W. Kraushaar, D. Burrows, D. McCammon, W. Sanders Dept. of Physics University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI

ABSTRACT

Maps in three energy bands from the recently-completed Wisconsin survey of the soft X-ray sky are presented. The lowest energy data require emission, almost certainly from hot interstellar gas, from regions within 100 pc of the sun. The data do not require diffuse emission from beyond the neutral galactic gas but are compatible with such emission under certain assumptions.

Soft X-rays of energy less than 1 keV come, with various intensities, from all directions including the galactic plane. Figures 1, 2 and 3 show the results of a recently-completed all-sky survey by the Wisconsin group using sounding rockets. The maps are in galactic coordinates and are for three energy bands defined as follows:

В	130-188 eV
C	160-284 eV
м	440-1100 eV

The MIT group has recently completed the analysis of a survey in the C band using data from SAS-C and these results have been presented to this meeting by Dr. Clark. A comparison of their data and ours shows good agreement.

Figure 4 shows the distribution of HI column densities from the data of Cleary <u>et al.</u> (1979). The B and C band data are similar and both anticorrelate with the neutral gas. The M band distribution is unlike the distributions of the B and C bands and I shall discuss the M band data separately.

B and C band X-rays are readily absorbed by interstellar gas and there is no simple way that extragalactic or halo produced X-rays can account for the significant intensity in the galactic plane. Further, optical and ultra-violet absorption in the spectra of nearby stars (Cash, Bowyer and Lampton 1979, Bruhwefler and Kondo 1982) show that in the 100 or so parsecs near the solar system the mean neutral gas density is far below the galactic disk average, which is slightly more than 1 H atom/cm³. For these reasons it is generally supposed that there exists a region of X-ray emission in the immediate 100 or so parsec vicinity of the solar system and that this region is responsible for

681

Richard M. West (ed.), Highlights of Astronomy, Vol. 6, 681–687. Copyright © 1983 by the IAU.













https://doi.org/10.1017/S1539299600005827 Published online by Cambridge University Press

at least an appreciable fraction and possibly all of the detected radiation below 300 eV. The most important stellar source, M-dwarfs, contribute only a few percent to this low energy intensity, though M-dwarfs may well contribute 25% of the intensity measured at higher energies (Rosner et al. 1981).

Known non-thermal particles and processes cannot account for the observations (Williamson <u>et al</u>. 1974) and proportional counter data lead us to believe that the radiation results from line and continuum radiation from hot interstellar gas near 10^{6} K. There is good evidence from the French - Danish group (Schnopper <u>et al</u>. 1982) and from the Japanese group (Inoue <u>et al</u>. 1979) that line radiation is present above 300 eV, but below 300 eV the existence of line radiation has not been demonstrated.

The required X-ray emissivity can be supplied by 100 pc of gas at 8×10^{5} K, provided the density is about 2×10^{-3} cm⁻³, putting the pressure at 3200 cm⁻³K. This assumed normal abundance gas results in an OVI column density of 10^{13} cm⁻³ and so is not in conflict with the Copernicus measurements (Jenkins and Meloy 1974, Jenkins 1978 a,b).

The marked tendency for diffuse X-rays in this energy region to decrease with increasing column density of atomic hydrogen was evident in the very early measurements (Bowyer, Field and Mack 1968, Bunner <u>et al</u>. 1969) and has been re-emphasized by Dr. Clark in his contribution. A natural interpretation in terms of absorption implies two emission components, one local and one remote. Only the remote source lies beyond the neutral galactic gas so that the intensity detected is

$$I = I_{local} + I_{remote} e^{-\sigma N}.$$
 (1)

An important and possibly unjustified assumption here is that both I_{local} and I_{remote} are constant or at least do not vary in a systematic way with N, the column density of neutral gas. When this simple model is fit to the data, two parameters are determined

$$\frac{1}{\frac{\text{remote}}{1}} \simeq 2.5 \tag{2}$$

and

 $\sigma \simeq \frac{1}{3} \sigma \text{ (laboratory)} \tag{3}$

The only likely cause of a factor 3 reduction in the X-ray absorption cross section is gas clumping (Bowyer and Field 1969, Bunner et al. 1969). Clouds or non-uniform aggregates of interstellar gas, if optically thick in some places, leave other places relatively transparent. The required cloud thickness for a factor 3 reduction in cross-section is $N_c = 2.4 \times 10^{20}$ cm² if <u>all</u> the neutral gas is clumped. N_c must be appreciably larger if some finite fraction of the neutral gas is diffuse. Available data on the HI spatial distribution do not support these extreme requirements.

THE SOFT X-RAY BACKGROUND

An alternative but physically less appealing explanation of the tendency for X-rays and neutral gas to anti-correlate is displacement (Sanders <u>et al</u>. 1977). By displacement is simply meant that hot X-ray emitting gas occupies volume which might otherwise be occupied by neutral gas. Hence an anticorrelation between X-rays and neutral gas follows.

Gas in the vicinity of 10^{6} K can readily supply the observed X-rays below 300 eV, but falls far short of supplying the intensity in the M band, 440-1100 eV. The well-studied and presumably extragalactic high energy diffuse X-ray spectrum would contribute some 50% of the measured M band intensity if extrapolated down into this energy range. <u>Einstein</u> results indicate that another 25% or so of the M band intensity may come from M-dwarfs (Rosner <u>et al</u>. 1981). Both of these estimates are uncertain so it is less than clear that any M band X-rays originate in the general interstellar medium. Of course Loop I, the Cygnus Superbubble and certain other bright diffuse features do have M band emission.

The Wisconsin survey program which has been in progress for about 10 years has benefited from the efforts of many colleagues of the present authors. We thank them all. We also thank the expert support of NASA's sounding rocket branch at Goddard. The work has been supported in part by NASA grant NGL50-002-044.

REFERENCES

Bowyer, C. S., and Field, G. B. 1969, Nature, 223, 573. Bowyer, C. S., Field, G. B., Mack, J. E. 1968, Nature, 217, 32. Bruhweiler, F. and Kondo, Y. 1982, Ap.J. 259, 232. Bunner, A. N., Coleman, P. L., Kraushaar, W. L., McCammon, D., Palmieri, T. M., Shilepsky, A., Ulmer, M. 1969, Nature, 223, 1222. Cash, W., Bowyer, S., and Lampton, M. 1979, Astr. Ap., 80, 67. Cleary, M. N., Heiles, C., and Haslam, C. G. T. 1979, Astr. Ap. Suppl., 36, 95. Inoue, H., Koyama, K., Matsuoka, M., Ohashi, T., Tanaka, Y., and Tsunemi, H. 1979, Ap.J. Letters 227, L89. Jenkins, E. B. 1978a, Ap.J., 219, 845. Jenkins, E. B. 1978b, <u>Ap.J.</u>, <u>220</u>, 107. Jenkins, E. B., and Meloy, D. A. 1974, <u>Ap.J. (Letters)</u>, <u>193</u>, L121. Rosner, R., Avni, Y., Bookbinder, J., Giaconni, R., Golub, I., Harnden, F. R. Jr., Maxson, C. W., Topka, K., Vaiana, G. S. 1981, Ap.J. (Letters), 249, L5. Sanders, W. T., Kraushaar, W. L., Nousek, J. A., and Fried, P. M. 1977, Ap.J. (Letters), 217, L87. Schnopper, H., Delvaille, J., Rocchia, R., Blondel, C., Cheron, C., Christy, J., Dueros, R., Koch, L., and Rothenflug, R. 1982, Ap.J. 253, 131. Williamson, F. O., Sanders, W. T., Kraushaar, W. L., McCammon, D., Borken, R., and Bunner, A. N. 1974, Ap.J. (Letters), 193, L133.

687