ON REGIONS OMITTED BY UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS II

A. W. GOODMAN AND E. REICH

1. Introduction. Let \( S \) denote the family of functions \( f(z) \) regular and univalent in \( |z| < 1 \), with the expansion \( f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + \ldots \) about \( z = 0 \), and let \( A_f \) denote the area of the intersection of the open circle \( |w| < 1 \) with \( D_f \), the image of \( |z| < 1 \) under \( f(z) \). A few years ago one of the authors (1) proved that if

(1) \[ A = \inf_{f \in S} \{ A_f \} \]

then

(2) \[ .5000\pi < A < .7728\pi. \]

Recently Jenkins (2), by a rather ingenious application of circular symmetrization, improved the lower bound for \( A \) to

(3) \[ .5387\pi < A. \]

By introducing only a slight change in Jenkins' work we obtain a still better lower bound, namely

(4) \[ .62\pi < A, \]

and at the same time we generalize Jenkins' result on the maximal length of arc on a circle \( |w| = r \) left uncovered by \( D_f \).

2. Values omitted by functions in \( S(s) \). This section is devoted to proving the generalization just mentioned. Let \( s \) be the distance from the origin to the boundary of \( D_f \). It is well known that \( \frac{1}{2} < s < 1 \) and that the extreme values correspond to the unique functions \( f(z) = z/(1 + e^{i\theta}z)^2 \), and \( f(z) = z \), respectively. If we form the set \( S(s) \) of all functions of \( S \) corresponding to a given fixed \( s \), then as \( s \) runs from \( \frac{1}{2} \) to 1 the sets \( S(s) \) will exhaust \( S \).

**Theorem 1.** Let \( f(z) \in S(s), \frac{1}{4} < s < 1 \) and let \( L(r, s) \) be the length of arc of the circle \( |w| = r \) not covered by \( D_f \). If

(6) \[ s < r < s/(2s^3 - 1) \]

then

(7) \[ L(r, s) \leq 2r \cos^{-1} \left(4s^3 - 1 - r(8 - 4s^{-3})\right) \equiv \phi(r, s) \]

and this inequality is sharp in both variables.
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Remarks. It is clear that if \( r < s \), then \( L(r, s) = 0 \), and it will develop in the proof that if \( r \geq s/(2s^3 - 1) \) the entire circle \(|w| = r\) may be omitted. In the range (6) the function

\[
a(r, s) \equiv 4s^3 - 1 - r(8 - 4s^{-1})
\]
satisfies \(|a(r, s)| < 1\), and the principal branch of \( \cos^{-1} \) is to be used in (7). In the special case \( r = s \), (7) becomes

\[
L(r, r) < 2r \cos^{-1}(8r^3 - 8r - 1),
\]
a result obtained by Jenkins. The bound (9) is valid for all functions of \( S \), but equality can occur only if \( r = s \), since \( \phi(r, s) \) is an increasing function of \( s \) for each \( r \) in \( \frac{1}{2} < s < r < 1 \).

Proof. We begin by constructing an explicit conformal mapping. Consider, in the \( \zeta\)-plane, the domain \( D \) bounded by \(|\zeta| = 1\) together with the two portions of the real axis \( \zeta_1 = -\rho_1 < \zeta < -1 \) and \( 1 < \zeta < \infty \), and distinguish the point \( \zeta_0 = -\rho_0 \) \((\rho_0 > \rho_1 > 1)\) within this domain. The function \( \eta = \zeta + \zeta^{-1} + 2 \) maps this domain on the \( \eta\)-plane slit along the real axis from \( \eta_1 = -(\rho_1 + \rho_1^{-1} - 2) < 0 \) to \( +\infty \). The point \( \zeta_0 \) goes into \( \eta_0 = - (\rho_0 + \rho_0^{-1} - 2) < \eta_1 \). The function \( W = (\eta - \eta_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \) (taking the positive determination on the upper side of the positive real axis) maps the preceding domain on the upper half \( W\)-plane, \( \eta_0 \) going into \( W_0 = i(\eta_1 - \eta_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \). Finally \( w = (W - W_0)/(W - \bar{W}_0) \) maps the latter domain on \(|z| < 1\), \( W_0 \) going into \( z = 0 \). An elementary calculation shows that

\[
\left. \frac{d\zeta}{dz} \right|_{z=0} = \frac{4\rho_0(\rho_0 - 1)}{\rho_0 + 1} - \frac{4\rho_0^2(\rho_1 - 1)^2}{\rho_1(\rho_0^2 - 1)} > 0.
\]

On the other hand the function \( Z = \rho_0(\zeta^2 + \rho_0\zeta)/(\rho_0\zeta + 1) \) maps \( D \) on a domain \( D^* \) bounded by an arc on \(|Z| = \rho_0 \) placed symmetrically with respect to the positive real axis, together with the portions

\[
Z_1 = \frac{\rho_0\rho_1(\rho_0 - \rho_1)}{\rho_0\rho_1 - 1} < Z < \zeta_0 \]

of the latter. The point \( \zeta_0 \) goes into \( Z = 0 \). By an elementary calculation

\[
\left. \frac{dZ}{d\zeta} \right|_{\zeta=0} = \rho_0^2(\rho_0^2 - 1).
\]

As a function of \( z \), \( Z \) maps \(|z| < 1\) on the domain \( D^* \) and

\[
\left. \frac{dZ}{dz} \right|_{z=0} = \frac{4\rho_0^3}{(\rho_0 + 1)^2} - \frac{4\rho_0^4(\rho_1 - 1)^2}{\rho_1(\rho_0^2 - 1)} \equiv K.
\]

Thus the function \( w = Z/K \) belongs to \( S(s) \), where

\[
s = \frac{Z_1}{K} = \frac{\rho_1^2(\rho_0^2 - 1)^2}{4\rho_0^3(\rho_0\rho_1 - 1)^2}.
\]
It maps $|z| < 1$ onto a domain $\mathcal{D}(r, s)$ bounded by the portion $s < z < \infty$ of the real axis and an arc of the circle $|w| = r$, placed symmetrically with respect to the real axis. An easy computation yields

$$r = \frac{\rho_0}{K} = \frac{\rho_1(\rho_0^2 - 1)^2}{4\rho_0^2(\rho_0\rho_1 - 1)(\rho_0 - \rho_1)}.$$  

To determine the length of arc on $|w| = r$ on the boundary of $\mathcal{D}(r, s)$ we note that the end points of the arc in $D^*$ are the images of the points on $|\zeta| = 1$ where $dZ/d\zeta = 0$, i.e., the solutions of $\rho_0^2\zeta^2 + 2\zeta + \rho_0 = 0$. These are the points $\zeta = (-1 \pm i(\rho_0^2 - 1)^{1/2})/\rho_0$ and their images are

$$Z = (\rho_0^2 - 2 \pm 2i(\rho_0^2 - 1)^{1/2})/\rho_0.$$  

The angle subtended by this arc is $\theta = 2\cos^{-1}(1 - 2/\rho_0^2)$ (the principal branch of $\cos^{-1}$ being used). It remains to determine $\rho_0$ as a function of $s$. Solving equations (12) and (13) simultaneously, yields

$$\rho_0 = \frac{s^{1/4}}{((2r - s^{1/2})(2s^{1/2} - 1)^{1/2})},$$  

and

$$\rho_1 = \frac{s^{3/4}(2r - s^{1/2})}{r(2s^{1/2} - 1)^{3/2}}.$$  

The conditions $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$, $s < r$ required by the domain $\mathcal{D}(r, s)$, imply that $2r - s^{1/2} > 0$ and $2s^{1/2} - 1 > 0$, so that (14) and (15) determine positive values of $\rho_0$ and $\rho_1$. The construction of a function mapping $|z| < 1$ onto $\mathcal{D}(r, s)$ can be effected in the manner described if $\rho_0$ and $\rho_1$ determined by (14) and (15) satisfy

$$\rho_0 > \rho_1 > 1.$$  

The condition $\rho_1 > 1$ leads from (15) to the equivalent condition

$$(r - s)(r + s - 2rs^{1/2}) > 0,$$  

and the requirement that $\rho_0 > \rho_1$ yields

$$\rho_0 - \rho_1 = \frac{s^{1/4}(r + s - 2rs^{1/2})}{r((2r - s^{1/2})(2s^{1/2} - 1)^{1/2})} > 0,$$  

and since the other factors are positive, both (17) and (18) are satisfied if and only if

$$r + s - 2rs^{1/2} > 0.$$  

This yields the right side of (6) and also gives

$$s > 2r^2 - r - 2r(r^2 - r)^{1/2}.$$  

This last expression coincides with the lower bound given in a theorem due to Pick and Nevanlinna (proved again in (1)) whereby a bounded function
\[ |g(z)| < r, \ g(z) \in S, \] cannot omit a point with modulus less than the left side of (20). This shows that if \( r \geq s/(2s^3 - 1) \) then the entire circle \(|w| = r\) may be omitted by \( f(z)\).

We have thus shown that if \( r \) and \( s \) are given, subject to the restriction (6), and \( \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1 \), then (14) and (15) determine \( p_0 \) and \( p_1 \) satisfying (16), and the function \( f(z) \) mapping \(|z| < 1\) onto \( D(r, s) \) can be constructed as described. For this function the arc omitted on \(|w| = r\) has length

\[ 2r \cos^{-1}(1 - 2/p_0^2) \]

and using (14) this yields \( \phi(r, s) \), the left side of (7).

The proof that \( \phi(r, s) \) is a maximum for \( L(r, s) \) in the class of functions \( S(s) \) is almost identical with that given by Jenkins (2), in the special case \( r = s \), and hence will not be reproduced here.

3. A lower bound for \( A \). We now apply Theorem 1 to obtain a new lower bound for \( A \). We consider the various subsets \( S(s) \) of \( S \) and (with the notation of §1) set

\[ (21) \quad A(s) = \inf_{f \in S(s)} \{ A_f \}, \]

and hence

\[ (22) \quad A = \inf_{1/2 \leq s \leq 1} \{ A(s) \}. \]

Let \( B(s) = \pi - A(s) \), then by Theorem 1

\[ (23) \quad B(s) < B^*(s) = \int_{s}^{1} \phi(r, s) \, dr. \]

This integral can be evaluated in terms of the elementary functions:

\[ (24) \quad B^*(s) = F(\alpha, \beta, 1) - F(\alpha, \beta, s) \]

where

\[ (25) \quad F(\alpha, \beta, r) = \alpha^{-2}\{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - \frac{1}{2}) \cos^{-1}(\alpha + \beta) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} (3\beta - \alpha r)(1 - (\alpha r + \beta)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \}, \]

where \( \alpha = 4s^{-\frac{3}{4}} - 8 \) and \( \beta = 4s^{\frac{3}{4}} - 1 \). All that remains is to determine the maximum value of \( B^*(s) \) for \( s \) in the interval \((\frac{1}{2}, 1)\). This, unfortunately, leads to a transcendental equation and we are forced to make a dull and detailed set of computations to secure a valid numerical bound for \( B^*(s) \).

A table of \( B^*(s) \) partially reproduced at the end of the paper, seems to indicate that \( B^*(s) < 1.18 < .376\pi \), which would imply (4). To prove (4) it is however sufficient to show that

\[ (26) \quad B^*(s) < 1.19 < .38\pi. \]

The proof of (26) involved a great deal of tedious computation, but, briefly, was accomplished as follows.
In (23) change the variable of integration from $r$ to $t$ where
\[ t^2 = 1 - 2s^3 + r(4 - 2s^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \{1 - a(r, s)\} > 0. \]
Also put $\xi = 2s^3 - 1$. As a result of these transformations we can write
\[ B^*(s) = G(\xi) = \frac{(1 + \xi)^2}{2\xi^2} \int_{\xi}^{\gamma(t)} (\xi + t^2) t \sin^{-1} t \, dt \]
where $0 < \xi < 1$ for $\frac{1}{4} < s < 1$, and
\[ \gamma(\xi) = \left(\frac{3\xi - \xi^2}{1 + \xi}\right)^{1/2}. \]

The formula (27) was found convenient for estimation because of the convexity properties of $\gamma(\xi)$ and the inverse sine. The next step was to find bounds for $\Delta G(\xi) = G(\xi + \Delta \xi) - G(\xi)$ as a function of $\xi$ and $\Delta \xi$, useful for small $|\Delta \xi|$. This is a straightforward task, starting from (27), but we do not reproduce the result here as it is quite complicated and uninteresting. The last step of the procedure was to evaluate $G(\xi)$ at a series of about forty unequally spaced mesh points $\{\xi_i\}$ in $[0, 1]$, and to apply the upper bound that had been obtained for $|\Delta G(\xi)|$ to show that $G(\xi)$ did not exceed 1.19 in any interval $(\xi_i, \xi_{i+1})$.

4. A supplementary remark. The upper bound on $A$ stated in (2) shows that there exist $f \in S$ which omit an area
\[ \pi - A_f > .7137 \]
in $|w| < 1$. Let $\tilde{S}$ be the subset of $S$ for which (28) holds. We now prove

**Theorem 2.** $f \in \tilde{S}$ implies that $D_f$ contains the circle $|w| < .295$.

**Proof.** We show that $G(\xi) < 0.7135$ for $0 < \xi < 0.0868$. Since
\[ \sin^{-1} t \leq \frac{\sin^{-1} \gamma(\xi)}{\gamma(\xi)} t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \gamma(\xi), \]
we have, using (27),
\[ G(\xi) \leq \frac{(1 + \xi)^2 \sin^{-1} \gamma(\xi)}{2\xi^2 \gamma(\xi)} \int_{\xi}^{\gamma(t)} (\xi t^2 + t^4) \, dt = \frac{(7 + \xi)(3 - \xi) \sin^{-1} \gamma(\xi)}{15} \leq \frac{7 + \xi}{5} \sin^{-1} \gamma(\xi) < 0.7135, \]
if $\xi \leq 0.0868$.

**Corollary.** If there exists a function $g \in S$ for which $A_g = A$, then $D_g$ contains the circle $|w| < 0.295$. 
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$s$</th>
<th>$B^*(s)/\pi$</th>
<th>$A^<em>(s)/\pi \equiv 1 - B^</em>(s)/\pi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.00000</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.22639</td>
<td>.77361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.29716</td>
<td>.70284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.33799</td>
<td>.66201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.36164</td>
<td>.63863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.50</td>
<td>.37305</td>
<td>.62695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.37457</td>
<td>.62543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.60</td>
<td>.36741</td>
<td>.63259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.35218</td>
<td>.64782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.70</td>
<td>.32908</td>
<td>.67092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.29806</td>
<td>.70194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.80</td>
<td>.25881</td>
<td>.74119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.85</td>
<td>.21081</td>
<td>.78919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.15315</td>
<td>.84685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.95</td>
<td>.08425</td>
<td>.91574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>.00000</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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