

On Two Exponents of Approximation Related to a Real Number and Its Square

Damien Roy

Abstract. For each real number ξ , let $\widehat{\lambda}_2(\xi)$ denote the supremum of all real numbers λ such that, for each sufficiently large X , the inequalities $|x_0| \leq X$, $|x_0\xi - x_1| \leq X^{-\lambda}$ and $|x_0\xi^2 - x_2| \leq X^{-\lambda}$ admit a solution in integers x_0, x_1 and x_2 not all zero, and let $\widehat{\omega}_2(\xi)$ denote the supremum of all real numbers ω such that, for each sufficiently large X , the dual inequalities $|x_0 + x_1\xi + x_2\xi^2| \leq X^{-\omega}$, $|x_1| \leq X$ and $|x_2| \leq X$ admit a solution in integers x_0, x_1 and x_2 not all zero. Answering a question of Y. Bugeaud and M. Laurent, we show that the exponents $\widehat{\lambda}_2(\xi)$ where ξ ranges through all real numbers with $[\mathbb{Q}(\xi) : \mathbb{Q}] > 2$ form a dense subset of the interval $[1/2, (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2]$ while, for the same values of ξ , the dual exponents $\widehat{\omega}_2(\xi)$ form a dense subset of $[2, (\sqrt{5} + 3)/2]$. Part of the proof rests on a result of V. Jarník showing that $\widehat{\lambda}_2(\xi) = 1 - \widehat{\omega}_2(\xi)^{-1}$ for any real number ξ with $[\mathbb{Q}(\xi) : \mathbb{Q}] > 2$.

1 Introduction

Let ξ and η be real numbers. Following the notation of Y. Bugeaud and M. Laurent [3], we define $\widehat{\lambda}(\xi, \eta)$ to be the supremum of all real numbers λ such that the inequalities

$$|x_0| \leq X, \quad |x_0\xi - x_1| \leq X^{-\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad |x_0\eta - x_2| \leq X^{-\lambda}$$

admit a non-zero integer solution $(x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ for each sufficiently large value of X . Similarly, we define $\widehat{\omega}(\xi, \eta)$ to be the supremum of all real numbers ω such that the inequalities

$$|x_0 + x_1\xi + x_2\eta| \leq X^{-\omega}, \quad |x_1| \leq X \quad \text{and} \quad |x_2| \leq X$$

admit a non-zero solution $(x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ for each sufficiently large value of X . An application of Dirichlet box principle shows that we have $1/2 \leq \widehat{\lambda}(\xi, \eta)$ and $2 \leq \widehat{\omega}(\xi, \eta)$. Moreover, in the (non-degenerate) case where 1, ξ and η are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , a result of V. Jarník, kindly pointed out to the author by Yann Bugeaud, shows that these exponents are related by the formula

$$(1) \quad \widehat{\lambda}(\xi, \eta) = 1 - \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(\xi, \eta)},$$

with the convention that the right-hand side of this equality is 1 if $\widehat{\omega}(\xi, \eta) = \infty$ (see [7, Theorem 1]).

Received by the editors July 23, 2004; revised October 12, 2004.
 Work partially supported by NSERC and CICMA
 AMS subject classification: Primary: 11J13; secondary: 11J82.
 ©Canadian Mathematical Society 2007.

In the case where $\eta = \xi^2$, we use the shorter notation $\widehat{\lambda}_2(\xi) := \widehat{\lambda}(\xi, \xi^2)$ and $\widehat{\omega}_2(\xi) := \widehat{\omega}(\xi, \xi^2)$ of [3]. The condition that $1, \xi$ and ξ^2 are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} simply means that ξ is not an algebraic number of degree at most 2 over \mathbb{Q} , a condition which we also write as $[\mathbb{Q}(\xi):\mathbb{Q}] > 2$. Under this condition, it is known that these exponents satisfy

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \widehat{\lambda}_2(\xi) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} = 0.618\dots \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \leq \widehat{\omega}_2(\xi) \leq \gamma^2 = 2.618\dots,$$

where $\gamma = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ denotes the golden ratio. By virtue of W. M. Schmidt's subspace theorem, the lower bounds in (2) are achieved by any algebraic number ξ of degree at least 3 (see [12, Ch. VI, Corollaries 1C, 1E]). They are also achieved by almost all real numbers ξ , with respect to Lebesgue's measure (see [3, Theorem 2.3]). On the other hand, the upper bounds follow respectively from [5, Theorem 1a] and from [2]. They are achieved in particular by the so-called Fibonacci continued fractions (see [8, §2] or [9, §6]), a special case of the Sturmian continued fractions of [1]. Now, thanks to Jarník's formula (1), we recognize that each set of inequalities in (2) can be deduced from the other one.

Generalizing the approach of [8], Bugeaud and Laurent have computed the exponents $\widehat{\lambda}_2(\xi)$ and $\widehat{\omega}_2(\xi)$ for a general (characteristic) Sturmian continued fraction ξ . They found that, after $1/\gamma$ and γ^2 , the next largest values of $\widehat{\lambda}_2(\xi)$ and $\widehat{\omega}_2(\xi)$ for such numbers ξ are, respectively, $2 - \sqrt{2} \simeq 0.586$ and $1 + \sqrt{2} \simeq 2.414$, and they asked if there exists any transcendental real number ξ which satisfies either $2 - \sqrt{2} < \widehat{\lambda}_2(\xi) < 1/\gamma$ or $1 + \sqrt{2} < \widehat{\omega}_2(\xi) < \gamma^2$ (see [3, §8]). Our main result below shows that such numbers exist.

Theorem *The points $(\widehat{\lambda}_2(\xi), \widehat{\omega}_2(\xi))$ where ξ runs through all real numbers with $[\mathbb{Q}(\xi):\mathbb{Q}] > 2$ form a dense subset of the curve $\mathcal{C} = \{(1 - \omega^{-1}, \omega) ; 2 \leq \omega \leq \gamma^2\}$.*

Since $(\widehat{\lambda}_2(\xi), \widehat{\omega}_2(\xi)) = (1/2, 2)$ for any algebraic number ξ of degree at least 3, it follows in particular that $(1/\gamma, \gamma^2)$ is an accumulation point for the set of points $(\widehat{\lambda}_2(\xi), \widehat{\omega}_2(\xi))$ with ξ a transcendental real number. Because of Jarník's formula (1), this theorem is equivalent to either one of the following two assertions.

Corollary *The exponents $\widehat{\lambda}_2(\xi)$ attached to transcendental real numbers ξ form a dense subset of the interval $[1/2, 1/\gamma]$. The corresponding dual exponents $\widehat{\omega}_2(\xi)$ form a dense subset of $[2, \gamma^2]$.*

The proof is inspired by the constructions of [9, §6] and [11, §5]. We produce countably many real numbers ξ of "Fibonacci type" (see §7 for a precise definition) for which we show that the exponents $\widehat{\omega}_2(\xi)$ are dense in $[2, \gamma^2]$. By (1), this implies the theorem. One may then reformulate the question of Bugeaud and Laurent by asking if there exist transcendental real numbers ξ not of that type which satisfy $\widehat{\omega}_2(\xi) > 1 + \sqrt{2}$. The work of S. Fischler announced in [6] should shed some light on this question.

2 Notation and Equivalent Definitions of the Exponents

We define the *norm* of a point $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ as its maximum norm

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| = \max_{0 \leq i \leq 2} |x_i|.$$

Given a second point $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we denote by $\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}$ the standard vector product of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , and by $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ their standard scalar product. Given a third point $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we also denote by $\det(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$ the determinant of the 3×3 matrix whose rows are \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{z} . Then we have the well-known relation

$$\det(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \wedge \mathbf{z} \rangle$$

and we get the following alternative definition of the exponents $\widehat{\lambda}(\xi, \eta)$ and $\widehat{\omega}(\xi, \eta)$.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\mathbf{y} = (1, \xi, \eta)$. Then $\widehat{\lambda}(\xi, \eta)$ is the supremum of all real numbers λ such that, for each sufficiently large real number $X \geq 1$, there exists a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ with*

$$0 < \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq X \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}\| \leq X^{-\lambda}.$$

Similarly, $\widehat{\omega}(\xi, \eta)$ is the supremum of all real numbers ω such that, for each sufficiently large real number $X \geq 1$, there exists a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ with

$$0 < \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq X \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle| \leq X^{-\omega}.$$

In the sequel, we will need the following inequalities.

Lemma 2.2 *For any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have*

$$(3) \quad \|\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \rangle \mathbf{y} - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mathbf{z}\| \leq 2\|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y} \wedge \mathbf{z}\|,$$

$$(4) \quad \|\mathbf{y}\| \|\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{z}\| \leq \|\mathbf{z}\| \|\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}\| + 2\|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y} \wedge \mathbf{z}\|.$$

Proof Writing $\mathbf{y} = (y_0, y_1, y_2)$ and $\mathbf{z} = (z_0, z_1, z_2)$, we find

$$\|\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \rangle \mathbf{y} - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mathbf{z}\| = \max_{i=0,1,2} |\langle \mathbf{x}, y_i \mathbf{z} - z_i \mathbf{y} \rangle| \leq 2\|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y} \wedge \mathbf{z}\|,$$

which proves (3). Similarly, one finds $\|y_i \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{z} - z_i \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}\| \leq 2\|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y} \wedge \mathbf{z}\|$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$, and this implies (4). ■

For any non-zero point \mathbf{x} of \mathbb{R}^3 , let $[\mathbf{x}]$ denote the point of $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ having \mathbf{x} as a set of homogeneous coordinates. Then (4) has a useful interpretation in terms of the projective distance defined for non-zero points \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} of \mathbb{R}^3 by

$$\text{dist}([\mathbf{x}], [\mathbf{y}]) = \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\|\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\|}.$$

Indeed, for any triple of non-zero points $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, it gives

$$(5) \quad \text{dist}([\mathbf{x}], [\mathbf{z}]) \leq \text{dist}([\mathbf{x}], [\mathbf{y}]) + 2 \text{dist}([\mathbf{y}], [\mathbf{z}]).$$

3 Fibonacci Sequences in $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$

A *Fibonacci sequence* in a monoid is a sequence $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of elements of that monoid such that $\mathbf{w}_{i+2} = \mathbf{w}_{i+1}\mathbf{w}_i$ for each index $i \geq 0$. Clearly, such a sequence is entirely determined by its first two elements \mathbf{w}_0 and \mathbf{w}_1 . We start with the following observation.

Proposition 3.1 *There exists a non-empty Zariski open subset \mathcal{U} of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})^2$ with the following property. For each Fibonacci sequence $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ with $(\mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_1) \in \mathcal{U}$, there exists $N \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that the matrix*

$$(6) \quad \mathbf{y}_i = \begin{cases} \mathbf{w}_i N & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \mathbf{w}_i {}^t N & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

is symmetric for each $i \geq 0$. Any matrix $N \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\mathbf{w}_0 N$, $\mathbf{w}_1 {}^t N$ and $\mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{w}_0 N$ are symmetric satisfies this property. When \mathbf{w}_0 and \mathbf{w}_1 have integer coefficients, we may take N with integer coefficients.

Proof Let $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a Fibonacci sequence in $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and let $N \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Defining \mathbf{y}_i by (6) for each $i \geq 0$, we find $\mathbf{y}_{i+3} = \mathbf{y}_{i+1} {}^t S \mathbf{y}_i S \mathbf{y}_{i+1}$ with $S = N^{-1}$ if i is even and $S = {}^t N^{-1}$ if i is odd. Thus, \mathbf{y}_i is symmetric for each $i \geq 0$ if and only if it is so for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

Now, for any given point $(\mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_1) \in GL_2(\mathbb{C})^2$, the conditions that $\mathbf{w}_0 N$, $\mathbf{w}_1 {}^t N$ and $\mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{w}_0 N$ are symmetric represent a system of three linear equations in the four unknown coefficients of N . Let \mathcal{V} be the Zariski open subset of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})^2$ consisting of all points $(\mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_1)$ for which this linear system has rank 3. Then, for each $(\mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_1) \in \mathcal{V}$, the 3×3 minors of this linear system conveniently arranged into a 2×2 matrix provide a non-zero solution N of the system, whose coefficients are polynomials in those of \mathbf{w}_0 and \mathbf{w}_1 with integer coefficients. Then the condition $\det(N) \neq 0$ in turn determines a Zariski open subset \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{V} . To conclude, we note that \mathcal{U} is not empty as a short computation shows that it contains the point formed by $\mathbf{w}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{w}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. ■

Definition 3.2 Let $\mathcal{M} = \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ denote the monoid of 2×2 integer matrices with non-zero determinant. We say that a Fibonacci sequence $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ in \mathcal{M} is *admissible* if there exists a matrix $N \in \mathcal{M}$ such that the sequence $(\mathbf{y}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ given by (6) consists of symmetric matrices.

Since \mathcal{M} is Zariski dense in $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$, Proposition 3.1 shows that almost all Fibonacci sequences in \mathcal{M} are admissible. The following example is an illustration of this.

Example 3.3 Fix integers a, b, c with $a \geq 2$ and $c \geq b \geq 1$, and define

$$\mathbf{w}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ a & a(b+1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{w}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ a & a(c+1) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} -1 + a(b+1)(c+1) & -a(b+1) \\ -a(c+1) & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

These matrices belong to \mathcal{M} since $\det(\mathbf{w}_0) = \det(\mathbf{w}_1) = a$ and $\det(N) = -a$. Moreover, one finds that

$$\mathbf{w}_0 N = \begin{pmatrix} -1 + a(c+1) & -a \\ -a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{w}_1 {}^t N = \begin{pmatrix} -1 + a(b+1) & -a \\ -a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{w}_0 N = \begin{pmatrix} -1 + a & -a \\ -a & -a^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

are symmetric matrices. Therefore, the Fibonacci sequence $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ constructed on \mathbf{w}_0 and \mathbf{w}_1 is admissible with an associated sequence of symmetric matrices $(\mathbf{y}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ given by (6), the first three matrices of this sequence being the above products $\mathbf{y}_0 = \mathbf{w}_0 N$, $\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{w}_1 {}^t N$ and $\mathbf{y}_2 = \mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{w}_0 N$.

4 Fibonacci Sequences of 2×2 Integer Matrices

In the sequel, we identify \mathbb{R}^3 (resp., \mathbb{Z}^3) with the space of 2×2 symmetric matrices with real (resp., integer) coefficients under the map

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2) \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 \\ x_1 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Accordingly, it makes sense to define the determinant of a point $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2)$ of \mathbb{R}^3 by $\det(\mathbf{x}) = x_0 x_2 - x_1^2$. Similarly, given symmetric matrices \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{z} , we write $\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}$, $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ and $\det(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$ to denote respectively the vector product, scalar product and determinant of the corresponding points.

In this section we look at arithmetic properties of admissible Fibonacci sequences in the monoid \mathcal{M} of Definition 3.2. For this purpose, we define the *content* of an integer matrix $\mathbf{w} \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z})$ or of a point $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ as the greatest common divisor of their coefficients. We say that such a matrix or point is *primitive* if its content is 1.

Proposition 4.1 *Let $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be an admissible Fibonacci sequence of matrices in \mathcal{M} and let $(\mathbf{y}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a corresponding sequence of symmetric matrices in \mathcal{M} . For each $i \geq 0$, define $\mathbf{z}_i = \det(\mathbf{w}_i)^{-1} \mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}_{i+1}$. Then, for each $i \geq 0$, we have*

- (a) $\text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_{i+3}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_{i+1}) \text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_{i+2}) - \det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1}) \text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_i)$,
- (b) $\mathbf{y}_{i+3} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_{i+1}) \mathbf{y}_{i+2} - \det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1}) \mathbf{y}_i$,
- (c) $\mathbf{z}_{i+3} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_{i+1}) \mathbf{z}_{i+1} + \det(\mathbf{w}_i) \mathbf{z}_i$,
- (d) $\det(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_{i+2}) = (-1)^i \det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) \det(\mathbf{w}_2)^{-1} \det(\mathbf{w}_{i+2})$,
- (e) $\mathbf{z}_i \wedge \mathbf{z}_{i+1} = (-1)^i \det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) \det(\mathbf{w}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{i+1}$.

Proof For each index $i \geq 0$, let N_i denote the element of \mathcal{M} for which $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{w}_i N_i$. According to (6), we have $N_i = N$ if i is even and $N_i = {}^t N$ if i is odd. We first prove

(b) following the argument of the proof of [10, Lemma 2.5(i)]. Multiplying both sides of the equality $\mathbf{w}_{i+2} = \mathbf{w}_{i+1}\mathbf{w}_i$ on the right by $N_{i+2} = N_i$, we find

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{y}_{i+2} = \mathbf{w}_{i+1}\mathbf{y}_i,$$

which can be rewritten as $\mathbf{y}_{i+2} = \mathbf{y}_{i+1}N_{i+1}^{-1}\mathbf{y}_i$. Taking the transpose of both sides, this gives $\mathbf{y}_{i+2} = \mathbf{y}_iN_i^{-1}\mathbf{y}_{i+1} = \mathbf{w}_i\mathbf{y}_{i+1}$. Replacing i by $i + 1$ in the latter identity and combining it with (7), we get

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{y}_{i+3} = \mathbf{w}_{i+1}\mathbf{y}_{i+2} = \mathbf{w}_{i+1}^2\mathbf{y}_i.$$

Then (b) follows from (7) and (8), using the fact that, by the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, we have $\mathbf{w}_{i+1}^2 = \text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})\mathbf{w}_{i+1} - \det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})I$. Multiplying both sides of (b) on the right by N_i^{-1} and taking the trace, we deduce that

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{y}_{i+3}N_i^{-1}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})\text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_{i+2}) - \det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})\text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_i).$$

This gives (a) because $\text{tr}(\mathbf{y}_{i+3}N_i^{-1}) = \text{tr}({}^t\mathbf{y}_{i+3}{}^tN_i^{-1}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_{i+3})$. Taking the exterior product of both sides of (b) with \mathbf{y}_{i+1} , we also find

$$\mathbf{y}_{i+1} \wedge \mathbf{y}_{i+3} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})\mathbf{z}_{i+1} + \det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})\det(\mathbf{w}_i)\mathbf{z}_i.$$

Similarly, replacing i by $i + 1$ in (b) and taking the exterior product with \mathbf{y}_{i+3} gives

$$\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+3})\mathbf{z}_{i+3} = \det(\mathbf{w}_{i+2})\mathbf{y}_{i+1} \wedge \mathbf{y}_{i+3}.$$

Then (c) follows upon noting that $\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+3}) = \det(\mathbf{w}_{i+2})\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})$.

The formula (d) is clearly true for $i = 0$. If we assume that it holds for some integer $i \geq 0$, then using the formula for \mathbf{y}_{i+3} given by (b) and taking into account the multilinearity of the determinant we find

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{y}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_{i+2}, \mathbf{y}_{i+3}) &= -\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})\det(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_{i+2}) \\ &= (-1)^{i+1}\det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2)\frac{\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+3})}{\det(\mathbf{w}_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (d) by induction on i . Then (e) follows since, for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, we have $(\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}) \wedge (\mathbf{y} \wedge \mathbf{z}) = \det(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})\mathbf{y}$ which, in the present case, gives

$$\mathbf{z}_i \wedge \mathbf{z}_{i+1} = \det(\mathbf{w}_{i+2})^{-1}\det(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_{i+2})\mathbf{y}_{i+1}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary 4.2 *The notation being as in the proposition, assume that $\text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_i)$ and $\det(\mathbf{w}_i)$ are relatively prime for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and that $\det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) \neq 0$. Then for each $i \geq 0$,*

- (a) *the points $\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_{i+2}$ are linearly independent,*
- (b) *$\text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_i)$ and $\det(\mathbf{w}_i)$ are relatively prime,*
- (c) *the matrix \mathbf{w}_i is primitive,*

- (d) the content of \mathbf{y}_i divides $\det(\mathbf{y}_2)/\det(\mathbf{w}_2)$,
- (e) the point $\det(\mathbf{w}_2)\mathbf{z}_i$ belongs to \mathbb{Z}^3 and its content divides $\det(\mathbf{y}_2)\det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2)$.

Proof The assertion (a) follows from Proposition 4.1(d). Since (b) holds by hypothesis for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and since $\det(\mathbf{w}_2)$ and $\det(\mathbf{w}_i)$ have the same prime factors for each $i \geq 2$, the assertion (b) follows, by induction on i , from the fact that Proposition 4.1(a) gives $\text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_{i+1}) \equiv \text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_i)\text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_{i-1})$ modulo $\det(\mathbf{w}_2)$ for each $i \geq 3$. Then (c) follows since the content of \mathbf{w}_i divides both $\text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_i)$ and $\det(\mathbf{w}_i)$.

Let $N \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathbf{y}_2 = \mathbf{w}_2N$. For each i , we have $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{w}_iN_i$ where $N_i = N$ if i is even and $N_i = {}^tN$ if i is odd. This gives $\mathbf{y}_i \text{Adj}(N_i) = \det(N)\mathbf{w}_i$ where $\text{Adj}(N_i) \in \mathcal{M}$ denotes the adjoint of N_i . Thus, by (c), the content of \mathbf{y}_i divides $\det(N) = \det(\mathbf{y}_2)/\det(\mathbf{w}_2)$, as claimed in (d).

The fact that $\det(\mathbf{w}_2)\mathbf{z}_i$ belongs to \mathbb{Z}^3 is clear for $i = 0, 1, 2$ because $\det(\mathbf{w}_0)$ and $\det(\mathbf{w}_1)$ divide $\det(\mathbf{w}_2)$. Then Proposition 4.1(c) shows, by induction on i , that $\det(\mathbf{w}_2)\mathbf{z}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ for each $i \geq 0$. Moreover, the content of that point divides that of $\det(\mathbf{w}_2)^2\mathbf{z}_i \wedge \mathbf{z}_{i+1}$ which, by (d) and Proposition 4.1(e), divides $\det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2)\det(\mathbf{y}_2)$. This proves (e). ■

Example 4.3 Let $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$, N and $(\mathbf{y}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be as in Example 3.3. Since $\mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_1$ and N are congruent to matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ modulo a and have determinant $\pm a$, all matrices \mathbf{w}_i and \mathbf{y}_i are congruent to matrices of the same form modulo a and their determinant is, up to sign, a power of a . Thus these matrices have relatively prime trace and determinant, and so are primitive for each $i \geq 0$. Since $\det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) = a^4(c - b)$, Proposition 4.1(e) shows that the points $\mathbf{z}_i = \det(\mathbf{w}_i)^{-1}\mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}_{i+1}$ satisfy $\mathbf{z}_i \wedge \mathbf{z}_{i+1} = (-1)^i a^2(c - b)\mathbf{y}_{i+1}$ for each $i \geq 0$. Moreover, we find that $a^{-1}\mathbf{z}_0 = (0, 0, b - c)$, $a^{-1}\mathbf{z}_1 = (a, -1 + a(b + 1), -b)$ and $a^{-1}\mathbf{z}_2 = (a, -1 + a(c + 1), -c)$ are integer points. Then Proposition 4.1(c) shows, by induction on i , that $a^{-1}\mathbf{z}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ for each $i \geq 0$. In particular, if $c = b + 1$, we deduce from the relation $a^{-1}\mathbf{z}_i \wedge a^{-1}\mathbf{z}_{i+1} = \pm \mathbf{y}_{i+1}$ that $a^{-1}\mathbf{z}_i$ is a primitive integer point for each $i \geq 0$.

5 Growth Estimates

Define the *norm* of a 2×2 matrix $\mathbf{w} = (w_{k,\ell}) \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ as the largest absolute value of its coefficients $\|\mathbf{w}\| = \max_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq 2} |w_{k,\ell}|$, and define $\gamma = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ as in the introduction. In this section, we provide growth estimates for the norm and determinant of elements of certain Fibonacci sequences in $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. We first establish two basic lemmas.

Lemma 5.1 Let $\mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_1 \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose that, for $i = 0, 1$, the matrix \mathbf{w}_i is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with $1 \leq a \leq \min\{b, c\}$ and $\max\{b, c\} \leq d$. Then all matrices of the Fibonacci sequence $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ constructed on \mathbf{w}_0 and \mathbf{w}_1 have this form and for each $i \geq 0$, they satisfy

$$(9) \quad \|\mathbf{w}_i\| \|\mathbf{w}_{i+1}\| < \|\mathbf{w}_{i+2}\| \leq 2\|\mathbf{w}_i\| \|\mathbf{w}_{i+1}\|.$$

Proof The first assertion follows by recurrence on i and is left to the reader. It implies that $\|\mathbf{w}_i\|$ is equal to the element of index $(2, 2)$ of \mathbf{w}_i for each $i \geq 0$. Then (9) follows by observing that, for any 2×2 matrices $\mathbf{w} = (w_{k,\ell})$ and $\mathbf{w}' = (w'_{k,\ell})$ with positive real coefficients, the product $\mathbf{w}'\mathbf{w} = (w''_{k,\ell})$ satisfies $w_{2,2}w'_{2,2} < w''_{2,2} \leq 2\|\mathbf{w}\|\|\mathbf{w}'\|$. ■

Lemma 5.2 *Let $(r_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. Assume that there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $c_1 r_i r_{i+1} \leq r_{i+2} \leq c_2 r_i r_{i+1}$ for each $i \geq 0$. Then there also exist constants $c_3, c_4 > 0$ such that $c_3 r_i^\gamma \leq r_{i+1} \leq c_4 r_i^\gamma$ for each $i \geq 0$.*

Proof Define $c_3 = c_1^\gamma / (c_2 c_1)$ and $c_4 = c_2^\gamma / c_1$, where $c \geq 1$ is chosen so that the condition $c_3 \leq r_{i+1} / r_i^\gamma \leq c_4$ holds for $i = 0$. Assuming that the same condition holds for some index $i \geq 0$, we find

$$\frac{r_{i+2}}{r_{i+1}^\gamma} \geq c_1 \frac{r_i}{r_{i+1}^{1/\gamma}} \geq c_1 c_4^{-1/\gamma} = c^{1/\gamma^2} c_3 \geq c_3,$$

and similarly $r_{i+2} / r_{i+1}^\gamma \leq c_4$. This proves the lemma by recurrence on i . ■

Proposition 5.3 *Let $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a Fibonacci sequence in $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose that there exist real numbers $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$(10) \quad c_1 \|\mathbf{w}_i\| \|\mathbf{w}_{i+1}\| \leq \|\mathbf{w}_{i+2}\| \leq c_2 \|\mathbf{w}_i\| \|\mathbf{w}_{i+1}\|$$

for each $i \geq 0$. Then there exist constants $c_3, c_4 > 0$ for which the inequalities

$$(11) \quad c_3 \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^\gamma \leq \|\mathbf{w}_{i+1}\| \leq c_4 \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^\gamma, \quad c_3 |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|^\gamma \leq |\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})| \leq c_4 |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|^\gamma$$

hold for each $i \geq 0$. Moreover, if there exist $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ such that

$$(12) \quad (c_2 \|\mathbf{w}_i\|)^\alpha \leq |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)| \leq (c_1 \|\mathbf{w}_i\|)^\beta$$

holds for $i = 0, 1$, then this relation extends to each $i \geq 0$.

Proof The first assertion of the proposition follows from Lemma 5.2 applied once with $r_i = \|\mathbf{w}_i\|$ and once with $r_i = |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|$. To prove the second assertion, assume that for some index $j \geq 0$ the condition (12) holds both with $i = j$ and $i = j + 1$. We find

$$|\det(\mathbf{w}_{j+2})| = |\det(\mathbf{w}_{j+1})| |\det(\mathbf{w}_j)| \geq (c_2 \|\mathbf{w}_{j+1}\|)^\alpha (c_2 \|\mathbf{w}_j\|)^\alpha \geq (c_2 \|\mathbf{w}_{j+2}\|)^\alpha$$

and similarly $|\det(\mathbf{w}_{j+2})| \leq (c_1 \|\mathbf{w}_{j+2}\|)^\beta$. Therefore, (12) holds with $i = j + 2$. By recurrence on i , this shows that (12) holds for each $i \geq 0$ if it holds for $i = 0, 1$. ■

Example 5.4 Let the notation be as in Example 3.3. Since \mathbf{w}_0 and \mathbf{w}_1 satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1, the Fibonacci sequence $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ that they generate fulfills for each $i \geq 0$ the condition (10) of Proposition 5.3 with $c_1 = 1$ and $c_2 = 2$. As $\det(\mathbf{w}_0) = \det(\mathbf{w}_1) = a$, we also note that for this choice of c_1 and c_2 the condition (12) holds for $i = 0, 1$ with

$$\alpha = \frac{\log a}{\log(2a(c + 1))} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{\log a}{\log(a(b + 1))}.$$

Then, for an appropriate choice of $c_3, c_4 > 0$, both (11) and (12) hold for each $i \geq 0$. Moreover, the estimates (9) of Lemma 5.1 imply that the sequence $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ is unbounded.

6 Construction of a Real Number

Given sequences of non-negative real numbers with general terms a_i and b_i , we write $a_i \ll b_i$ or $b_i \gg a_i$ if there exists a real number $c > 0$ such that $a_i \leq cb_i$ for all sufficiently large values of i . We write $a_i \sim b_i$ when $a_i \ll b_i$ and $b_i \ll a_i$. With this notation, we now prove the following result (cf. [11, §5]).

Proposition 6.1 Let $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be an admissible Fibonacci sequence in \mathcal{M} and let $(\mathbf{y}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a corresponding sequence of symmetric matrices in \mathcal{M} . Assume that $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ is unbounded and satisfies the conditions

$$(13) \quad \|\mathbf{w}_{i+1}\| \sim \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^\gamma, \quad |\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})| \sim |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|^\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)| \ll \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^\beta$$

for a real number β with $0 < \beta < 2$. Viewing each \mathbf{y}_i as a point in \mathbb{Z}^3 , assume that $\det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) \neq 0$ and define $\mathbf{z}_i = (\det(\mathbf{w}_i))^{-1} \mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}_{i+1}$ for each $i \geq 0$. Then we have

$$(14) \quad \|\mathbf{y}_i\| \sim \|\mathbf{w}_i\|, \quad |\det(\mathbf{y}_i)| \sim |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|, \quad \|\mathbf{z}_i\| \sim \|\mathbf{w}_{i-1}\|,$$

and there exists a non-zero point \mathbf{y} of \mathbb{R}^3 with $\det(\mathbf{y}) = 0$ such that

$$(15) \quad \|\mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}\| \sim \frac{|\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|}{\|\mathbf{w}_i\|} \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle| \sim \frac{|\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})|}{\|\mathbf{w}_{i+2}\|}.$$

If $\beta < 1$, the coordinates of such a point \mathbf{y} are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} and we may assume that $\mathbf{y} = (1, \xi, \xi^2)$ for some real number ξ with $[\mathbb{Q}(\xi) : \mathbb{Q}] > 2$.

Proof For each $i \geq 0$, let N_i denote the element of \mathcal{M} for which $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{w}_i N_i$. Putting $N = N_0$, we have by hypothesis $N_i = N$ when i is even and $N_i = {}^t N$ otherwise. This implies that $\|\mathbf{y}_i\| \sim \|\mathbf{w}_i\|$ and $|\det(\mathbf{y}_i)| \sim |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|$. In the sequel, we will repeatedly use these relations as well as the hypothesis (13).

We claim that we have

$$(16) \quad \|\mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}_{i+1}\| \ll |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)| \|\mathbf{w}_{i-1}\|.$$

To prove this, we define $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and note that for each $i \geq 0$ the coefficients of the diagonal of $\mathbf{y}_i J \mathbf{y}_{i+1}$ coincide with the first and third coefficients of $\mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}_{i+1}$ while the sum of the coefficients of $\mathbf{y}_i J \mathbf{y}_{i+1}$ outside of the diagonal is the middle coefficient of $\mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}_{i+1}$ multiplied by -1 . This gives

$$(17) \quad \|\mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}_{i+1}\| \leq 2\|\mathbf{y}_i J \mathbf{y}_{i+1}\|.$$

Since $\mathbf{y}_{i+1} = \mathbf{y}_i N_i^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{i-1}$ and since $\mathbf{x} J \mathbf{x} = \det(\mathbf{x}) J$ for any symmetric matrix \mathbf{x} , we also find that $\mathbf{y}_i J \mathbf{y}_{i+1} = \det(\mathbf{y}_i) J N_i^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{i-1}$ and therefore $\|\mathbf{y}_i J \mathbf{y}_{i+1}\| \ll |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)| \|\mathbf{w}_{i-1}\|$. Combining this with (17) proves our claim (16), which can also be written in the form

$$(18) \quad \|\mathbf{z}_i\| \ll \|\mathbf{w}_{i-1}\|.$$

As $\|\mathbf{y}_i\| \sim \|\mathbf{w}_i\|$ and $\|\mathbf{y}_{i+1}\| \sim \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^\gamma$, the estimate (16) shows, in the notation of §2, that

$$(19) \quad \text{dist}([\mathbf{y}_i], [\mathbf{y}_{i+1}]) \leq c\delta_i, \quad \text{where} \quad \delta_i = \frac{|\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|}{\|\mathbf{w}_i\|^2}$$

and where c is some positive constant which does not depend on i . Since by hypothesis we have $|\det(\mathbf{w}_i)| \ll \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^\beta$ with $\beta < 2$, we find that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \delta_i = 0$. Since moreover, we have $\delta_{i+1} \sim \delta_i^\gamma$, we deduce that there exists an index $i_0 \geq 1$ such that $\delta_{i+1} \leq \delta_i/4$ for each $i \geq i_0$. Then, using (5), we deduce that

$$(20) \quad \text{dist}([\mathbf{y}_i], [\mathbf{y}_j]) \leq \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} 2^{k-i} \text{dist}([\mathbf{y}_k], [\mathbf{y}_{k+1}]) \leq c \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} 2^{k-i} \delta_k \leq 2c\delta_i$$

for each choice of i and j with $i_0 \leq i < j$. Thus the sequence $([\mathbf{y}_i])_{i \geq 0}$ converges in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ to a point $[\mathbf{y}]$ for some non-zero $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Since the ratio $|\det(\mathbf{y}_i)|/\|\mathbf{y}_i\|^2$ depends only on the class $[\mathbf{y}_i]$ of \mathbf{y}_i in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ and tends to 0 like δ_i as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce by continuity that $|\det(\mathbf{y})|/\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = 0$ and thus that $\det(\mathbf{y}) = 0$. By continuity, (20) also leads to $\text{dist}([\mathbf{y}_i], [\mathbf{y}]) \leq 2c\delta_i$ for each $i \geq i_0$, and so

$$(21) \quad \|\mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}\| \ll \frac{|\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|}{\|\mathbf{w}_i\|}.$$

Applying (3) together with the above estimates (18) and (21), we find

$$\|\langle \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mathbf{y}_{i+2} - \langle \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i+2} \rangle \mathbf{y}\| \leq 2\|\mathbf{z}_i\| \|\mathbf{y}_{i+2} \wedge \mathbf{y}\| \ll \|\mathbf{w}_{i-1}\| \frac{|\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+2})|}{\|\mathbf{w}_{i+2}\|} \ll |\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})| \delta_i.$$

Using Proposition 4.1(d), we also get

$$(22) \quad \|\langle \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i+2} \rangle \mathbf{y}\| = \frac{|\det(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_{i+2})|}{|\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|} \|\mathbf{y}\| \sim |\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})|.$$

Combining the above two estimates, we deduce that $\|\langle \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mathbf{y}_{i+2}\| \sim |\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})|$ and therefore that $|\langle \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle| \sim |\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})|/\|\mathbf{w}_{i+2}\|$. The latter estimate is the second half of (15). It implies

$$\|\langle \mathbf{z}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mathbf{y}_i\| \sim \frac{|\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+2})|}{\|\mathbf{w}_{i+3}\|} \|\mathbf{w}_i\| \sim |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)| \delta_{i+1}.$$

Since $\langle \mathbf{z}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle = \det(\mathbf{w}_{i-1})^{-1} \langle \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i+2} \rangle$, the estimate (22) can also be written in the form $\|\langle \mathbf{z}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle \mathbf{y}\| \sim |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|$. Then, applying (3) once again, we find

$$2\|\mathbf{z}_{i+1}\| \|\mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}\| \geq \|\langle \mathbf{z}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mathbf{y}_i - \langle \mathbf{z}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle \mathbf{y}\| \gg |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|.$$

Since, by (18) and (21), we have $\|\mathbf{z}_{i+1}\| \ll \|\mathbf{w}_i\|$ and $\|\mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}\| \ll |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|/\|\mathbf{w}_i\|$, we conclude from this that $\|\mathbf{z}_{i+1}\| \sim \|\mathbf{w}_i\|$ and $\|\mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}\| \sim |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|/\|\mathbf{w}_i\|$, which completes the proof of (14) and (15).

Now, assume that $\beta < 1$, and let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ such that $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$. By (3), we have

$$(23) \quad 2\|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}\| \geq \|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mathbf{y}_i - \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle \mathbf{y}\| = |\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle| \|\mathbf{y}\|$$

for each $i \geq 0$. Since $\|\mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}\| \sim |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|/\|\mathbf{w}_i\| \ll \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^{\beta-1}$ tends to 0 as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce from (23) that the integer $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle$ must vanish for all sufficiently large values of i . This implies that $\mathbf{u} = 0$ because it follows from the hypothesis $\det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) \neq 0$ and the formula in Proposition 4.1(d) that any three consecutive points of the sequence $(\mathbf{y}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ are linearly independent. Thus the coordinates of \mathbf{y} must be linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . In particular, the first coordinate of \mathbf{y} is non-zero and, dividing \mathbf{y} by this coordinate, we may assume that it is equal to 1. Then, upon denoting by ξ the second coordinate of \mathbf{y} , the condition $\det(\mathbf{y}) = 0$ implies that $\mathbf{y} = (1, \xi, \xi^2)$ and thus $[\mathbb{Q}(\xi) : \mathbb{Q}] > 2$. ■

7 Estimates for the Exponent $\widehat{\omega}_2$

We first prove the following result and then deduce from it our main theorem in §1.

Proposition 7.1 *Let $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be an admissible Fibonacci sequence in \mathcal{M} , and let $(\mathbf{y}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a corresponding sequence of symmetric matrices in \mathcal{M} . Assume that $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ is unbounded and satisfies*

$$(24) \quad \|\mathbf{w}_{i+1}\| \sim \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^\gamma, \quad |\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})| \sim |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|^\gamma, \quad \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^\alpha \ll |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)| \ll \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^\beta$$

for real numbers α and β with $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta < \gamma^{-2}$. Assume moreover that $\text{tr}(\mathbf{w}_i)$ and $\det(\mathbf{w}_i)$ are relatively prime for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and that $\det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) \neq 0$. Then the real number ξ which comes out from the last assertion of Proposition 6.1 satisfies

$$\gamma^2 - \beta\gamma \leq \widehat{\omega}_2(\xi) \leq \gamma^2 - \alpha\gamma.$$

Proof Put $\mathbf{y} = (1, \xi, \xi^2)$ and define the sequence $(\mathbf{z}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ as in Proposition 4.1. Since $\|\mathbf{y}\| \geq 1$, the inequality (3) combined with the estimates of Proposition 6.1 shows that, for any point $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and any index $i \geq 1$, we have

$$(25) \quad |\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{y}_i\| |\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \rangle| + 2\|\mathbf{z}\| \|\mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}\| < c_5 \max \left\{ \|\mathbf{w}_i\| |\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \rangle|, \|\mathbf{z}\| \frac{|\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|}{\|\mathbf{w}_i\|} \right\},$$

with a constant $c_5 > 0$ which is independent of \mathbf{z} and i . Suppose that a point $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ satisfies

$$(26) \quad 0 < \|\mathbf{z}\| \leq Z_i := c_6 \|\mathbf{w}_i\| \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \rangle| \leq \frac{|\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})|}{\|\mathbf{w}_{i+2}\|},$$

where $c_6 = c_5^{-1} |\det(\mathbf{y}_2)|^{-1}$. Using (25) with i replaced by $i + 1$, we find

$$|\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}_{i+1} \rangle| \ll |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|^\gamma \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^{-1/\gamma}.$$

Since $|\det(\mathbf{w}_i)| \ll \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^\beta$ with $\beta < \gamma^{-2}$, this gives $|\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}_{i+1} \rangle| < 1$ provided that i is sufficiently large. Then the integer $\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}_{i+1} \rangle$ must be zero and, by Proposition 4.1(e), we deduce that $\mathbf{z} = a\mathbf{z}_i + b\mathbf{z}_{i+1}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ where b is given by

$$\mathbf{z}_i \wedge \mathbf{z} = b\mathbf{z}_i \wedge \mathbf{z}_{i+1} = (-1)^i b \det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) \det(\mathbf{w}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{i+1}.$$

Since $\det(\mathbf{w}_2)\mathbf{z}_i \wedge \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and since, by Corollary 4.2(d), the content of \mathbf{y}_{i+1} divides $\det(\mathbf{y}_2)/\det(\mathbf{w}_2)$, this implies that $b \det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) \det(\mathbf{y}_2)/\det(\mathbf{w}_2)$ is an integer. So, if b is non-zero, it satisfies the lower bound

$$|b| \geq |\det(\mathbf{w}_2)/(\det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) \det(\mathbf{y}_2))|.$$

We note that $\langle \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle = 0$ and by Proposition 4.1(d) that

$$\langle \mathbf{z}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle = \frac{\det(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_{i+2})}{\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})} = (-1)^i \frac{\det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2)}{\det(\mathbf{w}_2)} \det(\mathbf{w}_i).$$

Therefore, if $b \neq 0$, the point $\mathbf{z} = a\mathbf{z}_i + b\mathbf{z}_{i+1}$ satisfies

$$|\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle| = |b| |\langle \mathbf{z}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle| \geq |\det(\mathbf{y}_2)|^{-1} |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)| = c_5 c_6 |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|.$$

However, (25) and (26) give

$$|\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}_i \rangle| < c_5 \max \left\{ \frac{|\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})| \|\mathbf{w}_i\|}{\|\mathbf{w}_{i+2}\|}, c_6 |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)| \right\} = c_5 c_6 |\det(\mathbf{w}_i)|$$

if i is sufficiently large, because the ratio $|\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})| \|\mathbf{w}_i\| / \|\mathbf{w}_{i+2}\| \ll \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^{\beta\gamma - \gamma}$ tends to 0 as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Comparison with the previous inequality then forces $b = 0$, and so we get $\mathbf{z} = a\mathbf{z}_i$ with $a \neq 0$. Since $\det(\mathbf{w}_2)\mathbf{z}_i$ is, by Corollary 4.2(e), an integer point whose content divides $\det(\mathbf{y}_2) \det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2)$, we deduce that

$$a \det(\mathbf{y}_2) \det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) / \det(\mathbf{w}_2)$$

is a non-zero integer and therefore, using the second part of (15) in Proposition 6.1, we find that

$$|\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \rangle| = |a| |\langle \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle| \geq \frac{|\det(\mathbf{w}_2)|}{|\det(\mathbf{y}_2) \det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2)|} |\langle \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle| \gg \frac{|\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})|}{\|\mathbf{w}_{i+2}\|}.$$

Since this holds for any point \mathbf{z} satisfying (26) with i sufficiently large, we deduce that for any index $i \geq 0$ and any point $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ with $0 < \|\mathbf{z}\| \leq Z_i$ we have

$$|\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \rangle| \gg \frac{|\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})|}{\|\mathbf{w}_{i+2}\|} \gg \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^{\gamma\alpha - \gamma^2} \gg Z_i^{\gamma\alpha - \gamma^2}.$$

This shows that $\widehat{\omega}_2(\xi) \leq \gamma^2 - \gamma\alpha$.

Finally, for any real number $Z \geq \|\mathbf{z}_0\|$, there exists an index $i \geq 0$ such that $\|\mathbf{z}_i\| \leq Z < \|\mathbf{z}_{i+1}\|$ and, for such choice of i , we find by Proposition 6.1 that

$$|\langle \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle| \ll \frac{|\det(\mathbf{w}_{i+1})|}{\|\mathbf{w}_{i+2}\|} \ll \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^{\beta\gamma - \gamma^2} \sim \|\mathbf{z}_{i+1}\|^{\beta\gamma - \gamma^2} \ll Z^{\beta\gamma - \gamma^2},$$

showing that $\widehat{\omega}_2(\xi) \geq \gamma^2 - \gamma\beta$. ■

Let us say that a real number ξ is of ‘‘Fibonacci type’’ if there exist an unbounded Fibonacci sequence $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ in \mathcal{M} and a real number θ with $\theta > 1/\gamma$ such that $\|(\xi, -1)\mathbf{w}_i\| \leq \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^{-\theta}$ for each sufficiently large index i . There are countably many such numbers, and any real number ξ obtained from Proposition 6.1 with $\beta < \gamma^{-2}$ is of this type. The following corollary shows that the exponents $\widehat{\omega}_2(\xi)$ attached to transcendental numbers of Fibonacci type are dense in the interval $[2, \gamma^2]$. By Jarník’s formula (1), this implies our main theorem in §1.

Corollary 7.2 *Let t and ϵ be real numbers with $0 < t < \gamma^{-2}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist a transcendental real number ξ and an unbounded Fibonacci sequence $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ in \mathcal{M} which satisfy*

- (a) $\|(\xi, -1)\mathbf{w}_i\| \leq \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^{-1+t}$ for each sufficiently large i ,
- (b) $\gamma^2 - t\gamma \leq \widehat{\omega}_2(\xi) \leq \gamma^2 - (t - \epsilon)\gamma$.

Proof Since $t < 1$, there exist integers k and ℓ with $0 < \ell < k$ and $t - \epsilon \leq \ell/(k+2) \leq \ell/k < t$. For such a choice of k and ℓ , consider the Fibonacci sequence $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of Example 3.3 with parameters $a = 2^\ell$, $b = 2^{k-\ell} - 1$ and $c = 2^{k-\ell}$. According to Example 4.3, \mathbf{w}_i has relatively prime trace and determinant for each $i \geq 0$ and the corresponding sequence of symmetric matrices $(\mathbf{y}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ satisfies $\det(\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) = 2^{4\ell} \neq 0$. Moreover, Example 5.4 shows that $(\mathbf{w}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ is unbounded and satisfies the estimates (24) of Proposition 7.1 with $\alpha = \ell/(k+2)$ and $\beta = \ell/k$ (note that the example provides a slightly larger value for α). So, Proposition 7.1 applies and shows that the corresponding real number ξ constructed by Proposition 6.1 satisfies the above condition (b). In particular, ξ is transcendental since $\widehat{\omega}_2(\xi) > 2$. Moreover, since $\|(\xi, -1)\mathbf{w}_i\| \sim \|(\xi, -1)\mathbf{y}_i\| \sim \|\mathbf{y}_i \wedge \mathbf{y}\|$, the first estimate in (15) leads to (a). ■

Acknowledgments The author warmly thanks Yann Bugeaud for pointing out the results of Jarník in [7] which brought a notable simplification to the present paper.

References

- [1] J.-P. Allouche, J. L. Davison, M. Queffélec, L. Q. Zamboni, *Transcendence of Sturmian or morphic continued fractions*. J. Number Theory **91**(2001), no. 1, 39–66.
- [2] B. Arbour and D. Roy, *A Gel'fond type criterion in degree two*. Acta Arith. **11**(2004), no. 1, 97–103.
- [3] Y. Bugeaud and M. Laurent, *Exponents of Diophantine approximation and sturmian continued fractions*. Ann Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **55**(2005), no. 3, 773–804.
- [4] H. Davenport and W. M. Schmidt, *Approximation to real numbers by quadratic irrationals*. Acta Arith. **13**(1967), 169–176.
- [5] ———, *Approximation to real numbers by algebraic integers*. Acta Arith. **15**(1969), 393–416.
- [6] S. Fischler, *Spectres pour l'approximation d'un nombre réel et de son carré*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **339**(2004), no. 10, 679–682.
- [7] V. Jarník, *Zum Khintchineschen Übertragungssatz*. Trudy Tbilisskogo matematicheskogo instituta im. A. M. Razmadze = Travaux de l'Institut mathématique de Tbilissi **3**(1938), 193–212.
- [8] D. Roy, *Approximation simultanée d'un nombre et de son carré*. C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris **336**(2003), no. 1, 1–6.
- [9] ———, *Approximation to real numbers by cubic algebraic integers. I*. Proc. London Math. Soc. **88**(2004), no. 1, 42–62.
- [10] ———, *Approximation to real numbers by cubic algebraic integers. II*. Ann. of Math. **158**(2003), no. 3, 1081–1087.
- [11] ———, *Diophantine approximation in small degree*. In: Number Theory, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes 36, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 269–285.
- [12] W. M. Schmidt, *Diophantine Approximation*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 785, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.

*Département de Mathématiques
 Université d'Ottawa
 585 King Edward
 Ottawa, ON
 K1N 6N5
 e-mail: droy@uottawa.ca*