Sodium pyrophosphate enhances iron bioavailability from bouillon cubes fortified with ferric pyrophosphate
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Abstract

Fe fortification of centrally manufactured and frequently consumed condiments such as bouillon cubes could help prevent Fe deficiency in developing countries. However, Fe compounds that do not cause sensory changes in the fortified product, such as ferric pyrophosphate (FePP), exhibit low absorption in humans. Tetra sodium pyrophosphate (NaPP) can form soluble complexes with Fe, which could increase Fe bioavailability. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate Fe bioavailability from bouillon cubes fortified with either FePP only, FePP+NaPP, ferrous sulphate (FeSO4) only, or FeSO4+NaPP. We first conducted in vitro studies using a protocol of simulated digestion to assess the dialysable and ionic Fe, and the cellular ferritin response in a Caco-2 cell model. Second, Fe absorption from bouillon prepared from intrinsically labelled cubes (2.5 mg stable Fe isotopes/cube) was assessed in twenty-four Fe-deficient women, by measuring Fe incorporation into erythrocytes 2 weeks after consumption. Fe bioavailability in humans increased by 46 % (P<0.005) when comparing bouillons fortified with FePP only (4.4%) and bouillons fortified with FePP+NaPP (6.4%). Fe absorption from bouillons fortified with FeSO4 only and with FeSO4+NaPP was 33.8 and 27.8%, respectively (NS). The outcome from the human study is in agreement with the dialysable Fe from the in vitro experiments. Our findings suggest that the addition of NaPP could be a promising strategy to increase Fe absorption from FePP-fortified bouillon cubes, and if confirmed by further research, for other fortified foods with complex food matrices as well.
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Fe deficiency (ID) is the most common aetiolo-gical factor for anaemia globally, and between 35 and 65% of the anaemia burden in low-income regions in Africa, Asia and Latin America is attributable to ID.1 Food fortification is regarded as a safe and cost-effective approach to counteract and prevent ID as long as the targeted populations consume significant quantities of industrially manufactured fortified foods.2 Condiments are promising vehicles for fortification as they are among the very few regularly purchased food items in resource-poor areas, and Fe-fortified condiments have been shown to be efficacious in improving Fe status.4-7 Bouillon cubes are a promising vehicle for Fe fortification, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa where previous national surveys have reported a high proportion of Burkinafaso, Cameroonian, Nigerian, Senegalese and Ivorian women (79–96%) who consumed bouillon cubes regularly.8-10 However, ensuring the bioavailability of Fe and the stability of the cubes in these climates is challenging. Water-soluble Fe compounds such as ferrous sulphate (FeSO4) provide the most bioavailable Fe but induce oxidative rancidity noticeable to consumers at very low levels, as well as other unwanted sensorial defects of the product in terms of colour, taste or flavour. In addition, ionic Fe may cause protein precipitation or interact with compounds in the food matrix causing colour changes when the cube is used as a condiment.12 Ferric pyrophosphate (FePP) is a poorly water-soluble Fe compound that is white and minimally affects sensory properties of fortified foods; its addition to food vehicles produces negligible colour change.23 However, FePP in general is less than half as well absorbed as FeSO4 in humans14,15. Nevertheless, the efficacy of FePP to reduce anaemia or ID has been shown in several randomised controlled trials.3,6,16-18 Limited evidence exists on potential enhancers of Fe bioavailability from FePP. Addition of ascorbic acid has been shown to increase Fe bioavailability from FePP, but its use in FePP-fortified foods, which are usually cooked, is limited because of the susceptibility to oxidation of vitamin C into inactive compounds when exposed to air or during heat treatment.20 Tetra sodium pyrophosphate (NaPP) is a food additive of the complexing agents family that binds minerals and acts as
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a water ligand\textsuperscript{(21)}. This binding effect has a positive effect on the sensorial product quality over time. In addition, pyrophosphates such as in NaPP may interact at specific ratios with Fe, as in FePP, forming soluble complexes that remain in solution at neutral pH\textsuperscript{(22)}. This suggests that presence of such soluble Fe complexes could increase \textit{in vitro} Fe bioavailability. Therefore, to investigate whether NaPP has the potential to enhance Fe bioavailability, we conducted \textit{in vitro} bioavailability studies followed by an \textit{in vitro} absorption study with bouillon cubes fortified with either FePP only, FePP + NaPP, FeSO\textsubscript{4} only or FeSO\textsubscript{4} + NaPP. The \textit{in vitro} studies followed a protocol of simulated digestion investigating dialysable and ionic Fe release, and included the cellular ferritin response of the simulated digestion in a Caco-2 cell model. \textit{In vivo} Fe absorption was assessed in Fe-deficient women using bouillon cubes that were intrinsically labelled with stable Fe isotopes and by assessing Fe incorporation of stable isotopic labels at least 14 d after administration.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

The present study was carried out among the student and staff population of ETH Zurich and University Zurich, Switzerland. In all, twenty-four, non-pregnant, non-lactating women with ID (plasma ferritin (PF) <15 µg/l), aged between 18 and 27 years with body weight <66 kg, were selected from an initial screening of 178 women. Women with known metabolic, chronic and gastro-intestinal diseases, as well as women on long-term medication (except oral contraceptives), were excluded from participating. In addition, smokers, women who did more than 10 h of intensive sport per week and women who consumed more than fourteen units of alcohol per week were not enrolled. Intake of vitamin and/or mineral supplements was not allowed during and 2 weeks before the study. No women were recruited who had donated blood or experienced substantial blood loss within 6 months of the beginning of the study. The study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the cantonal ethics committee in Zurich, Switzerland (approval no. 2014-0279). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study was registered at clinicaltrials.gov (NCT02327299; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02327299).

**Absorption study design**

A double-blind, randomised, partial William’s cross-over design was used with each woman serving as her own control. Participants received one of four different types of bouillon containing either \textsuperscript{54}FeSO\textsubscript{4}, \textsuperscript{54}FeSO\textsubscript{4}+NaPP, \textsuperscript{57}FePP or \textsuperscript{57}FePP+NaPP on study days 1, 4, 18 and 21, respectively (Fig. 1). The William’s cross-over design was partial because the same Fe compounds were labelled with the same isotopes, and could therefore not be administered consecutively on days 1 and 4 or on days 18 and 21. Nevertheless, each of the four bouillon types could have been administered on any study day if some sequences were avoided (e.g. \textsuperscript{54}FeSO\textsubscript{4}-fortified bouillon was administered on days 1, 4, 18 or 21 but then \textsuperscript{54}FeSO\textsubscript{4}-fortified bouillon with NaPP was not given on days 4, 1, 21 or 18, respectively). The labelled Fe-fortified bouillons made from two cubes according to a standard protocol were administered between 06.30 and 09.30 hours after an overnight fast. The participants consumed the complete bouillon (400 ml) and a glass of 160 ml nanopure water in the presence of the investigators and were not allowed to eat for 3 h after consuming the bouillon. Within 1 h after meal completion, participants were allowed to drink 330 litres of mineral water (Evian Junior; Evian) provided by the investigators. No other fluids were allowed for 3 h after completion of the bouillon.

During screening (baseline measurements), 19–11 d before the first bouillon administration, body weight and height of the participants were measured, and a first blood sample was drawn for Fe status determination (Hb, PF, soluble transferrin receptor (sTfR), C-reactive protein (CRP), \textalpha\textsubscript{1}-glycoprotein (AGP)). On day 18, a second blood sample was collected for determining Fe status and Fe absorption from the administration of the first and second bouillons. On day 35 (final study day), a third blood sample was drawn for Fe isotopic analysis and determination of Fe status. Fe absorption was determined using...
stable isotope technique, in which the incorporation into erythrocytes of isotopic Fe labels was measured at least 14 d after the administration of the last bouillon\textsuperscript{(23}). Adverse events and concomitant medication were inquired and documented during the entire study after subject enrolment.

**Preparation of bouillon**

Each bouillon cube (4 g) was intrinsically labelled with 2·5 mg Fe isotopes as the only source of Fe in the cube. Preparation of bouillon started with boiling nanopur water in a water boiler. Next, 400 g boiling nanopur water was weighed into a heat-resistant glass beaker, and two bouillon cubes (two cube weighing total 8 g, containing 5 mg Fe isotopes) were dissolved in the water. The vial, used for storage and transport of the bouillon cubes, was rinsed with 1 ml boiling nanopur water to dissolve any remaining particles and the rinsing was added to the beaker. Bouillon cubes were dissolved by stirring using a stainless steel spoon until no visible cube particles were left. The bouillon was served to the participants when it had cooled down to a temperature of 58 ± 2°C, measured using an infrared thermometer. The empty beaker was rinsed two times with 20 ml boiled nanopur water, and the rinsing liquid was consumed by the participants. To ensure complete intake of bouillon, participants were instructed to clean the stainless steel spoon by licking it and to use a plastic scraper to remove and consume the remaining particles at the bottom of the beaker. After complete consumption of the bouillon, the participants immediately consumed 160 g nanopur water.

**Production of isotopically labelled bouillon cubes**

Isotopically labelled $^{54}\text{FeSO}_4$ (monohydrate crystals) and $^{57}\text{FePP}$ with a volume-weighed mean diameter $D\{4,3\}$ of 18·1 µm and a surface-weighed mean diameter $D\{3,2\}$ of 14·6 µm were prepared in powder form by Paul Lohmann GmbH from isotopically enriched elemental Fe ($^{54}$Fe-metal: 99·7 % enriched; $^{57}$Fe-metal: 96·7 % enriched; all Chemgas).

Bouillon cubes were prepared from the ingredients as in the equivalent commercial product (salt, sugars, starch, vegetable fats, herbs and spices) using a dry-blending protocol at 300 g scale. Ingredients were added in the order of quantity required, starting with the largest amount by weight (salt), to a small stainless steel bowl blender with K-mixer (Kenwood). The isotopically labelled material (2·5 mg Fe/cube) and the NaPP (Na$_4$P$_2$O$_7$; 12 mg/cube) were added in the final blending step, resulting in approximately 1:1 molar ratio for equivalents (eq.). NaPP-Fe. Blend homogeneity was monitored by visual inspection. From the blend, 4000 mg was accurately weighed ($±10$ mg at maximum) and transferred to a stainless steel die for immediate pressing with a fixed end-pressure to obtain bouillon cubes (14 × 14 × 14 mm). Dose and uniformity of dose were checked via the total cube weight variation and by multiple, randomly selected cube Fe analysis.

**Measurement of iron in the bouillon cubes**

The Fe concentration and isotopic composition of each type of labelled bouillon cubes was determined in two bouillon cubes, corresponding to the administered dose. Doses of two cubes were mineralised in triplicate by boiling in 50 ml nitric acid 65 % for 12 h, followed by the addition of 3 ml hydrogen peroxide 30 % and further boiling for 2 h. The solutions were then diluted to 300 g with water. Fe concentration in aliquots of the mineralised samples was determined by inverse isotope dilution mass spectrometry using an Fe standard solution prepared gravimetrically from an Fe isotopic reference material (IRM-M-014; EU Institute of Reference Materials). Fe and isotopic label concentrations of two bouillon cubes were expressed as means and standard deviations and used for the calculation of Fe bioavailability.

**Blood sample analysis**

Hb was measured in whole blood on the day of collection using a Hemocue during Screening and an automated hematolyzer (Sysmex Corporation) for follow-up blood samples; anaemia was defined as Hb <120 g/l\textsuperscript{(24)}. PF was measured using an IMMULITE automatic system (Siemens); ID was defined as PF <15 µg/l and ID anaemia as Hb <120 g/l plus PF <15 µg/l\textsuperscript{(24)}. STR, AGP and CRP were measured using a combined Sandwich ELISA technique\textsuperscript{(25)}; sTR concentrations >8·3 mg/l were considered as second-stage ID, indicating Fe-deficient erythropoiesis. Expected AGP and CRP concentrations for healthy individuals were <1 g/l and <5 mg/l, respectively\textsuperscript{(26)}.

Each isotopically enriched blood sample was analysed in duplicate for its isotopic composition. Whole blood was mineralised by microwave digestion, and Fe was separated by anion-exchange chromatography and a subsequent precipitation step with amonium hydroxide\textsuperscript{(27)}. Fe isotope ratios were determined by an MC-ICP-MS instrument (Neptune; Thermo Finnigan).

**Calculation of iron bioavailability**

The amounts of $^{54}$Fe and $^{57}$Fe labels in the blood were calculated on the basis of the shift in Fe isotope ratios in the blood samples collected on days 18 and 35 and the estimated amount of Fe circulating in the body. For the calculation on day 35, the isotopic ratio of day 18 was considered as a new baseline. The changes in circulating isotopic tracer in blood between 14 and at least 35 d after administration are negligible (unpublished internal data partly based on the study by Petry et al\textsuperscript{(28)}), particularly when taking into account the randomisation of the participants. Therefore, a first dose of isotopes does not affect the bioavailability calculation of a second dose of isotopes, administered at least 14 d after the first dose. Circulating Fe was calculated on the basis of the blood volume estimated from height and weight and measured Hb concentration\textsuperscript{(29)}. The calculations were based on the principles of isotope dilution, taking into account that Fe isotopic labels were not monoisotopic, using the methods described by Kastenmayer et al\textsuperscript{(30)}. For calculating Fe bioavailability (fractional Fe absorption), 80% incorporation of the absorbed Fe into erythrocytes was assumed\textsuperscript{(31)}.

**In vitro studies**

In vitro bioaccessible Fe was determined using previously described methods\textsuperscript{(32,33)} and are described in detail in the
online Supplementary Material. In brief, the experiments were carried out in triplicate and were based on simulating gastrointestinal digestion, by exposure of 80 mL bouillons (Fe concentration: 18-75 μg/mL) to gastric conditions (low pH and gastric enzymes) followed by intestinal conditions (neutralisation of pH and incubation with pancreatic enzymes and bile salts). Subsequently, the digested bouillon was dialysed (<8000 g/mol) and the dialysable Fe and ionic Fe, using inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry and the Ferrozine method with slight alterations to derive a linear response equation, respectively, were determined.

To simulate the cellular absorption of Fe after gastrointestinal digestion, uptake of Fe by Caco-2 cell monolayers was used. Exposed to ionic Fe, Caco-2 cells synthesise ferritin as response to Fe uptake and the amount is proportional to the Fe content in the culture medium. Cellular ferritin can be a good indicator of the Fe absorbed. Ferritin was measured via a commercially available ELISA kit (H-ferritin (human), ELISA kit, Abnova KA0211; Abnova GmbH). A control without added Fe and a reference sample (5 μM FeSO₄ solution) were included to determine the cell blank and standard responses to confirm validity of the assay.

**Statistical analysis**

Analyses were conducted with SAS software version 9.4 and Excel (Windows 7; Microsoft). Results of Fe analysis, age, anthropometric features, Hb, PF, sTIR, AGP and CRP were presented as means and standard deviations if normally distributed. If not normally distributed, the results were presented as geometric mean values with their 95% CI. The results of Fe absorption are presented as geometric mean values with their 95% CI. The study was powered (90%) to detect an intra-subject difference of 30% in Fe bioavailability with a two-sided α set at 0.05, and sample size calculation was based on the primary hypothesis for the in vitro study, which was the comparison of FePP-fortified bouillon cubes with and without NaPP. Nevertheless, all the differently fortified bouillon cubes were compared using a mixed model ANOVA on the log-transformed Fe bioavailability with subject as a random effect and day of treatment and presence of stabiliser as fixed effects followed by Tukey’s adjustment to control the type 1 error to 0.05 over the set of the six potential comparisons; two-sided P values are reported. Fe concentrations in the differently fortified bouillon cubes, dialysable and ionic Fe and ferritin response for the differently fortified bouillon cubes were compared by one-factor ANOVA followed by Bonferroni-corrected multiple comparisons. Differences were considered significant at P<0.05. All data were converted to their logarithms for statistical analysis and reconverted for reporting.

**Results**

**Participant characteristics**

Data of twenty-four women were included in the in vitro study. At baseline, all women had PF concentrations <15 μg/l and normal CRP concentrations (<5 mg/l) (Table 1); three of the women were anaemic at baseline and six women had Fe-deficient erythropoiesis as indicated by elevated sTIR concentrations. Among all, two women had slightly elevated AGP values at baseline (1.23 and 1.01 g/l), which then decreased <1.0 g/l during the study.

At the second blood sampling, PF concentrations of nine women increased to above 15 μg/l. The PF values for these nine women were between 15.1 and 26.8 μg/l. At the end point, fourteen women had PF concentrations <15 μg/l and twenty women <20 μg/l. One woman had slightly elevated CRP concentrations in her second blood sample (6.2 mg/l), and two women had CRP concentrations >5 mg/l at the end point (5.7 and 15.3 mg/l).

**Iron concentration of bouillon cubes**

The average Fe concentrations in the bouillon cubes fortified with FePP were close to the target of 5 mg Fe/2 cubes and showed good content uniformity, whereas the average Fe concentrations in the FeSO₄-fortified bouillon cubes were slightly below and above the targeted value. In addition, the variability of Fe concentration measurements was higher in the bouillon cubes fortified with FeSO₄ compared with the FePP-fortified bouillon cubes (Table 2).

**In vivo iron bioavailability measurements**

Mean Fe bioavailability from FePP-fortified bouillon cubes plus NaPP was 46% higher than that from FePP-fortified bouillon cubes without NaPP (P<0.005) (Table 3). In all, twenty-one of the twenty-four women showed higher Fe absorption from bouillon cubes fortified with FePP + NaPP than from bouillon cubes fortified with FePP only. Mean Fe bioavailability from bouillon cubes fortified with FeSO₄ + NaPP did not significantly differ compared with that from FeSO₄-fortified bouillon cubes without NaPP (P=0.2). The relative bioavailability (RBV) of FePP-fortified bouillon cubes with or without

---

**Table 1. Age, anthropometric features and Hb, plasma ferritin (PF), soluble transferrin receptor (sTIR), α-1-acid glycoprotein (AGP) and C-reactive protein (CRP) concentrations of the participating iron-deficient adult women at baseline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics Mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>Summary value (n = 24)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>21.6 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>57.2 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>168 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>20.4 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g/l)</td>
<td>137 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF (μg/l)</td>
<td>9.4 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sTIR (mg/l)</td>
<td>7.10 (5.94, 8.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGP (g/l)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.40, 0.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.39, 0.98)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Iron concentration of bouillon cubes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics Mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>Summary value (n = 24)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iron concentration of bouillon cubes fortified with FePP</td>
<td>7.10 (5.94, 8.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron concentration of bouillon cubes fortified with FeSO₄</td>
<td>5.7 (4.3, 7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron concentration of bouillon cubes fortified with FePP + NaPP</td>
<td>7.6 (5.7, 9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron concentration of bouillon cubes fortified with FeSO₄ + NaPP</td>
<td>5.3 (4.1, 6.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Iron bioavailability from bouillon cubes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics Mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>Summary value (n = 24)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iron bioavailability from FePP-fortified bouillon cubes</td>
<td>46% higher than that from FePP-fortified bouillon cubes without NaPP (P&lt;0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron bioavailability from FeSO₄-fortified bouillon cubes</td>
<td>46% higher than that from FeSO₄-fortified bouillon cubes without NaPP (P=0.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1. Flowchart showing the study design**

A flowchart illustrating the study design is included to depict the sequence of events and the various stages of the study.
FeSO₄ + NaPP compared with FeSO₄ only (14)

There was a tendency for an increased ferritin response for FePP only (0·08 (SD 0·076)).

In vitro iron measurements

The in vitro Fe accessibility by the digestion protocol showed a clear effect of the addition of the stabiliser for FePP, but not for FeSO₄ (Fig. 2). The dialysable Fe (P=0·008) and ionic Fe (P=0·012) were significantly higher for cubes fortified with FePP + NaPP (1·36 (SD 0·25) mg/l and 3·47 (SD 3·2) %, respectively) than for FePP only (0·85 (SD 0·08) mg/l and 18·7 (SD 5·3) %, respectively). The dialysable Fe for FeSO₄ only (2·33 (SD 0·25) mg/l) and FeSO₄ + NaPP (3·13 (SD 0·11) mg/l) was significantly higher than that from FePP + NaPP and from FePP (P<0·005). This was different for the ionic Fe where FePP+NaPP was not different from FeSO₄ only (39·4 (SD 5·8) %) and FeSO₄ + NaPP (50·6 (SD 4·4) %).

The cellular ferritin responses generally showed higher variability (Fig. 2), and bouillons with FePP only (7·8 (SD 2·2) ng ferritin/mg protein) did not differ from FePP + NaPP (12·0 (SD 5·7) ng/mg). The only significant difference was found for FeSO₄ + NaPP (40·8 (SD 14·8) ng/mg) compared with FePP only (P=0·008) and FePP + NaPP (P=0·046). There was a tendency for an increased ferritin response for FeSO₄ + NaPP compared with FeSO₄ only (14·4 (SD 7·0) ng/mg; P=0·076).

Discussion

The major finding of the current study is the enhancing effect of NaPP on Fe absorption from bouillon cubes fortified with FePP. To our knowledge, this is the first time that the effect of NaPP on Fe bioavailability has been investigated and NaPP has never been reported as an enhancer of Fe absorption. Previous studies have shown that Fe bioavailability from FePP-fortified infant cereals can be enhanced by the addition of ascorbic acid, but to a lesser extent than from FeSO₄ (19,30). Whereas the enhancing effect of ascorbic acid on human non-haem Fe absorption is related to the reducing and chelating properties of ascorbic acid during digestion of the food (20), our in vitro experiments suggest that NaPP may enhance bioavailability by forming soluble complexes of Fe and pyrophosphate anions, such as PO₄³⁻ and HP₂O₇⁻, hence increasing the amount of soluble ionic Fe, which is essential for intestinal Fe uptake. A previous study suggests that these complexes still exist at the neutral pH of the gastro-intestinal milieu. This is in contrast to most of the other ionic Fe compounds that form insoluble complexes at neutral pH (22).

The enhancing effect of NaPP on Fe absorption from FePP suggests that the addition of this salt could be used as an enhancer in other foods preferentially fortified with FePP, such as extruded rice, or infant cereals. In these foods, NaPP could enhance the low Fe bioavailability from FePP significantly. However, we tested the enhancing effect of NaPP on FePP using a comparably simple test (bouillon cubes dissolved in water) containing no or negligible amounts of Fe absorption.
enhancing effect of Fe absorption from other Fe compounds, particularly the water-insoluble compounds.

The current study using FePP with a regular mean particle size, comparable with the commercially available FePP, confirms the rather low bioavailability of FePP found in previous human studies, where Fe bioavailability from FePP with regular particle size was significantly lower than that from other Fe compounds used to fortify infant cereals\(^\text{a}\)\(^\text{40}^\) or full-cream milk powder\(^\text{a}\)\(^\text{41}^\). On the basis of a human study using FePP with a markedly reduced average particle size (D\([3,2]\) = 0.30 µm), where Fe bioavailability from FePP-fortified infant cereals and yogurt drinks was not different compared with that from FeSO\(_4\)\(^\text{a}\)\(^\text{42}^\), reducing the particle size of FePP has been suggested to potentially increase its bioavailability. However, in another study, micronised dispersible FePP with D\([3,2]\) of 0.77 µm had a lower Fe bioavailability from infant cereals and rice than FeSO\(_4\) despite the small particle size\(^\text{a}\)\(^\text{36}^\). Owing to the difficulties in manufacturing labelled compounds with small particle size that closely mimic the commercially available compounds, it remains unclear whether the reduction of the particle size would further increase the bioavailability from FePP. The distinctly reduced RBV of FePP to FeSO\(_4\) in the present study is mainly due to the insolubility of FePP, but at some extent can also be explained by the low Fe status of the participating women as the RBV of FePP decreases with decreasing Fe status\(^\text{a}\)\(^\text{36}^\) as a result of the up-regulated bioavailability of FeSO\(_4\) in subjects with low or absent Fe stores\(^\text{a}\)\(^\text{43}^\). Whether the RBV of FePP from fortified bouillon cubes would be different in more complex meals due to the presence of enhancers and inhibitors, which would differently affect the bioavailability of FePP and FeSO\(_4\), needs further investigation.

In sub-Saharan Africa, bouillon cubes are reported to be consumed frequently, and the estimated median intakes in women in Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Niger and Senegal ranged between 2.1 and 4.3 and between 0.7 and 3.6 g/d in urban and non-urban areas, respectively\(^\text{a}\)\(^\text{39}^\). Our study suggests that in populations where the daily consumption of bouillon cubes is approximately 4 g/d per capita, cubes fortified with 2.5 mg Fe could provide between 0.7 and 0.9 mg Fe when fortified with FeSO\(_4\) and between 0.1 and 0.2 mg when fortified with FePP, which is between 48 and 58% and between 7 and 11% of the median daily Fe requirements for menstruating women older than 18 years\(^\text{a}\)\(^\text{44}^\), respectively. These estimations indicate that higher fortification levels of FePP or higher intakes of FePP-fortified cubes than the current estimated median intake of bouillon cubes may be required to cover 20–30% of the Fe requirements in women older than 18 years. In addition, it has to be stressed that extrapolation of our data is limited, as in sub-Saharan Africa bouillon cubes are used in complex meals that likely contain considerable amounts of Fe absorption inhibitors, such as phytic acid and polyphenols\(^\text{a}\)\(^\text{45}^\), and therefore Fe bioavailability is likely to be lower than what has been measured in the present study. Therefore, our data suggest that FeSO\(_4\) would provide the highest amount of Fe and may be the preferred fortificant for bouillon cubes. However, product stability and sensorial characteristics are insufficient when using FeSO\(_4\), and therefore FePP likely remains the Fe compound of

---

**Fig. 2.** Results of the in vitro experiments for the four different bouillons containing either ferric pyrophosphate (FePP) only, FePP + tetra sodium pyrophosphate (NaPP), ferrous sulphate (FeSO\(_4\)) only or FeSO\(_4\) + NaPP. Bars represent dialysable (A) and ionic Fe (B) from simulated gastric and small intestinal digestion and ferritin response (C) from Caco-2-cell assay. Error bars with whiskers indicate standard deviations (n 3). Mean values with unlike letters were significantly different in an one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni correction for multiple comparison (P < 0.05).
choice for bouillon cubes. With regard to Na intake, which because of the risk of hypertension is a point of concern in savoury consumer products, the addition of NaPP as absorption enhancer in the present study only minutely increased the Na intake by 12 mg/cube. Compared with the common Na concentration in bouillon cubes (approximately 0.8 g/cube) and the WHO recommendation for daily Na intake (<2 g/d)\(^{46}\), this would be a negligible increase.

Our combined approach of \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} studies confirms that dialysability and simulated gastrointestinal digestion in Caco-2 cell models can be a useful screening tool to understand factors that may affect Fe absorption\(^{47}\). Whereas the results of the dialysable Fe were in complete agreement with the \textit{in vivo} results, measurement of \textit{in vitro} ionic Fe only showed the enhancing effect of NaPP on Fe bioavailability from FePP. These results and the high variability in Caco-2 cell ferritin responses confirm the need to ultimately investigate Fe absorption in humans\(^{48}\). Discrepancies in the quantitative assessment between \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} methods assessing Fe bioavailability are well documented and are likely due to factors such as Fe status or dose of administered Fe, which can only be taken into account in \textit{in vivo} studies\(^{49}\). A limitation of the present study was the relatively high variability of Fe concentration in the intrinsically labelled bouillon cubes fortified with FeSO\(_4\), and this may have increased measurement error in the bioavailability assessment of the FeSO\(_4\)-fortified bouillon cubes.

Using intrinsically labelled bouillon cubes, our study shows for the first time that NaPP increases bioavailability of FePP. Although this enhancement does not match the high bioavailability obtained with FeSO\(_4\), the use of NaPP as an Fe absorption enhancer for FePP is a promising approach for bouillon cubes. Further research is now needed to investigate the effects of NaPP in composite meals prepared with Fe-fortified bouillon cubes and containing Fe absorption inhibitors and enhancers, in other fortified foods and in the long-term perspective.
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