Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (2002) 45, 49–58 ©

GENERATING SYSTEMS OF SUBGROUPS IN $PSL(2, \Gamma_N)$

XIANTAO WANG¹ AND WEIQI YANG²

¹Department of Applied Mathematics, Hunan University, Changsha, Hunan 410082, People's Republic of China (xtwang@mail.hunu.edu.cn) ²Department of Applied Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology, PO Box 327, Beijing 100081, People's Republic of China

(Received 10 January 2000)

Abstract It is proved in this paper that for any non-elementary subgroup G of $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$, which has no elliptic element, to be not strict, there is a minimal generating system of G consisting of loxodromic elements, and that if G is a non-elementary subgroup of $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$ of which each loxodromic element is hyperbolic, then G is conjugate to a subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Keywords: Möbius transformation; Clifford algebra; generating system

AMS 2000 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 30F40 Secondary 20H10

1. Introduction

Doyle and James proved in [5] that every non-elementary subgroup G of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ has a generating system consisting only of hyperbolic elements. Rosenberger proved further in [11] that such a system of generators can be chosen to be minimal. Isachenko [8] and Rosenberger [12] generalized some results in [11] and [10] to the case of PSL(2, C).

In this paper we study the corresponding problem for the case of $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$. The main result to be proved in this paper is that if a non-elementary subgroup G of $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$ has no elliptic element which is not strict, then G has a minimal generating system consisting of loxodromic elements (Theorem 3.9). And it is proved that if G is a nonelementary subgroup of $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$ of which each loxodromic element is hyperbolic, then G is conjugate to a subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ (Theorem 4.1).

2. Preliminary material

We need the following preliminary material (see [1, 2] for the details).

Let A_n denote the associative algebra over the real numbers generated by $1, e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ subject to the relations

$$e_i^2 = -1, \quad e_i e_j = -e_j e_i \quad (i \neq j), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$
 (2.1)

For all $a \in A_n$ there is a unique representation of the form

$$a = a_0 + \sum a_v E_v, \tag{2.2}$$

where a_0 and a_v are real, the summation is over all multi-indices $v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p)$ with $0 < v_1 < v_2 < \cdots < v_p \leq n-1$, and $E_v = e_{v_1}e_{v_2} \ldots e_{v_p}$. a_0 is said to be the real part of a denoted by $a_0 = \operatorname{Re}(a)$. The modulus of a is defined by

$$|a| = \left(a_0^2 + \sum a_v^2\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (2.3)

Let a' be the element obtained from a by replacing every e_i in (2.2) by $-e_i$, a^* be the element obtained from a by reversing the order of the factors in each $E_v = e_{v_1}e_{v_2}\ldots e_{v_p}$, and $\bar{a} = (a')^* = (a^*)'$. Obviously, (a + b)' = a' + b', (ab)' = a'b', and $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$.

All the elements $x = x_0 + x_1e_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1}e_{n-1}$ ($x_k \in \mathbb{R}, k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$) are said to be the *vectorial* elements in A_n , denoted by $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let Γ_n be the set of all elements in A_n which can be expressed as a finite product of non-zero vectors of A_n . It is said to be the *n*-dimensional Clifford group.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

is said to be an n-dimensional Clifford matrix if

- (i) $a, b, c, d \in \Gamma_n \cup \{0\};$
- (ii) $det(A) = ad^* bc^* = 1$; and
- (iii) $ab^*, b^*d, a^*c, cd^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $SL(2, \Gamma_n)$ denote the group of all *n*-dimensional Clifford matrices with the matrix product operation. Set

$$\operatorname{PSL}(2, \Gamma_n) = \operatorname{SL}(2, \Gamma_n) / \{\pm I\},\$$

where I is the unit matrix.

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \Gamma_n)$$

correspond to the mapping in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$

$$x \mapsto Ax = (ax+b)(cx+d)^{-1}.$$
 (2.4)

This is an isomorphic correspondence between $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$ and $M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (the full sense preserving Möbius group acting in \mathbb{R}^n), and which are not distinguished.

Let f denote the Poincaré extension of f (see [4]). Write

$$fix(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) = x\},\$$

$$fix(\tilde{f}) = \{z = x + te_n \in \boldsymbol{H}^{n+1} : \tilde{f}(z) = z\}$$

For a non-trivial element $f \in M(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n)$, we say that

- (i) f is parabolic if $\operatorname{card}(\operatorname{fix}(f)) = 1$ and $\operatorname{card}(\operatorname{fix}(\tilde{f})) = 0$;
- (ii) f is *loxodromic* if card(fix(f)) = 2 and card(fix(\tilde{f})) = 0; and
- (iii) f is *elliptic* if card(fix(\tilde{f})) > 0,

where $\operatorname{card}(M)$ is the number of the elements of the set M. The following corollary results.

Corollary 2.1. Let

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \Gamma_n).$$

Then

(i) f is loxodromic if f is conjugate to

$$\begin{pmatrix} r\lambda & 0\\ 0 & r^{-1}\lambda' \end{pmatrix},$$

where r > 0, $r \neq 1$, $\lambda \in \Gamma_n$ and $|\lambda| = 1$, in particular we say that f is hyperbolic if $\lambda = \pm 1$;

(ii) f is parabolic if f is conjugate to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & u \\ 0 & \lambda' \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda, u \in \Gamma_n$, $|\lambda| = 1$, $u \neq 0$, and $\lambda u = u\lambda'$, in particular we say that f is strictly parabolic if $\lambda = \pm 1$; and

(iii) f is elliptic if f is conjugate to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda' \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda \in \Gamma_{n+1}$, $|\lambda| = 1$ and $\lambda \neq \pm 1$, in particular we say that f is strictly elliptic if $\lambda \in \Gamma_2$.

For a non-trivial element

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, \Gamma_n),$$

we say that f is vectorial if $b, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $a + d^* \in \mathbb{R}$. We then have the following corollary (see [1]).

Corollary 2.2.

(i) f is hyperbolic if and only if f is vectorial and $(a + d^*)^2 > 4$;

- (ii) f is strictly parabolic if and only if f is vectorial and $(a + d^*)^2 = 4$; and
- (iii) f is strictly elliptic if and only if f is vectorial and $(a + d^*)^2 < 4$.

For

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \Gamma_n)$$

with $\infty \notin \operatorname{fix}(f)$, we define the isometric sphere as follows:

$$S(f) = \{ x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n : |x + c^{-1}d| = |c|^{-1} \}.$$

We then have the following corollary (see [1] or [13]).

Corollary 2.3. If $S(f) \cap S(f^{-1}) = \emptyset$, then f is loxodromic.

3. Generating systems of subgroups of $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$

Let G be a subgroup of $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$. G is said to be elementary if there is a finite G-orbit in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \cup \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$. Otherwise, G is said to be non-elementary. The following lemma is well known (see [14]).

Lemma 3.1. If G is non-elementary, then there exist loxodromic elements in G.

The cardinal number r(G) is the rank of the group G if G can be generated by a system of generators of cardinality r(G), but not by a system of smaller cardinality. A system of generators of G which has cardinality r(G) is said to be a minimal generating system of G.

From [14], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Any non-elementary subgroup G of $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$ has a generating system consisting of loxodromic elements.

In order to prove our main result we need to prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. Let f be loxodromic. For $g \in PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$, if g does not interchange the two fixed points of f, then there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^m g$ or $f^m g^{-1}$ are loxodromic for all $m \ge n_0$.

Proof. We may assume that

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} r\lambda & 0\\ 0 & r^{-1}\lambda' \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$,

where r > 1, $\lambda \in \Gamma_n$ and $|\lambda| = 1$.

If the group $\langle f, g \rangle$ generated by f and g is elementary, then $ad \neq 0$. Obviously, $f^m g$ is loxodromic for large enough m.

53

If $\langle f, g \rangle$ is non-elementary, then $bc \neq 0$ and $\max\{|a|, |d|\} > 0$. To replace g by g^{-1} if needed we may assume that $a \neq 0$. Thus, we obtain that

$$S(f^m g) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x + c^{-1}d| = r^m |c|^{-1} \},\$$

$$S(g^{-1}f^{-m}) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - r^{2m}\lambda^m ac^{-1}(\lambda^*)^m| = r^m |c|^{-1} \},\$$

and then $S(f^m g) \cap S(g^{-1}f^{-m}) = \emptyset$ for large m. It follows from Corollary 2.3 that $f^m g$ are loxodromic for all $m \ge n_0$.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that f, g and fg are strictly elliptic, and

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u' \end{pmatrix}, \qquad g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix},$$

where $u \in \Gamma_2$, |u| = 1 and $bc \neq 0$. Then there is $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $c = tb' \in \Gamma_2$ and d = a'.

Proof. The conclusion follows from

$$b, c \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
 $ub, u'c \in \mathbb{R}^n,$
 $a + d^* \in \mathbb{R},$ $ua + (u'd)^* \in \mathbb{R},$

and $ab^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 3.5. Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.4, if $\langle f, g \rangle$ is non-elementary, then $\langle f, g \rangle$ is conjugate to a non-elementary subgroup of PSL(2, Γ_2) that is generated by two elliptic elements.

Proof. Since $\langle f, g \rangle$ is non-elementary, by Lemma 3.4, there is $q_1 \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f_1 = q_1 f q_1^{-1}$ and

$$g_1 = q_1 g q_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b' & a' \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that there is $q_2 \in \text{PSL}(2, \Gamma_n)$ such that $f_2 = q_2 f_1 q_2^{-1}$, $g_2 = q_2 g_1 q_2^{-1} \in \text{PSL}(2, \Gamma_{n-1})$ and $\langle f_2, g_2 \rangle$ is non-elementary.

Observe that f_2 , g_2 and f_2g_2 are strictly elliptic. By repeating the above argument a finite number of times, our result follows.

Lemma 3.6. Suppose that f and g are strictly elliptic, and that $\langle f, g \rangle$ is non-elementary without elliptic elements that are non-strict. Then there are two loxodromic elements f_1 and g_1 such that $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle f_1, g_1 \rangle$.

Proof. Let h = fg. Then $\langle f, h \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$ is non-elementary.

(i) If h is loxodromic, then $f_1 = h^m f$ or $h^m f^{-1}$ is loxodromic for some large m by Lemma 3.3 and $\langle f_1, h \rangle = \langle f, h \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$. It follows that $g_1 = f_1^k h$ or $f_1^k h^{-1}$ is loxodromic for large enough k and $\langle f_1, g_1 \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$.

(ii) If h is elliptic, then h is strictly elliptic. We may assume that

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u' \end{pmatrix}$$
 with $u \in \Gamma_2$, $|u| = 1$ and $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

Since $\langle f, g \rangle$ is non-elementary, it follows from Lemma 3.5 that $\langle f, g \rangle$ is conjugate to a non-elementary subgroup G_1 in PSL $(2, \Gamma_2)$ which is generated by two elliptic elements. The proof follows from [8,12] or [15].

(iii) If h is parabolic, then $f_1 = h^m f$ is loxodromic for some large m. Take $g_1 = f_1^k h$. Then g_1 is loxodromic for large enough k and $\langle f_1, g_1 \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$.

The following lemma results from Lemma 3.6 and its proof.

Lemma 3.7. If a non-elementary two-generator subgroup G in $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$ has no elliptic element which is not strict, then G can be generated by two loxodromic elements.

Lemma 3.8. Let G be a non-elementary subgroup of $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$. If G has no elliptic element which is not strict, then G has a minimal generating system Y which contains two elements f, g such that $\langle f, g \rangle$ is non-elementary.

Proof. Let X be a minimal generating system of G. The case of r(G) = 2 is obvious. Hence, in the following, we suppose $r(G) \ge 3$.

(1) If X contains a non-elliptic element f or f, g such that fg is non-elliptic or f, g, h such that fgh is non-elliptic, then there is a minimal generating system Y of G, which contains two elements f_1, f_2 such that $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ is non-elementary.

(2) Suppose that all elements in X, including the compositions of any two and any three elements of X, are strictly elliptic. Let $f \in X$ and

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0\\ 0 & u' \end{pmatrix} \quad (u \in \Gamma_2, \ |u| = 1).$$

(A) If X contains g such that

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \quad (b \neq 0),$$

then d = a' and $b \in \Gamma_2$, since fg are strictly elliptic. We know from $ab \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that a has the following form

$$a = a_0 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} a_i e_i \quad (a_0, a_i \in \Gamma_2).$$

Since G is non-elementary, we know that there exists $h \in X$ such that

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} m & k \\ p & q \end{pmatrix},$$

where $p \neq 0$.

Then $k, p \in \Gamma_2$, $m = m_0 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} m_i e_i$ $(m_0, m_i \in \Gamma_2)$ and q = m' + r for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Since all fh, gh and fgh are strictly elliptic, we know that r = 0 and $a, m \in \Gamma_2$.

These imply that $f, g, h \in PSL(2, \Gamma_2)$. Hence, for every $w \in X$, $w \in PSL(2, \Gamma_2)$. This shows that G is conjugate to a subgroup of $PSL(2, \Gamma_2)$. The proof follows from [8, 12] or [15].

(B) In the following, we need only consider the case: for any $g \in X$, either $0, \infty \in \text{fix}(g)$ or $\text{fix}(g) \cap \{0, \infty\} = \emptyset$.

From the assumptions, by passing to a new minimal generating system if needed, there exists

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in X$$

with $abc \neq 0$. Lemma 3.4 implies that $b, c \in \Gamma_2$ and d = a'. Under conjugation, we assume that

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u' \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \epsilon b' & a' \end{pmatrix} \quad (\epsilon = \pm 1, \ b \neq 0).$$

For any

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} m & k \\ p & q \end{pmatrix} \in X,$$

we know that q = m' and $p = tk' \in \Gamma_2(t \in \mathbb{R})$.

It follows from gh being strictly elliptic that $m \in \Gamma_2$ if and only if $a \in \Gamma_2$, and $t = \epsilon$ if and only if $a \notin \Gamma_2$.

If $a \in \Gamma_2$, then, for every $w \in X$, $w \in PSL(2, \Gamma_2)$. Our result follows from [8, 12] or [15].

If $a \notin \Gamma_2$, then $t = \epsilon$. Since h is arbitrary and G is non-elementary, $\epsilon = 1$. So G is conjugate to a subgroup of $PSL(2, \Gamma_{n-1})$.

By repeating the above steps a finite number of times and by [8, 12] or [15], the proof follows.

Theorem 3.9. Let G be a non-elementary subgroup of $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$. If G has no elliptic element which is not strict, then there is a minimal generating system of G consisting of loxodromic elements.

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, G has a minimal generating system X which contains two elements f, g such that $\langle f, g \rangle$ is non-elementary. By Lemma 3.7, we can suppose that f and g are loxodromic.

For any $h \in X - \{f, g\}$, if h is not loxodromic, then $f^m h^{\epsilon}$ or $f^m g h^{\epsilon}$ is loxodromic for large m (here $\epsilon = 1$ or -1). We replace f, h by f and $f^m h^{\epsilon}$ or f, g, h by f, g and $f^m g h^{\epsilon}$ in X.

By the arbitrariness of h, this shows that there is a minimal generating system of G consisting of loxodromic elements.

4. A class of subgroups of $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$

In [7], Greenberg proved that if a subgroup G of $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$ is a hyperbolic group (i.e. each non-trivial element is hyperbolic), then G has an invariant circle in \mathbb{R}^n that contains all fixed points of elements in G. Apanasov proved further in [3] that if G is a non-elementary subgroup of $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$ of which each non-trivial element is either hyperbolic, strictly elliptic or strictly parabolic, then G is conjugate to a subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let G be a non-elementary subgroup of $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$. If each loxodromic element of G is hyperbolic, then G is conjugate to a subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we may assume that there is a loxodromic element f in G of the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & 0\\ 0 & r^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (r > 1).$$

By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, there is a hyperbolic element

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G$$

such that $\langle f,g \rangle$ is non-elementary. By Lemma 3.3, $f^m g$ or $f^m g^{-1}$ is hyperbolic for large enough m. Then $a, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Observe that $\langle f, g^2 \rangle$ is also non-elementary. Then there is $t \in \mathbb{R}$ $(t \neq 0)$ such that $c = tb' \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence there is

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q' \end{pmatrix} \quad (q \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ |q| = 1)$$

such that

$$hfh^{-1} = f$$
, $hgh^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & |b| \\ t|b| & d \end{pmatrix}$.

Therefore, we may assume that $f, g \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

For any

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in G$$

non-trivial, we claim that $\alpha, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We will prove our claim in two cases.

(1) $|\alpha|^2 + |\delta|^2 > 0.$

If $\operatorname{fix}(f) \cap \operatorname{fix}(p) \neq \emptyset$, then $f^m p$ is hyperbolic for large enough m. Hence $\alpha, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

If $\operatorname{fix}(f) \cap \operatorname{fix}(p) = \emptyset$, then $\langle f, p \rangle$ is non-elementary. Similar argument as in the beginning of the proof implies that $\alpha, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(2) $|\alpha|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 0.$

By replacing p by gp, our claim follows case (1).

Since $gp \in G$ and

$$gp = \begin{pmatrix} a\alpha + b\gamma & a\beta + b\delta \\ c\alpha + d\gamma & c\beta + d\delta \end{pmatrix}$$

it follows from our claim that $p \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$. The proof is completed.

57

From Theorem 4.1 and [6,9,15] we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. Let G be a non-elementary subgroup of $PSL(2, \Gamma_n)$. If each loxodromic element of G is hyperbolic, then G is discrete if and only if each one-generator subgroup of G is discrete if and only if each non-elementary subgroup generated by two loxodromic elements of G is discrete.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation of China, grant no. 19801011. We express our thanks to Professor A. F. Beardon and Professor P. Tukia for their help. We also thank the referee for careful reading of this paper and subsequent valuable suggestions. X.W. thanks the Väisälä foundation for its financial support.

References

- 1. L. AHLFORS, On the fixed points of Möbius transformations in \mathbb{R}^n . Ann. Acad. Sci. Fen. A **10** (1985), 15–27.
- 2. L. AHLFORS, Möbius transformations and Clifford numbers, in *Differential geometry and complex analysis* (Springer, 1985).
- 3. B. N. APANASOV, The geometry of discrete groups and manifolds (Nauka, Moscow, 1991).
- 4. A. F. BEARDON, *The geometry of discrete groups*, Graduate text in Mathematics, vol. 91 (Springer, 1983).
- C. DOYLE AND D. JAMES, Discreteness criteria and high order generators for subgroups of SL(2, R), *Illinois J. Math.* 25 (1981), 191–200.
- 6. J. GILMAN, Inequalities in discrete subgroups of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$, Can. J. Math. 40 (1988), 114–130.
- 7. L. GREENBERG, Discrete subgroups of the Lorentz group, Math. Scand. 10 (1962), 85–107.
- N. A. ISACHENKO, Systems of generators of subgroups of PSL(2, C), Sib. Math. J. 31 (1990), 162–165.
- 9. T. JORGENSEN, A note on subgroups of SL(2, C), Q. J. Math. Oxford 28 (1977), 209-212.
- G. ROSENBERGER, On discrete free subgroups of linear groups, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 17 (1978), 79–85.

- G. ROSENBERGER, Some remarks on a paper of C. Doyle and D. James on subgroups of SL(2, R), *Illinois J. Math.* 28 (1984), 348–351.
- G. ROSENBERGER, Minimal generating systems of a subgroup of PSL(2, C), Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 31 (1988), 261–265.
- X. WANG, Type criteria for multi-dimensional Möbius transformations, J. Hunan Univ. 19 (1992), 128–135.
- X. WANG, Generating system and discreteness criteria of higher dimensional Möbius groups, Acta Math. Sinica 38 (1995), 38–44.
- X. WANG AND W. YANG, Discreteness criteria of subgroups of SL(2, C), Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 124 (1998), 51–55.