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468 What Would Justice Blackmun Say?
A Response to Dobbs
Radhika Rao

Dobbs appears more extreme when juxtaposed against Roe’s hidden history. Justice Blackmun was the author of Roe, but the opinion was the product of a remarkable collaboration that incorporated the suggestions of many Justices. Thus, Roe’s medical framing embodied the vision of the Court as a whole, not one individual.

473 “A Vigorous Campaign against Abortion”: Views of American Leaders of Eugenics v. Supreme Court Distortions
Paul A. Lombardo

The Supreme Court decided Roe v. Planned Parenthood of Indiana and Kentucky in 2019. Justice Clarence Thomas’s opinion in the case claimed there was a direct connection between the legalization of abortion, in the late 20th Century, and the beginnings of the birth control movement a full three quarters of a century earlier. “Many eugenicists,” Thomas argued, “supported legalizing abortion.”

Justice Samuel Alito highlighted similar claims in Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health, citing a brief entitled “The Eugenic Era Lives on through the Abortion Movement.” That brief was an echo of Justice Thomas’ misguided attempt at history in the Roe opinion. Similar claims recurred in Judge Matthew Kacsmaryk’s opinion in the Texas milestone case, Alliance for Hippocratic Medicine v. U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

These false claims are the focus of this article. There is no evidence that early leaders of the eugenics movement supported abortion as part of the movement for birth control.

It is accurate to describe those leaders as anti-abortion, and their followers as people who condemned abortion for moral, legal, and medical reasons.

480 (Re)criminalizing Abortion: Returning to the Political with Stories
George J. Annas

Abortion stories have always played a powerful role in advancing women’s rights. In the abortion sphere particularly, the personal is political. Following the Court’s reversal of Roe v. Wade, abortion politics, and abortion storytelling, take on an even deeper political role in challenging the bloodless judicial language of Dobbs with the lived experience of women. It is suggested that the future of the abortion debate will be situated not only in telling new stories, but also in retelling older abortion stories, published in both the pre-Roe era and during the Roe era, stories that will take on new meaning and power in our post-Roe v. Wade era.

Aziza Ahmed, Dabney P. Evans, Jason Jackson, Benjamin Mason Meier, and Cecilia Tomori

This commentary situates Dobbs in the context of a long historical shift in public health that increasingly places burdens on individuals for their own reproductive health care, moving away from the possibility of a robust state public health infrastructure.

Social and Legal Dimensions of the Post-Dobbs Healthcare Environment

490 The Reproductive Injustices of Abortion Bans for Disability
Leslie Francis

This article argues that state laws banning abortions for disability violate reproductive justice for parents with disabilities. These bans deprive people with disabilities of choices that may be important to their possibilities of becoming parents, including possibilities for abortion of pregnancies that have become risky to continue. Far from protecting disability civil rights, these state law bans restrict the abilities of people with disabilities to choose to have children and to parent.
The Impact of Dobbs on US Graduate Medical Education
Amirala S. Pasha, Daniel Breitkopf, and Gretchen Glaser

The Dobbs decision will directly affect patients and reproductive rights; it will also impact patients indirectly in many ways, one of which will be changes in the physician workforce through its impact on graduate medical education. Current residency accreditation standards require training in all forms of contraception in addition to training in the provision of abortion. State bans on abortions may diminish access to training as approximately half of obstetrics and gynecology residency programs are in states with significant abortion restrictions. The Dobbs decision creates numerous hurdles for trainees and their programs. Trainees in restrictive states will have to travel to learn in a different program in a protective state. As training opportunities diminish, potentially leading to a decline in clinical skills, knowledge, and experience in the provision of abortion, the rate of complications and maternal mortality are likely to rise. This will likely have a disproportionately negative effect on preexisting disparities in reproductive health fueled by a longstanding history of systemic racism and inequities. This work aims to both define the looming problem in abortion training created by Dobbs and propose solutions to ensure that an adequate workforce is available in the future to serve patient needs.

Ethical and Legal Obligations for Research Involving Pregnant Persons in a Post-Dobbs Context
Richard M. Weinmeyer, Seema K. Shah, and Michelle L. McGowan

In light of a history of categorical exclusion, it is critical that pregnant people are included in research to help improve the knowledge base and interventions needed to address public health. Yet the volatile legal landscape around reproductive rights in the United States threatens to undermine recent progress made toward the greater inclusion of pregnant people in research. We offer ethical and practical guidance for researchers, sponsors, and institutional review boards to take specific steps to minimize legal risks and ensure the ethical conduct of research with pregnant people in an evolving legal environment.

Reproductive Genetic Medicine in a Post-Dobbs World: Will it Make Life Harder for People with Genetic Disease?
Sonia M. Suter and Laura Hercher

Post-Dobbs abortion restrictions impact access and choice in the context of reproductive genetic medicine, raising serious reproductive justice concerns. The consequences of these restrictions are particularly acute and far-reaching for individuals with genetic conditions and their families.

Where Does Life Begin? Discerning the Impact of Dobbs on Assisted Reproductive Technologies
Judith Daar

This article explores the impact of Dobbs on access to assisted reproductive technologies. Clinical aspects of IVF, including embryo discard and cryopreservation, preimplantation genetic testing, and selective reduction of multiple pregnancy are potentially jeopardized by a new legal landscape that protects embryos over the interest of infertility patients.

From Constitutional Protections to Medical Ethics: The Future of Pregnant Patients’ Medical Self-Determination Rights After Dobbs
Nadia N. Sawicki and Elizabeth Kukura

This article argues that the Supreme Court’s decision in Dobbs is likely to impact medical decision-making by pregnant patients in a variety of contexts. Of particular concern are situations where a patient declines treatment recommended for its potential benefit to the fetus and situations where treatment is withheld due to potential risk to the fetus. The Court’s elevation of fetal interests, combined with a history of courts using abortion jurisprudence to guide their reasoning in compelled treatment cases, means that Dobbs has the potential to limit patient autonomy in a wide array of clinical settings. The article calls on professional medical associations to issue ethical guidance affirming the duty to respect the medical self-determination of pregnant patients.

Legal Regulation of Pregnancy and Reproduction

Stopping Criminalization at the Bedside
Wendy A. Bach and Mishka Terplan

Low-income women and, disproportionately low-income women of color seeking reproductive and pregnancy care are increasingly subject to what this article terms carceral care – care compromised by its’ proximity to punishment systems. This article identifies the legal and health care practice mechanisms leading to carceral care and proposes solutions designed to stop criminalization at the bedside.

Beyond Roe: Implications for End-of-Life Decision-Making During Pregnancy
Joan H. Krause

The end of Roe v. Wade has significant implications for the autonomy of pregnant patients at the end of life. At least thirty states restrict the choice to withhold/withdraw life-sustaining treatments from pregnant patients without decisional capacity, invalidating prior advance directives and prohibit-
ing others from choosing these options for the patient. Many restrictions are based on the Roe framework, applying after “viability” or similar considerations of fetal development or prospect for live birth. Scholars have also relied on the abortion framework, arguing that the restrictions impose an undue burden. The end of Roe will free states from having to craft limited restrictions designed to work around prior abortion jurisprudence. Similarly, advocates will no longer be able to draw support from the abortion framework, forcing them to rely instead on cases supporting rights to autonomy/bodily integrity in medical decision-making.

545
Leveraging the Tools Available:
Using the Hyde Amendment to Preserve Minimum Abortion Access and Mitigate Harms in Restrictive States
Fabiola Carrión, Lee Hasselbacher, and Terri-Ann Thompson
The overturn of Roe v. Wade has resulted in fewer rights and resources for people seeking abortion care, particularly in the South. The Hyde Amendment has historically restricted abortion access for those enrolled in Medicaid. We argue here that its guarantees of minimum abortion coverage should be leveraged to offset harms where possible.

550
Health Inequities Among People Who Use Drugs in a Post-Dobbs America:
The Case for a Syndemic Analysis
Jennifer J. Carroll, Bayla Ostrach, and Taleed El-Sabawi
Punitive policy responses to substance use and to abortion care constitute direct attacks on personal liberty and bodily autonomy. In this article, we leverage the concept of “syndemics” to anticipate how the already synergistic stigmas against people who use drugs and people who seek abortion services will be further compounded the Dobbs decision.

555
Mifepristone Paternalism at the FDA
Jordan Paradise
This article explores the role of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in drug approval and restrictions to mifepristone access in the context of historical regulation and current litigation.

560
Legally Recognizing Reproductive Coercion while Questioning Sexual Violence Exceptionalism
Jane Stover
While sexual violence should not be the prerequisite for legal abortion, expanding definitions of abuse to include reproductive coercion can open avenues of access to abortion following the Dobbs decision. Understanding the increased danger and compounding challenges of intimate partner violence can inform legislative initiatives, healthcare responses, and movements for reproductive justice.

565
Prosecutorial Discretion for Self-Managed Abortion Helpers
Patty Skuster
Elected prosecutors have pledged not to enforce abortion laws, in response to state-level abortion bans. For their pledges to be meaningful, prosecutors must exercise their discretion in cases of individuals who face legal risk, including people who help others self-manage their abortions. With a harm-reduction approach to improving abortion access, prosecutors should aim to reduce abortion helpers’ involvement with the criminal justice system.

570
Continuous Reproductive Surveillance
Michael R. Ulrich and Leah R. Fowler
The Dobbs opinion emphasizes that the state’s interest in the fetus extends to “all stages of development.” This essay briefly explores whether state legislators, agencies, and courts could use the “all stages of development” language to expand reproductive surveillance by using novel developments in consumer health technologies to augment those efforts.

575
Elizabeth Tobin-Tyler
This article explores how abortion bans in states with large Black populations will exacerbate existing racial inequities in those states’ child welfare systems.

New Strategies and Approaches

584
Understanding Shield Laws
David S. Cohen, Greer Donley, Rachel Rebouché, and Isabelle Aubrun
In anticipation of extraterritorial application of antiabortion laws, many states have enacted laws that attempt to shield abortion providers, helpers, and patients from civil, professional, or criminal liability associated with legal abortion care. This essay analyzes and compares the statutory schemes of the seven early adopting shield states: California, Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and New York. After describing what the laws do and how they operate, we offer reflections on coming disputes, areas of legal uncertainty, and ways to improve future shield laws.
592
The Impact of Dobbs on Health Care Beyond Wanted Abortion Care
Maya Manian
While empirical evidence has exposed the harms and health disparities flowing from being denied a wanted abortion, we know less about how anti-abortion laws and policies impact health care more broadly. This article surveys the public health impacts of Dobbs on health care beyond wanted abortion care. It catalogs the ways in which abortion bans obstruct access to medical care beyond wanted abortions, and provides a roadmap for future empirical research on the health care ripple effects of the Dobbs decision. The essay aims to identify the areas where further public health research is most needed in order to ensure that the public understands the full breadth of health care consequences of the post-Roe policy landscape. This essay also argues that focusing the public’s attention on the deleterious consequences of abortion bans for health care more broadly could help to fend off further restrictions on abortion.

601
Protecting Abortion with State Health Care Freedom of Choice
Tracy Thomas
This essay examines the right of health care freedom of choice contained in some state constitutions. It explores how courts have, and could, use this constitutional health care right as a basis for recognizing or reinforcing a fundamental right to choose an abortion.

606
Reproductive Justice Beyond Borders: Global Feminist Solidarity in the Post-Roe Era
Gabriela Arguedas-Ramírez and Danielle M. Wenner
The global impact of Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Organization and the backlash towards reproductive justice that it represents warrant a global feminist response informed by broad theoretical and geopolitical lenses. We consider how a solidaristic, transnational feminist movement might learn from Latin American feminist movements that have been successful in uniting broad coalitions in the fight for reproductive justice as situated within far-reaching political goals. The success of such a global movement must be decolonial and must contend with the fact that overlapping realities of global inequality, severe poverty, extractivism, and western-backed violence are fundamentally implicated in reproductive justice.

612
Anti-Abortion Exceptionalism after Dobbs
Elizabeth Sepper
The end of the constitutional right to abortion with Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health stands to generate massive conflict between abortion regulation and the First Amendment. Abortion exceptionalism within constitutional doctrine—which both treats abortion differently than other areas and favors anti-abortion over pro-choice viewpoints—will not retreat but advance, unless confronted by the courts.

618
Challenges for the Pro-Life Movement in a Post-Roe Era
Cathleen Kaveny
This article argues that state laws banning abortions for disability violate reproductive justice for parents with disabilities. These bans deprive people with disabilities of choices that may be important to their possibilities of becoming parents, including possibilities for abortion of pregnancies that have become risky to continue. Far from protecting disability civil rights, these state law bans restrict the abilities of people with disabilities to choose to have children and to parent.
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“A Raw Blessing” – Caregivers’ Experiences Providing Care to Persons Living with Dementia in the COVID-19 Pandemic
Emily A. Largent, Andrew Peterson, Kristin Harkins, Cameron Coykendall, Melanie Kleid, Maramawit Abera, Shana D. Stites, Jason Karlawish, and Justin T. Clapp
Background and Objectives: The COVID-19 pandemic has been devastating for people living with dementia (PLWD) and their caregivers. While prior research has documented these effects, it has not delved into their specific causes or how they are modified by contextual variation in caregiving circumstances.

Research Design and Methods: Semi-structured phone interviews were conducted with 40 caregivers purposively stratified across PLWD’s place of residence (community vs. LTC), the caregiver-PLWD relationship (spousal vs. non-spousal), and caregiver gender. Interviews were analyzed using constructivist grounded theory to understand the impact of the pandemic on caregiving relationships.

Results: We identified 3 trajectories of caregiving relationships in the pandemic: (1) continuity in caregiving between the pre-pandemic and pandemic period; (2) disruption in caregiving characterized by isolation from a PLWD in a LTC facility. Caregivers on the two “disrupted” trajectories reported negative consequences for their wellbeing, the PLWD’s wellbeing, and their dyadic relationship.

Discussion and Implications: Our findings identify mechanisms underlying caregiver and PLWD wellbeing during the COVID-19 pandemic. An understanding of these mechanisms can inform policies and practices to support caregivers and PLWD in the pandemic and beyond.
Ethics and Medical Aid in Dying: Physicians’ Perspectives on Disclosure, Presence, and Eligibility
Matthew DeCamp, Julie Ressalam, Hillary D. Lum, Elizabeth R. Kessler, Dragana Bolcic-Jankovic, Vinay Kini, and Eric G. Campbell

Medical aid in dying (MAiD), despite being legal in many jurisdictions, remains controversial ethically. Existing surveys of physicians’ perceptions of MAiD tend to focus on the legal or moral permissibility of MAiD in general. Using a novel sampling strategy, we surveyed physicians likely to have engaged in MAiD-related activities in Colorado to assess their attitudes toward contemporary ethical issues in MAiD (including physicians’ disclosure of their own views, physician presence during MAiD drug ingestion, expanding MAiD indications, and perceived utility of ethics consultation). From 583 potential respondents, we received 300 completed surveys (response rate, 55%). Of 157 physicians who had discussed MAiD, 62 (39.5%) had never disclosed their own views to a patient. Physicians who identified as women were more likely to report having never disclosed (p=0.001). Physicians who served as attending or consulting MAiD physicians were more willing than physicians who had not served to be present at the time a patient self-administered MAiD drugs (p=0.046). Physicians reported greater willingness to expand MAiD to the persistent vegetative state than to intractable psychiatric illness. Our findings suggest the need for additional moral clarity regarding how physicians interpret obligations of self-disclosure and for research to understand beliefs surrounding MAiD expansion.

Harvey and Gurvir’s Law: Ontario Bill for Quality Prenatal Information about Down Syndrome: Terminology, Feasibility, and Ethical Issues
Marie-Eve Lemoine, Anne-Marie Laberge, Marie-Françoise Malo, Stéphanie Cloutier, Marie-Christine Roy, Stanislaw Birko, Andrée Daigle, and Vardit Ravitsky

Harvey and Gurvir’s Law aims to reduce stigma and bias associated with Down syndrome by developing and disseminating quality information about Down syndrome in the context of prenatal testing. The initial version of the bill proposed guidelines pertaining to up-to-date and evidence-based informational material, as well as the imposition of a 48-hour waiting period after disclosing test results, before discussing further options, to ensure that patients have enough time to process this information and make an informed choice. The waiting-period provision was abandoned in the second version of the bill. In this essay, we use the US experience to highlight the implementation challenges of prenatal information laws. Second, we discuss the notion of a 48-hour waiting period as well as the practical, ethical, and legal considerations that may have motivated the removal of this provision from the bill. We argue that a different formulation of this provision may have allowed proponents of Harvey and Gurvir’s Law to keep it in line with practical, ethical, and legal requirements. We suggest reintroducing a modified version of the waiting-period provision to promote both informed and free choice in the context of prenatal testing for Down syndrome.
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