Assessment of the dietary intake of total flavan-3-ols, monomeric flavan-3-ols, proanthocyanidins and theaflavins in the European Union
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Abstract

Dietary interventions with flavan-3-ols have shown beneficial effects on vascular function. The translation of these findings into the context of the health of the general public requires detailed information on habitual dietary intake. However, only limited data are currently available for European populations. Therefore, in the present study, we assessed the habitual intake of flavan-3-ol monomers, proanthocyanidins (PA) and theaflavins in the European Union (EU) and determined their main food sources using the EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database. Data for adults aged 18–64 years were available from fourteen European countries, and intake was determined using the FLAVIOLA Flavanol Food Composition Database, developed for the present study and based on the latest US Department of Agriculture and Phenol-Explorer databases. The mean habitual intake of flavan-3-ol monomers, theaflavins and PA ranged from 181 mg/d (Czech Republic) to 793 mg/d (Ireland). The highest intakes of flavan-3-ol monomers and theaflavins were observed in Ireland (191/505 mg/d) and the lowest intakes in Spain (24/9 mg/d). In contrast, the daily intake of PA was highest in Spain (175 mg/d) and lowest in The Netherlands (96 mg/d). Main sources were tea (62 %), pome fruits (11 %), berries (3 %) and cocoa products (3 %). Tea was the major single contributor to monomer intake (75 %), followed by pome fruits (6 %). Pome fruits were also the main source of PA (28 %). The present study provides important data on the population-based intake of flavanols in the EU and demonstrates that dietary intake amounts for flavan-3-ol monomers, PA and theaflavins vary significantly across European countries. The average habitual intake of flavan-3-ols is considerably below the amounts used in most dietary intervention studies.

Key words: Flavanols: Flavan-3-ol monomers: Procyanidins: Theaflavins: Dietary intake: European Food Safety Authority

Abbreviations: DP, degree of polymerisation; EFSA, European Food Safety Authority; EPIC, European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; EU, European Union; PA, proanthocyanidin.

*Corresponding author: G. G. C. Kuhnle, fax +44 118 378 7708, email g.g.kuhnle@reading.ac.uk

Flavanols are a structurally complex subclass of flavonoids that are present in a number of foods such as pome fruits (e.g. apples and pears), legumes, cocoa, tea and wine. Dietary intervention studies have shown that flavanols can have a beneficial effect on vascular function and could, therefore, reduce the risk of CVD(1–3); indeed, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has recently approved a health claim for flavanols(4). However, flavanols are a complex group of phytonutrients, often considered to include free and gallated flavan-3-ol monomers and oligomeric and polymeric compounds known as proanthocyanidins (PA), as well as other derivatives, such as theaflavins and thearubigins(5). These compounds differ considerably not only in their structural and functional properties, but also in their metabolism and bioavailability(5,6,7).

While dietary intervention studies have been able to show beneficial effects, results from observational studies have been more ambiguous and inconsistent(7,8). This can be explained not only by lower intake values in observational studies, but also by differences in the types of flavanols used. Dietary intervention studies have used mainly flavanols derived from specific foods, such as cocoa and grape seed extracts(9), and have, therefore, focused on very specific compounds. Conversely, in observational studies, the intake of flavan-3-ols has often been calculated as that of all flavan-3-ols, even though the main contributor to total flavan-3-ol
intake in the general public, theaflavins, is found neither in cocoa powder nor in grape seeds.

To investigate the potential health effects of flavanols in the general public and to determine whether results from intervention studies could be translated into dietary recommendations, it is important to obtain accurate information on habitual intake and main dietary sources. However, the information currently available is limited, as it is mainly based on observational studies, which are often not representative of the general public. Furthermore, differences in the interpretation of flavan-3-ols in the nomenclature make comparisons difficult. Thus, currently available information on the estimated dietary intake of flavanols varies widely and there is a paucity of reliable information on intake in the general public. Indeed, comprehensive data on flavan-3-ol intake in a representative sample of the European population are currently not available.

New food composition databases on flavonoids developed recently have opened a new approach for the estimation of dietary flavanol intake and the assessment of potential health benefits. In the present study, we used these databases to develop the FLAVIOLA Flavanol Food Composition Database, containing information on the flavanol content of more than 3000 different foods. Using this database and the recently published Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database, we determined the habitual dietary intake of flavanols in a representative sample of European adults in fourteen European countries.

**Subjects and methods**

The EFSA Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database is a unique tool that has been built from existing national information on food consumption. Food consumption data for adults aged 18–64 years from twenty-one surveys (approximately 30,000 individuals) are available for fourteen countries. Information concerning the methodologies used in each survey is given in Table S1 (available online). Food consumption statistics are reported in g/d and for chronic consumption. For each country, consumption data are given according to the first (twenty categories) and second (140 categories) levels of the FoodEx system and for the total population. The hierarchical food classification system ‘FoodEx’, developed by the EFSA, was used to codify all the food items.

To estimate the intake of flavan-3-ols in Europe, we developed the FLAVIOLA Flavanol Food Composition Database containing food composition data for approximately 3000 food items. (More information on the database can be found on the website of the FLAVIOLA project, http://www.flaviola.org) The mean values of each flavan-3-ol compound are reported as mg/100 g of fresh weight of edible portion of food. This database is based on the USDA (US Department of Agriculture) Database for the Flavonoid Content of Selected Foods and the PA Content of Selected Foods, expanded with values from the Phenol-Explorer, a comprehensive database on the polyphenol content of foods. These databases are the most up-to-date databases on flavanoids and polyphenols and include information on 500, 205 and 456 food items for flavonoids, PA, and polyphenols, respectively.

We expanded and completed the FLAVIOLA Flavanol Food Composition Database using DINER (Data Into Nutrients for Epidemiological Research), a food database created for the EPIC (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition)-Norfolk study. The EPIC-Norfolk database was used for the calculation of the estimated flavan-3-ol content of approximately 2500 food items (82% of the 3000 total foods). The flavan-3-ol content of food preparations was calculated using approximately 800 recipes; retention and cooking factors for approximately 500 food items were used to estimate the effect of processing (e.g. frying, boiling and roasting). When information on the ingredients of commercial food products was provided by the manufacturers, their flavan-3-ol content was also calculated; where no information was available, products were matched to similar ones if possible. Food items thought not to contain significant levels of flavanols (fish, meat and eggs) were treated as logical zeros and omitted from the database. Dietary intake was estimated for three major

### Table 1. Estimated mean and median flavan-3-ol intakes (mg/d) for adults in the EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Total flavan-3-ols</th>
<th>Flavan-3-ol monomers</th>
<th>Proanthocyanidins</th>
<th>Theaflavins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>180-8</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>15-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>318-9</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>276-6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>56-1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>289-9</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>503-5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>111-3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>187-2</td>
<td>68-4</td>
<td>38-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>792-9</td>
<td>701-5</td>
<td>190-7</td>
<td>174-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>223-7</td>
<td>40-6</td>
<td>28-8</td>
<td>5-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>348-5</td>
<td>182-6</td>
<td>72-2</td>
<td>45-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>581-3</td>
<td>321-7</td>
<td>138-5</td>
<td>79-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>43-6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>252-2</td>
<td>72-4</td>
<td>52-4</td>
<td>14-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>600-3</td>
<td>408-1</td>
<td>139-8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
flavan-3-ol subgroups (flavan-3-ol monomers, PA and theaflavins) and their seventeen component flavanols: flavan-3-ol monomers ((−)epicatechin, (−)-epicatechin-3′-gallate, (+)-catechin, (−)-epigallocatechin, (−)-epigallocatechin-3′-gallate, (+)-gallicatechin and (−)-catechin-3′-gallate); theaflavins (theaflavin, thearubigins, theaflavin-3,3′-digallate, theaflavin-3′-gallate and theaflavin-3-gallate); PA (dimers, trimers, tetramers–hexamers, heptamers–decamers and > decamers).

The intake of flavan-3-ols was calculated based on the consumption data for each of the 140 categories of the second level of the FoodEx system. As many categories contain

Table 2. Estimated mean and median flavan-3-ol intakes (mg/d) for adults in the three European regions in the EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Flavan-3-ols</th>
<th>Flavan-3-ol monomers</th>
<th>Proanthocyanidins</th>
<th>Theaflavins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>240·5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35·2</td>
<td>5·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>448·9</td>
<td>235·2</td>
<td>99·5</td>
<td>56·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>282·6</td>
<td>42·3</td>
<td>58·8</td>
<td>8·9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Southern: Spain, France and Italy; Central: Belgium, Czech Republic, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Latvia, The Netherlands and UK; Northern: Denmark, Finland and Sweden.
The estimated mean and median daily intakes (mg/d) of total flavan-3-ols as well as of their subgroups for adults in the European Union (EU) are given in Table 2. The mean intake of total flavan-3-ols and flavan-3-ol subgroups for adults in the three European regions were summarised in Table 2. The mean intake of total flavan-3-ols ranged from 181 mg/d (Czech Republic) to 369 mg/d in the EU. The mean intake of total flavan-3-ols was 241 mg/d in the Southern region, 449 mg/d in the Central region and 283 mg/d in the Northern region.

Estimated daily flavan-3-ol intake

The estimated mean and median daily intakes (mg/d) of total flavan-3-ols was 241 mg/d in the Southern region, 449 mg/d in the Central region and 283 mg/d in the Northern region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroups/compounds</th>
<th>Percentage of total (mg/d)</th>
<th>Percentage of total (mg/d)</th>
<th>Percentage of total (mg/d)</th>
<th>Percentage of total (mg/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flavan-3-ol monomers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-Epicatechin</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-Epicatechin-3-gallate</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-Epigallocatechin</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-Epigallocatechin-3-gallate</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)-Catechin</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)-Gallocatechin</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proanthocyanidins (PA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA trimers</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA tetramers–hexamers</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA heptamers–decamers</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA polymers</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>52.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theaflavins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theaflavin</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theaflavin-3,3'-digallate</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theaflavin-3,3'-gallate</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theaflavin-3-gallate</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thearubigins</td>
<td>156.5</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean daily intake of individual monomers, PA and theaflavins was 123 mg/d for PA and 168 mg/d for theaflavins. The highest intake of monomers was observed in Ireland (369 mg/d). The intake of PA (67 %), followed by PA (34 %) and monomers (21 %). The main contributors to total flavan-3-ol intake (46 %) in the EU, whereas in the Central and Northern regions, theaflavins and their quantitative contribution to total intake was 77 mg/d for monomers, 77 mg/d for theaflavins, 123 mg/d for PA and 168 mg/d for theaflavins. The main contributors (52 and 40 %, respectively).
The individual compounds with the highest intakes were thearubigins (43%) and PA polymers (11%), followed by PA (tetramers–hexamers) (8%). This pattern was similar for the Central and Northern regions, whereas in the Southern region, PA polymers were the main contributors to flavan-3-ol intake (22%).

Major dietary flavan-3-ol sources

The main sources of dietary total flavan-3-ols in the EU were tea (62%), pome fruits (11%), berries (3%), cocoa products (3%) and stone fruits (3%) (Table 4). Tea was the main contributor to monomer intake (75%), followed by pome fruits (6%), whereas pome fruits were the main sources of PA (28%). Table 5 summarises the contributions of the main food groups to flavan-3-ol intake by country. The main sources of total flavan-3-ols were non-alcoholic beverages (46% in France to 89% in Ireland), with tea being the major source for most countries. Fruits were the main contributors to flavan-3-ol intake in Italy and Spain (42 and 45% of total intake, respectively). Alcoholic beverages were also an important source of flavanols in some other countries, with wine being the main contributor to total flavan-3-ol intake within the group of alcoholic beverages.

The main food sources of flavan-3-ols in the Southern region were fruits (38%) and non-alcoholic beverages (23%) (Table 6 and Fig. 3). In the Central region, non-alcoholic beverages were the major source of total flavan-3-ols (62%), followed by fruits (19%). Non-alcoholic beverages were also the main contributors to total flavan-3-ol intake in the Northern region (56%), with fruits making a significant contribution to flavan-3-ol intake (24%). The difference in intakes between European regions was due to variations in tea consumption. The major source of total flavan-3-ols within the group of fruits was pome fruits in all the regions; however, the other important sources of flavan-3-ols varied according to region. In the Southern region, stone fruits were the second most important source of flavan-3-ols, whereas in the Northern region, berries were the second most significant source of flavan-3-ols within the group of fruits.

Discussion

In the present study, we estimated the habitual intake of flavan-3-ols and their major food sources in the adult European population. An important strength is the FLAVIOLA Flavanol Food Composition Database, which has been developed for the present study: it is based on an amalgamation of the most recent food composition data from the USDA Database and those from the Phenol-Explorer, augmented by data from the EPIC-Norfolk food database, DINNER, which provides information on recipes and processing effects. With more than 3000 food items, the FLAVIOLA database is one of the most comprehensive food composition databases for flavanols available. The provision of upper and lower estimates of intake based on the variability of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Intake (mg/d)</th>
<th>Food or food group</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total flavan-3-ols</td>
<td>230·1</td>
<td>Tea (infusion)</td>
<td>62·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38·7</td>
<td>Pome fruits</td>
<td>10·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12·6</td>
<td>Berries and small fruits*</td>
<td>3·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11·6</td>
<td>Cocoa beans and cocoa products</td>
<td>3·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10·9</td>
<td>Stone fruits</td>
<td>2·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavan-3-ol monomers</td>
<td>57·9</td>
<td>Tea (infusion)</td>
<td>75·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4·2</td>
<td>Pome fruits</td>
<td>5·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2·0</td>
<td>Wine</td>
<td>2·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1·4</td>
<td>Berries and small fruits*</td>
<td>1·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1·2</td>
<td>Fruit juice</td>
<td>1·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proanthocyanidins</td>
<td>34·5</td>
<td>Pome fruits</td>
<td>27·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11·2</td>
<td>Berries and small fruits*</td>
<td>9·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10·8</td>
<td>Cocoa beans and cocoa products</td>
<td>8·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10·1</td>
<td>Stone fruits</td>
<td>8·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7·7</td>
<td>Tea (infusion)</td>
<td>6·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theaflavins</td>
<td>164·5</td>
<td>Tea (infusion)</td>
<td>97·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3·7</td>
<td>Tea and herbs for infusions (solid)</td>
<td>2·2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Small fruits according to the definition used by the EFSA in the FoodEx system: azarole (Mediterranean medlar); bearberries; bilberries or whortleberries; blackberries; blueberries; boysenberries; cloudbberries; cranberries; crowberries; currants (red, black and white); dewberries; elderberries; gooseberries; huckleberries; jaboticabas; lingonberries; loganberries; mulberries; physalis; raspberries; rose hips; salmonberries; sea buckthorns; strawberries; strawberry trees; table grapes; thimbleberries; wine grapes; wineberries.
Table 5. Relative contribution of the main food groups to total flavan-3-ol intake by countries in adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food groups and food items</th>
<th>Belgium</th>
<th>Czech Republic</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>France</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>Hungary</th>
<th>Ireland</th>
<th>Italy</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>The Netherlands</th>
<th>Spain</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
<th>UK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grains and grain-based products</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables and vegetable products</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starchy roots and tubers</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legumes, nuts and oilseeds</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit and fruit products</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat and meat products</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk and dairy products</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar and confectionery</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit and vegetable juices</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-alcoholic beverages</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>82.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic beverages</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbs, spices and condiments*</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite food†</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snacks, desserts and other foods</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dietary assessment is unsuitable to measure the intake values for herbs, spices and condiments accurately, and these values are, therefore, likely to be subject to a larger error than values for other food groups.

† Composite foods according to the definition used by the EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) in the FoodEx system include the following: cereal-based dishes; rice-based meals; potato-based dishes; bean-based meals; meat-based meals; fish- and seafood-based meals; vegetable-based meals; egg-based meals; mushroom-based meals; ready-to-eat soups and prepared salads.
Table 6. Relative contribution (%) of food groups and some main foods to the intake of total flavan-3-ols and groups of flavan-3-ols by European region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food Group</th>
<th>Southern</th>
<th>Central</th>
<th>Northern</th>
<th>Southern</th>
<th>Central</th>
<th>Northern</th>
<th>Southern</th>
<th>Central</th>
<th>Northern</th>
<th>Southern</th>
<th>Central</th>
<th>Northern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total flavanols</td>
<td>4·9</td>
<td>3·2</td>
<td>3·6</td>
<td>3·0</td>
<td>1·6</td>
<td>1·3</td>
<td>6·8</td>
<td>9·7</td>
<td>8·9</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total flavan-3-ol monomers</td>
<td>14·1</td>
<td>6·3</td>
<td>2·4</td>
<td>10·0</td>
<td>6·6</td>
<td>0·8</td>
<td>16·1</td>
<td>5·7</td>
<td>5·6</td>
<td>25·0</td>
<td>12·6</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total proanthocyanidins</td>
<td>1·5</td>
<td>4·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>3·2</td>
<td>5·8</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>25·0</td>
<td>12·6</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total theaflavins</td>
<td>12·6</td>
<td>2·3</td>
<td>2·4</td>
<td>6·7</td>
<td>8·0</td>
<td>0·8</td>
<td>16·1</td>
<td>5·7</td>
<td>5·6</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grains and grain-based products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables and vegetable products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea and herbs for infusions</td>
<td>3·4</td>
<td>1·6</td>
<td>1·1</td>
<td>2·6</td>
<td>0·7</td>
<td>0·5</td>
<td>4·5</td>
<td>5·0</td>
<td>2·6</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocoa beans and cocoa products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legumes, nuts and oilseeds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit and fruit products</td>
<td>37·9</td>
<td>18·5</td>
<td>24·4</td>
<td>31·3</td>
<td>10·9</td>
<td>14·2</td>
<td>49·3</td>
<td>47·7</td>
<td>54·6</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrus fruits</td>
<td>1·3</td>
<td>0·4</td>
<td>1·2</td>
<td>2·0</td>
<td>0·4</td>
<td>1·1</td>
<td>1·5</td>
<td>1·1</td>
<td>2·5</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pome fruits</td>
<td>19·1</td>
<td>10·8</td>
<td>13·0</td>
<td>16·0</td>
<td>6·0</td>
<td>6·8</td>
<td>24·3</td>
<td>28·0</td>
<td>29·8</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone fruits</td>
<td>11·9</td>
<td>2·1</td>
<td>2·0</td>
<td>7·2</td>
<td>0·9</td>
<td>0·7</td>
<td>15·7</td>
<td>5·3</td>
<td>4·8</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berries and small fruits</td>
<td>4·0</td>
<td>3·4</td>
<td>6·8</td>
<td>3·2</td>
<td>1·9</td>
<td>3·7</td>
<td>5·6</td>
<td>8·6</td>
<td>14·9</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous fruits</td>
<td>0·6</td>
<td>0·3</td>
<td>0·6</td>
<td>2·0</td>
<td>0·6</td>
<td>1·1</td>
<td>0·5</td>
<td>0·6</td>
<td>0·8</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat and fish</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·1</td>
<td>0·1</td>
<td>0·1</td>
<td>0·1</td>
<td>0·1</td>
<td>0·1</td>
<td>0·2</td>
<td>0·1</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk and dairy products</td>
<td>0·8</td>
<td>0·6</td>
<td>1·2</td>
<td>1·2</td>
<td>0·5</td>
<td>1·1</td>
<td>1·0</td>
<td>1·6</td>
<td>2·5</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar and confectionery</td>
<td>1·9</td>
<td>2·3</td>
<td>3·2</td>
<td>1·7</td>
<td>1·4</td>
<td>1·8</td>
<td>2·7</td>
<td>7·3</td>
<td>7·2</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit and vegetable juices</td>
<td>1·0</td>
<td>0·9</td>
<td>2·0</td>
<td>3·2</td>
<td>2·0</td>
<td>4·7</td>
<td>0·8</td>
<td>1·8</td>
<td>2·6</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-alcoholic beverages</td>
<td>22·7</td>
<td>61·8</td>
<td>56·4</td>
<td>35·9</td>
<td>70·7</td>
<td>68·7</td>
<td>1·7</td>
<td>10·5</td>
<td>5·7</td>
<td>75·0</td>
<td>87·4</td>
<td>100·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea (infusion)</td>
<td>22·4</td>
<td>61·5</td>
<td>55·9</td>
<td>35·4</td>
<td>70·2</td>
<td>67·5</td>
<td>1·4</td>
<td>9·9</td>
<td>4·8</td>
<td>75·0</td>
<td>87·4</td>
<td>100·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffee (beverage)</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·2</td>
<td>0·2</td>
<td>0·2</td>
<td>0·7</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocoa beverage</td>
<td>0·2</td>
<td>0·2</td>
<td>0·4</td>
<td>0·2</td>
<td>0·2</td>
<td>0·3</td>
<td>0·3</td>
<td>0·4</td>
<td>0·8</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic beverages</td>
<td>5·1</td>
<td>2·7</td>
<td>4·2</td>
<td>9·3</td>
<td>4·5</td>
<td>5·8</td>
<td>5·9</td>
<td>5·3</td>
<td>7·7</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wine</td>
<td>4·8</td>
<td>2·0</td>
<td>3·6</td>
<td>8·0</td>
<td>2·4</td>
<td>4·0</td>
<td>5·8</td>
<td>4·6</td>
<td>7·2</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beer and cider</td>
<td>0·2</td>
<td>0·6</td>
<td>0·6</td>
<td>1·1</td>
<td>2·0</td>
<td>1·8</td>
<td>0·1</td>
<td>0·6</td>
<td>0·5</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbs, spices and condiments</td>
<td>7·2</td>
<td>1·0</td>
<td>0·1</td>
<td>0·3</td>
<td>0·2</td>
<td>0·2</td>
<td>10·0</td>
<td>2·5</td>
<td>0·1</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite foods</td>
<td>0·1</td>
<td>0·5</td>
<td>0·7</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·2</td>
<td>0·4</td>
<td>0·1</td>
<td>1·5</td>
<td>1·5</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snacks, desserts and other foods</td>
<td>0·8</td>
<td>0·4</td>
<td>0·4</td>
<td>1·4</td>
<td>0·5</td>
<td>0·5</td>
<td>1·0</td>
<td>1·2</td>
<td>0·8</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the present study, which can be explained by differences in the study population and dietary assessment methods.

For individual subclasses of flavanols also, there were differences with regard to previously reported data: for example, in a representative sample of the Dutch population, flavanol monomer intake was 56 mg/d (14), which is lower than the values obtained both in the present study and at the EPIC centres of The Netherlands (139 and 89 mg/d, respectively) (23). However, this study included an age range (1–93 years) that was wider than that used in both the EPIC study (35–74 years) and the present study (18–64 years). Furthermore, the study relied on food composition data for only a limited number of foods, whereas both the EPIC study and the present study used more comprehensive databases. The intake of PA in the present study was lower than that in the EPIC study (e.g. in the UK: 109 vs. 198 mg/d) (14), and this could be explained by differences in the dietary patterns of participants of observational studies and a representative survey. The main sources of PA in both EPIC study and the present study were pome fruits. However, there were differences in other major sources, supporting different dietary patterns as an explanation for differences observed: while wine and stone fruits were important contributors to total intake in the EPIC study, berries and cocoa products were important contributors in the present study. Differences have also been observed in Scandinavian countries: the intake of flavan-3-ols in Denmark in the present study was almost twice that reported in the EPIC-Denmark study (203 vs. 106 mg/d) (23), whereas that of PA was much lower (116 vs. 237 mg/d). Results from the representative Danish Household Consumption Survey (11,12) indicate an average flavan-3-ol intake of 148 mg/d; however, the present study used older data and the lower intake might be explained by differences in the food composition tables used. In countries of the Southern region (France, Italy and Spain), PA were the most important contributors to total flavanol intake. Although the amount of flavan-3-ols consumed was similar in the present study and other studies such as the EPIC study (23) and the French SU.VI.MAX (Supplementation en Vitamines et Minéraux Antioxydants) study (37), there were some discrepancies in the main sources. The EPIC study identified red wine and tea as the main sources of monomers in Spain, while the present study found pome fruits and cocoa products to be the major sources. A possible explanation for this discrepancy is the circumstance that data for the EPIC study had been collected 10 years earlier and dietary habits might have changed.

When stratified by regions, the intake of flavanols in the Central region was approximately 2-fold higher than that in the Southern region (451 and 242 mg/d, respectively). Conversely, the intake of PA was found to be higher in the Southern region due to the higher intake of fruits. The main source of PA in the Southern region was pome fruits, similar to what

Fig. 3. Contribution of individual food groups to the intake of total flavan-3-ols and subgroups in the Southern, Central and Northern subregions. □ Fruit and vegetables; ■ tea; ◆ cocoa products; ◄ alcoholic beverages; ◇ other foods.
was reported in the EPIC study\(^\text{23},\text{38}\). The major sources of flavan-3-ols were similar for the Central and Northern regions, with tea being the main source, while in the Southern region, fruits, mainly pome and stone fruits, were the main sources. However, in the Southern and Central regions, the main source of flavan-3-ols within the group of fruits was pome fruits, while in the Northern region, berries were the most significant source. The main flavan-3-ol groups in the Southern region were PA (66 %), whereas in the Central and Northern regions, theaflavins were the most important sources (52 and 40 %, respectively). Monomers contributed 15 % in the Southern region, 23 % in the Central region and 21 % in the Northern region.

A comparison of the mean and median intakes of flavan-3-ols indicated large differences in the distribution of intakes in different countries. Median intake was lower for all the compounds, indicating a skewed distribution, but this difference was smallest in the Central region. The largest difference was found for theaflavins. While the difference between the mean and median intakes of flavan-3-ols and those of monomers in Ireland was approximately 10 %, it was almost 100-fold in Germany (504 \text{ v.} 6 \text{ mg/d for total flavan-3-ols and 111 \text{ v.} 1·1 \text{ mg/d for monomers}); this is probably due to a large variation in tea consumption in Germany. For nutrients with a very skewed distribution of intake, a comparison of median and mean values is very important to avoid the over- or underestimation of exposure.

The dietary data obtained in the present study allow for a comparison of habitual intake in the general public with the amounts used in dietary intervention studies and thus the investigation of the potential impact of flavan-3-ol consumption on public health. However, flavan-3-ols used in many dietary intervention studies are not well characterised by compound-specific analyses and are mainly non-specifically described by their source, e.g. cocoa and grape seed extracts. Thus, in the absence of well-characterised foodstuffs and plant extracts, the absolute and relative content of individual flavanols is often not known\(^\text{11}\). Therefore, to facilitate meaningful outcome assessments, it is crucial to analytically characterise foods used in dietary interventions and to provide specific information with regard to the content and chemical identity of compounds hypothesised to be bioactive. Nevertheless, even the EFSA-approved health claim for cocoa flavanols\(^\text{4}\) – based on an unspecified increase of flow-mediated dilation – only refers to 'cocoa flavanols with a degree of polymerisation (DP) from 1 to 10'. As gallated compounds are not present in cocoa\(^\text{25},\text{40}\), this apparently only includes epicatechin and catechin monomers, but food processing and other factors can result in epimerisation and may also affect the DP, all of which can affect bioavailability and bioactivity\(^\text{39},\text{40}\). Similar difficulties apply to grape seed extracts, where there is a paucity of information apart from food composition data (epicatechin, epicatechin-3'-gallate, catechin and PA (dimers–hexamers)), even though differences in extraction procedures will lead to a significant variance in flavan-3-ol

![Fig. 4. Estimated mean intake (mg/d) of (a) total flavanols, (b) flavan-3-ols, (c) total procyanidins (PA) and (d) cocoa-flavanols ((-)–epicatechin, (+)-catechin and PA (dimers–decamers)) for adults in the EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114513003930)
content. Applying the definition provided above, it was found that the intake of cocoa flavanols in Europe varied from 84 mg/d (Sweden) to 138 mg/d (Spain), with an average value of 105 mg/d, an amount well below that used in many intervention studies (mean 550 mg/d\(^2\); Fig. 4). The intake of grape seed flavanols was lower, with an average value of 98 mg/d (70 mg/d in the Czech Republic to 132 mg/d in Ireland), which is also well below the amount used in intervention studies (150–2000 mg/d\(^2\)). Thus, on the one hand, it seems that the intake of flavan-3-ols in Europe is well below the intake levels thus far established in the context of dietary interventions to be required to observe beneficial cardiovascular effects. Moreover, most Europeans consume less than 50% of the 200 mg of cocoa flavanols per d considered by the EFSA to be sufficient for improved vascular function and less than 25% of the 400 mg/d required to observe a reduction of systolic blood pressure\(^2\).

On the other hand, only the intake of one member of the cocoa flavanol group, namely \((-\)-epicatechin, has thus far been reported to be directly and causally linked to the modulation of vascular function (flow-mediated dilation\(^4\))\(^2\). Recent data also demonstrate that while \((-\)-epicatechin is absorbed and present as a phase II metabolite in the systemic circulation, the absorption of procyanidins (DP 2–10) in humans is negligible and that these compounds do not contribute to the systemic pool of flavanol metabolites\(^4\). Thus, if one were to interpret the opinion of the EFSA on cocoa flavanols based on the intake of \((-\)-epicatechin alone, the intake of 200 mg of cocoa flavanols (DP 1–10) would deliver between 15 and 25 mg of \((-\)-epicatechin, an amount that many Europeans already consume as part of their daily diet, thus weakening the arguments for food benefit claims at intake levels of 200 mg/d. Nevertheless, various other questions and knowledge gaps exist in this context (influence of age, sex, dietary background and ethnicity on flavanol intake/benefits), and further research is necessary before the results from controlled interventions studies can be meaningfully translated into the context of primary prevention, public health and evidence-based dietary recommendations. Such efforts will require not only a more consistent application of flavanol nomenclature and a better characterisation of foods used in dietary interventions, but also improved methods for dietary assessment, such as nutritional biomarkers. Only a combination of data from intervention studies and those from observational studies will ultimately enable a comprehensive assessment of the impact of flavan-3-ol intake on public health.
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