A = F \circ \overline{F}$. We say that the complementary frame F has Property T if there exists a set of $\sum_{i=1}^{m} |V_i|$ transversals, $T = \{T_1^i, T_2^i, ..., T_{|V_i|}^i | i = 1, 2, ..., m\}$, of the array A such that

(i) $T_k^i \cap T_i^j = \emptyset$ for $1 \le k \le |V_i|$, $1 \le l \le |V_j|$, $1 \le i, j \le m$ excluding the case k = l and i = j,

(ii) Every element of $(V - V_i) \cup (W - W_i)$ occurs precisely once in T_j^i for $j = 1, 2, ..., |V_i|, (i = 1, 2, ..., m),$

(iii) T'_i contains $|V_i|$ empty cells from the hole F_i .

We call T a set of skew transversals if each transversal T_j^i has the property that if cell (x, y) is in the transversal then so is cell (y, x). An example of a skew 1^7 frame is displayed in Figure 6. This frame has a set of 7 skew transversals. The pairs contained in these transversals are listed in Table 1. The elements of the complement are not shown.

183

Let $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} V_i$ where $|V_i| = 2t_i$ (t_i is a positive integer) for i =1, 2, ..., m. Suppose F is a $\{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_m\}$ -frame defined on V. We say F has Property T2 if there exists a set of $\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i$ transversals, $\{T_1^i, T_2^i\}$..., $T_{t_i}^i | i = 1, 2, ..., m$, of F such that (i) $T_k^i \cap T_l^i = \emptyset$ for $1 \le i, j \le m, 1 \le k \le t_i, 1 \le l \le t_j$ excluding the

case i = j and k = l,

(ii) every element of $V - V_i$ occurs precisely twice in T_i^i for j = 1, 2, ..., $t_i \ (i=1, 2, \ldots, m),$

(iii) T_i^i contains $2t_i$ empty cells from the hole F_i .

	2 6	4 5		13		
		30	56		2 4	
			41	60		35
42				5 2	01	
	50				63	1 2
23		61				04
15	34		0 2			

FIGURE 6. A skew 1⁷ frame

T_1	34	16	2 5
T_2	4 5	2 0	36
T_3	56	31	4 0
T_4	60	4 2	51
T_5	01	53	62
T_6	12	64	03
T_7	23	05	14

TABLE 1. A set of skew transversals for Figure 6

An example of a 2^5 frame with Property T2 is displayed in Figure 7. The five transversals are listed by their pairs in the frame in Table 2.

In the next section, we discuss existence results for complementary frames with Property T and frames with Property T2.

We will also use sets of orthogonal partitioned incomplete Latin squares (OPIL s) in the first construction. For completeness, we include the necessary definitions. We refer to [3, 19, 20] for the existence results that we will use and for further information on OPILs.

Let $P = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m\}$ be a partition of a set $S \ (m \ge 2)$. A partitioned incomplete Latin square, having partition P, is an $|S| \times |S|$ array L,

		21			4 0	1 0			30
		41			31	2 0			11
			2 0	4 1	1		11	31	
			40	30			21	10	
	40			31			0.0	2 0	
	21			01			41	30	
41					30	0 1			2 1
20					0 0	40			31
30			0.0			4 1			10
40			31		Í	11		1	01
	31	01					40	11	
	41	30					10	00	1
	20	40			10			01	
	11	00			41			2 1	
21			4 1	11					0.0
10			01	40					2 0
11			30	00			2 0		
31			21	10			01		
	10	31		1	01	2 1			
	30	20			11	00]	

FIGURE 7. A 2^5 frame with Property T2

TABLE 2. Transversals for Property T2 of the 2⁵ frame in Figure 7

$T_{1}^{1}:$	31,20	30,21	11,40	10,41	20,30	21,31	41,11	40,10
T_1^2 :	41,30	40,31	21,00	20,01	30,40	31,41	01,21	00,20
T_1^3 :	01,40	00,41	31,10	30,11	40,00	41,01	11,31	10,30
T_1^{4} :	11,00	10,01	41,20	40,21	00,10	01,11	21,41	20,40
T1 ⁵ :	21,10	20,11	01,30	00,31	10,20	11,21	31,01	30,00

indexed by the elements of S, satisfying the following properties.

(1) A cell of L either contains an element of S or is empty.

(2) The subarrays indexed by $S_i \times S_i$ are empty for $1 \le i \le m$. (3) Let $j \in S_i$. Row j of L contains each element of $S - S_i$ precisely once and column j of L contains each element of $S - S_i$ precisely once.

The type of L is the multiset $\{|S_1|, |S_2|, \dots, |S_m|\}$. If there are $u_i S_i$ s of cardinality t_i , $1 \le i \le k$, we say L has type $t_1^{u_1} t_2^{u_2} \cdots t_k^{u_k}$.

Suppose L and M are a pair of partitioned incomplete Latin squares with partition P. L and M are called orthogonal if the array formed by the superposition of L and M, $L \circ M$, contains every ordered pair in $S \times S - \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} (S_i \times S_i)$ precisely once. A set of *n* partitioned incomplete Latin squares with partition P is called a set of n mutually orthogonal partitioned incomplete Latin squares of type $\{|S_1|, |S_2|, \dots, |S_m|\}$ if each pair of squares is orthogonal.

We are now in a position to describe our first frame construction for balanced tournament designs with AOR s. This construction is a modification of the frame constructions that we used in [8, 9] for partitioned balanced tournament designs. We state it in the most general form.

THEOREM 3.1. If there exists a complementary $\{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_m\}$ -frame with property T, a set of three mutually orthogonal partitioned incomplete Latin squares of type $\{|V_1|, |V_2|, \ldots, |V_m|\}$ and side $\sum_{i=1}^{m} |V_i|$, and $BTD(|V_i|$ + 1) with AORs for $1 \le i \le m$, then there is a $BTD(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |V_i| + 1)$ with AOR s.

PROOF. Let $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} V_i$ and let $W = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} W_i$ where $|V_i| = |W_i|$ for i = 1, 2, ..., m.

Let F be a complementary $\{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_m\}$ -frame with Property T. Let \overline{F} be a complement of F defined on W so that \overline{F} is a $\{W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_m\}$ -frame. A will denote the array formed by superimposing F and \overline{F} , $A = F \circ \overline{F}$.

Let L_1 and L_2 be a pair of orthogonal partitioned incomplete Latin squares of type $\{|V_1|, |V_2|, \ldots, |V_m|\}$ where L_1 has partition $\{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_m\}$ and L_2 has partition $\{W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_m\}$. L will be the array of pairs formed by superimposing L_1 and L_2 , $L = L_1 \circ L_2$.

Let B_i be a $BTD(|V_i| + 1)$ defined on $V_i \cup \overline{W}_i \cup \{\alpha, \infty\}$. B_i can be written in the following form.

D_	A _i	D _i	C_i			
$\boldsymbol{D}_i =$	R_{i1}	R_{i2}	$\{\alpha,\infty\}$			

where C_i is the common resolution class for the pair of almost orthogonal resolutions and A_i and D_i are $|V_i| \times |V_i|$ arrays.

We construct a BTD on $V \cup W \cup \{\alpha, \infty\}$ as follows. Place the array A_i in the hole of A indexed by V_i and W_i and place the array D_i in the hole of L indexed by V_i and W_i . Let A' and D' denote the resulting arrays. Add a new row $[R_{11}R_{21}\cdots R_{m1}R_{21}R_{22}\cdots R_{m2}]$ to the array [A'L']. Finally, add a new column $[C_1C_2\cdots C_m\{\alpha,\infty\}]^T$ to this array. It is straightforward to verify that the resulting array B is a $BTD(\sum_{i=1}^m |V_i| + 1)$ defined on $V \cup W \cup \{\alpha, \infty\}$.

One resolution of B is given by the columns of B. To construct an almost orthogonal resolution, we use the transversals of A (Property T) and the transversals of L provided by the third orthogonal partitioned incomplete

Latin square together with the almost orthogonal resolutions of the B_i s. The common resolution class will be the last column of B.

Our second frame construction for balanced tournament designs with *AOR*s is a modification of the standard frame construction for Howell designs. This construction produces H(2n, 2n + 2)s with Property T2.

THEOREM 3.2. If there exists a $\{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_m\}$ -frame with Property T2 where $|V_i| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, and if there exist $H(|V_i|, |V_i| + 2)$ with Property T2 for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, then there is an $H(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |V_i|, \sum_{i=1}^{m} |V_i| + 2)$ with Property T2.

PROOF. Let $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} V_i$ and $|V_i| = 2t_i$ for i = 1, 2, ..., m. Let F be a $\{V_1, V_2, ..., V_m\}$ -frame with Property T2. Suppose the transversals associated with F_i are $T_1^i, T_2^i, ..., T_{t_i}^i$.

Let H_i denote an $H(|V_i|, |V_i| + 2)^i$ with Property T2 defined on $V_i \cup \{\alpha, \infty\}$ where $\{\alpha, \infty\}$ does not occur in H_i . The transversals of H_i provided by Property T2 are $H_1^i, H_2^i, \ldots, H_{t_i}^i, H_*^i$ where H_*^i contains every element of V_i twice.

To construct an $H(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |V_i|, \sum_{i=1}^{m} |V_i| + 2)$ defined on $V \cup \{\alpha, \infty\}$ and denoted by H, we place the array H_i in the hole F_i of F. We associate t_i transversals of H with this hole; they are $\tau_{\sum_{i=1}^{i-1} t_i + j} = H_j^i \cup T_j^i$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t_i$. This provides $\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i$ transversals. The last transversal $\tau_{\sum t_i+1}$ required for Property T2 is $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} H_i^i$. Each of these $\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i + 1$ transversals contains every element of $V \cup \{\alpha, \infty\}$ at most twice. Suppose the elements which do not occur twice in τ_i are $u_{i1}, v_{i1}, u_{i2}, v_{i2}$. Then, since each of the H_i s has Property T2, the pairs $\{u_{i1}, v_{i1}\}$ do not occur in H and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\sum t_j+1} \{u_{i2}, v_{i2}\} = V \cup \{\alpha, \infty\}$. This verifies that H has Property T2.

In Section 5, we use the existence results for frames from the next section together with Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 to construct several infinite classes of balanced tournament designs with AOR s.

4. Complementary frames with Property T and frames with Property T2

In the previous section, we described constructions for balanced tournament designs with AOR s which require the existence of complementary frames with Property T and frames with Property T2. Thus, to construct BTD(n)s with AOR s we will construct frames and complementary frames with the appropriate properties. We can use 3-dimensional frames to construct some of these.

An *n*-dimensional $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m\}$ -frame is an *n*-dimensional cube of side $\sum_{i=1}^m |G_i|$ such that each 2-dimensional projection is a $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m\}$ -frame.

An easy way to construct a complementary frame with Property T is to construct a skew frame with a set of skew transversals. This is equivalent to constructing a 3-dimensional frame where the 2-dimensional projections are complementary frames with some additional structure [13]. Similarly, we can use 3-dimensional frames to construct frames with Property T2. Let F be a $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m\}$ -frame where $|G_i| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. We say F is row complementary if at most one of the cells (i, j) and (i+1, j) is nonempty for $i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $0 \le i \le \sum_{i=1}^m |G_i| - 1$ (that is, we could construct a complement for F by interchanging pairs of rows). $R_i(F)$ will denote the collection of pairs in the *i*th row of a row complementary frame F. Figure 8 contains an example of a row complementary frame of type 2^5 .

LEMMA 4.1. If there exists a 3-dimensional $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m\}$ -frame with at least two row complementary $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m\}$ -frames, F_1 and F_2 , as 2-dimensional projections where $R_i(F_1) = R_i(F_2)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^m |G_i|$, then there is a $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m\}$ -frame with Property T2.

PROOF. Let F be a 3-dimensional $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m\}$ -frame where $|G_i| = 2t_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Suppose F_1 and F_2 are two row complementary $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m\}$ -frames which are 2-dimensional projections of F. Suppose F_3 is the remaining 2-dimensional projection of F. We show that F_3 is a $\{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m\}$ -frame with Property T2. Let R_i denote the collection of pairs in row i of F_1 (and F_2). Then $R_i \cup R_{i+1}$ for $i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $0 \le i \le \sum_{i=-1}^m |G_i| - 1$ is a transversal of F_3 with the required property. For example, if $i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $0 \le i \le 2t_1$, then $R_i \cup R_{i+1}$ contains every element of $\sum_{i=1}^m G_i$ precisely twice.

The equivalence of the types of frames that we are interested in to 3dimensional frames with special properties allows us to use *PBD*-closure to construct infinite classes of these frames. Definitions and results on *PBD*closure can be found in [2]. Let t be a positive integer. We define $S_t = \{u|$ there exists a skew t^u frame with a set of tn skew transversals}, and we define $RC_t = \{u|$ there exists a 3-dimensional t^u frame with at least two row complementary t^u frames F_1 and F_2 as 2-dimensional projections and $R_i(F_1) = R_i(F_2)$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., tu\}$.

LEMMA 4.2. Let t be a positive integer. S_t and RC_t are PBD-closed.

						1.0	11	3.0	31
:								50	
						41	40	21	20
		2 0	2 1	4 1	4 0				
		30	31	11	10				
40	4 1							20	2 1
31	30							01	00
				30	31	01	0.0		
				40	41	21	29		
30	31	0 0	01						
11	10	4 1	4 0						
						4 0	4 1	11	10
						0 0	01	3 1	30
		4 0	4 1	10	11				
		21	2 0	01	00				
21	20							0 0	0 1
41	40							10	11
				00	01	20	2 1		
				31	30	11	10		
10	11	31	30						
20	21	01	00						

FIGURE 8. A row complementary frame of type 2⁵

PROOF. This follows immediately from the *PBD*-closure of skew frames, row complementary frames, and 3-dimensional frames [2, 18].

Before we describe constructions and existence results for complementary frames with Property T and frames with Property T2, we note that some of the product constructions for frames can be generalized for these types of frames. Since these are standard constructions, we omit the proofs.

THEOREM 4.3. If there exists a complementary $t_1^{u_1}t_2^{u_2}\cdots t_k^{u_k}$ frame with Property T (or a $t_1^{u_1}\cdots t_k^{u_k}$ frame with Property T2), then there exists a complementary $(t_1x)^{u_1}\cdots (t_kx)^{u_k}$ frame with Property T (or a $(t_1x)^{u_1}\cdots (t_kx)^{u_k}$ frame with Property T2) for x a positive integer and $x \neq 2$, 6 or 10.

The next two product constructions are direct generalizations of [18, Theorems 3.5 and 3.6].

THEOREM 4.4. Let s = u(v - 1) + 1, and let t be a rational number such that kt and (v - 1)/t are both integers and $(v - 1)/t \neq 2$, 6 or 10. If there exist complementary frames with Property T (or frames with Property T2) of type $(kt)^{u}$ and k^{v} , then there exists a complementary frame with Property T (or a frame with Property T2) of type k^{s} .

THEOREM 4.5. Let s = uv, and let t be a rational number such that kt and v/t are both integers and $v/t \neq 2$, 6 or 10. If there exist complementary

frames with Property T (or frames with Property T2) of type $(kt)^{u}$ and k^{v} , then there is a complementary frame with Property T (or a frame with Property T2) of type k^{s} .

In [13], we investigated the existence of skew frames with skew transversals. The following theorem summarizes these results. We note that the result for $u \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ in [13] can be improved. We write 57 = 7(9-1)+1 and 93 = 23(5-1)+1 and apply Theorem 4.4 with t = 1/2 to construct skew frames of type 2^{57} and 2^{93} with skew transversals.

THEOREM 4.6 [13]. (1) Let *n* be an odd prime power, $n \ge 7$, $n \ne 9$. There exists a skew 1^n frame with a set of *n* skew transversals. (2) Let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $n \ge 7$, and $n \ne 3m$ where $m \ge 5$ and $(m, 3) \le 1$. There exists a skew 1^n frame with a set of *n* skew transversals. (3) Let $u \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $u \ne 33$ or 133. There exists a skew frame of type $(2^n)^u$ with a set of $2^n u$ skew transversals for *n* a positive integer.

We now consider the existence of frames with Property T2. The starteradder construction which was used in [13] to produce skew frames of type $(2^n)^q$ with sets of skew transversals where q is a prime power and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ can also be used to construct frames of type $(2^n)^q$ with Property T2. This is done by pairing the starters and adders for i and i + 1 ($i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$). This construction also produces 3-dimensional frames with two row-complementary frames as 2-dimensional projections with the appropriate rows. We state this result in terms of 3-dimensional frames, since we will use it in a *PBD*-construction.

THEOREM 4.7. Let *n* be a positive integer, and let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ be a prime power. There exists a 3-dimensional frame of type $(2^n)^q$ with two row-complementary frames, F_1 and F_2 , as 2-dimensional projections where $R_i(F_1) = R_i(F_2)$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., 2^n q$.

Using PBD-closure as in [13, 18] and Theorem 4.4, we also have the following.

THEOREM 4.8. Let *n* be a positive integer. If $u \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $u \neq 33$ or 133, then there exists a 3-dimensional frame of type $(2^n)^u$ with two row-complementary frames, F_1 and F_2 , as 2-dimensional projections with $R_i(F_1) = R_i(F_2)$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., 2^n u$.

The next construction uses complementary frames with Property T to construct frames with Property T2. **THEOREM 4.9.** If there exists a complementary frame of type t^{u} with Property T and a set of three mutually orthogonal partitioned incomplete Latin squares of type t^{u} , then there is a frame of type $(2t)^{u}$ with Property T2. Furthermore, the frame of type $(2t)^{u}$ with Property T2 is equivalent to a 3-dimensional $(2t)^{u}$ frame with two row complementary $(2t)^{u}$ frames, F_{1} and F_{2} , as 2-dimensional projections where $R_{i}(F_{1}) = R_{i}(F_{2})$ for $1 \le i \le 2tu$.

PROOF. Let $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{u} V_i$ and let $W = \bigcup_{i=1}^{u} W_i$. Let F be a complementary $\{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_u\}$ -frame of type t^u with Property T. \overline{F} will be a complement of F defined on W so that \overline{F} is a $\{W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_u\}$ -frame of type t^u . A will denote the array of pairs formed by the superposition of F and \overline{F} , $A = F \circ \overline{F}$. Property T provides a set τ of tu transversals of A, $\tau = \{T_i^i | i = 1, 2, \ldots, u, j = 1, 2, \ldots, t\}$.

Let L_1 and L_2 be a pair of OPIL s of type t^u where L_1 has partition $\{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_u\}$ and L_2 has partition $\{W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_u\}$. L will denote the array of pairs formed by the superposition of L_1 and L_2 , $L = L_1 \circ L_2$. We use the third OPIL of type t^u to determine a set S of tu transversals of L, $S = \{S_j^i | i = 1, 2, \ldots, u, j = 1, 2, \ldots, t\}$ where S_j^i contains t cells from the *i* th hole.

The following array B is a frame of type $(2t)^u$ defined on $V \cup W$. $B = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & L \end{bmatrix}$ where 0 is a $tu \times tu$ empty array. The transversals for Property T2 are $T_i^i \cup S_i^i$ for i = 1, 2, ..., u and j = 1, 2, ..., t.

Let D_i denote the collection of pairs in row *i* of *B* and let C_i denote the collection of pairs in column *i* of *B*. let k = 0, 1, ..., u-1 and let j = 1, 3, ..., 2t - 1. Define $R_{2kt+j} = T_{(j+1)/2}^{k+1}$ and $R_{2kt+j+1} = S_{(j+1)/2}^{k+1}$. We construct a row complementary $(2t)^u$ frame as follows. Index of rows

We construct a row complementary $(2t)^u$ frame as follows. Index of rows of a 2tu square array with $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_{2tu}$ and the columns of the array with $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_{2tu}$. In cell (i, j), place $R_i \cap D_j$. It is straightforward to verify that the resulting array F_1 is a row complementary $\{V_1 \cup W_1, \ldots, V_u \cup W_u\}$ -frame. Similarly, we can construct a row complementary $\{V_1 \cup W_1, \ldots, V_u \cup W_u\}$ -frame using R_1, \ldots, R_{2tu} and $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{2tu}$. It is clear that $R_i(F_1) = R_i(F_2)$. B (with a suitable permutation of the rows and columns), F_1 and F_2 are the three 2-dimensional projections of a 3-dimensional $\{V_1 \cup W_1, \ldots, V_u \cup W_u\}$ -frame of type $(2t)^u$.

COROLLARY 4.10. Let n be an odd prime power, $n \ge 7$, $n \ne 9$. There is a 3-dimensional 2^n frame with two row complementary 2^n frames, F_1 and F_2 , as 2-dimensional projections where $R_i(F_1) = R_i(F_2)$ for i = 1, 2, ..., 2n.

PROOF. We apply Theorem 4.9 using Theorem 4.6(1) and the existence of three *OPIL* s of type 1^n for n a prime power.

We will now use the *PBD*-closure of RC_t and a recent result of Mullin and Stinson [14] on pairwise balanced designs with odd block size to construct frames of type 2^n (for *n* odd) with Property T2. P_5 will denote the set of odd prime powers greater than or equal to 5 and we denote $B(P_5) =$ $\{v|$ there exists a (v, P_5) -*PBD* $\}$. Let $Q = \{15, 33, 39, 51, 75, 87, 93,$ $183, 219\}$

THEOREM 4.11 [14]. If $n \ge 5$ is odd and $n \notin Q$, then $n \in B(P_5)$.

The next result follows immediately from the *PBD*-closure of RC_2 , Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.11 together with Corollary 4.10, and Theorem 4.8.

THEOREM 4.12. If $n \ge 5$ is odd and $n \notin Q$, then there is a 2^n frame with Property T2.

5. Applications

In this section, we use the results of the previous sections to provide several infinite classes of balanced tournament designs with AOR s. We recall that we have constructed BTD(n) with AOR s for n = 3, 5 and 6 (Section 2). These are respectively H(4, 6), H(8, 10), and H(10, 12) with Property T2. We will use these small designs in our recursive constructions. We first consider applications of Theorem 3.1.

THEOREM 5.1. Let n be a positive integer, $n \neq 8$ or 33. There exists a BTD(m) with AORs for m = 8n + 3 and m = 16n + 5.

PROOF. (i) Let m = 8n + 3. We apply Theorem 3.1 using complementary frames of type 2^{4n+1} with Property T and three *OPIL*s of type 2^{4n+1} , as well as a *BTD*(3) with *AOR*s. The existence of complementary frames of type 2^{4n+1} with Property T is provided by Theorem 4.8. The existence of three *OPIL*s of type 2^{4n+1} is proved in [19].

(ii) Let m = 16m+5. We apply Theorem 3.1 using complementary frames of type 4^{4n+1} with Property T (Theorem 4.8), three *OPIL*s of type 4^{4n+1} and a *BTD*(5). The three *OPIL*s of type 4^{4n+1} can be constructed using the direct product for *OPIL*s.

Next, we apply Theorem 3.2, which constructs Howell designs with Property T2.

THEOREM 5.2. Let n be a positive integer, $n \ge 2$, and $2n+1 \notin Q$. There exists a BTD(m) with AORs for m = 8n+5 and m = 10n+6.

PROOF. (i) Let m = 8n + 5. We apply Theorem 3.2 using a frame of type 8^{2n+1} with Property T2 and an H(8, 10) with Property T2. The frame of type 8^{2n+1} with Property T2 can be constructed by using the frames of type 2^{2n+1} with Property T2 provided by Theorem 4.12 and Theorem 4.3 with x = 4.

(ii) Let m = 10n + 6. A frame of type 10^{2n+1} with Property T2 can be constructed using Theorem 4.12 and Theorem 4.3 with x = 5. Again we apply Theorem 3.2, using an H(10, 12) in the holes of the 10^{2n+1} frame.

We can also use Howell designs to construct two other classes of BTD(m)s with AORs, where $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

THEOREM 5.3. Let n be a positive integer. There exist BTD(m) with AORs for m = 20n + 1 and m = 20n + 5.

PROOF. It is well known that there exist (v, 5, 1)-*BIBD* s for $v \equiv 1$ and 5 (mod 20), $v \ge 5$ [4]. Let v = 20n + 1 or 20n + 5. By deleting one element from each of these designs, we can construct GDD(20n; 5; 4; 0, 1) and GDD(20n + 4; 5; 4; 0, 1) respectively. To each of these designs, we apply Wilson's Fundamental Construction [22], weighting by a factor of 2 and replacing each block with the 2^5 frame with Property T2 from Theorem 4.7, to construct frames with Property T2 of type 8^{5n} and 8^{5n+1} . Then we apply Theorem 3.2 using H(8, 10)s with Property T2.

We note that the BTD(n) that we constructed for n = 3, 5, and 6 have Property C'. Since the recursive constructions that we have used in this section preserve Property C', it is straightforward to verify that the designs produced by Theorems 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3 all have Property C'.

There are several other smaller classes of balanced tournament designs with AOR s which can be constructed by applying Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 using the designs described above and frames with larger holes (which can be found using the product constructions). However, in order to complete the spectrum of balanced tournament designs with AOR s using the frame constructions, we require the existence of several other frames with Property T2 or complementary frames with Property T and the appropriate set of OPIL s. For example, the existence of complementary frames of type 2^n with Property T for all $n \ge 5$ and a set of three OPIL s of type 2^n would allow us to construct all but a small number of BTD(m) where $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. The existence of Howell designs was determined using frame constructions [17]. These constructions used non-uniform frames. All of our existence results

in Section 4 are for uniform frames. Thus, we need to determine the existence of some non-uniform frames with Property T2, in order to use similar constructions to find the spectrum of H(2n, 2n + 2) with Property T2 (or BTD(n + 1)s with AOR s).

Note added in proof

A recent result by Lindner and Stinson can be used to generalize Theorem 5.3 to include almost all *m* congruent to 1 mod 4. In "Nesting of cycle systems of even length", *Graphs and Combinatorics* (submitted), they show that there is a $GDD(4u; \{5, 9, 13, 17, 29, 49\}; 4; 0, 1)$ for all $u \ge 5$ and $u \notin N = \{7, 8, 12, 14, 18, 19, 23, 23, 33, 34\}$. The construction of Theorem 5.3 then produces BTD(m) with AORs for all m = 4u + 1, $u \ge 5$ and $u \notin N$.

References

- [1] B. A. Anderson, 'Howell designs of type H(p-1, p+1)', J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 24 (1978), 131-140.
- [2] J. H. Dinitz and D. R. Stinson, 'The construction and uses of frames', Ars Combin. 10 (1980), 31-53.
- [3] J. H. Dinitz and D. R. Stinson, 'MOLS with holes', Discrete Math. 44 (1983), 145-154.
- [4] H. Hanani, 'On balanced incomplete block designs with blocks having 5 elements', J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 12 (1972), 184-201.
- [5] S. H.Y. Hung and N. S. Mendelsohn, 'On Howell designs', J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 16 (1974), 174–198.
- [6] E. R. Lamken, 'On classes of doubly resolvable (v, 3, 2)-BIBDs from balanced tournament designs', Ars Combin. 24 (1987), 85-91.
- [7] E. R. Lamken and S. A. Vanstone, 'The existence of factored balanced tournament designs', Ars Combin. 19 (1985), 157-160.
- [8] E. R. Lamken and S. A. Vanstone, 'Partitioned balanced tournament designs of side 4n + 1', Ars Combin. 20 (1985), 29-44.
- [9] E. R. Lamken and S. A. Vanstone, 'The existence of partitioned balanced tournament designs of side 4n + 3', Ann. Discrete Math. 34 (1987), 319-338.
- [10] E. R. Lamken and S. A. Vanstone, 'The existence of partitioned balanced tournament designs', Ann. Discrete Math. 34 (1987), 339-352.
- [11] E. R. Lamken and S. A. Vanstone, 'Balanced tournament designs and resolvable (v, 3, 2)-BIBD s', Discrete Math. (to appear).
- [12] E. R. Lamken and S. A. Vanstone, 'Complementary Howell designs of side 2n and order 2n + 2', Congr. Numer. 41 (1984), 85-113.
- [13] E. R. Lamken and S. A. Vanstone, 'Skew transversals in frames', J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comp. 2 (1987), 37-50.
- [14] R. C. Mullin and D. R. Stinson, 'Holey SOLSSOMs', Utilitas Math. 25 (1984), 159-169.

[15] A. Rosa and D. R. Stinson, 'One-factorizations of regular graphs and Howell designs of small order', Utilitas Math. 29 (1986), 99-124.

195

- [16] R. J. Schellenberg, G. H. J. van Rees, and S. A. Vanstone, 'The existence of balanced tournament designs', Ars Combin. 3 (1977), 303-318.
- [17] D. R. Stinson, Some classes of frames and the spectrum of skew room squares and Howell designs, (Ph.D. Thesis, University of Waterloo, 1981).
- [18] D. R. Stinson, 'On the existence of skew Room frames of type 2^n ', Ars Combin. 24 (1987), 115-128.
- [19] D. R. Stinson and L. Zhu, 'On sets of three MOLs with holes', Discrete Math. 54 (1985), 321-328.
- [20] D. R. Stinson and L. Zhu, 'On the existence of MOLs with equal-sized holes', Aequationes Math. 33 (1987), 96-105.
- [21] S. A. Vanstone, 'Doubly resolvable designs', Discrete Math 29 (1980), 77-86.
- [22] R. M. Wilson, 'Constructions and uses of pairwise balanced designs', Math. Centre Tracts 55 (1974), 18-41.

Department of Combinatorics and Optimization University of Waterloo Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1 Canada