Beneficial effect of a weight-stable, low-fat/low-saturated fat/low-glycaemic index diet to reduce liver fat in older subjects
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Abstract
Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is associated with insulin resistance and dyslipidaemia and can progress to steatohepatitis and cirrhosis. We sought to determine whether dietary fat and saturated fat content alter liver fat in the absence of weight change in an older population. Liver fat was quantified by magnetic resonance spectroscopy before and after 4 weeks on an isoenergetic low-fat/low-saturated fat/low-glycaemic index (LGI) (LSAT: 23 % fat/7 % saturated fat/GI55) or a high-fat/high-saturated fat/high-glycaemic index (HSAT: 43 % fat/24 % saturated fat/GI70) diet in older subjects. In the present study, twenty subjects (seven males/thirteen females; age 69·3 (SEM 1·6) years, BMI 26·9 (SEM 0·8) kg/m2) were randomised to the LSAT diet and fifteen subjects (six males/nine females; age 68·6 (SEM 1·8) years, BMI 28·1 (SEM 0·9) kg/m2) to the HSAT diet. Weight remained stable. Liver fat decreased significantly on the LSAT diet (median 2·2 (interquartile range (IQR) 3·1) to 1·7 (IQR 1·8) %, P=0·002) but did not change on the HSAT diet (median 1·2 (IQR 4·1) to 1·6 (IQR 3·9) %). The LSAT diet lowered fasting glucose and total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol and raised TAG (P<0.05), while the HSAT diet had no effect on glucose or HDL-cholesterol but increased total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol (P<0.05). Fasting insulin and homeostasis model of insulin resistance did not change significantly on either diet, but the Matsuda index of insulin sensitivity improved on the LSAT diet (P<0.05). Assignment to the LSAT v. HSAT diet was a predictor of changes in lipid parameters but not liver fat. We conclude that diet composition may be an important factor in the accumulation of liver fat, with a low-fat/low-saturated fat/LGI diet being beneficial.
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Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is an increasingly common condition characterised by hepatic TAG accumulation in subjects who do not drink excess alcohol. The prevalence of NAFLD in an urban population with an average age of 46 years has been shown to be 33 % (1), but no study has specifically examined the prevalence of NAFLD in the older population. This population is of interest as age appears to be an important factor in the progression from NAFLD to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). In a recent study of patients diagnosed with NAFLD by liver biopsy, subjects <50 years old were more likely to have simple steatosis, while those aged 50–60 years were more likely to have NASH, and those aged >60 years were more likely to have cirrhosis (2).

The role that the diet plays in the pathogenesis and treatment of NAFLD is incompletely understood. Dietary factors may contribute to liver fat accumulation by multiple pathways. First, the amount of dietary fat may directly affect liver fat content, with high-fat diets being potentially deleterious. In subjects with NAFLD fed a 3 d isoenergetic diet containing 30 % energy from fat, 15 % of the TAG in the liver was derived directly from dietary fat (3). Dietary composition may also
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Contribute to liver fat accumulation by modulating insulin sensitivity. Diets high in saturated fat\(^4\)–\(^6\) and simple carbohydrates\(^7\)–\(^8\) have been shown to induce insulin resistance. Adipose tissue insulin resistance is associated with increased NEFA\(^9\), and hyperinsulinaemia stimulates hepatic de novo lipogenesis (DNL)\(^1\)\(^0\). Foods with a higher glycaemic index (GI), an index which reflects the degree to which food increases blood glucose\(^1\)\(^1\), may be particularly bad as they have greater effects to increase postprandial TAG and NEFA\(^12\)–\(^13\).

In epidemiological studies, both the total amount of fat and the amount of saturated fat in the diet have been correlated with liver TAG content\(^1\)\(^4\) and the presence of NASH\(^1\)\(^5\). Increased intake of simple carbohydrates\(^1\)\(^6\), soft drinks\(^1\)\(^7\) and a diet with a high GI (HGI)\(^1\)\(^8\) has also been associated with increased liver fat. These studies are limited by their cross-sectional nature, use of diet recall or food diaries to estimate dietary composition and inability to account for excess energy intake.

Energy balance is a major factor in liver fat accumulation. Overfeeding studies have clearly shown that increased intake of fat\(^1\)\(^9\)–\(^2\)\(^0\), glucose\(^2\)\(^1\) or fructose\(^2\)\(^0\)–\(^2\)\(^1\) can increase liver fat content in young, healthy, lean subjects. Conversely, weight loss has been shown to decrease liver fat content\(^2\)\(^2\)–\(^2\)\(^5\) and improve liver histology\(^2\)\(^3\)–\(^2\)\(^4\). In obese older adults, weight loss resulted in significant decreases in liver fat of 45\%\(^2\)\(^6\).

Studies examining the effect of diet composition on liver fat in the absence of weight gain or loss are lacking. To date, only two studies utilising weight-stable designs have investigated the impact of dietary fat and saturated fat content on liver fat. The first study was performed in pre-menopausal obese women\(^2\)\(^7\) and the second one in middle-aged overweight men\(^2\)\(^8\). Both utilised a cross-over design and found that a low-fat diet decreased liver fat compared with a high-fat diet.

We sought to determine the effect of dietary fat content on liver fat accumulation in an older population. We hypothesised that a low-fat/low-saturated fat diet with a low GI (LGI) (LSAT) would decrease liver fat and improve insulin sensitivity and that a high-fat/high-saturated fat diet with a HGI (HSAT) would increase liver fat and decrease insulin sensitivity.

**Subjects and methods**

**Study design**

The present study was conducted as part of a larger study titled ‘Macronutrient Effects on Alzheimer’s Disease’ (NIA R37 AG 10880) which sought to determine the impact of diet-induced insulin resistance on memory and cognitive function\(^2\)\(^9\). It was a randomised, double-blind, parallel design diet intervention study comparing the effects of a 4-week weight-stable LSAT v. HSAT diet.

**Subjects**

Subjects were recruited from the Veterans Affairs Puget Sound Health Care System Memory Wellness Program, by referral from other Alzheimer’s disease (AD) research centres and using targeted recruiting in the Greater Seattle area. Subjects with normal memory and cognition and subjects with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or early AD were studied. Exclusion criteria included: diabetes or HbA1c >6.4%; liver enzymes >1.5 times normal; LDL-cholesterol >4.92 mmol/L, TAG >3.85 mmol/L, total cholesterol >6.73 mmol/L or LDL/HDL ratio >3.0 or the use of lipid-lowering medications; significant neurological disease other than AD; significant medical disease or organ failure; current use of glucocorticoids, antipsychotics, anticonvulsants, anxiolytics, anti-coagulants, sedatives or cognition-enhancing medications (except cholinesterase inhibitors or Namenda\(^1\)\(^8\)); or relevant food allergies. Of the forty-nine subjects who completed the parent study, thirty-five eligible subjects participated in the magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) sub-study and completed both pre- and post-diet scans. All subjects gave written informed consent. The present study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects/patients were approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Veterans Affairs Puget Sound Health Care System and the University of Washington.

**Dietary intervention**

Subjects were randomly assigned to receive either a LSAT diet (n 20: controls n 9; MCI/AD n 11) or a HSAT diet (n 15: controls n 5; MCI/AD n 10). The diets were designed to contain the following macronutrient compositions: LSAT, 25% energy from fat/7% saturated fat/15–20% protein/55–60% carbohydrates/daily average GI index <55; HSAT, 45% fat/25% saturated fat/15–20% protein/35–40% carbohydrates/daily average GI index >70. Menus were designed by a research nutritionist and analysed by ProNutra (VioCare, Inc.). The daily average GI was calculated as described previously\(^1\)\(^1\). For the LSAT diet, the majority of the menu included low-fat and non-fat dairy products, lean meats and minimally processed grains to meet the saturated fat and GI goals. The HSAT diet emphasised butter, cheeses, fatty meats and cream to meet the saturated fat goals and refined carbohydrates to meet the GI goals. Treats and ‘confusing foods’ (such as bacon and Doritos\(^\circledR\) chips on the LSAT diet and watermelon and cottage cheese on the HSAT diet) were also included so people were less able to guess which diet they were on. A sample 1 d menu is provided in Table 1. Due to the lack of fructose data in the USDA and Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies databases used by ProNutra (at most, 9.5% of foods with fructose content), an accurate assessment of fructose content in the diets was not possible.

At baseline, subjects completed a physical activity questionnaire and a 3 d food record (two weekdays and one weekend day) to estimate the composition of their usual diet. Fructose values were estimated from the USDA Standard Reference 18 and Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies 1.0\(^1\)\(^0\). Energy needs were calculated using the average of the Mifflin–St Jeor\(^3\)\(^1\) and Harris–Benedict\(^3\)\(^2\) equations, adjusted for physical activity, and rounded up to the nearest 837 kJ (200 kcal). All food was prepared in a commercial metabolic...
Body fat distribution

Total fat and fat-free mass were determined by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry using the QDR® 4500 bone densitometer system (Hologic, Inc.).

For abdominal fat distribution, magnetic resonance (MR) abdominal images were acquired on the Philips Achieva 3T scanner with the cardiac phase-array coil and 26.8 s breath-hold. In brief, ten slices (10 mm thick) were positioned to include the same 100 mm on every subject using lumbar vertebral body landmarks. Intra-abdominal fat (IAF) and subcutaneous fat (SQF) volumes were calculated using fsview version 3.1 software (53) combined with software developed by T. L. R. which expresses the IAF and SQF volume as cm$^3$.

Abdominal fat composition measures were performed by one trained individual who was blinded to the diet assignment. The inter- and intra-scan CV were 32.5 and 2.35% for IAF and 12.92 and 3.06% for SQF, respectively.

Assays

Insulin and glucose were measured as described in prior publications (56). Total cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol were measured using the enzymatic colorimetric Roche Cobas c 501 assay (F. Hoffmann-La Roche). LDL-cholesterol was calculated using Friedewald’s formula (57). Oxidised LDL-cholesterol was measured using a sandwich ELISA (Mercodia AB). NEFA were quantified using the HR Series NEFA-HR kit (Wako Diagnostics Home). Highly sensitive C-reactive protein was measured by nephelometry (Siemens).

Calculations and statistical analysis

The homeostasis model of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated as (58):

$$\text{HOMA-IR} = \frac{\text{fasting insulin (\(\mu\)U))} \times \text{fasting glucose (mM)}}{22.5}$$
The Matsuda index of insulin sensitivity was calculated as:

\[
\text{Matsuda index of insulin sensitivity} = \frac{10,000}{\text{(fasting glucose} \times \text{fasting insulin})} \times (\text{mean glucose OGTT} \times \text{mean insulin OGTT})
\]

using the 0, 60 and 120 min time points, and where OGTT is oral glucose tolerance test. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 14.0; SPSS, Inc.). Variables that were not normally distributed are reported as medians (interquartile ranges) and were log transformed to achieve a normal distribution for statistical analyses. Independent \(t\) tests (for continuous variables) and \(\chi^2\) analysis (for categorical variables) were used to compare baseline characteristics and diet composition between subjects assigned to the LSAT and HSAT diets. Paired \(t\) tests were performed to determine whether there were significant changes between baseline and week 4 on each intervention diet. The general linear model for repeated measures was used to analyse for the effect of each diet intervention on liver fat while adjusting for potential confounding variables and to assess the effect of diet group assignment (LFAT vs. HFAT) on outcome variables. There was no interaction between cognitive status (normal vs. MCI/AD) and diet effect, thus the two cognitive status groups were combined for analysis. Linear regression models were performed to assess correlations between baseline variables and to determine what factors were associated with changes in liver fat. Baseline correlations were adjusted for age and sex. All tests were two-sided with a \(P<0.05\) considered as significant.

**Results**

**Subject characteristics**

In the present study, twenty subjects were randomised to the LSAT diet (seven males/thirteen females; age 69.3 (SEM 1.6) years, BMI 26.9 (SEM 0.8) kg/m\(^2\)) and fifteen subjects were randomised to the HSAT diet (six males/nine females; age 68.6 (SEM 1.8) years, BMI 28.1 (SEM 0.9) kg/m\(^2\)). Subjects randomised to the LSAT and HSAT diets were well matched (Table 2).

**Baseline and study diet composition**

Baseline diet composition did not differ between the subjects randomised to the LSAT and HSAT diets (Table 3). The intervention diets achieved the diet composition goals of the study in terms of macronutrient composition and saturated fat content, but differed from baseline in other dietary components (Table 3). Specifically, the LSAT diet had more fibre, sugar and fructose and less cholesterol and n-3 fatty acids while the HSAT diet contained less fibre, sugar, fructose and PUFA, but more cholesterol and MUFAs. In both groups, reported baseline energy intake was lower than the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Baseline subject characteristics and response to diet interventions†</th>
<th>LSAT diet (n 20)</th>
<th>LSAT diet (n 15)</th>
<th>Changes on the LSAT and HSAT diets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Week 0</td>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>Week 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>75.2±2</td>
<td>74.8±2</td>
<td>78.0±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (%)</td>
<td>31.7±1</td>
<td>31.3±1</td>
<td>33.0±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAF (cm(^3))</td>
<td>1794±16</td>
<td>1695±18</td>
<td>1735±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOF (cm(^3))</td>
<td>2886±24</td>
<td>3045±26</td>
<td>3226±23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver fat (% by weight)</td>
<td>2.2±1</td>
<td>1.7±1</td>
<td>1.2±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.6±0.1</td>
<td>5.4±0.1</td>
<td>5.5±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-h glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>7.1±0.4</td>
<td>7.1±0.5</td>
<td>7.6±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>incAUCglu (mmol/l)</td>
<td>337.0±35.4</td>
<td>308.4±42.7</td>
<td>340.3±41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting insulin (pmol/l)</td>
<td>105±10</td>
<td>96±8</td>
<td>106±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR (mmol/l × μU/ml)</td>
<td>3.53±1</td>
<td>3.07±1</td>
<td>2.93±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuda index</td>
<td>2.56±1</td>
<td>2.14±1</td>
<td>2.25±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsCRP (mg/l)</td>
<td>2.2±0.8</td>
<td>1.4±0.3</td>
<td>4.0±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (IU/l)†</td>
<td>2.0±2.0</td>
<td>2.0±1.9</td>
<td>20±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (IU/l)†</td>
<td>20.5±2.0</td>
<td>19.8±1.0</td>
<td>18.6±1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean values were significantly different compared with week 0 \((P<0.05)\).

† All values are presented as means with their standard errors if normally distributed or medians and IQR if not normally distributed. The change in each variable was calculated for each subject then averaged per group. There were no significant differences in baseline variables between the LSAT vs. HSAT groups.

‡ To obtain values in nKat/l, multiply by 16.67.
intervention diets. This was probably due to under-reporting as subjects remained weight stable on the intervention diets.

Response to the low-fat diet intervention

Weight, fat mass, IAF and SQF remained stable after 4 weeks on the LSAT diet (Table 2). There was a significant decrease in absolute percentage of liver fat after the LSAT diet compared with baseline (Pearson’s $r=0.002$; Table 2), which persisted ($P<0.05$) after adjusting for age and sex. The LSAT diet resulted in a mean percentage decrease in liver fat of $19.8\%$ (SEM $6.0\%$). Similar results were obtained when data were analysed separately for the controls and MCI/AD groups (data not shown).

There was no effect of the HSAT diet on liver fat when analysed separately by cognitive status (data not shown).

Response to the high-fat diet intervention

Weight, fat mass, IAF and SQF remained stable after the 4-week HSAT diet (Table 2). Neither the absolute percentage of liver fat ($P=0.3$; Table 2) nor the percentage change in liver fat changed significantly on the HSAT diet. There was also no effect of the HSAT diet on liver fat when analysed separately by cognitive status (data not shown).

There were no significant changes in fasting, 2h and incremental AUC glucose, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR or the Matsuda index on the HSAT diet (Table 2). Liver enzymes and highly sensitive C-reactive protein did not change (Table 2). Total cholesterol, non-HDL-, LDL-, oxidised LDL- and HDL-cholesterol all increased ($P<0.05$), but TAG and NEFA did not change (Table 4).

Evaluation for diet effect: comparison of the low-fat/low-saturated fat/low-glycaemic index and high-fat/high-saturated fat/high-glycaemic index diets

There was no effect of diet group (LSAT v. HSAT) on liver fat ($P=0.1$), fasting glucose, 2h glucose, incremental area under the curve glucose, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, Matsuda index, NEFA or highly sensitive C-reactive protein. The diet assignment had a significant effect on all lipid parameters (adjusted for sex: total cholesterol ($P=0.001$), LDL-cholesterol ($P<0.001$), oxidised LDL-cholesterol ($P<0.001$), HDL-cholesterol ($P=0.001$) and TAG ($P<0.05$)).

Correlations between liver fat, insulin sensitivity, body fat distribution and lipids

Baseline liver fat was positively associated with fasting insulin ($r=0.53$, $P=0.002$), HOMA-IR ($r=0.55$, $P=0.001$), the Matsuda index ($r=0.56$, $P=0.001$) and TAG ($r=0.45$, $P=0.009$) and negatively associated with HDL-cholesterol ($r=-0.57$, $P=0.001$), but was not associated with age, sex, BMI, fat mass, IAF, SQF, fasting or 2h glucose. There were no significant correlations between the percentage change in liver fat and changes in any of the other variables on either the LSAT or the HSAT diet.

### Table 3. Baseline and intervention diet composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LSAT diet (n 20)</th>
<th>HSAT diet (n 15)</th>
<th>Changes on the LSAT and HSAT diets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Week 0†</td>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>Week 0†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (% energy)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (% energy)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (% energy)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUF (g/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUFA (g/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-3 Fatty acids (g/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar (g/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose (g/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (g/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibre (g/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LSAT, low fat/low saturated fat/low glycaemic index diet; HSAT, high fat/high saturated fat/high glycaemic index diet.**

Mean values were significantly different compared with baseline: $*P<0.05$, $**P<0.001$.

† Week 0 baseline diet composition was estimated from a 3d food record. There were no significant differences in baseline diet composition between the LSAT and the HSAT groups.
Table 4. Baseline lipid parameters† and response to diet intervention
(Mean values with their standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LSAT diet</th>
<th></th>
<th>HSAT diet</th>
<th></th>
<th>Changes on the LSAT and HSAT diets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Week 0</td>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>Week 0</td>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>LSAT diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>4.98*</td>
<td>6.15*</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mM)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.40*</td>
<td>1.69*</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mM)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-HDL-cholesterol</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.58*</td>
<td>4.45*</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mM)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:HDL-cholesterol</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>2.85*</td>
<td>3.91*</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mM)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG (nmol/L)</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA (nmol/L)</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidised LDL-cholesterol (mM)‡</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.46*</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSAT, low fat/low saturated fat/low glycaemic index diet; HSAT, high fat/high saturated fat/high glycaemic index diet.
† There were no significant differences in baseline variables between the LSAT and HSAT groups.
‡ n 19 for oxidised LDL-cholesterol for the LSAT diet.
than energy restriction alone\textsuperscript{(42)}. However, carbohydrate-induced increases in DNL were attenuated by diets containing starch instead of short-chain glucose polymers or sugar\textsuperscript{(41)}. Currently, there are no interventional data in humans examining the impact of the GI on liver fat accumulation. However, data in rodents suggest that a HGI may be important in modulating lipogenesis\textsuperscript{(45)}, body fat distribution and lipid oxidation\textsuperscript{(44)}. Of note, neither intervention diet in the present study significantly altered abdominal fat distribution.

Other potential mechanisms to explain the improvement in liver fat on the LSAT diet include decreased delivery of chylomicrons due to a reduction in dietary fat content and/or changes in lipid oxidation. Other dietary factors besides fat concentration in the diet and saturated fat content and the GI may have contributed to beneficial effects, including higher fibre content and dietary cholesterol content that was approximately 50\% lower than baseline. Animal studies have shown that dietary cholesterol can contribute to the development of NASH\textsuperscript{(45)}. However, human studies have failed to show an effect of high \( r \) low dietary cholesterol intake on liver fat or liver enzymes\textsuperscript{(19)}, and we did not observe changes in liver enzymes on either diet. Elucidation of exact mechanisms and specific beneficial dietary components requires further study.

The lack of an effect of the HSAT diet on liver fat was surprising and is in contrast to the findings by Westerbacka\textsuperscript{(27)} and van Herpen\textsuperscript{(28)} who observed increases in liver fat on a high-fat diet relative to a low-fat diet after 2 and 3 weeks, respectively. There are multiple possible explanations for this discrepancy. First, age may be an important factor. The Westerbacka study enrolled pre-menopausal women and the study by van Herpen middle-aged men. Older individuals may be more resistant to the effects of a HSAT diet to increase liver fat. Second, while the total fat content of the diet that we used was high, it was not as high as those used by Westerbacka\textsuperscript{(27)} and van Herpen\textsuperscript{(28)} who employed diets containing extreme levels of fat (56 and 55\% energy from fat). Thus, a higher total fat content may be required to observe increases in liver fat. However, the relevance of such extreme high-fat diets to the pathophysiology of NAFLD is debatable. The baseline diet composition of our subjects contained 34\% energy from fat which is close to the estimates for diet macronutrient composition in the USA\textsuperscript{(46)}. The converse is also possible; that is, baseline dietary fat content is already high and a ceiling effect prevents further increases in liver fat. Third, the subject population that we studied was on average mildly overweight rather than obese and had normal liver fat at baseline. Ectopic fat deposition may be enhanced in subjects who are more insulin-resistant or obese. However, overfeeding studies that have demonstrated significant increases in liver fat were performed in young, lean subjects\textsuperscript{(19–21,47)} with low levels of liver fat, suggesting that diet composition is probably less important than the overall energy balance in liver fat accumulation. Finally, changes in other fat components may have had beneficial effects. MUFA were increased by 67\%, PUFA were decreased by 29\% and total cholesterol was increased by 59\% on the HSAT diet. While long-chain PUFA have been shown to inhibit lipogenesis and promote lipid oxidation\textsuperscript{(48)}, the amount of n-3 fatty acids in the present study did not change significantly on the HSAT diet. MUFA, the predominant fatty acid in olive oil, has shown beneficial effects on liver fat in animal models\textsuperscript{(49)}, and therefore could have mitigated the negative effects of the high fat and saturated fat content. Intervention studies to evaluate the effect of increased dietary MUFA on liver fat in humans have not been performed. High cholesterol content, as noted above, has not been shown to influence liver fat accumulation in humans\textsuperscript{(39)}.

While the present data favour the use of a diet low in fat, and therefore high in carbohydrates, others have shown that high-carbohydrate diets (>60\%) increased TAG and decreased HDL-cholesterol\textsuperscript{(50)} and low-carbohydrate, non-ketogenic diets decreased glucose levels in individuals with diabetes\textsuperscript{(51)}. We also observed potentially adverse lipid changes on the LSAT diet with increased TAG and decreased HDL-cholesterol. On the other hand, the LSAT diet resulted in a beneficial and robust decrease in non-HDL-cholesterol, while non-HDL-cholesterol increased on the HSAT diet. The combined significance of these beneficial and potentially adverse lipid effects on CVD is unknown. While high-carbohydrate diets in diabetes may increase glucose levels, especially if they contain HGI foods, LGI diets have actually been shown to improve glucose and HbA1c in subjects with type 2 diabetes\textsuperscript{(52,53)}. Consistent with this, the LSAT diet which contained LGI foods resulted in small but significant decreases in fasting glucose, suggesting a benefit, at least in non-diabetic individuals.

The strengths of the study include the controlled nature of the diet intervention, the unique population of older adults studied, and the achievement of weight stability to be able to determine the effect of macronutrient composition on liver fat independent of changes in energy balance. There are several limitations to the present study. First, while the LSAT diet resulted in a significant improvement in the Matsuda index, we did not observe the expected decrease in insulin sensitivity on the HSAT diet. Fasting measures, which are more reflective of hepatic insulin sensitivity, did not change on either diet. It is likely that the lack of a significant change in HOMA-IR was due to small sample size. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that changes in specific dietary components may have had important effects on muscle insulin sensitivity without altering hepatic insulin sensitivity. While some studies have shown that diets high in saturated fat result in decreased insulin sensitivity\textsuperscript{(54–56)}, others have failed to see changes in insulin sensitivity after 15d of a high-fat (50\%) diet\textsuperscript{(55)}. Thus, firm conclusions regarding the interplay between diet-induced changes in liver fat and insulin sensitivity cannot be drawn. Second, the study specifically targeted older individuals and the findings may not apply to younger populations. Third, cognitive status could be a potential confounder as we combined the subjects with and without MCI/AD together for the present analyses. Individuals with MCI/AD showed differential responses to the diets in cerebral spinal fluid insulin and inflammatory markers\textsuperscript{(29)}. However, we did not see an interaction between cognitive status and changes in liver fat, and the observed changes in liver fat on
the diets were consistent with the present overall results when analysed separately by cognitive status.

In summary, we have shown that diet composition in the absence of changes in weight may play a role in the accumulation of liver fat in older individuals. Specifically, a diet low in fat, saturated fat and with a LGI resulted in small decreases in liver fat. The negative results on the HSAT diet suggest that the common assumption that high fat and saturated fat content above and beyond the standard diet contributes to NAFLD may be incorrect. In light of previous studies on the effects of weight loss and overfeeding on liver fat, the small changes on the LSAT diet coupled with the lack of change on the HSAT diet suggest that diet composition is probably less important than energy balance and that clinical advice for the prevention of NAFLD should be focused more on the prevention of weight gain or the promotion of weight loss. However, the small decreases in liver fat on the LSAT diet may be clinically relevant in subjects with high liver fat and if changes in dietary intake can be sustained for a longer period of time. Thus, while one must be cautious in making recommendations about appropriate dietary recommendations based on such a small study, the present results add support for recommendations to follow a diet low in fat, saturated fat and with a LGI for the prevention of NAFLD.
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