# LATTICE-ORDERED GROUPS HAVING AT MOST TWO DISJOINT ELEMENTS $\dagger$ 
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1. Introduction. Let $L=L(+, \vee, \wedge)$ be a lattice-ordered group, or l-group (Birkhoff [1, p. 214]). Two elements $a$ and $b$ of $L$ will be called disjoint if $a>0, b>0$, and $a \wedge b=0$. It is easily seen that if $L$ does not contain two disjoint elements, then it is linearly ordered (and, of course, conversely). What can we say about $l$-groups containing two but not more than two mutually disjoint elements?

Let $A$ and $B$ be linearly ordered groups (o-groups), and let $A \oplus B$ be the cardinal sum of $A$ and $B$. That is, $A \oplus B$ is the direct sum of $A$ and $B$, and $(a, b)$ is positive in $A+B$ if and only if $a$ is positive in $A$ and $b$ is positive in $B$. An $l$-group $L$ containing $A \oplus B$ as a convex normal subgroup (or $l$-ideal) is called a lexico-extension of $A \oplus B$ if every positive element of $L$ not in $A \oplus B$ exceeds every element of $A \oplus B$. It then follows (subsection 2.9 below) that $L /(A \oplus B)$ is an o-group. Such an $l$-group $L$ is easily seen to satisfy the following condition :
(D) There exists a pair of disjoint elements in $L$, but no triple of pairwise disjoint elements exists in $L$.

The following theorem shows that condition (D) characterizes $L$.
Theorem $\ddagger$. An l-group satisfying ( D ) is a lexico-extension of the cardinal sum $A \oplus B$ of two linearly ordered subgroups $A$ and $B$ of $L$ by an o-group $C$.

The following steps in the proof of this theorem would follow from results of Jaffard [2] if $L$ were abelian: that $G_{p}$ and $G_{q}$ are linearly ordered from his Theorem 1, p. 235 ; that the subgroup of $L$ generated by $L_{p}$ and $L_{q}$ is the cardinal sum $G_{p}+G_{q}$ from his Proposition 3, p. 241. These occur in subsections 2.7 and 2.8 respectively.
2. Proof of the theorem. Let $L^{*}=\{x \in L: x>0\}$. Select $p$ and $q$ in $L^{*}$ such that $p \wedge q=0$. Let $L_{p}=\{x \in L: x \wedge q=0\}$ and let $L_{q}=\{x \in L: x \wedge p=0\}$.
2.1. $L_{p}$ and $L_{q}$ are linearly ordered convex subsemigroups of $L$.

If $0 \leqslant x \leqslant a \in L_{p}$, then $0 \leqslant x \wedge q \leqslant a \wedge q=0$. Thus $x \in L_{p}$, and hence $L_{p}$ is convex. $L_{p}$ is a semigroup [1, p. 219]. Let $x$ and $y$ be two non-zero elements in $L_{p}$. Then $x \wedge y>0$, for otherwise $0=x \wedge y=x \wedge q=y \wedge q$, contrary to (D). Now $x=x^{\prime}+(x \wedge y)$ and $y=y^{\prime}+(x \wedge y)$ with $x^{\prime} \wedge y^{\prime}=0$. Since $L_{p}$ is convex, $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ belong to $L_{p}$. Thus either $x^{\prime}=0$ and $x \leqslant y$ or $y^{\prime}=0$ and $y \leqslant x$.
2.2. The subsemigroup of $L$ generated by $L_{p} \cup L_{q}$ is the direct sum $L_{p} \oplus L_{\alpha}$, and it is convex.

If $x \in L_{p} \cap L_{q}$, then $x \wedge p=x \wedge q=p \wedge q=0$, and hence $x=0$ by (D). If $x \in L_{p}$ and $y \in L_{q}$, then $x \wedge y \in L_{p} \cap L_{q}$ because $L_{p}$ and $L_{q}$ are convex. Thus $x \wedge y=0$, and so $x+y=x \vee y$

[^0]$=y \vee x=y+x$. If $0 \leqslant x \leqslant a+b$, where $a \in L_{p}$ and $b \in L_{q}$, then $x \wedge a \in L_{p}$ and $x \wedge b \in L_{q}$. Thus
$$
x=x \wedge(a+b)=x \wedge(a \vee b)=(x \wedge a) \vee(x \wedge b)=(x \wedge a)+(x \wedge b) \in L_{p} \oplus L_{a},
$$
and hence $L_{p} \oplus L_{q}$ is convex.
2.3. If $0<p^{\prime} \in L_{p}$ and $0<q^{\prime} \in L_{q}$, then $L_{p}=L_{p^{\prime}}$ and $L_{q}=L_{q^{\prime}}$, where
$$
L_{p^{\prime}}=\left\{x \in L: x \wedge q^{\prime}=0\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad L_{q^{\prime}}=\left\{x \in L: x \wedge p^{\prime}=0\right\} .
$$

If $x \in L_{p}$, then, since $q^{\prime} \in L_{q}, x \wedge q^{\prime}=0$. Thus $x \in L_{p^{\prime}}$ and hence $L_{p} \subseteq L_{p^{\prime}}$. Similarly $L_{q} \subseteq L_{q^{\prime}}$. In particular, $0<p \in L_{p^{\prime}}$ and $0<q \in L_{q^{\prime}}$. By reversing this argument, we have $L_{p^{\prime}} \subseteq L_{p}$ and $L_{q^{\prime}} \subseteq L_{q}$.
2.4. If $a \in L^{*}$ and $a \notin L_{p} \oplus L_{\alpha}$, then $a>L_{p} \oplus L_{q}$.

We first show $a>p . \quad$ Let $d=a \wedge p$. Then $d \in L_{p}, a=d+\bar{a}, p=d+\bar{p}$, and $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{p}=0$. If $\bar{p}=0$, then $p=d<a$. Now $p \wedge \bar{a}>0$, for otherwise $\bar{a} \in L_{q}$ and hence $a=d+\bar{a} \in L_{p} \oplus L_{q}$. If $\bar{p}>0$, it follows that $\bar{p}$ and $p \wedge \bar{a}$ are strictly positive elements in the linearly ordered semigroup $L_{p}$, and hence $0<p \wedge \bar{a} \wedge \bar{p}=p \wedge 0=0$. This contradiction shows that $a>p$, and similarly $a>q$. Therefore $a>p \vee q=p+q$. It follows from 2.3 that $a>p^{\prime}+q^{\prime}$ for every $p^{\prime}$ in $L_{p}$ and every $q^{\prime}$ in $L_{q}$.

### 2.5. If $a, b \in L^{*}$ and $a \wedge b=0$, then $a, b \in L_{p} \oplus L_{q}$.

If neither $a$ nor $b$ belongs to $L_{p} \oplus L_{q}$ then, by $2.4, a \wedge b>p>0$. If, say, $a$ belongs to $L_{p} \oplus L_{q}$ but $b$ does not, then $b>a$ by 2.4, and $a \wedge b=a>0$. Hence they must both belong to $L_{p} \oplus L_{q}$.
2.6. The semigroup $L_{p} \oplus L_{q}$ is invariant under o-automorphisms of $L$ (in particular under inner automorphisms of $L$ ).

If $\pi$ is an $o$-automorphism of $L$, then $p \pi \wedge q \pi=(p \wedge q) \pi=0 \pi=0$. By 2.5, $p \pi$ and $q \pi$ both belong to $L_{p} \oplus L_{q}$. But, by 2.6, we can replace $p$ by any non-zero element in $L_{p}$, and $q$ by any non-zero element in $L_{q}$. Thus $L_{p} \pi$ and $L_{q} \pi$ are contained in $L_{p} \oplus L_{q}$, and hence so is $\left(L_{p} \oplus L_{q}\right) \pi$.
2.7. The set $G_{p}=\{x \in L: x \wedge q=0$ or $x \vee(-q)=0\}$ is a convex, linearly ordered subgroup of $L$.

Clearly $G_{p}=L_{p} \cup N_{p}$, where $N_{p}=\{x \in L: x \vee(-q)=0\}=\left\{-x: x \in L_{p}\right\}$, and $N_{p}$ is a convex, linearly ordered subsemigroup of $L$. Evidently $G_{p}$ is linearly ordered. To show that $G_{p}$ is convex, suppose that $x<y<z$, where $x, z \in G_{p}$ and $y \in L$. If $x<y \leqslant 0$ or $0 \leqslant y<z$, then $y \in N_{p}$ or $y \in L_{p}$, respectively, since these sets are convex. Suppose (by way of contradiction) that $y$ is not comparable with 0 . Then $x<0<z$, and hence $z,-x$, and $z-x$ all belong to $L_{\mathcal{p}}$. From $0<y-x<z-x$ and the convexity of $L_{p}$, we conclude that $y-x \in L_{p}$. Since $L_{p}$ is linearly ordered, $y-x \leqslant-x$ or $y-x \geqslant-x$; hence $y \leqslant 0$ or $y \geqslant 0$. Hence $G_{p}$ is convex. Clearly $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is closed with respect to taking inverses. Thus to prove that $G_{\mathfrak{y}}$ is a group, it suffices (by symmetry) to show that if $a \in N_{p}$ and $b \in L_{p}$, then $a+b \in G_{p}$. But $a \leqslant a+b \leqslant b$, and therefore $a+b \in G_{p}$ because $G_{p}$ is convex.

Similarly, the set $G_{a}=\{x \in L: x \wedge p=0$ or $x \vee(-p)=0\}$ is a convex, linearly ordered subgroup of $L$.
2.8. The subgroup of $L$ generated by $G_{p}$ and $G_{q}$ is their cardinal sum $G_{p} \oplus G_{q}$, and is a convex normal subgroup of $L$.

It is clear from the corresponding properties of $L_{p}$ and $L_{q}$ shown in 2.2 above that $G_{p} \cap G_{q}=0$, and that $G_{p}$ and $G_{q}$ commute elementwise with each other. Hence the group generated by $G_{p} \cup G_{q}$ is their direct sum $G_{p} \oplus G_{q}$. It is now clear that $G_{p} \oplus G_{q}$ is the difference group of $L_{p} \oplus L_{q}$, and the difference group of any normal convex subsemigroup of $L^{*}$ is a normal convex subgroup of $L$. But $L_{p} \oplus L_{q}$ is normal and convex by 2.2 and 2.6, and hence the same holds for $G_{p} \oplus G_{q}$. Finally, to show that $G_{p} \oplus G_{q}$ is cardinally ordered, we must show that if $x+y \geqslant 0$, with $x$ in $G_{p}$ and $y$ in $G_{q}$, then $x \geqslant 0$ and $y \geqslant 0$. Since $x$ and $y$ cannot both be strictly negative, we may assume (by symmetry) that $x \geqslant 0$. We must now show that $y \leqslant 0$ implies that $y=0$. But $y \leqslant 0$ implies that $0 \leqslant x+y \leqslant x \in G_{p}$, and so $x+y \in G_{p}$ by convexity. But this and $x \in G_{p}$ imply that $y \in G_{p}$, and hence that $y \in G_{p} \cap G_{q}=0$.
2.9. Setting $A=G_{p}$ and $B=G_{q}$, we have now established that the subgroup of $L$ generated by $A$ and $B$ is their cardinal sum $A \oplus B$, and is a normal convex subgroup of $L$. By $2.4, L$ is a lexico-extension of $A \oplus B$; for if an element of $L$ exceeds every element of $L_{p} \oplus L_{q}$, it evidently exceeds every element of $G_{p} \oplus G_{q}$. We now show that $C=L /(A \oplus B)$ is linearly ordered. Otherwise $C$ would contain two disjoint elements $X=x+(A \oplus B)$ and $Y=y+(A \oplus B)$. Denote by $\overline{0}$ the identity element $A \oplus B$ of $C$. Since $X>\overline{0}$ and $Y>\overline{0}$, we can assume that $x$ and $y$ are positive elements of $L$ not in $A \oplus B$, and hence exceeding every element of $A \oplus B$. But then $x \wedge y$ exceeds every element of $A \oplus B$. But $X \wedge Y=\overline{0}$ would require $x \wedge y \in A \oplus B$, which is plainly impossible.
3. An example. Let $L=I \times I \times I$, where $I$ is the additive group of integers. For $(a, b, c)$ and ( $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}$ ) in $L$ we define

$$
(a, b, c)+\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(a+a^{\prime}, b+b^{\prime}, c+c^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } c^{\prime} \text { is even } \\ \left(b+a^{\prime}, a+b^{\prime}, c+c^{\prime}\right) & \text { is } c^{\prime} \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
$$

We define $(a, b, c)$ to be positive if $c>0$ or else $c=0$ and both $a$ and $b$ are $\geqslant 0$. This is the one and only non-abelian splitting lexico-extension of the cardinal sum $I \oplus I$ by $I$.
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[^0]:    $\dagger$ This paper was prepared with the partial support of the National Science Foundation grant to the Tulane Mathematics Department.
    $\ddagger$ Added in proof. This result has subsequently been extended to $l$-groups with $n$ disjoint elements but not $n+1$ such elements, and, in fact, to $l$-groups in which each element is greater than at most a finite number of disjoint elements.

