

# Letter to the Editor

## Screening of Binge Eating in a community mental health service

### Dear Editor:

EDNOS (Eating disorder not otherwise specified) accounts for three quarters of all community cases with eating disorders (Bulik *et al.*, 2007). Among EDNOS, the most common (Hudson *et al.*, 2007) is Binge Eating Disorder (BED). BED is a good diagnostic construct and a stable condition, associated with elevated psychiatric comorbidity and impairment in psychosocial functioning (Pope *et al.*, 2006).

The few available epidemiological studies in Italy suggest a lifetime prevalence of 0.64% for EDNOS (Favarelli *et al.*, 2006), whereas in other samples lifetime estimated prevalences of sub-threshold BED and any binge eating are 0.72%, and 2.15% respectively (Preti *et al.*, 2009). The comorbidity of BED and other psychiatric diagnoses is high: overall, 73.8% of patients with BED have one additional lifetime psychiatric disorder and 43.1% have at least one current psychiatric disorder (Javaras *et al.*, 2008). Though often associated with obesity, BED should be considered a separate condition (Hudson *et al.*, 2007).

This disorder often goes undetected and untreated both in primary care and general psychiatric services (Striegel-Moore *et al.*, 2010). Mond *et al.* (2007) have shown that only a small percentage of subjects with BED (22.8%) receive a specific treatment for eating problems in primary care setting.

In MHS, time constraints usually prevent clinicians from administering clinical interviews intended for EDs diagnosis. The utility of questionnaires as a screening for BED seem clear (Freitas *et al.*, 2006). Among available tools, one of the most used and validated is the Binge Eating Scale questionnaire (Gormally *et al.*, 1982).

The main assumptions of this study were

- 1) the EDNOS diagnosis is often neglected by primary care physicians referring their patients to a MHS;
- 2) a significant percentage of subjects who seek treatment for anxiety and depression in MHS present a BED or a sub-threshold BED symptomatology (s-BED or EDNOS binge type);

- 3) subjects treated at MHSs for anxiety or depressive disorders with BED (or s-BED) present higher levels of clinical severity compared to subjects without BED (or s-BED) comorbidity;
- 4) the use of self-administered questionnaires could be helpful in detecting BED in subjects referred to MHSs for anxiety/depressive disorders.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Subjects

All outpatients referred by a primary care physician to the MHS of Chivasso after April 1st, 2008, with an indication for anxious or depressive symptoms were triaged by a trained nurse and later referred to a psychiatrist or a psychologist according to the "as usual" practice of the MHS.

During triage, some patients were excluded according to these criteria:

- 1) subjects aged 65 or more,
- 2) subjects with an acute full-syndrome Axis I disorder (DSM-IV-TR, American Psychiatric Association, 2000) requiring inpatient care,
- 3) presence of an acute addiction disorder,
- 4) mental retardation,
- 5) a clear mistake of primary care physicians,
- 6) refusal to give informed consent to participate in the study.

As a whole, 71 patients were excluded for the following reasons:

- 1) age out of the range (N=46);
- 2) acute Axis I disorder (N=7) requiring inpatient treatment;
- 3) acute substance abuse disorder (N=4);
- 4) Mental Retardation (N=2);
- 5) psychotic disorder (N=2), bipolar disorder (N=4) or Anorexia Nervosa (N=3) and (6) refuse to participate in the study or to sign informed consent (N=4).

The subjects included were asked to complete Barratt Impulsiveness Scale (BIS), *Binge Eating Scale* (BES) and *Temperament and Character Inventory* (TCI). This screening stage was called “DGN0 phase”. Subsequently, subjects were assigned to a Psychiatrist or to the MHS Psychologist, who were blind as to the results of the questionnaires. During the first session the therapists rated the level of severity through the CGI-Severity Index (CGI-SI) and registered their diagnosis in Axis I and II of DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) according to the “as usual” practice (“DGN1 phase”).

After that, the researchers (DGN2 phase) identified respondents who had scored BES 17 or more; these subjects were submitted to a face-to-face diagnostic interview in accordance with the *Eating Disorder Examination* (Fairburn & Cooper, 1993) and SCID-I (First *et al.*, 1995), in order to assess possible EDs (DSM-IV-TR, American Psychiatric Association, 2000).

The researchers determined that the recruiting phase could be ended after identification of 100 subjects meeting the inclusion criteria. Such methodological choice was aimed at maintaining a naturalistic setting.

### Assessment instruments

*Clinical Global Impression (CGI)*. This is a well-known assessment tool, administered by clinicians in order to evaluate the severity of an illness (item 1; CGI-SI).

*Binge Eating Scale (BES)*. The continuous scale (0-46) BES questionnaire (Gormally *et al.*, 1982) is a self-administered tool with an overall good test-retest reliability ( $r\ 0.87$ ,  $p<.001$ ). Scores of 27 or more have served as a cut-off value for identifying severe binge eating disorders, while scores of 17 have been chosen as a cut-off value for mild or no binge eating. Therefore patients scoring 17 and less were considered non-bingers (no-BED); those scoring between 18 and 26, moderate or sub-threshold bingers (s-BED, including EDNOS binge type), while patients scoring 27 or above (p-BED) were considered severe binge eaters (Greeno *et al.*, 1995).

*Barratt Impulsiveness Scale (BIS-11)*. The BIS conceptualizes impulsivity as containing three main components (Patton *et al.*, 1995): nonplanning impulsivity (NPI), motor impulsivity (MI) and attentive impulsivity (AI).

*Temperament and Character Inventory (TCI)*. The TCI (Cloninger *et al.*, 1994) assess temperament under four dimensions (Novelty Seeking [NS], Harm Avoidance [HA], Reward Dependence [RD], Persistence [P]), whereas the remaining three dimensions (Self-Directedness [SD], Cooperativeness [C], Self-Transcendence [ST]) are

intended to evaluate character. Low SD and C scores appear to be the most important predictors of a DSM-IV Axis II disorder (Cloninger *et al.*, 1994).

### Data analysis

All data analyses were performed using the SPSS. Chi-square was calculated to evaluate the association among categorical variables (Axis I and Axis II comorbidity), as well as DGN0 (screening), DGN1 (diagnosis of anxious or depressive disorders) and DGN2 (diagnosis of EDs through interview) diagnostic groups. An evaluation through GLM and ANOVA has been made (Table II) to compare the three groups identified by the BES questionnaire (DGN0): no-BED (BES score <17), s-BED (BES between 17 and 26) and p-BED (BES of 27 or more).

Finally, a T-test for independent samples was made, in order to compare BED+ and BED- groups in continuous variables; a logistic regression (stepwise forward) was calculated to detect the independent predictors of the BED+ and BED- groups. Variables included were both continuous (age, CGI, BMI, NS, HA) and categorical (gender, presence vs. absence of a personality disorder, DGN0 classification, BIS CUTOFF). The variable BIS CUTOFF has a value of “0” with BIS scores of 59 or less, and “1” with BIS scores above 60. This cut-off was calculated in accordance with relevant literature and data distribution in our sample.

## RESULTS

Table I shows the personal and clinical features of patients included in the sample.

### DGN0 phase

Table II compares a number of data concerning p-BED, s-BED and no-BED subgroups through GLM and ANOVA, controlled for Gender (0=female; 1=male), presence of Personality Disorder (no=0, yes=1), and age.

As regards Axis I comorbidity (anxious or depressive disorders or both) at DGN1, no significant differences emerged (Chi Square=2.342,  $p<.673$ ,  $df=4$ ) among these three DGN0 groups (data will be available upon request for interested readers).

Also in the case of Axis II comorbidity at DGN1, no significant differences were identified among the three groups in Personality Disorder (19/64 in the no-BED

Table I – Sample description.

Variables	Mean	Range
Age	37.0 ± 10.3	18 - 59
Schooling	11.2 ± 2.4	8 - 17
BES	13.6 ± 11.1	0 - 43
BIS	55.8 ± 9.7	30 - 81
CGI	4.3 ± 0.7	3 - 6
BMI	24.7 ± 2.9	16.5 - 37
Variables		
Gender	Males	23 (29.1%)
	Females	56 (70.9%)
Marital Status	Unmarried	25 (51.0%)
	Married	21 (42.9%)
	Divorced	3 (6.1%)
BMI**	> 30	6 (6%)
	> 27	18 (18%)
BED (DGN0)	p-BED	18 (18%)
	s-BED	18 (18%)
	no-BED	64 (64%)
BED (DGN2)	BED+	24 (24%)
	BED-	76 (76%)
Axis II (DGN1)	No	70 (30%)
	Yes	30 (70%)
Axis I (DGN1)	Cluster B	14 (46.5%)
	Cluster C	16 (53.5%)
	Distymia	15 (15%)
	Mood Disorder NOS	24 (26%)
	Panic Disorder	17 (17%)
	Generalized Anxiety Disorder	52 (52%)
	Adjustment Disorders	9 (9%)
	No anxious disorder	31 (31%)
	No depressive disorder	43 (43%)
	Anxiety and Depressive Disorder	26 (26%)
	EDNOS (comprising BED)	3 (3%)
	Bulimia Nervosa	2 (2%)
	Diagnostic suspect*	“Anxious/Depressive Syndrome” or “Anxious/Depressive Adjustment Reaction”
	Specific Depressive Disorder (e.g. Distymia)	24 (24%)
	Specific Anxious Disorder (e.g. Generalized Anxiety Disorder)	14 (14%)
	Eating Disorder	3 (3%)

Note: EDNOS = Eating disorder not otherwise specified. BED = Binge eating disorder.\* made by primary care physician.\*\* BMI = Body Mass Index, weight/height<sup>2</sup> (Kg/m<sup>2</sup>).

group, 6/18 in the s-BED group, and 8/18 in the p-BED group; Chi Square=1.385,  $p < .510$ ,  $df=2$ ), but the presence of Cluster B personality disorders resulted higher in the p-BED group (7/18 in p-BED, 3/18 in s-BED, 4/64 in no-BED; Chi Square=12.560,  $p < .002$ ,  $df=2$ ).

### DGN1 phase

Table 1 shows the Axis I and II diagnosis rate of the sample studied. As regards eating disorders, only in five cases the psychiatrist (5/24 BED+, 20.9% ) formulated a diagnosis of BED or EDNOS binge type (s-BED) according to DSM-IV-TR criteria (DSM-IV-TR, American Psychiatric Association, 2000). Two subjects were diagnosed with BN (2/5) and three with BED (3/5).

### DGN2 phase

T-test analysis showed differences between BED+ and BED- groups in CGI-SI, Novelty Seeking, BIS Total Score, BIS Attentive and motor subscales (data will be available upon request for interested readers). Table 3 shows the independent predictors of BED diagnosis (DGN2) as detected through logistic regression.

### DISCUSSION

A large number of patients referred to MHSs with indications of an anxious or depressive disorders present a full-criteria BED or a sub-threshold binge symptomatology, but this diagnosis is widely neglected.

Table II – Comparison among the three DGN0 groups (p-BED, s-BED, no-BED).

	p-BED (18)	s-BED (18)	no-BED (64)	1		2		3		4		5	
				F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P
Age	37.8±9.3	35.6±9.9	37.1±10.7	n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.	
Schooling	10.6±2.9	11.0±2.7	10.9±2.8	n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.	
BMI	24.7±2.8	25.6±3.4	24.3±2.8	n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.	
CGI	4.9±0.7	4.4±0.6	4.0±0.7	n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.	
<b>BIS</b>													
BIS total	60.8±11.1	60.8±9.6	53.1±8.2	5.66	.005	1.82	.181	3.50	.065	.529	.591	3.37	.039
BIS 1	17.5±4.6	17.6±3.0	15.5±3.8	n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.	
BIS 2	24.6±4.6	23.3±5.5	19.1±3.5	12.4	.000	2.15	.145	.200	.656	3.70	.031	2.70	.072
BIS 3	18.7±6.3	19.2±6.5	18.4±3.8	1.22	.298	.119	.731	11.5	.001	1.30	.276	1.52	.224
<b>TCI</b>													
°NS	23.0±4.0	23.3±4.3	20.2±4.9	5.43	.006	.070	.792	1.19	.277	5.12	.008	.650	.422
HA	18.8±6.9	18.9±7.1	17.9±7.0	.060	.942	4.50	.035	.434	.512	.660	.519	1.05	3.53
RD	16.8±4.0	15.4±4.4	16.0±4.2	n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.	
§PP	3.7±1.9	4.1±1.5	4.2±1.7	n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.	
SD	26.7±9.2	28.1±5.2	29.7±5.4	n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.	
CC	24.1±3.1	27.0±5.3	27.2±3.9	n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.	
ST	14.2±6.1	14.6±5.1	13.8±6.2	n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.		n.s.	

**Note.** BIS: Barratt Impulsiveness Scale; **BIS 1:** attentive impulsivity; **BIS 2:** motor impulsivity; **BIS 3:** non-planned impulsivity. **BMI:** Body Mass Index. **TCI:** Temperament and Character Inventory.

Factors: **Factor 1** = DGN0 classification; **Factor 2** = Personality Disorder (PD); **Factor 3** = Gender; **Factor 4** = DGN0 \* Gender; **Factor 5** = DGN0 \* PD. **Factor 6** (PD \* Gender) and **Factor 7** (DGN0\*PD\*Gender) are not showed because always non significant.

Covariates: AGE ° = Age was significant predictor (F = 8.66; p < .004; df =1). § = Age was significant predictor (F = 4.81; p < .031; df =1).

Table III – Predictors of BED diagnosis (BED+ /BED-) identified through clinical interview (DGN2).

Models	Variable predictive	B	WALD	p	OR	IC (95%)	% correct classification
1	no-BED		6.733	.035			
	s-BED	-23.28	.000	.996	.000		
	p-BED	-2.30	6.733	.009	.100	.180-.569	90%
	Constant	2.08	7.687	.006	8.00		
2	°BIS CUTOFF	-2.69	6.28	.012	.068	.008-.556	
	no-BED		7.105	.029			
	s-BED	-23.35	.000	.996	.000		
	p-BED	-2.84	7.105	.008	.058	.007-.471	94%
	Constant	4.046	10.471	.001	57.1		
3	°BIS CUTOFF	-2.97	4.90	.027	.051	.004-.711	
	*NS	.326	3.89	.048	1.38	1.002-1.913	
	no-BED		6.862	.032			
	s-BED	-25.05	.000	.995	.000		95%
	p-BED	-4.13	6.862	.009	.016	.001-.313	
	§Constant	-2.15	.494	.482	.116		

**Note.** °BIS CUTOFF: BIS values of 60 or more = 1, values below 60 = 0. \* = inserted as continuous variable. §Other categorical (personality disorders and gender) and continuous (CGI severity index /CGI-SI, AGE, Harm Avoidance, Body Mass Index) variables were inserted in the analysis, but were not significant in the final model.

Only in a limited number of cases, equivalent to nearly 20% of subjects who were subsequently diagnosed through a specific interview, the therapist had already formulated a DGN1 diagnosis of EDs. This could be due to several factors, such as:

1) the absence in our Mental Health Department of an Eating disorder service increasing awareness of such

- disorders among clinicians,
- 2) scarce sensitivity to EDs among primary care physicians (who were responsible for only 3% of EDs diagnoses),
  - 3) the fact that BED diagnoses are included in DSM-IV-TR as “criteria for further study” and have been granted attention as a stable syndrome only in the last five years (Pope *et al.*, 2006).

The rate of BED diagnoses (12%, +10% of s-BED) in our sample seems quite high (Favarelli *et al.*, 2006), despite unavailability of comparison data collected in similar settings. This high prevalence rate confirms the significant frequency of BED comorbidity with anxious/depressive disorders (Gruzca *et al.*, 2007).

The third aim of this study was to understand whether patients belonging to this specific subgroup could be considered significantly different from patients without BED comorbidity. A t-test analysis showed that subjects belonging to the BED+ group present a higher level of overall clinical severity (CGI-SI), and also higher levels of Novelty Seeking (TCI) and impulsivity (BIS) if compared to subjects with anxious or depressive disorders with no BED. The higher level of CGI seems to indicate that although therapists at MHSs often neglect EDs diagnoses, there is a clear perception of clinical severity. Also, higher levels of CGI could possibly be related to a higher prevalence of cluster B Personality Disorders (DSM-IV-TR) in the BED+ group.

Impulsivity is a complex dimension that influences the pathogenesis and the course of several mental disorders. Researchers have demonstrated that impulsivity is a trans-nosographic dimension which presents features of the endophenotypes, that it is of extreme relevance for assessing the severity of mental disorders, and that stronger impulsivity may decrease response and adherence to treatments. A high level of impulsivity in subjects with BED (or s-BED) should not come unexpected, because a pathological increase of impulsivity is at the core of most eating disorders of the binge type (Fassino *et al.*, 2002); besides, the serotonin and dopamine systems which are heavily involved in the pathogenesis of eating disorders seem to play a leading role also in impulsivity disorders (Carver & Miller, 2006). This is further confirmed by TCI results: subjects in the BED+ group scored higher in Novelty Seeking. An elevated Novelty Seeking is often detected in substance use disorders, antisocial and other cluster B personality disorders, and bulimia nervosa (Gruzca *et al.*, 2007).

According to the TCI, subjects with BED are characterized by high Novelty Seeking and low Self Directedness and Cooperativeness (Fassino *et al.*, 2002). Recent data also report high Harm Avoidance (HA) in BED subjects (Gruzca *et al.*, 2007). In our study, only Novelty Seeking resulted to predict the BED+ diagnosis, whereas SD, C and HA did not. This is due to the fact that all subjects included in our sample were affected by an anxious or depressive disorder, implying altered levels of these three dimensions (Cloninger *et al.*, 1994).

Essentially, the BED+ group was characterized by a higher level of impulsivity, a temperament prone to impulsivity and disinhibition, a higher prevalence of Cluster B Personality Disorders and a greater clinical severity. These data seem to ascribe further relevance to the detection of EDNOS diagnoses (BED and s-BED) in community MHSs.

Such results are also confirmed by the comparison of the three DGN0 groups: subjects belonging to the p-BED and s-BED groups scored differently over no-BED subjects as regards Novelty Seeking, impulsivity and cluster B personality disorder diagnoses (Table II), whereas p-BED and s-BED groups resulted largely similar. This confirms the appropriateness of considering subjects with sub-threshold binge eating symptoms as candidates to the same pharmacological and psychological treatments used for BED subjects (Leombruni *et al.*, 2008) and also seems to substantiate the clinical differences between individuals who screen positive or negative for BED (Gruzca *et al.*, 2007).

Our results confirm the need for the recognition of comorbid EDNOS among anxious or depressed patients in community settings: BED could affect in different ways both clinical characteristics and course of the illness. A delayed detection of eating-related and non-eating-related impulsivity could produce incomplete response to treatment programs or early dropout in the group of subjects with BED (or s-BED) comorbidity.

As concerns the validity of self-administered instruments in the screening of BED (or s-BED) in MHSs, findings from this study are consistent with those of previous ones (Freitas *et al.*, 2006). Particularly, the BES could be considered an easier screening instrument in order to ascertain the possible presence of an eating disorder within the bulimic spectrum (BED, s-BED or BN). The drawbacks of self-administered questionnaires in the assessment of eating disorders are obvious; on the other hand, self-administered instruments tend to increase the likelihood that respondents will faithfully disclose socially undesirable behaviours (Gruzca *et al.*, 2007). This could be particularly convenient in a MHS whenever the therapist is not confident with the assessment of bingeing behaviours and with the consequent psychosocial distress.

Submitting three different questionnaires to the same patient could be rather problematic in a MHS setting; nevertheless, the results of this study show that the use of BES, combined with BIS and TCI could lead to early identification of subjects with anxious or depressive disorders and with a high likelihood for BED comorbidity. Subjects with higher NS scores, with high BIS (more than 60) and BES (more than 27) have a high probability for BED comorbidity.

This study has two major limitations:

- 1) the inadequate number of subjects included;
- 2) the self-reporting quality of the Body Mass Index (BMI) assessment questionnaire, since subjects probably minimized their real weight and the BMI did not result a predictor of BED diagnosis.

In conclusion, our results seem to confirm that in MHSs the vast majority of individuals with anxiety and depression do not receive treatment for their comorbid eating disorders (BED and s-BED). The detection of BED comorbidity in MHS patients could promote:

- 1) the adoption of more appropriate psychopharmacological and psychological treatments (Leombruni *et al.*, 2008), e.g. avoiding drugs which increase weight or appetite, and integrating the therapeutic program with a cognitive-behavioral psychotherapy aimed at reducing both anxious/depressive symptomatology and binge eating behaviours,
- 2) the planning of an integrated treatment program involving nutrition specialists and dieticians,
- 3) the diffusion of a "culture" of eating disorder treatment in MHSs.

**Andrea Pierò**

**Enrica Locati**

**Francesco Zirilli**

Mental Health Department ASL TO 4,

Mental Health Service,

Via Blatta 10

10034 Chivasso (Torino)

Fax: +39-011-9176619

E-mail: andrea.piero@unito.it

Received 22.10.2009

Revised version received 18.01.2010

Accepted 24.01.2010

## BIBLIOGRAFIA

- American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *DSM-IV-Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. Text Revision. American Psychiatric Association: Washington, DC.
- Bulik C.M., Brownley K.A. & Shapiro J.R. (2007). Diagnosis and management of binge eating disorder. *World Psychiatry* 6, 142-148.
- Carver C.S. & Miller C.J. (2006). Relations of serotonin function to personality: Current views and a key methodological issue. *Psychiatry Research* 144, 1-15.
- Cloninger C.R., Przybeck T.R., Svrakic D.M. & Wetzel R.D. (1994). The Temperament and Character Inventory (TCI): A Guide to Its Development and Use. Center for Psychobiology of Personality, Washington University: St. Louis, MO.
- Fairburn C.G. & Cooper Z. (1993). The eating disorder examination. In *Binge Eating: Nature, Assessment and Treatment*, 12<sup>th</sup> ed. (ed. C.G. Fairburn & G.T. Wilson), pp. 317-360. Guilford Press: New York.
- Fassino S., Leombruni P., Pierò A., Abbate Daga G., Amianto F., Rovera G. & Rovera G.G. (2002). Temperament and character in obese women with and without binge eating disorder. *Comprehensive Psychiatry* 43, 431-7.
- Faravelli C., Ravaldi C., Truglia E., Zucchi T., Cosci F. & Ricca V (2006). Clinical epidemiology of eating disorders: results from the Sesto Fiorentino study. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* 75, 376-383.
- First M.B., Spitzer R.L., Gibbon M. & Williams J.B.W. (1995). *The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders - Patient Edition (SCID I/P, Version 2.0)*. Biometrics Research Department, New York State Psychiatric Institute: New York.
- Freitas S.R., Lopes C.S., Appolinario J.C. & Coutinho W. (2006). The assessment of binge eating disorder in obese women: A comparison of the binge eating scale with the structured clinical interview for the DSM-IV. *Eating Behaviors* 7, 282-289.
- Gormally J., Black S., Daston S. & Rardin D. (1982). The assessment of binge eating severity among obese persons. *Addictive Behaviors* 7, 47-55.
- Greeno C.G., Marcus M.D. & Wing R.R. (1995). Diagnosis of binge eating disorder: Discrepancies between a questionnaire and clinical interview. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* 17, 153-160.
- Gruzca R.A., Przybeck T.R. & Cloninger R.C. (2007). Prevalence and correlates of binge eating disorder in a community sample. *Comprehensive Psychiatry* 48, 124-131.
- Hudson J.I., Hiripi E., Pope H.G. & Kessler R.C. (2007). The prevalence and correlates of eating disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Biological Psychiatry* 61, 348-358.
- Javaras K.N., Pope H.G., Lalonde J.K., Roberts J.L., Nillni Y.I., Laird N.M., Bulik C.M., Crow S.J., McElroy S.L., Walsh B.T., Tsuang M.T., Rosenthal N.R. & Hudson J.I. (2008). Co-occurrence of binge eating disorder with psychiatric and medical disorders. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 69, 266-273.
- Leombruni P., Pierò A., Lavagnino L., Brustolin A., Campisi S. & Fassino S. (2008). A randomized, double-blind trial comparing sertraline and fluoxetine 6-month treatment in obese patients with Binge Eating Disorder. *Progress in Neuropsychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry* 32, 1599-1605.
- Mond J.M., Hay P.J., Rodgers B. & Owen C. (2007). Health service utilization for eating disorders: Findings from a community-based study. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* 40, 399-408.
- Patton J.H., Stanford M.S. & Barratt E.S. (1995). Factor structure of the Barratt impulsiveness scale. *Journal of Clinical Psychology* 51, 768-774.
- Pope H.G., Lalonde J.K., Pindyck L.J., Walsh B.T., Bulik C.M., Crow S.J., McElrot S.L., Rosenthal N. & Hudson J.I. (2006). Binge eating disorder: A stable syndrome. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 163, 2181-2183.
- Preti A., Girolamo G., Vilagut G., Alonso J., Graaf R., Bruffaerts R., Denytenaere K., Pinto-Meza A., Haro J.M. & Morosini P. (2009). ESEMed-WMH Investigators. The epidemiology of eating disorders in six European countries: results of the ESEMed-WMH project. *Journal of Psychiatry Research* 43, 1125-1132.
- Striegel-Moore R.H., Perrin N., DeBar L., Wilson G.T., Rosselli F. & Kraemer H.C. (2010). Screening for binge eating disorders using the Patient Health Questionnaire in a community sample. *International Journal of Eating Disorders* 43, 337-343.