

FINITE GROUPS WITH LARGE AUTOMIZERS FOR THEIR ABELIAN SUBGROUPS

H. BECHTELL, M. DEACONESCU AND GH. SILBERBERG

ABSTRACT. This note contains the classification of the finite groups G satisfying the condition $N_G(H)/C_G(H) \cong \text{Aut}(H)$ for every abelian subgroup H of G

1. **Introduction.** The *automizer* of a subgroup H of a group G is $\text{Aut}_G(H) = N_G(H)/C_G(H)$. Since $\text{Aut}_G(H)$ can be regarded as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(H)$ and $\text{Aut}_G(H)$ contains an isomorphic copy of $\text{In}(H)$, we shall say that $\text{Aut}_G(H)$ is *large* if $\text{Aut}_G(H) \cong \text{Aut}(H)$ and *small* if $\text{Aut}_G(H) \cong \text{In}(H)$.

H. Zassenhaus [7] observed that a finite group G is abelian if and only if $\text{Aut}_G(H)$ is small for all abelian subgroups H of G . Lennox and Wiegold [6] studied groups in which the automizers of all subgroups are large, the so-called MD-groups. They proved—see also Deaconescu [1]—that a finite MD-group is isomorphic to one of the symmetric groups S_n , for $n \leq 3$.

Of interest is the fact that the finite MD-groups are precisely those finite groups in which all elements of the same order are conjugate—see Feit and Seitz [2]. In this paper attention is restricted to *finite* groups G satisfying the weaker condition that $\text{Aut}_G(H)$ is large for all abelian subgroups H of G . Such groups will be referred to as LAAS-groups (Large Automizers for Abelian Subgroups).

By definition, every finite MD-group is an LAAS-group. As the quaternion group Q_8 shows, there exist LAAS-groups which are not MD-groups. Quite surprisingly, the quaternion group distinguishes the two classes. The main result is the following:

THEOREM. *An LAAS-group is isomorphic to either S_n , for $n \leq 3$ or to Q_8 .*

2. **Preliminaries.** The following result is essential.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let G be a nontrivial LAAS-group.*

- i) Every epimorphic image of G is a rational group.*
- ii) $|Z(P)| = p$ for every $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and every $p \in \pi(G)$.*
- iii) The elements of order p are conjugate in G for every $p \in \pi(G)$.*
- iv) If $G' \neq G$, then G/G' is an elementary abelian 2-group.*

The research of the second author was supported by Grant SM097 of the Kuwait University Research Administration.

Received by the editors February 6, 1996.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 20E34; Secondary: 20D45.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1997.

v) If $S \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ is nonabelian and has a unique involution, then $S \cong Q_8$.

PROOF. i) Let x be an element of order n of G and let $H = \langle x \rangle$. Then $\text{Aut}(H) \cong N_G(H)/C_G(H)$ acts transitively on the set of generators of H . In particular for an integer k and $(k, n) = 1$, there exists an element g such that $x^k = x^g$. The result now follows from Satz V 13.7 of [4].

ii) Since $P \leq C_G(Z(P))$ for $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, $\text{Aut}(Z(P))$ is a p' -group. Hence $|Z(P)| = p$.

iii) By i), ii) and Sylow's theorem, it suffices to prove that every subgroup U of order p of G is conjugate to $Z(P)$, where P is a fixed Sylow p -subgroup of G . If $U \leq P$, let $M = U \times Z(P)$. Since $\text{Aut}(M) \cong \text{GL}_2(p) \cong N_G(M)/C_G(M)$ acts transitively on the set of subgroups of order p of M , U is conjugate to $Z(P)$. If $U \not\leq P$, then $U \leq P^x$ for some $x \in G$ and the result follows from ii).

iv) This is a consequence of i).

v) The hypothesis implies that S is a generalized quaternion group. Let $|S| = 2^n$ and let M be a cyclic maximal subgroup of S . Then $|M| = 2^{n-1}$, $M = C_S(M)$ and $|\text{Aut}(M)| = 2^{n-2}$. But $\text{SC}_G(M)/C_G(M) \cong S/C_S(M) = S/M$ is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{Aut}(M)$. Hence $2^{n-2} = 2$ and $G \cong Q_8$.

The fact that any LAAS-group is a rational group reduces our search.

LEMMA 2.2. i) If G is a nonabelian simple rational group, then $G \cong \text{Sp}_6(2)$ or $G \cong O_2^+(2)'$.

ii) If G is a nonabelian composition factor of a rational group, then G is isomorphic either to an alternating group A_n or to one of the following groups: $P\text{Sp}_4(3)$, $\text{Sp}_6(2)$, $O_8^+(2)'$, $\text{PSL}_3(4)$ or $\text{PSU}_4(3)$.

PROOF. See Theorem B of Feit and Seitz [2].

The next result will be used in conjunction with Lemma 2.1 iii):

LEMMA 2.3. Let G be a solvable group and let $p \in \pi(G)$ be odd. If all elements of order p of G are conjugate, then the Sylow p -subgroups of G are abelian.

PROOF. This is a consequence of a result of Gaschütz and Yen [3]—see also Theorem 8.7, p. 512 of [5].

3. Proof of the Theorem. Throughout this section G will denote a nontrivial LAAS-group. The proof is in two parts. In the first part we shall determine all solvable LAAS-groups, while in the second part we shall prove that there are no nonsolvable LAAS-groups.

To begin with assume that G is a nontrivial solvable LAAS-group.

LEMMA 3.1. $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3\}$

PROOF. Suppose that $p \geq 5$ is a prime divisor of $|G|$. If a Sylow p -subgroup of G is cyclic, G has Z_p as a composition factor and hence has Z_{p-1} as a quotient. But this is impossible since G is rational.

If a Sylow p -subgroup of G is not cyclic, it has a subgroup of type $Z_p \times Z_p$ whose automorphism group is $\text{GL}(2, p)$. Hence G is not solvable, another contradiction.

LEMMA 3.2. *If G is nilpotent, then $G \cong Z_2$ or $G \cong Q_8$.*

PROOF. If G is abelian, then $1 = N_G(G)/C_G(G) \cong \text{Aut}(G)$ forces $G \cong Z_2$. If G is nonabelian, then G is a 2-group by Lemmas 3.1 and 2.1 iv). Since $S_3 \cong \text{Aut}(Z_2 \times Z_2)$, G cannot have subgroups isomorphic to $Z_2 \times Z_2$. Thus G is a generalized quaternion group. Then $G \cong Q_8$ by Lemma 2.1 v).

LEMMA 3.3. *If G is nonnilpotent, then $G \cong S_3$.*

PROOF. By hypothesis and by Lemma 3.1, $\pi(G) = \{2, 3\}$. Let $S \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ and let $P \in \text{Syl}_3(G)$. By Lemmas 2.1 iii) and 2.3, P is abelian. Hence $|P| = 3$ by Lemma 2.1 ii).

If now A is a minimal normal subgroup of G , then the solvability of G and $\text{Aut}(A) \cong G/C_G(A)$ imply $|A| \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. Suppose first that $A \subseteq S$ and note that $A \neq S$ for otherwise $G/S \cong P \cong Z_3$, a contradiction with Lemma 2.1 iv). If $|A| = 2$, then S has a unique involution by Lemma 2.1 iii). So $S \cong Q_8$ by Lemma 2.1 v). Since $|G| = 24$ and since S is not normal in G , this yields a contradiction. If $|A| = 4$, then A is a four group. Since $G/C_G(A) \cong \text{Aut}(A) \cong S_3$, one can prove easily that $G \cong S_4$. This is a contradiction since S_4 has two conjugacy classes of involutions and hence cannot be an LAAS-group by Lemma 2.1 iii).

Therefore one must have $|A| = 3$. Hence $A = P$ is normal in G and $S \cong G/P = G/C_G(P) \cong \text{Aut}(P) \cong Z_2$. This implies $G \cong S_3$ and completes the proof.

The next objective is to prove that every LAAS-group is solvable. For the sake of contradiction assume that there exists a nonsolvable LAAS-group G . We shall use freely the fact that the elements of the same prime order are conjugate in G for every prime in $\pi(G)$. Since G is a rational group and since both groups in Lemma 2.2 i) have more than one conjugacy class of involutions, it follows that G cannot be simple.

LEMMA 3.4. *G has a unique abelian minimal normal subgroup $A \cong Z_2 \times Z_2$.*

PROOF. Let $F(G)$ denote the Fitting subgroup of G . If $F(G) = 1$, there exists a nonabelian minimal normal subgroup $K < G$. Then K is the direct product of isomorphic nonabelian simple groups K_i for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Since G permutes the set $\{K_i | 1 \leq i \leq s\}$ via conjugation, an involution in K_1 cannot be conjugate in G with an involution in the diagonal if $s \neq 1$. Hence K is a simple nonabelian group. If $C_G(K) \neq 1$, $C_G(K)$ contains a nonabelian minimal normal subgroup of G because $F(G) = 1$. The unique conjugacy class of involutions leads to a contradiction. So $C_G(K) = 1$ and G can be regarded as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(K)$.

Since K is one of the groups indicated in Lemma 2.2 ii), the argument in the proof of Corollary B of Feit and Seitz [2] eliminates all but one candidate, namely $K \cong A_6$. But if $K \cong A_6$, then $|G : K| = 2$ or $|G : K| = 4$. Hence G has a Sylow 3-subgroup of order 9 which contradicts Lemma 2.1 ii). Consequently $F(G) \neq 1$.

Since $F(G) \neq 1$, there exists a minimal normal elementary abelian subgroup A of G of p -power order. If A is cyclic, $|A| = p$. Hence $G/C_G(A) \cong \text{Aut}(A)$ is cyclic of order $p - 1$. By Lemma 2.1 iv), $p = 3$ or $p = 2$.

Suppose first that $p = 3$ and let $P \in \text{Syl}_3(G)$. Then A is the unique subgroup of order 3 of P since all elements of order 3 of G lie in A . This forces P to be cyclic and then by Lemma 2.1 ii), $P = A$. Hence G/A is a rational $3'$ -group. Since none of the possible nonabelian composition factors of G/A , which are indicated in Lemma 2.2 ii), is a $3'$ -group, there is a contradiction.

Suppose now that $|A| = 2$ and let $S \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$. Since the unique involution of G lies in A and A is normal in G , S is either cyclic or isomorphic to Q_8 by Lemma 2.1 v). If S is cyclic, then $|S| = 2$ by Lemma 2.1 ii). Hence $S = A$ and therefore G/A has odd order, contradicting the fact that G/A is a rational group.

If $S \cong Q_8$, then a Sylow 2-subgroup of the rational group G/A has order 4. But G/A is nonsolvable. The only possible nonabelian composition factor of G/A is A_5 because the other simple groups in Lemma 2.2 ii) have larger Sylow 2-subgroups. Consider now a chief factor G/H of G , with $A < H$. If G/H is abelian, G/H is a 2-group by Lemma 2.1 iv). Since G is nonsolvable, H must contain a chief factor isomorphic to A_5 by Jordan-Hölder theorem. This contradicts $|S| = 8$. One must then have $G/H \cong A_5$ and consequently H/A must have odd order.

We claim that $A = Z(G) = F(G)$. For if the claim is false, then $|F(G)| = 4$ and $F(G)$ is cyclic. But then $G/C_G(F(G)) \cong \text{Aut}(F(G)) \cong Z_2$. This implies that if T is a subgroup of order 5 of G , then 4 divides $|C_G(T)|$. In particular, $|N_G(T)| = |N_G(T) : C_G(T)| |C_G(T)| = |\text{Aut}(T)| |C_G(T)|$ would be divisible by 16, a contradiction.

Thus $F(G) = Z(G)$ and by Satz 4.2 b), p. 277 of [4], $G/F(G) = C_G(F(G))/F(G)$ contains no nontrivial abelian normal subgroups of $G/F(G)$. By our preceding discussion, this shows that $Z(G) = F(G) = H$ with $G/Z(G) \cong A_5$. In particular, $|G| = 120$. If $Q \in \text{Syl}_5(G)$, then by Sylow's theorem $|G : N_G(Q)|$ equals 1 or 6. If $N_G(Q) = G$, then $|C_G(Q)| = 30$. But in this case $C_G(Q)$ is cyclic and since $|\text{Aut}(Z_{30})| = 8$, one obtains the contradiction: 240 divides the order of G .

If $|G : N_G(Q)| = 6$, then $|N_G(Q)| = 20$ and $|C_G(Q)| = 5$, a contradiction because $|Z(G)| = 2$. Therefore A cannot be cyclic.

Suppose now that A is an elementary abelian p -group of rank $n \geq 2$. Then $\text{GL}_n(p) \cong \text{Aut}(A) \cong G/C_G(A)$ is a homomorphic image of G , hence a rational group. This can happen only if $(n, p) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 2), (1, 3)\}$ and since $n \geq 2$ we see that $(n, p) = (2, 2)$. But then $A \cong Z_2 \times Z_2$. The uniqueness of A is evident.

We are now in a position to show that there exist no nonsolvable LAAS-groups. Suppose that G is a nonsolvable LAAS-group and let A be its unique minimal normal abelian subgroup. By Lemma 3.4, A is a four group and by Lemma 2.1 iii) all involutions of G lie in A . Moreover $G/C_G(A) \cong \text{Aut}(A) \cong S_3$. There exists a 3-element x of G which acts nontrivially on A . There exists a 2-element y which inverts x . Thus $y^2x = xy^2$ and y^{2^m} commutes with x for all $m > 0$. Since y^n is an involution for some n , it is in A . This contradicts the definition of x . The proof of the Theorem is now complete.

REMARK. One may feel that the above proof relies too heavily on deep results about simple groups and that the LAAS-property is so strong that an elementary proof should be given to the Theorem. However, one should keep in mind that the LAAS-property is

weaker than the MD-property mentioned in the Introduction and that the MD-property is equivalent to the property that all elements of the same order are conjugate. As far as we know, there is no elementary (*i.e.* CFSG-free) proof that S_n , $n \leq 3$, are the only finite groups in which all elements of the same order are conjugate. Such a proof could be obtained possibly by showing that the conjugation property implies the MD-property. One may ask how far is the LAAS-property from the property that all elements of the same prime order p are conjugate for every prime $p \in \pi(G)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The first author wishes to express his gratitude to Kuwait University for financial support which made possible a visit there in January 1995.

REFERENCES

1. M. Deaconescu, *Problem 10270*, Amer. Math. Monthly **99**(1992).
2. W. Feit and G. Seitz, *On finite rational groups and related topics*, Illinois J. Math. **33**(1988), 103–131.
3. W. Gaschütz and T. Yen, *Groups with an automorphism group which is transitive on the elements of prime power order*, Math. Z. **86**(1964), 123–127.
4. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer Verlag, 1967.
5. B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, *Finite Groups II*, Springer Verlag, 1982.
6. J. Lennox and J. Wiegold, *On a question of Deaconescu about automorphisms*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **89**(1993), 83–86.
7. H. Zassenhaus, *A group theoretic proof of a theorem of MacLagan-Wedderburn*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **1**(1952), 53–63.

Department of Mathematics
University of New-Hampshire
Durham NH
USA 03824
e-mail: bechtell@christa.unh.edu

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Kuwait
P.O. Box 5969
Safat 13060, Kuwait
e-mail: deacon@sun490.sci.kuniv.edu.kw

Department of Mathematics
Western University of Timisoara
Bd. V. Parvan 4, 1900-Timisoara
Romania
e-mail: silber@tim1.uvt.ro