

THE DISTRIBUTIONAL k -HESSIAN IN FRACTIONAL SOBOLEV SPACES

QIANG TU^{}, WENYI CHEN^{} and XUETING QIU^{}

(Received 22 September 2018; accepted 6 September 2019; first published online 23 October 2019)

Abstract

We introduce the notion of a distributional k -Hessian ($k = 2, \dots, n$) associated with fractional Sobolev functions on Ω , a smooth bounded open subset in \mathbb{R}^n . We show that the distributional k -Hessian is weakly continuous on the fractional Sobolev space $W^{2-2/k,k}(\Omega)$ and that the weak continuity result is optimal, that is, the distributional k -Hessian is well defined in $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ if and only if $W^{s,p}(\Omega) \subseteq W^{2-2/k,k}(\Omega)$.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 46E35; secondary 35J60, 46F10.

Keywords and phrases: k -Hessian, fractional Sobolev space, distribution.

1. Introduction and main results

For $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $u \in C^2(\Omega)$, where Ω is a smooth bounded open subset in \mathbb{R}^n , the k -Hessian operator $H_k[u]$ is defined by

$$H_k[u] = \sigma_k(\lambda) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k},$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ are the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix D^2u . Alternatively,

$$H_k[u] = [D^2u]_k,$$

where $[A]_k$ denotes the sum of the $k \times k$ principal minors of an $n \times n$ matrix A , which may also be called the k -trace of A . It is well known that the k -Hessian is the Laplace operator when $k = 1$ and the Monge–Ampère operator when $k = n$.

This paper is devoted to the study of the k -Hessian of a nonsmooth map u from Ω into \mathbb{R} with $2 \leq k \leq n$. From the seminal work of Trudinger and Wang [12, 13], it has been known that the k -Hessian makes sense as a Radon measure and enjoys the weak continuity property for k -admissible functions. In [5], Fu introduced the space of Monge–Ampère functions for which all minors of the Hessian matrices are well defined as signed Radon measures and weakly continuous in a certain natural sense. Other generalised notions of the k -Hessian measures are considered in [3, 4].

This work is supported by Hubei Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics, Hubei University.

© 2019 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

Our purpose in this paper is to extend the definition of $H_k[u]$ to certain fractional Sobolev spaces so that the k -Hessian $H_k[u]$ is a distribution on Ω . Our first result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. *For $2 \leq k \leq n$, the k -Hessian operator $u \mapsto H_k[u] : C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ can be extended uniquely as a continuous mapping $u \mapsto H_k[u] : W^{2-2/k,k}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. In particular, the distributional k -Hessian $H_k[u]$ with $k \geq 3$ can be expressed as*

$$\langle H_k[u], \varphi \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \sum_{i \in \alpha + (n+1)} \sum_{j \in \alpha + (n+2)} \int_{\Omega \times (0,1)^2} \text{adj}((D^2 U)_{\alpha + (n+2)}^{\alpha + (n+1)})_{ij} \partial_{ij} \Phi \, d\tilde{x} \quad (1.1)$$

for any extensions $U \in W^{2,k}(\Omega \times (0,1)^2)$ and $\Phi \in C_c^2(\Omega \times [0,1)^2)$ of $u \in W^{2-2/k,k}(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in C_c^2(\Omega)$, respectively. Moreover, for all $u_1, u_2 \in W^{2-2/k,k}(\Omega)$,

$$|\langle H_k[u_1] - H_k[u_2], \varphi \rangle| \leq C \|u_1 - u_2\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}} (\|u_1\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}}^{k-1} + \|u_2\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}}^{k-1}) \|D^2 \varphi\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (1.2)$$

We refer to Section 2 for the notation. In the case when $k = 2$, Theorem 1.1 follows directly from the result in [7]. In the remaining cases, $2 < k \leq n$, our results are inspired by work of Brezis–Nguyen and Baer–Jerison. Brezis–Nguyen [2] show that the Jacobian determinant operator $u \mapsto \det(Du) : C^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ admits a unique continuous extension from the fractional Sobolev space $W^{1-1/n,n}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ to the space of distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$; then Baer–Jerison [1] show that the Hessian determinant operator $u \mapsto \det(D^2 u) : C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ admits a unique continuous extension from $W^{2-2/n,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

While the weak continuity result (1.2) can be proved using Theorem 1.1 in [1] combined with the ‘Fubini-type’ characterisation of the space $W^{2-2/k,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see the discussion in Remark 3.2), we choose to prove it by using an extension identity, Lemma 3.1 in Section 3, which is inspired by the work of Brezis–Nguyen in [2] and the concept of minors. The advantage of using Lemma 3.1 is that it not only provides a shorter proof of the statements established in [1] (such as Lemma 2.1), but also gives a fundamental representation for the distributional k -Hessian.

In analogy with [1, 2], Theorem 1.1 immediately gives several consequences. In particular, the k -Hessian as a distribution is continuous in spaces $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ with $1 < p, q < \infty$, $2/p + (k-2)/q = 1$ and $k \geq 3$. Furthermore, the following optimality result ensures that the definition and weak continuity results for the k -Hessian are optimal in the framework of fractional Sobolev spaces $W^{s,p}$. More precisely, the distributional k -Hessian is well defined in $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ if and only if $W^{s,p}(\Omega) \subseteq W^{2-2/k,k}(\Omega)$.

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose that $2 \leq k \leq n$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s < \infty$ are such that $W^{s,p}(\Omega) \not\subseteq W^{2-2/k,k}(\Omega)$. Then there exist a sequence $\{u_m\} \subset C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ and a function $\varphi \in C_c^2(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u_m\|_{s,p} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} H_k[u_m] \varphi \, dx = \infty.$$

According to the embedding properties of fractional Sobolev spaces, it is enough to prove Theorem 1.2 in three cases (see Section 4). While the optimality results in Cases 2 and 3 follow essentially immediately from the counterexample sequences identified in [1], it is hard to prove the results in Case 1 in the same manner since the choice of ρ in (4.3) depends not only on s, p, n but also on k . It is necessary to establish an explicit formula (Lemma 4.1) in Section 4 for the purpose of proving Theorem 1.2.

2. Preliminaries

We recall that, for $0 < s < \infty$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, the fractional Sobolev space $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ is defined as follows: for $s < 1$,

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega) \left| \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right. \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}} := \|u\|_{L^p} + \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+sp}} dx dy \right)^{1/p};$$

for $s > 1$ and not an integer,

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in W^{[s],p}(\Omega) \mid D^{[s]}u \in W^{s-[s],p}(\Omega) \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}} := \|u\|_{W^{[s],p}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|D^{[s]}u(x) - D^{[s]}u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+(s-[s])p}} dx dy \right)^{1/p}.$$

For integer $n \geq 2$, we use the standard conventions for ordered multi-indices

$$I(k, n) := \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \mid \alpha_i \text{ integers}, 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_k \leq n\}.$$

Set $I(0, n) = \{0\}$ and $|\alpha| = k$ if $\alpha \in I(k, n)$. For $\alpha \in I(k, n)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, we let $\bar{\alpha}$ denote the element of $I(n - k, n)$ which complements α in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ in the natural increasing order (so that, for instance, $\bar{0} = (1, 2, \dots, n)$). For $\alpha \in I(k, n)$ and $i \in \alpha$, we use the notation $\alpha - i$ to refer to the multi-index of length $k - 1$ obtained by removing i from α . Similarly, for $\alpha \in I(k, n)$ and $j \notin \alpha$, we use $\alpha + j$ to denote the multi-index of length $k + 1$ obtained by reordering naturally the multi-index $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, j)$.

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ and $B = (b_{ij})_{n \times n}$ be $n \times n$ matrices. Given two ordered multi-indices with $\alpha, \beta \in I(k, n)$, we use the notation A_{α}^{β} for the associated minor, consisting of the $k \times k$ -submatrix of A with rows $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ and columns $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$. Its determinant will be denoted by

$$M_{\alpha}^{\beta}(A) := \det A_{\alpha}^{\beta}.$$

The adjoint of A_{α}^{β} is defined by the formula

$$(\text{adj } A_{\alpha}^{\beta})_j^i := \sigma(i, \beta - i) \sigma(j, \alpha - j) \det A_{\alpha - j}^{\beta - i} \quad \text{for all } i \in \beta, j \in \alpha,$$

where $\sigma(i, \gamma)$, for $\gamma \in I(k-1, n)$ and $i \notin \gamma$, is the sign of the permutation of (i, γ) which reorders $(i, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1})$ to $\gamma + i$. The Laplace formulas can be written as

$$M_\alpha^\beta(A) = \sum_{j \in \alpha} a_{ij} (\text{adj } A_\alpha^\beta)_j^i \quad \text{for all } i \in \beta,$$

and

$$M_\alpha^\beta(A) = \sum_{i \in \beta} a_{ij} (\text{adj } A_\alpha^\beta)_j^i \quad \text{for all } j \in \alpha.$$

The Binet formulas (see [6, Lemma on page 313]) can be written as

$$M_\alpha^\beta(A+B) = \sum_{\alpha'+\alpha''=\alpha; \beta'+\beta''=\beta; |\alpha'|=|\beta'|} \sigma(\alpha', \alpha'') \sigma(\beta', \beta'') M_{\alpha'}^{\beta'}(A) M_{\alpha''}^{\beta''}(B). \quad (2.1)$$

3. The proof of Theorem 1.1

We begin with the following extension lemma which is inspired by the work of Brezis–Nguyen [2] and fine properties of minors.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $2 \leq k \leq n$, $\alpha \in I(k, n)$ and $u \in C^2(\Omega)$, $\varphi \in C_c^2(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\int_{\Omega} M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2 u) \varphi \, dx = \sum_{i \in \alpha+(n+1)} \sum_{j \in \alpha+(n+2)} \int_{\Omega \times (0,1)^2} \left(\text{adj } (D^2 U)_{\alpha+(n+2)}^{\alpha+(n+1)} \right)_j^i \partial_{ij} \Phi \, d\tilde{x}, \quad (3.1)$$

for any extensions $U \in C^2(\Omega \times [0, 1]^2) \cap C^3(\Omega \times (0, 1)^2)$ and $\Phi \in C_c^2(\Omega \times [0, 1]^2)$ of u and φ . Here $\tilde{x} = (x, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$ and $\partial_i := \partial/\partial x_i$.

PROOF. Denote $V := U|_{x_{n+2}=0}$, $\Psi := \Phi|_{x_{n+2}=0}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2 u) \varphi \, dx &= - \int_{\Omega \times (0,1)} \partial_{n+1} \left(M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2 V) \Psi \right) \, dx \, dx_{n+1} \\ &= - \int_{\Omega \times (0,1)} \partial_{n+1} \left(M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2 V) \right) \Psi \, dx \, dx_{n+1} - \int_{\Omega \times (0,1)} M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2 V) \partial_{n+1} \Psi \, dx \, dx_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand

$$\partial_{n+1} (M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2 V)) = \sum_{i \in \alpha} M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2 V(i)),$$

where $D^2 V(i) := (a_{st}^i)_{1 \leq s, t \leq n+1}$ is an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix with

$$a_{st}^i = \begin{cases} \partial_s \partial_t V & \text{if } s \neq i, t = 1, \dots, n+1, \\ \partial_{n+1} \partial_s \partial_t V & \text{if } s = i, t = 1, \dots, n+1. \end{cases}$$

By the Laplace formulas,

$$M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2 V(i)) = \sum_{j \in \alpha} \sigma(i, \alpha - i) \sigma(j, \alpha - j) \partial_{n+1} \partial_i \partial_j V M_{\alpha-j}^{\alpha-i}(D^2 V(i)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{n+1} \left(M_{\alpha}^{\alpha} (D^2 V) \right) &= \sum_{i \in \alpha} \sum_{j \in \alpha} \partial_{n+1} \partial_i \partial_j V \sigma(i, \alpha - i) \sigma(j, \alpha - j) M_{\alpha-j}^{\alpha-i} (D^2 V(i)) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \alpha} \sum_{j \in \alpha} \sigma(i, \alpha - i) \sigma(j, \alpha - j) \partial_{n+1} \partial_i \partial_j V M_{\alpha-j}^{\alpha-i} (D^2 V). \quad (3.2)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i \in \alpha} \partial_i \left(\sigma(\alpha - i, i) M_{\alpha}^{\alpha+(n+1)-i} (D^2 V) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \alpha} \sigma(\alpha - i, i) \sum_{s \in \alpha-i} \sum_{j \in \alpha} \sigma(s, \alpha + (n+1) - i - s) \sigma(j, \alpha - j) \partial_i \partial_s \partial_j V M_{\alpha-j}^{\alpha+(n+1)-i-s} (D^2 V) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \in \alpha} \sigma(\alpha - i, i) \sum_{j \in \alpha} \sigma((n+1), \alpha - i) \sigma(j, \alpha - j) \partial_i \partial_{n+1} \partial_j V M_{\alpha-j}^{\alpha-i} (D^2 V) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \alpha} \sigma(j, \alpha - j) \sum_{i \in \alpha} \sum_{s \in \alpha-i} \sigma(\alpha - i, i) \sigma(s, \alpha + (n+1) - i - s) \partial_i \partial_s \partial_j V M_{\alpha-j}^{\alpha+(n+1)-i-s} (D^2 V) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \in \alpha} \sum_{j \in \alpha} \sigma(i, \alpha - i) \sigma(j, \alpha - j) \partial_{n+1} \partial_i \partial_j V M_{\alpha-j}^{\alpha-i} (D^2 V). \quad (3.3)\end{aligned}$$

For any $i_1, i_2 \in \alpha$ with $i_1 \neq i_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\alpha - i_1, i_1) \sigma(i_2, \alpha + (n+1) - i_1 - i_2) \\ &= (-1)^{k-1} \sigma(i_1, \alpha - i_1) \sigma(i_2, \alpha - i_1 - i_2) \sigma(i_2, n+1) \\ &= (-1)^{k-1} \sigma(i_1, \alpha - i_1 - i_2) \sigma(i_2, \alpha - i_1 - i_2) \sigma(i_1, i_2),\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\sigma(\alpha - i_1, i_1) \sigma(i_2, \alpha + (n+1) - i_1 - i_2) = -\sigma(\alpha - i_2, i_2) \sigma(i_1, \alpha + (n+1) - i_2 - i_1)$$

since

$$\sigma(i_1, i_2) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i_1 > i_2, \\ 1 & \text{if } i_1 < i_2. \end{cases}$$

Combining these results, we can easily obtain

$$\partial_{n+1} (M_{\alpha}^{\alpha} (D^2 V)) = \sum_{i \in \alpha} \partial_i (\sigma(\alpha - i, i) M_{\alpha}^{\alpha+(n+1)-i} (D^2 V)). \quad (3.4)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega} M_{\alpha}^{\alpha} (D^2 u) \varphi \, dx &= - \sum_{i \in \alpha+(n+1)} \int_{\Omega \times (0,1)} \sigma(\alpha + (n+1) - i, i) M_{\alpha}^{\alpha+(n+1)-i} (D^2 V) \partial_i \Psi \, dx \, dx_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{i \in \alpha+(n+1)} -\sigma(\alpha + (n+1) - i, i) \int_{\Omega \times (0,1)} (M_{\alpha}^{\alpha+(n+1)-i} (D^2 U) \partial_i \Phi)|_{x_{n+2}=0} \, dx \, dx_{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

It is a well-known consequence of integration by parts identities that the right-hand side of the above identity can be written as

$$\sum_{i \in \alpha + (n+1)} -\sigma(\alpha + (n+1) - i, i) A(i), \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(i) &:= - \int_{\Omega \times (0,1)^2} \partial_{n+2}(M_\alpha^\beta(D^2 U) \partial_i \Phi) d\bar{x} \\ &= - \int_{\Omega \times (0,1)^2} \partial_{n+2}(M_\alpha^\beta(D^2 U)) \partial_i \Phi d\bar{x} - \int_{\Omega \times (0,1)^2} M_\alpha^\beta(D^2 U) \partial_{i,n+2} \Phi d\bar{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\beta := \alpha + (n+1) - i$. An argument similar to the one used in (3.2)–(3.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{n+2}(M_\alpha^\beta(D^2 U)) &= \sum_{t \in \beta} \sum_{j \in \alpha} \sigma(t, \beta - t) \sigma(j, \alpha - j) \partial_{n+2} \partial_t \partial_j U M_{\alpha-j}^{\beta-t}(D^2 U) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \alpha} \partial_j (\sigma(\alpha - j, j) M_{\alpha-j+(n+2)}^\beta(D^2 U)) \\ &= - \sum_{j \in \alpha} \partial_j (\sigma(\alpha + (n+2) - j, j) M_{\alpha+(n+2)-j}^\beta(D^2 U)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$A(i) = \sum_{j \in \alpha + (n+2)} -\sigma(\alpha + (n+2) - j, j) \int_{\Omega \times (0,1)^2} M_{\alpha-j+(n+2)}^\beta(D^2 U) \partial_{ij} \Phi d\bar{x}.$$

Combining this with (3.5), we obtain (3.1), which completes the proof. \square

From the results of [7] characterising the Hessian determinant on the space $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the 2-Hessian is well defined and continuous on $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. More precisely, the 2-Hessian $H_2[u]$ is defined for all $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ by

$$\langle H_2[u], \varphi \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{\Omega} \left(\partial_i u \partial_j u \partial_{ij} \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \partial_i u \partial_i u \partial_{jj} \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \partial_j u \partial_j u \partial_{ii} \varphi \right) dx, \quad (3.6)$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^2(\Omega)$. It is simple to show the weak continuity results by the Hölder inequality. Hence we just need to prove Theorem 1.1 for $k \geq 3$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. It is well known that Theorem 1.1 can be obtained as a simple corollary by standard approximation if we prove (1.1) and (1.2) for $u, u_1, u_2 \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\varphi \in C_c^2(\Omega)$. Let \tilde{u}_1 and \tilde{u}_2 be extensions of u_1 and u_2 to \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|\tilde{u}_1\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u_1\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}(\Omega)}, \quad \|\tilde{u}_2\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u_2\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}(\Omega)}$$

and

$$\|\tilde{u}_1 - \tilde{u}_2\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u_1 - u_2\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}(\Omega)},$$

where C depends only on k, n and Ω . According to a well-known extension theorem of Stein in [8, 9], there is a bounded linear extension operator

$$E : W^{2-2/k,k}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W^{2,k}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty)^2).$$

Let U_1, U_2 be extensions of \widetilde{u}_1 and \widetilde{u}_2 to $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty)^2$, respectively. Hence

$$\|D^2 U_i\|_{L^k(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,1)^2)} \leq C \|u_i\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}(\Omega)} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2,$$

and

$$\|D^2 U_1 - D^2 U_2\|_{L^k(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,1)^2)} \leq C \|u_1 - u_2\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}(\Omega)}.$$

Let $\Phi \in C_c^2(\Omega \times [0, 1]^2)$ be an extension of φ such that

$$\|D^2 \Phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0,1)^2)} \leq C \|D^2 \varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

Since

$$|M_\alpha^\beta(A) - M_\alpha^\beta(B)| \leq C(|A| + |B|)^{k-1} |A - B|$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in I(k, n+2)$ and $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ matrices A, B , it follows from Lemma 3.1 and Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} (H_k[u_1] - H_k[u_2]) \varphi \, dx \right| &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in I(k,n)} \left| \int_{\Omega} (M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2 u_1) - M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2 u_2)) \varphi \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in I(k,n)} \sum_{i \in \alpha + (n+1)} \sum_{j \in \alpha + (n+2)} \int_{\Omega \times (0,1)^2} |M_{\alpha-j+(n+2)}^{\alpha-i+(n+1)}(D^2 U_1) - M_{\alpha-j+(n+2)}^{\alpha-i+(n+1)}(D^2 U_2)| |\partial_{ij} \Phi| \, d\widetilde{x} \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega \times (0,1)^2} (|D^2 U_1| + |D^2 U_2|)^{k-1} |D^2(U_1 - U_2)| |D^2 \Phi| \, d\widetilde{x} \\ &\leq C \|u_1 - u_2\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}} (\|u_1\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}}^{k-1} + \|u_2\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}}^{k-1}) \|D^2 \varphi\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

REMARK 3.2. There is another way to prove (1.2) using [1, Theorem 1.1] combined with the ‘Fubini-type’ characterisation of the space $W^{2-2/k,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [10, Section 3] and [11, Section 2.5.13]). Fix $\alpha \in I(k, n)$ and let $W^{2-2/k,k}(\alpha; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be the subspace of $L^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated to the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^k} + \left\| \|f\|_{W_{x_\alpha}^{2-2/k,k}(\mathbb{R}^k)} \right\|_{L_{x_{\bar{\alpha}}}^k},$$

where subscripts denote variables of integration for the fractional Sobolev and L^k norm. Then

$$\|f\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \sum_{\alpha \in I(k,n)} \|f\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}(\alpha; \mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.7)$$

Let $u_1, u_2, \widetilde{u}_1$ and \widetilde{u}_2 be the functions mentioned in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Fix $\alpha \in I(k, n)$ and $x_{\bar{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$. Then [1, Theorem 1.1] implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} (M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2 \widetilde{u}_1(x_\alpha, x_{\bar{\alpha}}) - M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2 \widetilde{u}_2(x_\alpha, x_{\bar{\alpha}})) \varphi(x_\alpha, x_{\bar{\alpha}}) \, dx_\alpha \\ &\leq C \|\widetilde{u}_1 - \widetilde{u}_2\|_{W_{x_\alpha}^{2-2/k,k}(\mathbb{R}^k)} (\|\widetilde{u}_2\|_{W_{x_\alpha}^{2-2/k,k}(\mathbb{R}^k)}^{k-1} + \|\widetilde{u}_1\|_{W_{x_\alpha}^{2-2/k,k}(\mathbb{R}^k)}^{k-1}) \|D^2 \varphi\|_{L_{x_\alpha}^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.7) and Holder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\Omega} (H_k[u_1] - H_k[u_2]) \varphi \, dx \right| \\
 &= \sum_{\alpha \in I(k,n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-k}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} (M_{\alpha}^{\alpha}(D^2 \tilde{u}_1(x_{\alpha}, x_{\bar{\alpha}}) - M_{\alpha}^{\alpha}(D^2 \tilde{u}_2(x_{\alpha}, x_{\bar{\alpha}})) \varphi(x_{\alpha}, x_{\bar{\alpha}}) \, dx_{\alpha} \right) dx_{\bar{\alpha}} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{\alpha \in I(k,n)} \|\tilde{u}_1 - \tilde{u}_2\|_{W_{x_{\alpha}}^{2-2/k,k}(\mathbb{R}^k)} \|D^2 \varphi\|_{L^{\infty}} \left(\|\tilde{u}_1\|_{W_{x_{\alpha}}^{2-2/k,k}(\mathbb{R}^k)}^{k-1} + \|\tilde{u}_2\|_{W_{x_{\alpha}}^{2-2/k,k}(\mathbb{R}^k)}^{k-1} \right) \\
 &\leq C \|u_1 - u_2\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}} \left(\|u_1\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}}^{k-1} + \|u_2\|_{W^{2-2/k,k}}^{k-1} \right) \|D^2 \varphi\|_{L^{\infty}},
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies (1.2). We emphasise that it is hard to obtain the fundamental representation (1.1) of the distributional k -Hessian in this manner.

4. The proof of Theorem 1.2

We only need to prove Theorem 1.2 when $k > 2$, since (3.6) immediately gives the result in the case when $k = 2$. According to the embedding properties of the Sobolev spaces $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ into the space $W^{2-2/k,k}(\Omega)$ (for more detail, see [11, page 196]), when:

- (i) $s + 2/k > 2 + \max\{0, n/p - n/k\}$, the embedding $W^{s,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{2-2/k,k}(\Omega)$ holds;
- (ii) $s + 2/k < 2 + \max\{0, n/p - n/k\}$, the embedding fails; and
- (iii) $s + 2/k = 2 + \max\{0, n/p - n/k\}$, there are two sub-cases:
 - (a) if $p \leq k$, then the embedding $W^{s,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{2-2/k,k}(\Omega)$ holds;
 - (b) if $p > k$, the embedding fails.

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we just consider three cases:

$$1 < p \leq k \text{ and } s + \frac{2}{k} < 2 + \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{k}; \quad k < p \text{ and } 0 < s < 2 - \frac{2}{k}; \quad k < p \text{ and } s = 2 - \frac{2}{k}.$$

LEMMA 4.1. Let $g \in C_c^{\infty}(B(0, 1))$ be given by

$$g(x) = \int_0^{|x|} h(r) \, dr \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (4.1)$$

where $h \in C_c^{\infty}((0, 1))$ satisfies

$$\int_0^1 h(r) \, dr = 0, \quad \int_0^1 h^k(r) r^{-k+n+1} \, dr \neq 0.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\alpha \in I(k,n)} \int_{B(0,1)} M_{\alpha}^{\alpha}(D^2 g(x)) |x|^2 \, dx \neq 0.$$

PROOF. According to the symmetry of the integral, it is sufficient to show that

$$\int_{B(0,1)} M_{\alpha}^{\alpha}(D^2 g(x)) |x|^2 \, dx \neq 0 \quad (4.2)$$

for any $\alpha \in I(k, n)$. It is simple to show that

$$D^2 g = \frac{1}{|x|^3} (A + B),$$

where $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ and $B = (b_{ij})_{n \times n}$ are $n \times n$ matrices such that

$$a_{ij} = h(|x|)|x|^2 \delta_i^j, \quad b_{ij} = (h'(|x|)|x| - h(|x|))x_i x_j \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

We make use of Binet's formula (2.1) and the fact that B has rank one to see that

$$M_\alpha^\alpha(A + B) = M_\alpha^\alpha(A) + \sum_{i \in \alpha} \sum_{j \in \alpha} \sigma(i, \alpha - i) \sigma(j, \alpha - j) b_{ij} M_{\alpha-i}^{\alpha-j}(A).$$

For any $i, j \in \alpha$ with $i \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\alpha-i}^{\alpha-j}(A) &= h^{k-1}(|x|)|x|^{2k-2} M_{\alpha-i}^{\alpha-j}(E) \\ &= h^{k-1}(|x|)|x|^{2k-2} \sum_{s \in \alpha-i} \delta_i^s \sigma(s, \alpha - i - s) \sigma(i, \alpha - j - i) M_{\alpha-i-s}^{\alpha-j-i}(E) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where E is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, which implies that

$$M_\alpha^\alpha(A + B) = h^k(|x|)|x|^{2k} - h^k(|x|)|x|^{2k-2} \sum_{i \in \alpha} x_i^2 + h^{k-1}(|x|)h'(|x|)|x|^{2k-1} \sum_{i \in \alpha} x_i^2.$$

Hence

$$\int_{B(0,1)} M_\alpha^\alpha(D^2 g)|x|^2 dx = \int_{B(0,1)} |x|^{-3k+2} M_\alpha^\alpha(A + B) dx = I - II + III.$$

Using polar coordinates to evaluate the integrals,

$$I := \int_{B(0,1)} h^k(|x|)|x|^{-k+2} dx = 2\pi \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} I(i) \int_0^1 h^k(r)r^{-k+n+1} dr,$$

where $I(i) = \int_0^\pi \sin^i \theta d\theta$. Without loss of generality, $\alpha = (n - k + 1, n - k + 2, \dots, n)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} II &:= \int_{B(0,1)} h^k(|x|)|x|^{-k} \sum_{i \in \alpha} x_i^2 dx \\ &= \int_0^\pi \cdots \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-2} \theta_1 \sin^{n-3} \theta_2 \cdots \sin \theta_{n-2} \sin^2 \theta_1 \cdots \sin^2 \theta_{n-k} d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_{n-2} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_{n-1} \int_0^1 h^k(r)r^{-k+n+1} dr \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^1 h^k(r)r^{-k+n+1} dr \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} I(i) \prod_{i=k-1}^{n-2} I(i+2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $I(s) = ((s-1)/s)I(s-2)$ for $s = 2, 3, \dots$. Hence

$$II = 2\pi \frac{k}{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} I(i) \int_0^1 h^k(r) r^{-k+n+1} dr.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} III &:= \int_{B(0,1)} h^{k-1}(|x|) h'(|x|) |x|^{-k+1} \sum_{i \in \alpha} x_i^2 dx \\ &= 2\pi \frac{k}{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} I(i) \int_0^1 h^{k-1}(r) h'(r) r^{-k+2+n} dr \\ &= 2\pi \frac{k-n-2}{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} I(i) \int_0^1 h^k(r) r^{-k+n+1} dr, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.2). \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. Without loss of generality, $(-8, 8) \subset \Omega$. As noted at the beginning of Section 4, we divide our proof into three cases.

Case 1: $1 < p \leq k$ and $s + 2/k < 2 + n/p - n/k$. Consider $u_\varepsilon : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^\rho g\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

where $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, g is given by (4.1) and ρ is a constant such that

$$s - \frac{n}{p} < \rho < 2 - \frac{n}{k} - \frac{2}{k}. \quad (4.3)$$

On the one hand,

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{s,p} \leq \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p}^{1-s/2} \|D^2 u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p}^{s/2} \leq \varepsilon^{\rho-s+n/p} \|g\|_{L^p}^{1-s/2} \|D^2 g\|_{L^p}^{s/2},$$

which implies that

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{s,p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, let $\varphi \in C_c^2(\Omega)$ be such that $\varphi(x) = |x|^2 + O(|x|^3)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega H_k[u_\varepsilon] \varphi dx &= \sum_{\alpha \in I(k,n)} \varepsilon^{(\rho-2)k} \int_\Omega M_\alpha^\alpha \left(D^2 g\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \right) \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in I(k,n)} \varepsilon^{(\rho-2)k+n} \int_{B(0,1)} M_\alpha^\alpha (D^2 g) \varphi(\varepsilon x) dx \\ &= \varepsilon^{\rho k-2k+n+2} \sum_{\alpha \in I(k,n)} \int_{B(0,1)} M_\alpha^\alpha (D^2 g) |x|^2 dx + O(\varepsilon^{\rho k-2k+n+3}). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4.1 and (4.3), it follows that

$$\left| \int_\Omega H_k[u_\varepsilon] \varphi dx \right| = C \varepsilon^{\rho k-2k+n+2} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Case 2: $k < p$ and $0 < s < 2 - 2/k$. For $m \gg 1$, we set

$$u_m := m^{-\rho} x_k \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \sin^2(mx_i),$$

where ρ is a constant with $s < \rho < 2 - 2/k$. Let $\varphi \in C_c^2(\Omega)$ be such that

$$\varphi(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi'(x_i), \quad (4.4)$$

where $\varphi' \in C_c^2((0, \pi))$, $\varphi' \geq 0$ and $\varphi' = 1$ in $(\frac{1}{4}\pi, \frac{3}{4}\pi)$. Since $\|u_m\|_{L^\infty} \leq Cm^{-\rho}$ and $\|D^2 u_m\|_{L^\infty} \leq Cm^{2-\rho}$, it follows that

$$\|u_m\|_{s,p} \leq C \|u_m\|_{L^p}^{1-s/2} \|u_m\|_{2,p}^{s/2} \leq Cm^{s-\rho}.$$

In the same way as in the proof of [1, Proposition 4.1],

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} H_k[u_m] \varphi \, dx \right| &\geq \left| \sum_{\alpha \in I(k,n)} \int_{((1/4)\pi, (3/4)\pi)^n} M_{\alpha}^{\alpha}(D^2 u_m) \, dx \right| \\ &\geq m^{2k-2-k\rho} 2^k \sum_{\alpha \in I(k,n)} \int_{((1/4)\pi, (3/4)\pi)^n} x_k^{k-2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \sin(mx_i) \right)^{2k-2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \cos^2(mx_j) \right) dx \\ &= Cm^{2k-2-k\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3: $k < p$ and $s = 2 - 2/k$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 2$, define u_m by

$$u_m(x) = \frac{1}{(\log m)^{1/(2k)}} x_k \sum_{l=1}^m \frac{1}{n_l^{2-2/k} l^{1/k}} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \sin^2(n_l x_i) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $n_l = m^{k^{3l}}$. Let $\varphi \in C_c^2(\Omega)$ be defined by (4.4). An argument similar to the one used in the proof of [1, Proposition 5.1] now shows that

$$\|u_m\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u_m\|_{W^{s,p}((0,2\pi)^n)} \leq C \frac{1}{(\ln m)^{1/(2k)}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} H_k[u_m] \varphi \, dx \right| &= C \left| \sum_{\alpha \in I(k,n)} \int_{(0,2\pi)^k} M_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_0}(D^2 u_m) \prod_{i=1}^k \varphi'(x_i) \, dx_1 \cdots dx_k \right| \\ &\geq C(\ln m)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_0 = (1, \dots, k) \in I(k, n)$. Then Theorem 1.2 is completely proved. \square

References

- [1] E. Baer and D. Jerison, 'Optimal function spaces for continuity of the Hessian determinant as a distribution', *J. Funct. Anal.* **269** (2015), 1482–1514.
- [2] H. Brezis and H. Nguyen, 'The Jacobian determinant revisited', *Invent. Math.* **185** (2011), 17–54.
- [3] A. Colesanti and D. Hug, 'Hessian measures of semi-convex functions and applications to support measures of convex bodies', *Manuscripta Math.* **101** (2000), 209–238.
- [4] A. Colesanti and P. Salani, 'Generalized solutions of Hessian equations', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **56** (1997), 459–466.
- [5] J. Fu, 'Monge–Ampère functions I', *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **38** (1989), 745–771.
- [6] M. Giaquinta, G. Modica and J. Souček, *Cartesian Currents in the Calculus of Variations*, I (Springer, Berlin, 1998).
- [7] T. Iwaniec, 'On the concept of the weak Jacobian and Hessian', *Papers on analysis: A volume dedicated to Olli Martio on the occasion of his 60th birthday*, Rep. Univ. Jyväskylä Dep. Math. Stat. **83** (2001), 181–205.
- [8] E. Stein, 'The characterization of functions arising as potentials. I', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **67** (1961), 102–104.
- [9] E. Stein, 'The characterization of functions arising as potentials. II', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **68** (1962), 577–582.
- [10] R. Strichartz, 'Fubini-type theorems', *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3)* **22** (1968), 399–408.
- [11] H. Treibel, *Theory of Functions Spaces*, Monographs in Mathematics, 78 (Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1983).
- [12] N. Trudinger and X. Wang, 'Hessian measures I', *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **10** (1997), 225–239.
- [13] N. Trudinger and X. Wang, 'Hessian measures II', *Ann. of Math. (2)* **150** (1999), 579–604.

QIANG TU, Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics,
Hubei University, Wuhan, China
e-mail: qiangtu@whu.edu.cn

WENYI CHEN, School of Mathematics and Statistics,
Wuhan University, Wuhan, China
e-mail: wychencn@whu.edu.cn

XUETING QIU, Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics,
Hubei University, Wuhan, China
e-mail: qiuxueting1996@163.com