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Abstract

In the predecessor to this article, we used global equidistribution theorems to prove that given a correspondence between a modular curve and an elliptic curve $A$, the intersection of any finite-rank subgroup of $A$ with the set of CM-points of $A$ is finite. In this article we apply local methods, involving the theory of arithmetic differential equations, to prove quantitative versions of a similar statement. The new methods apply also to certain infinite-rank subgroups, as well as to the situation where the set of CM-points is replaced by certain isogeny classes of points on the modular curve. Finally, we prove Shimura-curve analogues of these results.

1. Introduction

Let $N > 3$. Let $S$ be the modular curve $X_1(N)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, and let $CM \subseteq S(\mathbb{Q})$ be the set of CM-points on $S$ (see §2 for definitions). Let $A$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Given a morphism $S \to A$, we may map the CM-points on $S$ to points on $A$ and ask what relations exist among them in the group law on $A$. More generally, we may consider a modular-elliptic correspondence, a pair of non-constant morphisms $\Pi \leftarrow X \Phi \to A$ of smooth connected projective curves over $\mathbb{Q}$, where $S$ and $A$ are as above. On the one hand, it is easy to construct some relations by using Hecke correspondences; see (A4). On the other hand, the following special case of [BP, Theorem 2.1] says that not too many relations exist.

Theorem 1.1. Let $S \leftarrow X \Phi \to A$ be a modular-elliptic correspondence and let $\Gamma \leq A(\mathbb{Q})$ be a finite-rank subgroup. Then $\Phi(\Pi^{-1}(\text{CM})) \cap \Gamma$ is finite.

(Recall that $\Gamma$ is said to be of finite rank if the quantity $\text{rank}(\Gamma) := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ is finite.) [BP, Theorem 2.5] implies an analogous result for when $S$ is a Shimura curve and $\Pi$ is the identity.

The aim of this paper is to prove local analogues of these results in which, roughly speaking, the field $\mathbb{Q}$ is replaced by the completion $R := \mathbb{Z}_p^{ur}$ of the maximal unramified extension of the ring $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of $p$-adic integers, while the set CM is replaced by either the set CL of canonical-lift points or by a fixed (partial) isogeny class. The new results represent an improvement over those in [BP] in that they come with effective bounds and are valid for certain groups $\Gamma$ of infinite rank.

For historical background see [BP, §1.2], which comments on related results in [Cor02, Kol88, Maz84, NS99, RS07, Vat02]. Although the present article is intended as a sequel to [BP], it is logically independent of [BP].
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Our methods are quite different from those used in [BP, Cor02, Kol88, Maz84, NS99, RS07, Vat02]. Indeed, our local results will be proved using the theory of arithmetic differential equations in the sense of [Bui05]; see §3.9.

1.1 Main theorems

First, we introduce the following local analogue of rank, in order to treat some infinite-rank groups as if they were of finite rank.

Definition 1.2. For any abelian group $G$, define $G_{p \text{-div}} := G_{\text{tors}} + pG$. For any subgroup $\Gamma \leq G$, define

$$\text{rank}_{p}^{G}(\Gamma) := \dim_{F_{p}}\left(\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma \cap G_{p \text{-div}}}\right).$$

Then

$$\text{rank}_{p}^{G}(\Gamma) \leq \dim_{Q}(\Gamma \otimes Q) =: \text{rank} \Gamma,$$

$$\text{rank}_{p}^{G}(\Gamma) \leq \dim_{F_{p}}(\Gamma \otimes_{Z} F_{p}).$$

Assume that we are given a modular-elliptic correspondence $S \xrightarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A$. For each sufficiently large prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ one can choose a model of this correspondence over $R := \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\text{ur}}$; see §3. We obtain maps $S(R) \xleftarrow{\Pi} X(R) \xrightarrow{\Phi} A(R)$. Let $\text{CL} \subset S(R)$ be the set of CL-points (canonical-lift points); see §3.3 for more on the definition of CL. In an appropriate sense, CL is a subset of CM; see Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 1.3 (Finiteness for CL-points in a subgroup). Suppose that $S \xrightarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A$ is a modular-elliptic or Shimura-elliptic correspondence (see §2 for definitions), and assume that $p$ is a sufficiently large good prime in the sense of Definition 3.3. Then there exists a constant $c$ depending on $p$ such that for any subgroup $\Gamma \leq A(R)$ with $r := \text{rank}_{p}^{A(R)}(\Gamma) < \infty$, the set $\Phi(\Pi^{-1}(\text{CL}))(\Gamma)$ is finite of cardinality at most $cp^{r}$.

Remark 1.4. Corollary 3.19 makes $c$ explicit in the case where $\Pi$ is the identity and $\Phi$ is a modular parametrization in the sense of Definition 2.3.

Remark 1.5. There are interesting examples of subgroups $\Gamma \leq A(R)$ with $\text{rank}_{p}^{A(R)}(\Gamma) < \infty$ and $\text{rank}(\Gamma) = \infty$: indeed, if $\Gamma := \Gamma_{0} + pA(\Gamma_{0})$, where $\Gamma_{0} \leq A(\Gamma_{0})$ and $\text{rank}(\Gamma_{0}) < \infty$, then $\Gamma$ is such an example; see Remark 3.11 for more on this.

If $S$ is a modular curve and $\Sigma$ is a set of prime numbers, define the $\Sigma$-isogeny class of $Q$ in $S(R)$ to be the set of all points in $S(R)$ corresponding to elliptic curves that admit an isogeny $u$ to $E$ such that all the prime divisors of $\deg(u)$ are in $\Sigma$. There is a similar definition in the Shimura-curve case; see §3.7 for details. Also, if $S$ is a modular curve and $Q \in S(R)$ is an ordinary point, i.e., a point corresponding to an elliptic curve $E$ with good ordinary reduction $\overline{E}$, then let $K_{Q} := \text{End}(\overline{E}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$; for a similar definition in the Shimura-curve case, see §3.4.

Theorem 1.6 (Finiteness of the intersection of an isogeny class with a subgroup). Assume that $S \xrightarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A$ is a modular-elliptic or Shimura-elliptic correspondence and that $p$ is a sufficiently large good prime. Let $Q \in S(R)$ be an ordinary point. Let $\Sigma$ be the set of all rational primes that are inert in the imaginary quadratic field $K_{Q}$. Let $C$ be the $\Sigma$-isogeny class of $Q$ in $S(R)$. Then there exists a constant $c$ such that for any subgroup $\Gamma \leq A(R)$ with $r := \text{rank}_{p}^{A(R)}(\Gamma) < \infty$, the set $\Phi(\Pi^{-1}(\Sigma))(\Gamma)$ is finite of cardinality at most $cp^{r}$.
Theorems 1.1, 1.3 and 1.6 suggest the following ‘global’ conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.7.** Let \( S \xleftarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A \) be a modular-elliptic or Shimura-elliptic correspondence. Let \( \Gamma \leq A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \) be a finite-rank subgroup. Let \( C \subset S(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \) be an isogeny class. Then the set \( \Phi(\Pi^{-1}(C)) \cap \Gamma \) is finite.

### 1.2 Reciprocity functions

Our local results for CL-points are proved via ‘reciprocity theorems’ (e.g., Theorem 3.5), which transform relations between certain CL-points in \( A \) into additive relations between values of a certain ‘reciprocity function’. More precisely, one part of Theorem 3.5 (with Remark 3.7 for terminology) shows that given a modular-elliptic correspondence \( S \xleftarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A \) and a model of \( X \) over \( R \), there exist an affine dense open subscheme \( X^\dagger \) of this model and a \( p \)-adic formal function \( \Phi^\dagger \) on \( X^\dagger \) with non-constant reduction such that for any divisor \( \sum m_i P_i \) supported on \( \Pi^{-1}(\text{CL}) \cap X^\dagger(R) \), we have \( \sum m_i \Phi(P_i) \in A(R)_{\text{tors}} \) if and only if \( \sum m_i \Phi^\dagger(P_i) = 0 \in R \).

Some reciprocity results have analogues for (local) isogeny classes: see Sections 3.7 and 3.8.

On the other hand, Theorems A1, A2 and A10 show that there is no reciprocity in the global setting.

### 1.3 Structure of the paper

We review basic definitions in §2. Section 3 states all our local results beyond those already in this introduction, and §4 proves them. Section 4 also reviews the necessary background from the theory of arithmetic differential equations. The non-existence of global reciprocity functions is relegated to an appendix.

**Remark 1.8.** The proofs of the modular and Shimura cases are parallel and share some common tools, but they are logically independent in the sense that it is not necessary to follow both cases to understand only one. A similar comment applies to results for CL-points versus isogeny classes.

The following *leitfaden* may help the reader seeking a quick path through the proof of the modular case of Theorem 1.3 (the result for CL-points). Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorem 3.5 and its immediate consequence, Corollary 3.8. The proof of Theorem 3.5 is sketched in §3.9. A reader accepting parts (iv) and (v) of Lemma 4.7, the isomorphism (4.48) and formula (4.15) can go directly to the initial paragraphs of §4.9 for a complete proof of Theorem 3.5 in the modular case.

### 2. Basic definitions

#### 2.1 Modular curves

Let \( N \in \mathbb{Z} \) satisfy \( N > 3 \). Let \( X_1(N) \) over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \) be the complete modular curve attached to the group \( \Gamma_1(N) \). If \( Y_1(N) \subset X_1(N) \) is the non-cuspidal locus, then \( Y_1(N)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \) is in bijection with the set of isomorphism classes of pairs \((E, \alpha)\) where \( E \) is an elliptic curve over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \) and \( \alpha: \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \) is an injection.
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**Definition 2.1.** A CM-point on the curve $S := X_1(N)$ is a point in $Y_1(N)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ represented by a pair $(E, \alpha)$ such that $E$ has complex multiplication, i.e., $\text{End}(E) \neq \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\text{CM} \subset S(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ be the set of CM-points on $S$.

**Definition 2.2.** A modular-elliptic correspondence is a pair of non-constant morphisms of smooth connected projective curves over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, $S \xleftarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A$, where $S = X_1(N)$ and $A$ is an elliptic curve. From now on, we normalize $\Phi$ by fixing $x_{\infty} \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\Pi(x_{\infty}) = \infty$ and requiring $\Phi(x_{\infty}) = 0$. We call $\Phi(\Pi^{-1}(\text{CM})) \subset A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ the set of CM-points on $A$.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $f = \sum a_n q^n$ be a newform. (Unless otherwise specified, newforms in this paper are of weight 2, on $\Gamma_0(N)$, and normalized ($a_1 = 1$), with Fourier coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.) (For terminology on modular forms we refer to [DI95].) The Eichler–Shimura construction [DI95] yields a $\mathbb{Q}$-morphism from $X_0(N)$ to an elliptic curve $A_f$. By a modular parametrization attached to $f$ we mean a composition $X_1(N) \to X_0(N) \to A_f \to A$ where $X_1(N) \to X_0(N)$ is the usual map and $A_f \to A$ is any isogeny of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$. A modular-elliptic correspondence is said to arise from a modular parametrization if it is of the form $S \xleftarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A$ where $S = X = X_1(N)$, $\Pi = \text{Id}$, and $\Phi$ is a modular parametrization.

**Remark 2.4.** By the work of Wiles and others [Wil95, TW95, BCDT01], together with the isogeny theorem of Faltings [Fal83], any elliptic curve $A$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ has a modular parametrization.

**Definition 2.5.** The isogeny class $C$ of a non-cusp $Q \in S(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is the set of points in $S(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that the corresponding elliptic curve admits an isogeny to the elliptic curve corresponding to $Q$. (The isogeny is not required to respect the points of order $N$.)

**2.2 Shimura curves**

Let $D$ be a non-split indefinite quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$. Fix a maximal order $\mathcal{O}_D$ once and for all. Let $X^D(\mathcal{U})$ be the Shimura curve attached to the pair $(D, \mathcal{U})$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is a sufficiently small compact subgroup of $(\mathcal{O}_D \otimes (\lim \limits_{\to} \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}))^\times$ such that $X^D(\mathcal{U})$ is connected; see [Buz97, Zha01].

**Definition 2.6.** A fake elliptic curve\(^1\) is a pair $(E, i)$ consisting of an abelian surface $E$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and an embedding $i: \mathcal{O}_D \to \text{End}(E)$.

The set $X^D(\mathcal{U})(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is in bijection with the set of isomorphism classes of fake elliptic curves equipped with a level $\mathcal{U}$ structure in the sense of [Buz97, Zha01].

**Definition 2.7.** The classification of endomorphism algebras [Mum70, p. 202] shows that for any fake elliptic curve $(E, i)$, the algebra $(\text{End} E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to either $D$ or $D \otimes \mathcal{K} \simeq M_2(\mathcal{K})$ for some imaginary quadratic field $\mathcal{K}$ embeddable in $D$. In the latter case, $(E, i)$ is said to be CM; then $E$ is isogenous to the square of an elliptic curve with CM by an order in $\mathcal{K}$. A CM-point of $S(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is a point whose associated $(E, i)$ is CM. Let $\text{CM} \subset S(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ be the set of CM-points on $S$.

**Definition 2.8.** A Shimura-elliptic correspondence is a pair of non-constant morphisms of smooth connected projective curves over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, $S \xleftarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A$, where $S$ is a Shimura curve as above and $A$ is an elliptic curve. We call $\Phi(\Pi^{-1}(\text{CM})) \subset A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ the set of CM-points on $A$.

**Definition 2.9.** For any $Q \in S(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, represented by a fake elliptic curve $(E, i)$ with level $\mathcal{U}$ structure, the isogeny class $C$ of $Q$ in $S(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ consists of all points in $S(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ that are represented by

---

\(^1\) In the literature this is sometimes called a ‘false elliptic curve’.
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fake elliptic curves $(E', i')$ with level $U$ structure such that there is an isogeny $E \to E'$ compatible with the $O_D$-action (but not necessarily compatible with the level $U$ structures).

3. Detailed exposition of the results

3.1 Review of Witt rings

Fix a prime $p$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_p$ be the ring of $p$-adic integers, and let $\mathbb{Z}_p^{ur}$ be the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $R := \mathbb{Z}_p^{ur}$ be the completion of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We set $k = R/pR$ and $K := R[1/p]$. Thus $k \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ and $R$ is the Witt ring $W(k)$. Let $Fr_\ast : k \to k$ be the automorphism $Fr_\ast(x) := x^p$, and let $\phi : R \to R$ be the unique automorphism lifting $Fr_\ast$.

We will use the notion of a canonical-lift (CL) abelian scheme over $R$; see §4.1 for the definition.

3.2 Hecke correspondences

For any prime $l$, let $Y_1(N, l)$ be the affine curve over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ parametrizing triples $(E, \alpha, H)$ in which $(E, \alpha)$, with $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \to E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, represents a point in $Y_1(N)$ and $H \leq E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is an order-$l$ subgroup that intersects $\alpha(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ trivially; see [Con01, p. 207]. Define degeneracy maps $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : Y_1(N, l) \to Y_1(N)$ by $\sigma_1(E, \alpha, H) := (E, \alpha)$ and $\sigma_2(E, \alpha, H) := (E/H, u \circ \alpha)$, where $u : E \to E/H$ is the quotient map.

Let $X_1(N, l)$ be the smooth projective model of $Y_1(N, l)$. The $\sigma_i$ extend to $\sigma_i : X_1(N, l) \to X_1(N)$. Define the Hecke operator $T(l)_\ast$ on $\text{Div}(X_1(N)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}))$ by $T(l)_\ast D := \sigma_2 \sigma_1^{-1}lD$. For $P \in X_1(N)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, write $T(l)_\ast P =: \sum_i P_i^{(l)}$; the sum involves $l + 1$ or $l$ terms according to whether $l \mid N$ or $l \nmid N$. If, in addition, $f = \sum a_n q^n \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ is a newform, then the divisor $\sum_i P_i^{(l)} - a_lP$ will be called a Hecke divisor.

3.3 Conventions on modular-elliptic correspondences

The $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$-scheme $Y_1(N)$ represents the functor taking a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$-algebra $B$ to the set of isomorphism classes of pairs $(E, \alpha)$ where $E$ is an elliptic curve over $B$ and $\alpha : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_B \to E$ is a closed immersion of group schemes. For each $P \in Y_1(N)(B)$, let $(E_P, \alpha_P)$ be a pair in the corresponding isomorphism class. The $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$-scheme $S = X_1(N)$ is the Deligne–Rapoport compactification; see [DI95, pp. 78–81]. The base extension of $S$ to $\mathbb{C}$ will also be denoted by $S$. The cusp $\infty$ on $X_1(N)$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$, where $\zeta_N$ is a primitive $N$th root of 1.

Remark 3.1. Some of the references we cite use a modular curve parametrizing elliptic curves with an embedding of $\mu_N$ instead of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, but the two theories are isomorphic as long as we work over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N, \zeta_N]$-algebras.

Assume that we are given a modular-elliptic correspondence $S \xleftarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A$ with $S = X_1(N)$. We may assume that $A$ comes from a model over $\mathcal{O}_{F_0}[1/Nm]$ and that $X, S, \Pi, \Phi$ come from models over $\mathcal{O}_F[1/Nm]$, where $F_0 \subseteq F$ are number fields, and $\mathcal{O}_{F_0}$ and $\mathcal{O}_F$ are their rings of integers, and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then $x_\infty = \Pi(\infty)$ has a model over $\mathcal{O}_{F_1}[1/Nm]$, where $F_1$ is a number field containing $F(\zeta_N)$.

If $p$ is large enough to be unramified in $F_1$, then we fix once and for all an embedding of $F_1$ into $K$; we then obtain an embedding $\mathcal{O}_{F_1}[1/Nm] \subset R$. A point $P \in S(R)$ is called ordinary (respectively, a CL-point) if $P \in Y_1(N)(R)$ and $E_P$ has ordinary reduction $E_P$ (respectively, $E_P$ is CL). If $P \in S(R)$ is ordinary, let $K_P$ be the imaginary quadratic field $\text{End}(E_P) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Finally,
let CL be the set of all CL-points of \( S(R) \). We call \( \Phi(\Pi^{-1}(CL)) \subset A(R) \) the set of CL-points of \( A \).

### 3.4 Conventions on Shimura-elliptic correspondences

Now suppose instead that \( S \) is a Shimura curve \( X^D(\mathcal{U}) \), where \( D \) and \( \mathcal{U} \) satisfy the conditions in [Buz97]. Then, for some \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \), the Shimura curve \( S = X^D(\mathcal{U}) \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}[1/m] \)-scheme with geometrically integral fibers such that for any \( \mathbb{Z}[1/m] \)-algebra \( B \), the set \( S(B) \) is in bijection with the set of isomorphism classes of triples \( (E, i, \alpha) \) where \( (E, i) \) is a fake elliptic curve over \( B \) (i.e., \( E/B \) is an abelian scheme of relative dimension 2 and \( i: \mathcal{O}_D \to \text{End}(E/B) \) is an injective ring homomorphism) and \( \alpha \) is a level \( \mathcal{U} \) structure.

Assume that we are given a Shimura-elliptic correspondence \( S \leftarrow \Pi \ X \rightarrow \Phi \rightarrow A \). With notation as in §3.3, after replacing \( m \) by a multiple if necessary, we may assume that \( A \) comes from a model over \( \mathcal{O}_{F_0}[1/m] \) and that \( X, S, \Pi, \Phi \) come from models over \( \mathcal{O}_F[1/m] \), where \( F_0 \subseteq F \) are number fields. Assuming that \( p \) is suitably large, we again fix an embedding \( F \subseteq K \); hence we have an embedding \( \mathcal{O}_F[1/m] \subseteq R \). A point \( P \in S(R) \) is called ordinary (respectively, a CL-point) if \( P \) corresponds to a triple \( (E_p, i_p, \alpha_p) \) where \( E_p \) has ordinary reduction \( E_p \) (respectively, \( E_p \) is CL). If \( P \in S(R) \) is ordinary, let \( \mathcal{K}_P \) be the imaginary quadratic field \( \text{End}(E_p, \mathfrak{I}_P) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \). Finally, \( CL \) is the set of all CL-points of \( S(R) \). We call \( \Phi(\Pi^{-1}(CL)) \subset A(R) \) the set of CL-points of \( A \).

### 3.5 Reciprocity functions for CL-points

**Definition 3.2.** Let \( p \) be a prime number, let \( F_0 \) be a number field, and let \( v \) be a degree-1 place lying above \( p \). We say that \( v \) is anomalous for an elliptic curve \( A \) over \( F_0 \) if the trace \( a_v \) of the \( p \)-power Frobenius on the reduction \( A \mod v \) satisfies \( a_v \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \). (See [Maz72, p. 186].)

Let the notation be as in §3.3 or §3.4.

**Definition 3.3.** A rational prime \( p \) is good (for our correspondence) if \( p \) splits completely in \( F_0 \), the elliptic curve \( A \) has good reduction at all primes \( v | p \), and in the Shimura-elliptic case each \( v | p \) is not anomalous for \( A \).

**Remark 3.4.** The Chebotarev density theorem easily implies that there are infinitely many good primes.

Let \( p \) be sufficiently large and set \( X_R := X \otimes R \). (More generally, throughout this paper the subscript \( R \) always means ‘base extension to \( R \),’ and we use the same convention for any other ring in place of \( R \). In particular, if \( p \) is a good prime, then \( A_R \) comes from an elliptic curve \( A_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \) over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) and we let \( a_p \) be the trace of the \( p \)-power Frobenius on \( A_{\mathbb{F}_p} \).) Let \( \mathbf{X} := X_k = X \otimes k \). For any \( P \in X(R) \), let \( \overline{P} \) denote the image of \( P \) in \( \mathbf{X}(k) \). (More generally, in this paper, whenever we are dealing with a situation that is ‘localized at \( p \),’ an upper bar will mean ‘reduction mod \( p \).’) Let \( X_R \) be the \( p \)-adic completion of \( X_R \) viewed as a formal scheme over \( R \). (More generally, throughout this paper an upper bar will denote ‘\( p \)-adic completion’. ) If \( X^\dagger \subset X_R \) is an affine dense open subscheme, then any global function \( \Phi^\dagger \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{X}^\dagger) = \mathcal{O}(X^\dagger)^\dagger \) defines a map \( \Phi^\dagger: X^\dagger(R) \to R \). The reduction \( \overline{\Phi} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{X}^\dagger) \) induces a regular map \( \overline{\Phi}^\dagger: \mathbf{X}^\dagger(k) \to k \).

Recall the group \( A(R)_{p \text{-div}} := A(R)_{\text{tors}} + pA(R) \).

**Theorem 3.5 (Reciprocity functions for CL-points).** Assume that \( S \leftarrow \Pi \ X \rightarrow \Phi \rightarrow A \) is a modular-elliptic or Shimura-elliptic correspondence and that \( p \) is a sufficiently large good prime. Then there exist an affine dense open subscheme \( X^\dagger \subset X_R \) and a function \( \Phi^\dagger \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{X}^\dagger) \) with...
non-constant reduction $\overline{\Phi} \in \mathcal{O}(\hat{X}) \setminus k$ such that for any $P_1, \ldots, P_n \in \Pi^{-1}(\text{CL}) \cap X^\dagger(R)$ and any $m_1, \ldots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \Phi(P_i) \in A(R)_{\text{tors}} \iff \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \Phi^\dagger(P_i) = 0 \in R,
\]
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \Phi^\dagger(P_i) \in A(R)_{p-\text{div}} \iff \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \overline{\Phi}^\dagger(\overline{P}_i) = 0 \in k.
\]

Theorem 3.5 will be proved in § 4. It is useful to compare Theorem 3.5 with Theorems A1 and A10.

Remark 3.6. As the proof of Theorem 3.5 will show, the functions $\Phi^\dagger$ will be functorially associated (in an obvious sense) to tuples $(X, S, A, \Pi, \Phi, \omega_A)$ where $\omega_A$ is a nonzero global 1-form on $A$ defined over $F_0$.

Remark 3.7. Let $C = \Pi^{-1}(\text{CL}) \cap X^\dagger(R)$, and let $\text{Div}(C)$ be the free abelian group generated by $C$. One can consider the maps $\Phi_* : \text{Div}(C) \to A(R)/A(R)_{\text{tors}}$ and $\Phi^\dagger : \text{Div}(C) \to R$ naturally induced by $\Phi$ and $\Phi^\dagger$ by additivity. The first equivalence in Theorem 3.5 then says that $\text{Ker}(\Phi_*) = \text{Ker}(\Phi^\dagger)$. A similar description can be given for the second equivalence. There is a formal similarity between such a formulation of Theorem 3.5 and the way in which classical reciprocity laws are formulated in number theory and algebraic geometry. Indeed, in classical reciprocity laws one is usually presented with maps $\Phi : C \to G$ and $\Phi^\dagger : C \to G^\dagger$ from a set $C$ of places of a global field to two groups $G$ and $G^\dagger$ (typically a Galois group and a class group), and one claims equality of the kernels of the induced maps $\Phi_* : \text{Div}(C) \to G$ and $\Phi^\dagger_* : \text{Div}(C) \to G^\dagger$.

Let us discuss some consequences of Theorem 3.5.

**Corollary 3.8.** In the notation of Definition 1.2 and Theorem 3.5, we have
\[
\text{rank}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \Phi(P_i)\right) = \text{rank}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \Phi^\dagger(P_i)\right),
\]
\[
\text{rank}_{p}(A(R))\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \Phi(P_i)\right) = \text{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_p}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{F}_p \cdot \overline{\Phi}^\dagger(\overline{P}_i)\right).
\]

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** By Corollary 3.8, the $\mathbb{F}_p$-span of
\[
\overline{\Phi}^\dagger(\Phi^{-1}(\Gamma) \cap \Pi^{-1}(\text{CL}) \cap X^\dagger(R))
\]
has dimension less than or equal to $r$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. So
\[
\#(\Phi^{-1}(\Gamma) \cap \Pi^{-1}(\text{CL}) \cap X^\dagger(R)) \leq p^r \text{ deg}(\overline{\Phi}).
\]

Now, CL elliptic curves over $R$ are uniquely determined up to isomorphism by their reduction mod $p$; see Theorem 4.3. Similarly, by the same theorem, if $(E_1, i_1)$ and $(E_2, i_2)$ are two fake elliptic curves such that $E_1, E_2$ are CL and $(\tilde{E}_1, \tilde{i}_1) \simeq (\tilde{E}_2, \tilde{i}_2)$, then $(E_1, i_1) \simeq (E_2, i_2)$. Thus
\[
\Phi^{-1}(\Gamma) \cap \Pi^{-1}(\text{CL}) \cap X^\dagger(R)
\]
has at most $p^r \text{ deg}(\overline{\Phi}) \cdot d_1 d_2$ elements, where $d_1 := \text{deg} \Pi$ and $d_2$ is the number of level $\Gamma_1(N)$ structures (respectively, level $U$ structures) on a given elliptic (respectively, fake elliptic) curve. Also, $\#((\Pi^{-1}(\text{CL}) \setminus X^\dagger(R) \leq d_1 d_2 d_3$, where $d_3 = \#((\Pi^{-1}(\text{ord}(k)) \setminus X^\dagger(k))$, with the ‘ord’
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superscript indicating the ordinary locus. So
\[ \#\Phi(\Pi^{-1}(CL)) \cap \Gamma \leq \#\Phi^{-1}(\Gamma) \cap \Pi^{-1}(CL) \leq (p^r \deg(\Phi^1) + d_3)d_1d_2, \tag{3.9} \]
which is at most \( cp^r \), where \( c := \deg(\Phi^1) + d_1d_2d_3 \).

Corollary 3.19 will make the bound in (3.9) explicit in the case where \( S = X = X_1(N), \Pi = \text{Id} \)
and \( \Phi \) is a modular parametrization.

Remark 3.10. Let \( M \) be the algebraic closure of \( F \) in \( K \). Then the study of \( pA(M) \) is analogous
to the study of Wieferich places in [Sil88] and [Vol00]; indeed, for \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) not divisible by \( p \),
the classical Wieferich condition \( a^p \equiv a \pmod{p^2} \) is equivalent to \( a \in M^\times_p \), and \( M^\times_p \) is the analogue
of \( pA(M) \) for the multiplicative group \( G_m \).

Remark 3.11. Let \( \Gamma_0 \) be a finite-rank subgroup of \( A(M) \), and let \( \Gamma := \Gamma_0 + pA(M) \). Then
\( \text{rank}_p^{A(\Gamma)}(\Gamma) < \infty \), so Theorem 1.3 applies to \( \Gamma \).

On the other hand, we claim that \( \text{rank}(\Gamma) = \infty \). This is a consequence of the following statement:
If \( L \) is the compositum in \( F \) of all quadratic extensions of \( F \) that are unramified
at all primes above \( p \), then \( A(L) \) is of infinite rank. To prove this, choose a Weierstrass equation
\( y^2 = f(x) \) for \( A \), where \( f(x) \) is a monic cubic polynomial with coefficients in the ring of integers
\( \mathcal{O}_F \) of \( F \). Consider points with \( x \)-coordinate \( x_n = 1/p^4 + n \) for \( n \in \mathcal{O}_F \). Then \( F(\sqrt{f(x_n)}) \) is
unramified at \( p \) since the equation \( p^{12}f(x_n) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^4} \) implies, by Hensel’s lemma, that
\( p^{12}f(x_n) \) is a square in the completion of \( F \) at any prime above \( p \). Thus, we get a collection
of points in \( A(L) \). We may inductively define a sequence \( n_i \in \mathcal{O}_F \) such that each \( F(\sqrt{f(x_{n_i})}) \)
is ramified at a prime of \( F \) not ramifying in the field generated by the previous square roots;
this can be done by choosing \( n_i \) so that \( 1/p^4 + n_i \) has valuation 1 at some prime of \( F \) that
splits completely in the splitting field of \( f \). Further, by choosing the \( n_i \) sufficiently large, we may
assume that the corresponding points \( P_i \in A(L) \) have large height and hence are non-torsion.
Now we claim that the Galois action forces \( P_1, \ldots, P_m \) to be \( \mathbb{Z} \)-independent in \( A(L) \). Indeed, if
there were a relation \( a_1P_1 + \cdots + a_mP_m = 0 \), we could apply a Galois automorphism fixing all
the \( P_i \) but \( P_1 \) to obtain \(-a_1P_1 + a_2P_2 + \cdots + a_mP_m = 0 \), and subtracting would then show that
\( 2a_1P_1 = 0 \); but \( P_1 \) is non-torsion, so \( a_1 = 0 \); similarly, all the \( a_i \) would be 0. Since \( m \) can be made
arbitrarily large, \( A(L) \) has infinite rank.

3.6 Refinement of results on CL-points for modular parametrizations

Theorem 3.17 below is a refinement of Theorem 3.5 in the special case of a modular-elliptic
correspondence \( S \twoheadrightarrow \Pi \twoheadrightarrow X \) arising from a modular parametrization attached to a newform
\( f = \sum a_nq^n \). Recall that \( S = X = X_1(N), \Pi = \text{Id}, \) and we always assume \( f \) to be of weight 2,
on \( \Gamma_0(N) \), normalized, with rational Fourier coefficients. In this case we may (and will) take
\( F = \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q} \). Recall that \( a_1 = 1 \), that \( a_n \in \mathbb{Z} \) for \( n \geq 1 \), and that for sufficiently large \( p \) the
coefficient \( a_p \) equals the trace of Frobenius on \( A_{F_p} \). One can ask whether, in this case, the
function \( \Phi^1 \) also has a description in terms of eigenforms. This is indeed the case, as we shall
explain below. Consider the series
\[ f^{(-1)}(q) := \sum_{(n,p)=1} \frac{a_n}{n} q^n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[q]]. \tag{3.12} \]
The series \( f^{(-1)}(q) \) is called \( f | R_{-1} \) in [Ser73, p. 211]. Assume that \( p \gg 0 \) and that \( A_R \) has
ordinary reduction. Then \( a_p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \). Let \( u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) be the unique root in \( p\mathbb{Z}_p \) of the equation
\( x^2 - a_p x + p = 0 \); thus \( a_p u = 1 \). Let \( V : \mathbb{Z}_p[[q]] \to \mathbb{Z}_p[[q]] \) be the operator \( V(\sum c_n q^n) = \sum c_n q^{np} \).
Define
\[ f_{[u]}^{(-1)}(q) := \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u^i V^i \right) f^{(-1)}(q) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{(n,p)=1} u^i \frac{a_n}{n} q^{np} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[q]]. \] (3.13)

Then
\[ - \left( f_{[u]}^{(-1)}(q) \right)^p + \bar{a}_p f_{[u]}^{(-1)}(q) = \bar{a}_p f^{(-1)}(q) \] (3.14)
in \( \mathbb{F}_p[[q]] \), where the bars denote reduction modulo \( p \), as usual. The series \( \bar{f}^{(-1)}(q) \) has a nice interpretation in terms of modular forms mod \( p \). Indeed, recall from [Gro90, pp. 451, 458] that if \( M_m \) is the \( k \)-linear space of modular forms over \( k \) on \( \Gamma_1(N) \) of weight \( m \), then there exist an injective \( q \)-expansion map \( M_m \to k[[q]] \) and a Serre operator \( \theta : M_m \to M_{m+p+1} \) that acts on \( q \)-expansions as \( q \left( \frac{d}{dq} \right) \). Let \( \vec{E}_{p-1} \in M_{p-1} \) be the reduction mod \( p \) of the modular form \( E_{p-1} \) over \( \mathbb{Z}(p) \) whose \( q \)-expansion in \( \mathbb{Z}(p)[[q]] \) is the normalized Eisenstein series of weight \( p-1 \); hence \( \vec{E}_{p-1} \) is the Hasse invariant and has \( q \)-expansion 1 in \( \mathbb{F}_p[[q]] \).

Define the affine curve
\[ \overline{X_1(N)}^{\text{ord}} := X_1(N) \setminus \{ \text{zero locus of } \vec{E}_{p-1} \} = \overline{Y_1(N)}^{\text{ord}} \cup \{ \text{cusps} \}, \]
where \( \overline{Y_1(N)}^{\text{ord}} \) is the open set of points in \( \overline{Y_1(N)} \) represented by ordinary elliptic curves.

If \( \alpha \in M_{m+w} \) and \( \beta \in M_m \) is nonzero, we call \( \alpha/\beta \) a \textit{weight-} \( w \) \textit{quotient} of modular forms over \( k \). A \( \text{weight-} 0 \) \textit{quotient of modular forms} is a rational function on \( \overline{X_1(N)} \). In particular, \( \theta^{p-2} \bar{f}, \vec{E}_{p-1} \in M_{p^2-p} \), and
\[ \bar{f}^{(-1)} := (\theta^{p-2} \bar{f})/\vec{E}_{p-1} \] (3.15)
is a regular function on \( \overline{X_1(N)}^{\text{ord}} \). Let \( q \to q_\infty \) be the natural \( q \)-expansion map \( k(\overline{X_1(N)}) \to k((q)) \). The corresponding point in \( \overline{X_1(N)}(k((q))) \) will be called the \textit{Fourier} \( k((q)) \)-\textit{point}. Then \( \bar{f}^{(-1)}(q) = \bar{f}^{(-1)}(q_\infty) \). For primes \( l \neq p \), define the \textit{Hecke operator} \( T(l) : k[[q]] \to k[[q]] \) by \( T(l) \left( \sum c_n q^n \right) = \sum c_{ln} q^{ln} + \epsilon(l) l^{-1} \sum c_n q^n, \) where \( \epsilon(l) = 0 \) or 1 according to whether \( l \) divides \( N \) or not. Define the \textit{U-operator} \( U : k[[q]] \to k[[q]] \) by \( U \left( \sum c_n q^n \right) := \sum c_{np} q^n. \) By [Gro90, p. 458], \( \bar{f}^{(-1)}(q) \) is an eigenvector of \( T_l \) for every \( l \neq p; \) moreover, \( \bar{f}^{(-1)}(q) \in \ker U \). Finally, for any open subscheme \( X' \subset X_1(N)_R \) containing the \( \infty \) section \( \{ \infty \} \), we have a natural injective \( q \)-expansion map \( \mathcal{O}(X' \setminus \{ \infty \})^* \to R((q))^* \), which we write as \( G \mapsto G_\infty. \) (See § 4.4 for more details.)

\textbf{Definition 3.16.} An open subscheme of the form \( X' \setminus \{ \infty \} \) with \( X' \) as above will be called \textit{standard}.

Let \( j(x) \in k \) be the \( j \)-invariant of \( x \in \overline{Y_1(N)}(k) \).

\textbf{Theorem 3.17 (Explicit reciprocity functions for CL-points).} Assume, in Theorem 3.5, that \( X = S = X_1(N), \) \( \Pi = \text{Id}, \) and \( \Phi \) is a modular parametrization attached to a newform \( f \). Then one can choose \( \Phi^\dagger \) and \( \Phi^\dagger \) in Theorem 3.5 such that the following hold:

(i) \( X^\dagger \) is standard and \( \overline{X}^\dagger = \overline{Y_1(N)}^{\text{ord}} \setminus \{ x \mid j(x) = 0, 1728 \} \).

(ii) If \( A_R \) is not CL, then \( \Phi^{\dagger \infty} = f^{(-1)}(q); \) in particular, \( \Phi^{\dagger \infty} = \bar{f}^{(-1)}. \)

(iii) If \( A_R \) is CL, then \( \Phi^{\dagger \infty} = -u f^{(-1)}(q); \) in particular, \( (\Phi^{\dagger \infty})^p - \bar{a}_p \Phi^{\dagger \infty} = \bar{f}^{(-1)}. \)
In both (ii) and (iii), the function $\overline{\Phi}$ is integral over the integrally closed ring $O(\overline{X_1(N)_{\text{ord}}})$ and belongs to the fraction field of $O(\overline{X_1(N)_{\text{ord}}})$; so $\overline{\Phi} \in O(\overline{X_1(N)_{\text{ord}}})$. Theorem 3.17 will be proved in § 4.

Remark 3.18. If $A_R$ is CL, then Theorem 3.17(iii) implies that $\overline{f_{\text{new}}(q)}$ is the Fourier expansion of a rational function on $\overline{X_1(N)_{\text{ord}}}$ and hence of a quotient $\alpha/\beta$ where $\alpha, \beta \in M_{\nu}$ are modular forms defined over $k$ of some weight $\nu$. Is there a direct argument for this?

Corollary 3.19. Let $\Phi: X_1(N) \to A$ be a modular parametrization and let $\Gamma \leq A(R)$ be a subgroup with $r := \text{rank}_{\nu}^{A(R)}(\Gamma) < \infty$. Then the set $\Phi(CL) \cap \Gamma$ is finite of cardinality at most

$$\left[ (2g - 2 + \nu) \cdot \frac{p^2 - p}{2} \cdot \omega^2, 2\lambda \right].$$

where $g$ is the genus of $X_1(N)$, $\nu$ is the number of cusps of $X_1(N)$, and $\lambda$ is the degree of $X_1(N) \to X_1(1)$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.17 we have $d_1 = 1$, $d_2 = \lambda$ and $d_3 \leq 2\lambda$ in (3.9). So it will be enough to check that

$$\text{deg}(\overline{\Phi}) \leq (2g - 2 + \nu) \cdot \frac{p^2 - p}{2}. \quad (3.20)$$

Taking degrees in parts (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 3.17 yields either $\text{deg}(\overline{\Phi}) = \text{deg}(\overline{f_{\text{new}}})$ or $p \text{deg}(\overline{\Phi}) = \text{deg}(\overline{f_{\text{new}}})$. In both cases, $\text{deg}(\overline{\Phi}) \leq \text{deg}(\overline{f_{\text{new}}})$. Now (3.20) follows from the fact that the numerator and denominator of the fraction in (3.15) are sections of the line bundle $(\Omega^1(\text{cusps}))_{\nu}/p^2 - 1/2$, where $\Omega^1$ is the cotangent bundle on $\overline{X_1(N)}$.

We next discuss a uniqueness property for the function $\Phi^\dagger$ in Theorem 3.17. Let $S = X_1(N)$, let $X^\dagger \subset S$ be a standard open subscheme over $R$ such that

$$X^\dagger \subset \overline{Y_1(N)_{\text{ord}}} \setminus \{ x \mid j(x) = 0, 1728 \}, \quad (3.21)$$

and define

$$\mathcal{P} := \{ P \in CL \mid \overline{P} \text{ is not in the isogeny class of any of the } k\text{-points of } Y_1(N) \setminus X^\dagger \}. \quad (3.22)$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{P}$ is infinite. Let $M$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $K$, and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the place of $M$ above which $pR$ lies. We have $\mathcal{P} \subset X^\dagger(\mathcal{O}_{M,\nu})$. Let $f = \sum a_n q^n$ be a newform. Let $\sum \overline{P}_l (1 - q_l P)$ be the Hecke divisor on $S(\mathbb{Q})$ associated to any $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and any prime $l \neq p$ (see § 3.2). Then $\overline{P}_l(1) \in CL \cap X^\dagger(\mathcal{O}_{M,\nu})$. For $d \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$, let $\langle d \rangle$ be the diamond operator acting on $\overline{X_1(N)}$ and on $\mathcal{O}(\overline{X_1(N)_{\text{ord}}})$. Consider the $k$-linear space

$$\mathcal{F} := \{ \overline{\Theta} \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{X_1(N)_{\text{ord}}}) \mid \langle d \rangle \overline{\Theta} = \overline{\Theta} \text{ for all } d \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \text{ and } U \overline{\Theta}(q) = 0 \}, \quad (3.23)$$

where $\overline{\Theta}(q) \in k[[q]]$ is the Fourier expansion of $\overline{\Theta}$. Note that $\overline{f_{\text{new}}} \in \mathcal{F}$. Theorem 3.24 (Uniqueness of reciprocity functions for CL-points). Let $S = X_1(N)$, let $\Phi: S \to A$ be a modular parametrization attached to a newform $f = \sum a_n q^n$, and let $p$ be a sufficiently large good prime. Assume that $X^\dagger \subset S$ is an open subscheme over $R$ as in (3.21). Let $\mathcal{P}$ be as in (3.22). Then the following conditions on $\overline{\Theta} \in \mathcal{F}$ are equivalent.

1. For any $P_1, \ldots, P_n \in CL \cap X^\dagger(R)$ and any integers $m_1, \ldots, m_n$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Phi(P_i) \in A(R)_{p\text{-div}} \implies \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \overline{\Theta}(P_i) = 0 \in k.$$
(2) For any $P \in P$ and any prime $l \neq p$,
$$
\sum \overline{\theta}(P^{(i)}) - a_i \overline{\theta}(P) = 0.
$$

(3) $\overline{\theta} = \overline{\lambda} \cdot f^{-1}$ for some $\overline{\lambda} \in k$.

Proof. Condition (1) implies condition (2) by (A5). That condition (2) implies condition (3) will be proved in §4; see Lemma 4.83. Finally, condition (3) implies condition (1) by Theorem 3.17. □

3.7 Reciprocity functions and finiteness for isogeny classes
Fix a set $\Sigma$ of rational primes.

Suppose that $S = X_1(N)$. Let $B$ be a $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$-algebra. Let $Q$ be a $B$-point of $Y_1(N)$, represented by $(E_Q, \alpha_Q)$. The $\Sigma$-isogeny class (respectively, the prime-to-$\Sigma$ isogeny class) of $Q$ in $S(B)$ is the set $C = C_Q \subset S(B)$ of all $B$-points of $Y_1(N)$ represented by $(E_Q, \alpha_Q')$ such that there exists an isogeny $E_Q \to E_Q'$ of degree divisible only by primes in $\Sigma$ (respectively, outside $\Sigma$). We do not require the isogeny to be compatible with $\alpha_Q$ and $\alpha_Q'$.

The definition for $S = X^B(U)$ is similar. Let $B$ be a $\mathbb{Z}[1/m]$-algebra. Let $Q \in S(B)$ be represented by $(E_Q, i_Q, \alpha_Q)$. The $\Sigma$-isogeny class (respectively, the prime-to-$\Sigma$ isogeny class) of $Q$ in $S(B)$ is the set $C = C_Q \subset S(B)$ of all $B$-points of $S$ represented by $(E_Q, i_Q', \alpha_Q')$ such that there exists an isogeny $E_Q \to E_Q'$ that is compatible with the $O_D$-action and of degree divisible only by primes in $\Sigma$ (respectively, outside $\Sigma$). Again, the isogeny need not respect $\alpha_Q$ and $\alpha_Q'$.

Now let $S$ be either $X_1(N)$ or $X^B(U)$, and let $C$ be a $\Sigma$-isogeny class where $p \notin \Sigma$ or a prime-to-$\Sigma$ isogeny class where $p \in \Sigma$. We say that $C$ is ordinary (respectively, CL) if it contains an ordinary point (respectively, a CL point); in this case, all points in $C$ are ordinary (respectively, CL).

**Theorem 3.25** (Reciprocity functions mod $p$ for isogeny classes). Assume that $S = \prod X \Phi A$ is a modular-elliptic or Shimura-elliptic correspondence, that $p$ is a sufficiently large good prime, and that $C$ is an ordinary prime-to-$p$ isogeny class in $S(R)$. Then there exist an affine dense open subscheme $X^\dagger \subset X$, a (not necessarily connected) finite étale cover $\pi: X^\dagger \to X$ of degree $p$, a regular function $\Phi^\dagger \in O(X^\dagger)$ that is non-constant on each component of $X^\dagger$, and a map $\sigma: \Pi^{-1}(C) \cap X^\dagger(R) \to X^\dagger(k)$ such that $\pi(\sigma(P)) = \bar{P}$ for all $P$ and for any $P_1, \ldots, P_n \in \Pi^{-1}(C) \cap X^\dagger(R)$ and any $m_1, \ldots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Phi(P_i) \in A(R)_{p\text{-div}} \iff \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Phi^\dagger(\sigma(P_i)) = 0 \in k. \tag{3.26}
$$

Theorem 3.25 will be proved in § 4.

**Remark 3.27.**

(1) Again, as the proof will show, the maps $\Phi^\dagger$ and $\sigma$ will have a functorial nature. In Theorem 3.25 $\sigma$ is simply a map of sets, but the proof will show that $\sigma$ has actually an algebro-geometric flavor.

(2) Theorem 3.25 is an analogue of the second equivalence in Theorem 3.5. Is there also an isogeny-class analogue of the first equivalence in Theorem 3.5?

(3) The sum in the right half of (3.26) may be viewed as a function $\eta^\dagger$ on $X^\dagger$ evaluated at $(\sigma(P_1), \ldots, \sigma(P_n))$. If the value is zero, then so is $\eta^\dagger(\bar{P}_1, \ldots, \bar{P}_n)$, where $\eta^\dagger$ is the norm of
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\( \eta^i \) in the degree-\( p^n \) extension \( \mathcal{O}(X^n) \) of \( \mathcal{O}(X^1) \). Here \( \eta^i \) may be expressed as a polynomial in the \( m_i \) and the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of multiplication-by-\( \Phi^i \) on the locally free \( \mathcal{O}(X^1) \)-algebra \( \mathcal{O}(X^1) \). Thus the left half of (3.26) implies a statement expressible in terms of evaluation of functions on \( X^1 \) instead of \( X^1 \). Corollary 3.33 will show that \( \eta^i \) is not always zero (consider the case \( n = 1 \), for example), so the statement is not always vacuous.

Theorem 3.25 trivially implies the following.

**Corollary 3.28.** In the notation of Theorem 3.25, we have

\[
\text{rank}_{p}^{A(R)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \Phi(P_i) \right) = \text{dim}_{p}^{A(R)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{F}_{p} \cdot \Phi^i(\sigma(P_i)) \right).
\]

Just as Corollary 3.28 implied Theorem 1.3, Corollary 3.28 applied to subsets \( \{P_1, \ldots, P_n\} \) of \( \Phi^{-1}(\Gamma) \cap \Pi^{-1}(C) \cap X^1(R) \) implies the first conclusion in the next corollary.

**Corollary 3.29.** Assume that \( S \xrightarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A \) is a modular-elliptic or Shimura-elliptic correspondence, and assume that \( p \) is a sufficiently large good prime. Let \( C \) be an ordinary prime-to-\( p \) isogeny class in \( S(R) \). Then there exists a constant \( c \) such that for any subgroup \( \Gamma \leq A(R) \) with \( r := \text{rank}_{p}^{A(R)}(\Gamma) < \infty \), the set \( \Phi(\Pi^{-1}(C)) \cap \Gamma \subseteq A(k) \) is finite of cardinality at most \( cp^r \). In particular, the set \( \Phi(\Pi^{-1}(C)) \cap A(R)_{\text{tors}} \) is finite.

The first conclusion of Corollary 3.29 implies the last one because the reduction map \( A(R)_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow A(k) \) is injective for large \( p \).

One might ask whether the set \( \Phi(\Pi^{-1}(C)) \cap \Gamma \) is finite for every \( \Gamma \) with rank_{\text{fin}}^{A(R)}(\Gamma) < \infty. Theorem 1.6 represents a partial result in this direction, with certain \( \Sigma \)-isogeny classes in place of prime-to-\( p \) isogeny classes. Corollary 3.29 will be used to prove Theorem 1.6 in § 4.9.

### 3.8 Refinement of results on isogeny classes for modular parametrizations

Suppose that \( S \xrightarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A \) arises from a newform \( f = \sum a_n q^n \). Our goal in this subsection is to state Theorem 3.32, which describes the cover \( X^1 \) and the function \( \Phi^1 \) explicitly in this case.

Let \( I_1(N) \) be the Igusa curve from [Gro90, pp. 460–461], except that we view \( I_1(N) \) as a smooth projective integral curve. It is a Galois cover of \( X_1(N) \) ramified only over supersingular points, and the Galois group is naturally isomorphic to \( \mathbb{F}_p^* \). Let \( J := I_1(N)/(-1) \) be the intermediate cover of degree \( (p - 1)/2 \) obtained by taking the quotient of \( I_1(N) \) by the involution corresponding to \( -1 \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \). We will describe \( X^1 \) in terms of \( J \). There is a point \( \infty \) on each of these covers that is unramified over \( \infty \in X_1(N) \). In particular, rational functions on \( I_1(N) \) and \( J \) have Fourier expansions in \( k((q)) \).

Let

\[
f^{(0)}(q) := \sum_{(n,p)=1} a_n q^n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[q]].
\]

(The series \( f^{(0)}(q) \) is called \( f|_{R_0} \) in [Ser73, p. 115].) Let

\[
f^{(0)}_{[a_p]}(q) := \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i q^i \right) f^{(0)}(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(n,p)=1} a_i a_n q^{np} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[q]].
\]
Corollary 4.50 and Lemma 4.52 will show that for \( p \gg 0 \), the series \( \overline{f}^{(0)}(q) \) is the Fourier expansion of some \( \eta \in k(J) \). For a constant \( \lambda \in k \) to be specified later, define

\[
\overline{\Phi}^\dagger := \begin{cases} 
\lambda^p \eta^p - a_p \eta^p & \text{if } A_R \text{ is not CL,} \\
\eta^p & \text{if } A_R \text{ is CL.}
\end{cases}
\]

**Theorem 3.32 (Explicit reciprocity functions mod } p \text{ for isogeny classes).** Assume, in Theorem 3.25, that \( S \xleftarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A \) arises from a modular parametrization attached to a newform \( f \) on \( \Gamma_0(N) \). Then there exists \( \lambda \in k^\times \) such that \( X^\dagger, \overline{X}^\dagger \) and \( \Phi^\dagger \) can be chosen to satisfy the following properties.

1. The cover \( \overline{X}^\dagger \) of \( X^\dagger \) is a disjoint union \( \overline{X}^0 \coprod \overline{X}^+ \coprod \overline{X}^- \), where \( \overline{X}^0 \simeq \overline{X}^\dagger \) is the trivial cover and \( \overline{X}^+ \) and \( \overline{X}^- \) are each isomorphic to the inverse image of \( \overline{X}^\dagger \) under \( J \to \overline{X}_1(N) \).

2. The restrictions of \( \overline{\Phi}^\dagger \) to \( \overline{X}^0, \overline{X}^+, \overline{X}^- \) equal

\[
\overline{\Phi}^\dagger, \quad \overline{\Phi}^\dagger + \lambda_+ \overline{\Phi}^\dagger, \quad \overline{\Phi}^\dagger + \lambda_- \overline{\Phi}^\dagger,
\]

respectively, where \( \lambda_\pm \in k \) are such that \( \lambda_+^{(p-1)/2}, \lambda_-^{(p-1)/2} \) are the two square roots of \( \overline{\lambda} \).

Theorem 3.32 will be proved in §4.

**Corollary 3.33.** With notation as in Theorem 3.32, the characteristic polynomial of the endomorphism ‘multiplication by \( \overline{\Phi}^\dagger \) in the locally free \( \mathcal{O}(\overline{X}^\dagger) \)-algebra \( \mathcal{O}(\overline{X}^\dagger) \) is

\[
x^p - \overline{\lambda} h^2 x + \left( \overline{\lambda} h^2 \overline{\Phi}^\dagger - (\overline{\Phi}^\dagger)^p \right),
\]

where \( h := (\overline{\Phi}^\dagger)^{(p-1)/2} \in k(\overline{X}_1(N)) \).

**Proof.** The characteristic polynomial of \( \overline{\Phi}^\dagger - \overline{\Phi}^\dagger \) equals

\[
x \left( x^{(p-1)/2} - \lambda_+^{(p-1)/2} \overline{\Phi}^\dagger \right) \left( x^{(p-1)/2} - \lambda_-^{(p-1)/2} \overline{\Phi}^\dagger \right) = x^p - \overline{\lambda} h^2 x.
\]

In this expression, replace \( x \) by \( x - \overline{\Phi}^\dagger \). \( \square \)

### 3.9 Strategy of proofs

The proof of our local results will be an application of the theory of \( \delta \)-characters [Bui95, Bui97] and \( \delta \)-modular forms [Bui00, Bui03]. These two types of objects are special cases of arithmetic differential equations in the sense of [Bui05]. Section 4 reviews the facts from this theory that are necessary for our proof. As an illustration of our strategy let us explain, very roughly, the idea of our proof of Theorem 3.5. Assume, for simplicity, that we are dealing with a modular-elliptic correspondence \( S \xleftarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A \) arising from a modular parametrization attached to a newform \( f \). Following [Bui95], consider the Fermat quotient operator \( \delta: \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R} \) defined by \( \delta x := (\phi(x) - x^p)/p \), where \( \phi: \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R} \) is the lift of Frobenius. We view \( \delta \) as an analogue of a derivation operator with respect to \( p \). Recall from [Bui95] that if \( Y \) is any smooth scheme over \( \mathcal{R} \), then a function \( g: Y(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathcal{R} \) is called a \( \delta \)-function of order \( r \) if it is Zariski locally of the form \( P \mapsto G(x, \delta x, \ldots, \delta^r x) \), where \( G \) is a restricted power series with \( \mathcal{R} \)-coefficients and \( x \in \mathcal{R}^N \) is a tuple of affine coordinates of \( P \) in some \( \mathcal{N} \)-dimensional affine space. If \( A \) is our elliptic curve, then by [Bui95] there exists a \( \delta \)-function of order 2, \( \psi: \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathcal{R} \), that is also a group homomorphism; in [Bui95] \( \psi \) is called a \( \delta \)-character, and it may be viewed as an arithmetic analogue of the ‘Manin map’ [Man58, Man63]. Consider the composition \( f^2 = \psi \circ \Phi: X(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathcal{R} \). On the other hand, the theory of \( \delta \)-modular forms [Bui00] yields an open subset \( X^\dagger \) of \( S \) and a \( \delta \)-function of order 1,
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\( f^\#: X^\dagger(R) \to R \), that vanishes at all CL-points; see Lemma 4.37 and (4.39). We then prove that there exist \( \delta \)-functions of order 2, denoted by \( h_0, h_1 : X^\dagger(R) \to R \), such that the \( \delta \)-function

\[
\Phi^\dagger := f^\# - h_0 \cdot f^\# - h_1 \cdot \delta \circ f^\#
\]

has order 0, or equivalently is a formal function in the usual sense of algebraic geometry. (Intuitively, in the system of ‘arithmetic differential equations’ \( f^\# = f^\# = 0 \) one can eliminate all the ‘derivatives’ of the unknowns.) It follows that \( f^\# \) and \( \Phi^\dagger \) have the same value at each CL-point \( P_i \). Therefore

\[
\sum m_i \Phi^\dagger(P_i) = \sum m_i f^\#(P_i) = \psi \left( \sum m_i \Phi(P_i) \right).
\]

By the arithmetic analogue in [Bui95, Bui97] of Manin’s theorem of the kernel [Man58, Man63], \( \psi \left( \sum m_i \Phi(P_i) \right) \) vanishes if and only if \( \sum m_i \Phi(P_i) \) is torsion. (Actually, for our application to Theorem 1.3 we need only the ‘if’ part, which does not require the analogue of the theorem of the kernel.) On the other hand, we shall check that \( \Phi^\dagger / \in \mathbb{k} \) by looking at Fourier \( q \)-expansions, and this will complete the proof of the first equivalence in Theorem 3.5 in the special case that we considered.

In particular, our proof of the (effective) finiteness of \( \Phi(\text{CL}) \cap \Gamma \) in the case of \( \Gamma = A(R)_{\text{tors}} \) can be intuitively described as follows. The points of CL are solutions of the ‘arithmetic differential equation’ \( f^\# = 0 \), whereas the points of \( \Phi^{-1}(\Gamma) \) are solutions of the ‘arithmetic differential equation’ \( f^\# = 0 \). Hence the points of \( \text{CL} \cap \Phi^{-1}(\Gamma) \) are solutions of the system of ‘arithmetic differential equations’ \( f^\# = f^\# = 0 \). By what was said above, one can eliminate from this system the ‘derivatives’ of the unknowns; hence one is left with a (non-differential) algebraic equation mod \( p \), whose ‘degree’ can be estimated. There are only finitely many solutions to this algebraic equation, and their number is effectively bounded by the ‘degree’.

4. Proofs

Fix a prime \( p \geq 5 \). Recall that \( R = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{ur} \), \( k = R/pR \), \( K := R[1/p] \), and \( \phi : R \to R \) is the Frobenius automorphism.

4.1 Review of CL- and CM-points

This section reviews facts that we need about CL abelian schemes and their relation with CM-points; see [Kat81, DO86, Mes72]. Expert readers can skip this discussion.

**Definition 4.1.** An abelian scheme \( E/R \) is CL (a canonical lift) if its reduction \( \bar{E} := E \otimes k \) is ordinary and there exists an \( R \)-homomorphism \( E \to E^\phi := E \otimes_{R,\phi} R \) whose reduction mod \( p \) is the relative Frobenius \( k \)-homomorphism \( E \to E^{\text{Fr}} := E \otimes_{k,\text{Fr}} k \).

**Theorem 4.2.** The following are equivalent for an elliptic curve \( E \) over \( R \).

(i) \( E \) is CL.

(ii) \( E \) has ordinary reduction and Serre–Tate parameter \( q(E) = 1 \) (with respect to some, and hence any, basis of the physical Tate module).

(iii) There exists a morphism of \( \mathbb{Z} \)-schemes \( E \to E \) whose reduction mod \( p \) is the absolute Frobenius \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-morphism \( \bar{E} \to \bar{E} \). (In [Bui05] this situation was referred to by saying that \( E \) ‘has a lift of Frobenius’.)
Proof. The equivalence between (i) and (ii) is essentially the definition of the CL property in [Kat81]. The implication (i) ⇒ (iii) is trivial. For (iii) ⇒ (i), note first that $\bar{E}$ must be ordinary; this follows, for instance, from [Bui05, Proposition 7.15 and Corollaries 8.86, 8.89]. Finally, the $\mathbb{Z}$-morphism $E \to E$ induces an $R$-morphism $E \to E^\phi$. The Néron model property shows that the latter is a composition of a homomorphism $u$ with translation by an $R$-point reducing to the identity mod $p$; but then $u \mod p$ is the relative Frobenius. \hfill $\square$

**Theorem 4.3** (Existence and uniqueness of CL abelian schemes).

(i) Fix a prime $p$ and an ordinary abelian variety $\bar{E}$ over $k$; then there exists a unique CL abelian scheme $E$ over $R$ with $E \otimes k \simeq \bar{E}$ (unique up to isomorphism).

(ii) If $E$ and $E'$ are CL abelian schemes over $R$, then the natural map $\text{Hom}_R(E,E') \to \text{Hom}_k(\bar{E},E')$ is an isomorphism.

(iii) If two elliptic curves over $R$ are related by an isogeny of degree prime to $p$ and one of them is CL, then so is the other.

Proof. This is due to Serre and Tate; see [Kat81, DO86]. \hfill $\square$

The conductor of an order in a quadratic number field is the index of the order in the maximal order.

**Theorem 4.4** (Relation between CL and CM).

(i) (a) If $E$ is a CL elliptic curve over $R$, then $E$ has CM (part of this claim is that $E$ is definable over $M = K \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$). Thus we have the relation $\text{CL} \subseteq \text{CM}$ between subsets of $Y_1(N)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.

(b) Conversely, if $Q = (E, \alpha) \in Y_1(N)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is in CM, and $p$ is split in $\text{End } E \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and does not divide the conductor of $\text{End } E$, then $Q \in \text{CL}$.

(ii) (a) If $(E, i)$ is a CL fake elliptic curve over $R$, then $(E, i)$ is CM. Thus we have the relation $\text{CL} \subseteq \text{CM}$ between subsets of $X^D(U)(M)$.

(b) Conversely, for any CM-point $Q \in X^D(U)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, we know that the associated abelian surface $E$ is the square of an elliptic curve with CM by an order in some $K$; if $p$ splits in $K$ and $p$ does not divide the conductor of the order, then $Q \in \text{CL}$.

Proof.

(i) (a) If $E/R$ is a CL elliptic curve, then $\text{End}_R(E) \simeq \text{End}_k(\bar{E}) \neq \mathbb{Z}$.

(b) This follows from the theorem in the middle of [Ser67, p. 293].

(ii) (a) Let $E := \text{End}_R(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \text{End}_k(\bar{E}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Since $\bar{E}$ is ordinary, the center of $E$ contains an imaginary quadratic field $K$; see, for example, [Bui05, p. 247]. In particular, $E \not\cong D$, so $(E, i)$ is CM.

(b) Apply Theorem 4.4(i)(b) to the elliptic curve. \hfill $\square$

### 4.2 $\delta$-functions

See [Bui95, Bui05]. Let $\delta: R \to R$ be the Fermat quotient map $\delta x := (\phi(x) - x^p)/p$. Then

$$\delta(x + y) = \delta x + \delta y + C_p(x, y),$$

$$\delta(xy) = x^p \cdot \delta y + y^p \cdot \delta x + p \cdot \delta x \cdot \delta y,$$

(4.5)
where \( C_p(X, Y) := (X^p + Y^p - (X + Y)^p)/p \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y] \). Following [Bui95], we think of \( \delta \) as a ‘derivation with respect to \( p \). If \( P \in \mathbb{A}^N(R) = \mathbb{R}^N \), then \( \delta P \) is defined by applying \( \delta \) to each coordinate.

Let \( X \) be a smooth \( R \)-scheme and let \( f: X(R) \to R \) be a map of sets. Following [Bui05, p. 41], we say that \( f \) is a \( \delta \)-function of order \( r \) if for any point in \( X(R) \) there is a Zariski open neighborhood \( U \subset X \), a closed immersion \( u: U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^N_R \) and a restricted power series \( F \) with \( R \)-coefficients in \( (r + 1)N \) variables such that

\[
f(P) = F(u(P), \delta(u(P)), \ldots, \delta^r(u(P))) \quad \text{for all } P \in U(R).
\]

(Restricted means that the coefficients converge \( p \)-adically to 0.) Let \( \mathcal{O}^r(X) \) be the ring of \( \delta \)-functions of order \( r \) on \( X \).

We have natural maps \( \delta: \mathcal{O}^r(X) \to \mathcal{O}^{r+1}(X), \ f \mapsto \delta f := \delta \circ f \), and natural ring homomorphisms \( \phi: \mathcal{O}^r(X) \to \mathcal{O}^{r+1}(X), \ f \mapsto \phi(f) = f^\phi := \phi \circ f \). The above maps \( \delta \) still satisfy the identities in (4.5). Let \( X \) be affine and let \( x \) be a system of étale coordinates on \( X \), that is, there exists an étale map \( X \to \mathbb{A}^d \) such that \( x \) is the \( d \)-tuple of elements in \( \mathcal{O}(X) \) obtained by pulling back the coordinates on \( \mathbb{A}^d \). Let \( x^r, x^{r+1}, \ldots, x^{r(r)} \) be \( d \)-tuples of variables, and let \( ^\gamma \) denote \( p \)-adic completion, as usual. Then the natural map

\[
\mathcal{O}(X)[x^r, x^{r+1}, \ldots, x^{r(r)}] \to \mathcal{O}^r(X)
\]

sending \( x^r \mapsto \delta x \), \( x^{r+1} \mapsto \delta^2 x \), \ldots, \( x^{r(r)} \mapsto \delta^r x \) is an isomorphism; see [Bui05, Propositions 3.13 and 3.19].

4.3 \( \delta \)-characters

We recall facts from [Bui95, Bui05]. If \( G \) is a smooth group scheme over \( R \), then by a \( \delta \)-character of order \( r \) we mean a \( \delta \)-function \( \psi: G(R) \to R \) of order \( r \) that is also a group homomorphism into the additive group of \( R \). Following [Bui95], we view \( \delta \)-characters of abelian schemes as arithmetic analogues of the Manin maps [Man58, Man63]. Let \( \mathcal{X}^r(G) \) be the \( R \)-module of \( \delta \)-characters of order \( r \) on \( G \). By [Bui95, pp. 325-326], the following two properties hold for an elliptic curve \( E/R \):

(i) If \( E \) is CL, then \( \mathcal{X}^1(E) \) is free of rank 1.
(ii) If \( E \) is not CL, then \( \mathcal{X}^2(E) \) is free of rank 1.

We will need to review (and complement) some results in [Bui95, Bui97] that can be viewed as an arithmetic analogue of Manin’s theorem of the kernel [Man63, Cha91]. For any abelian group \( G \), we set \( p^\infty G := \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty p^nG \) and let \( p^\infty : p^\infty \) be the group of all \( x \in G \) for which there exists an integer \( n \geq 1 \) with \( p^n x \in p^\infty G \). Recall also that we set \( G_{p\text{-div}} = G_{\text{tors}} + pG \).

**Lemma 4.7.** Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \). Let \( r \) be 1 or 2 according to whether \( E \) is CL or not. Let \( \psi: E(R) \to R \) be a generator of \( \mathcal{X}^r(G) \). Then the following hold:

(i) \( \psi \) is surjective and defined over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \).
(ii) \( \ker \psi = p^\infty E(R) : p^\infty \).
(iii) \( \ker \psi + pE(R) = E(R)_{\text{tors}} + pE(R) =: E(R)_{p\text{-div}} \).
(iv) \( \psi^{-1}(pR) = E(R)_{\text{tors}} + pE(R) =: E(R)_{p\text{-div}} \).
(v) \( (\ker \psi) \cap E(\mathbb{Z}_p^{ur}) = E(\mathbb{Z}_p^{ur})_{\text{tors}} \).
Proof.

(i) Surjectivity follows from [Bui97, Theorem 1.10]. That \( \psi \) is defined over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) follows from its construction in [Bui95].

(ii) If \( E \) has ordinary reduction, then [Bui95, Theorem B', p. 312] shows that \( (\ker \psi)/p^\infty E(R) \) is a finite cyclic \( p \)-group; this implies the non-trivial inclusion \( ' \subset ' \). If \( E \) has supersingular reduction, we are done by [Bui97, Corollary 1.12].

(iii) The non-trivial inclusion is \( ' \subset ' \). If \( P \in \ker \psi \), then by (ii) there exists \( n \) such that \( p^n P = p^{n+1} Q \) for some \( Q \in E(R) \). So \( P - pQ \in E(R)_{\text{tors}} \) and we are done.

(iv) This follows from (iii) and (i).

(v) If \( E \) has ordinary reduction, then by [Bui97, Theorem 1.2 and Remark 1.3 on p. 209] we have \( p^\infty E(R) \cap E(\mathbb{Z}_p^\text{ur}) \subset E(\mathbb{Z}_p^\text{ur})_{\text{tors}} \); combining this with (ii) yields the non-trivial inclusion \( (\ker \psi) \cap E(\mathbb{Z}_p^\text{ur}) \subset E(\mathbb{Z}_p^\text{ur})_{\text{tors}} \). Now assume that \( E \) has supersingular reduction. If \( a_p \) is the trace of Frobenius on \( E_{\mathbb{F}_p} \), then the map \( \phi^2 - a_p \phi + p : R \rightarrow R \) is injective. By [Bui97, Theorem 1.10, p. 212], the restriction of \( \psi \) to the kernel of the reduction map \( \text{red} : E(R) \rightarrow E(k) \) is injective. In other words, \( \ker(\psi) \cap \ker(\text{red}) = \{0\} \). Equivalently, red restricts to an injection \( \ker \psi \rightarrow E(k) \). Since \( E(k) \) is torsion, so is \( \ker \psi \). □

We now describe an explicit generator \( \psi \) of \( \mathbf{X}^*(A_R) \), where \( A \) is an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) and \( r \) is 1 or 2 according to whether \( A_R \) is CL or not. Fix a 1-form \( \omega \) generating the \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module \( H^0(A, \Omega^1) \). This uniquely specifies a Weierstrass model \( y^2 = x^3 + ax + b \) for \( A \) over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) such that \( \omega = dx/y \). Let \( T := -x/y \). So \( T \) is an étale coordinate at the origin 0 of \( A \), vanishing at 0. Let \( L(T) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[T]] \) be the logarithm of the formal group of \( A \) associated to \( T \), so \( dLT = \omega \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]] \) \( dT \) and \( L(0) = 0 \). If \( A \) is CL, let \( up \) be the unique root in \( p\mathbb{Z}_p \) of the polynomial \( x^2 - a_p x + p \). By [Bui05, Theorem 7.22] and [Bui97, Theorem 1.10], we may take

\[
\psi := \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{p}(\phi^2 - a_p \phi + p)L(T) \in R[[T]][T', T''][1/p] & \text{if } A \text{ is not CL}, \\
\frac{1}{p}(\phi - up)L(T) \in R[[T]][T'][1/p] & \text{if } A \text{ is CL}.
\end{cases}
\] (4.8)

4.4 δ-Fourier expansions

See [Bui00]. We start by reviewing some background on classical Fourier expansions as in [DI95, p. 112]. (The discussion there involved the modular curve parametrizing elliptic curves with an embedding of \( \mu_N \), rather than \( \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \) as we have here. But the two modular curves are isomorphic over \( \mathbb{Z}[1/N, \zeta_N] \); see [DI95, p. 113] for details.) The cusp \( \infty \) on \( S := X_1(N) \) arises from a \( \mathbb{Z}[1/N, \zeta_N] \)-valued point; so if \( p \gg 0 \) (specifically, \( p \nmid N \)), then it gives rise to an \( R \)-point, which may be viewed as a closed immersion \( s_\infty : \text{Spec } R \rightarrow S_R \). Let \( [\infty] = s_\infty(\text{Spec } R) \). Let \( \tilde{S}_R \) be the completion of \( S_R \) along \( [\infty] \). The Tate generalized elliptic curve \( \text{Tate}(q)/R[[q]] \), equipped with the standard immersion \( \alpha_{\text{can}} \) of \( \mu_{N,R} \approx (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_R \) is a point in \( S(R[[q]]) \) that reduces mod \( q \) to \( s_\infty \). For \( p \gg 0 \) there is an induced isomorphism \( \text{Spf } R[[q]] \approx \tilde{S}_R \). Therefore, for any open subset \( U \subset \tilde{S}_R \) containing \([\infty]\), we have an induced Fourier \( q \)-expansion homomorphism

\[
\mathcal{O}(U \setminus [\infty]) \rightarrow R((q)) := R[[q]][1/q].
\]

More generally, suppose that we are given a modular-elliptic correspondence \( S \dashrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A \). Let \( M \) be the ramification index of \( \Pi \) at \( x_\infty \). As before, we assume \( p \gg 0 \). Then we have \( \text{Spf } R[[q]] \approx \tilde{X}_R \), where \( q := q^{1/M} \) and \( \tilde{X}_R \) is the completion of \( X_R \) along the closure \([x_\infty] \)
Independence of special points arising from modular and Shimura curves

of $x_\infty$. Moreover, for any open set $U \subset X_R$ containing $[x_\infty]$, we have a Fourier $q$-expansion homomorphism

$$O(U \setminus [x_\infty]) \to R((q)).$$

Next we move to the ‘δ-theory’. Let $q', q'', \ldots, q^{(r)}, \ldots$ be new indeterminates. Define

$$S_{x_\infty}^r := R((q))[q', q'', \ldots, q^{(r)}].$$

For each $r$, extend $\phi: R \to R$ to a ring homomorphism $\phi: S_{x_\infty}^r \to S_{x_\infty}^{r+1}$, written as $F \mapsto F^\phi$, by requiring

$$q^\phi := q^p + pq', \quad (q')^\phi := (q')^p + pq'', \ldots,$$

and define $\delta: S_{x_\infty}^r \to S_{x_\infty}^{r+1}$ by

$$\delta F := \frac{F^\phi - F^p}{p}. \quad (4.9)$$

By the universality property of the sequence $\{O^r(U \setminus [x_\infty])\}_{r \geq 0}$ (see [Bui05, Proposition 3.3]), there exists a unique sequence of ring homomorphisms

$$O^r(U \setminus [x_\infty]) \to S_{x_\infty}^r, \quad (4.10)$$
called δ-Fourier expansion maps and denoted by $g \mapsto g_\infty$, such that $(\delta g)_\infty = \delta(g_\infty)$ for all $g$.

More generally, given a modular-elliptic correspondence $S \xrightarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A$, define rings

$$S_{x_\infty}^r := R((q))[q', \ldots, q^{(r)}],$$

where $q', \ldots, q^{(r)}$ are new variables. Again, there are natural maps $\phi, \delta: S_{x_\infty}^r \to S_{x_\infty}^{r+1}$ defined exactly as above; there are also δ-Fourier expansion maps

$$O^r(U \setminus [x_\infty]) \to S_{x_\infty}^r$$

that commute with $\delta$ and are denoted by $g \mapsto g_\infty$. There are natural maps $S_{x_\infty}^r \to S_{x_\infty}^r$. Since Spec $R[q, q^{-1}] \to \text{Spec } R[q, q^{-1}]$ is étale, (4.6) implies that

$$S_{x_\infty}^r \cong R((q))[q', \ldots, q^{(r)}].$$

4.5 δ-Serre–Tate expansions

See [Bui03, Bui05]. Assume that we are given a Shimura-elliptic correspondence $S \xrightarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A$ and that $p \gg 0$. By the proof of [Bui03, Lemma 2.6], there exist infinitely many $k$-points $y_0 \in S(k)$ whose associated triple $(\bar{Y}, \bar{i}, \bar{\alpha})$ is such that:

(i) $\bar{Y}$ is ordinary; and

(ii) if $\bar{\theta}$ is the unique principal polarization compatible with $\bar{i}$, then $(\bar{Y}, \bar{\theta})$ is isomorphic to the polarized Jacobian of a genus-2 curve.

We may therefore choose a point $y_0 \in S(k)$ as above such that, moreover, there exists $\bar{x}_0 \in \bar{X}(k)$ with $\Pi(\bar{x}_0) = y_0$ such that both $\Pi$ and $\Phi$ are étale at $\bar{x}_0$; here we take $p \gg 0$ to ensure that $\Pi \otimes k$ and $\Phi \otimes k$ are separable.

Let $Y$ be the canonical lift of $\bar{Y}$. Since $\text{End}(Y) \simeq \text{End}(\bar{Y})$, the embedding $\tilde{i}: \mathcal{O}_D \to \text{End}(\bar{Y})$ induces an embedding $i: \mathcal{O}_D \to \text{End}(Y)$. Also, the level $\mathcal{U}$ structure $\bar{\alpha}$ lifts to a level $\mathcal{U}$ structure on $(Y, i)$. Let $y_0 := (Y, i, \alpha) \in S(R)$. Since $\Pi$ is étale at $\bar{x}_0$, there exists $x_0 \in X(R)$ such that $x_0 \equiv y_0 \mod p = \bar{x}_0$ and $\Pi(x_0) = y_0$.

Let $Y^\vee$ be the dual of $Y$. By [Bui03, Lemma 2.5], there exist $\mathbb{Z}_p$-bases of the Tate modules $T_p(Y)$ and $T_p(Y^\vee)$, corresponding to each other under $\bar{\theta}$, such that any fake elliptic curve over
Recall that $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{Spf} R[[t]]$. The Serre–Tate parameter $q$ corresponds to the value of $1 + t$. Since $\Pi$ is étale at $x_0$, we have an induced isomorphism between the completion of $X$ along the section $x_0$ and $\mathbb{Spf} R[[t]]$. As in § 4.4, define rings

$$S^r_{x_0} \simeq R[[t]][t', \ldots, t^{(r)}]$$

and maps $\phi, \delta: S^r_{x_0} \to S^r_{x_0+1}$; then, for any affine open set $U \subset X$ containing the image of the section $x_0$, we have natural $\delta$-Serre–Tate expansion maps

$$\mathcal{O}^r(U) \to S^r_{x_0},$$

denoted by $g \mapsto g_{x_0}$, that commute with $\phi$ and $\delta$.

### 4.6 Pull-backs by $\Phi$ of $\delta$-characters

Assume that we are given a modular-elliptic or Shimura-elliptic correspondence $S \leftarrow \Pi \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow A$. Recall that $A$ is defined over a number field $F_0$. We suppose that $p \gg 0$ and that $p$ splits completely in $F_0$. Then $A_R$ comes from an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Define $a_p$ and (if $A_R$ is CL) $u$ as in § 4.3. Let $\psi$ be as in (4.8). The composition

$$f^\sharp: X(R) \xrightarrow{\Phi} A(R) \xrightarrow{\psi} R$$

is in $\mathcal{O}^r(X_R)$. In what follows, we compute the $\delta$-Fourier expansion $f^\sharp_{x_0} \in S^r_{x_0'}$ (in the modular-elliptic case) or the $\delta$-Serre–Tate expansion $f^\sharp_{x_0} \in S^r_{x_0}$ (in the Shimura-elliptic case).

#### 4.6.1 Modular–elliptic case

Suppose that $S = X_1(N)$. We have $\Phi^*: R[[T]] \to R[[q]]$. Define $b_n \in F_0 \cap R$ by

$$\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} b_n q^{n-1}\right) dq := d(\Phi^*(L(T))) = \Phi^*(dL(T)) = \Phi^* \omega,$$

so

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} b_n \frac{q^n}{n} = \Phi^*(L(T)).$$

(4.13)

Applying $\Phi^*$ to (4.8) and substituting (4.13) yields

$$f^\sharp_{x_0} \in R[[q]] \left[dq, q^n \right].$$

Applying the substitution homomorphism

$$R[[q]] \left[dq, q^n \right] \to R[[q]],

G \mapsto G := G(q, 0, 0) = G|_{q'=q''=0};$$
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we obtain

\[ (f^\sharp_{\infty})_2 = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{p} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{b_{n/p}^2}{n/p^2} - \frac{b_{n/p}}{n/p} + \frac{b_n}{n} \right) q^n & \text{if } A \text{ is not CL,} \\
\frac{1}{p} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{b_{n/p}^2}{n/p} - \frac{b_{n/p}}{n} \right) q^n & \text{if } A \text{ is CL,}
\end{cases} \]  \hspace*{1cm} (4.15)

where \( b_\gamma := 0 \) if \( \gamma \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \). (In particular, the right-hand side of (4.15) has coefficients in \( R \), which is not a priori obvious.)

Let us consider the special case where \( S \xrightarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A \) arises from a modular parametrization associated to the newform \( f = \sum a_n q^n \), so \( S = X = X_1(N), \Pi = \text{Id}, x_\infty = \infty, M = 1 \) and \( q = q \).

We may take \( \omega \) so that \( \Phi^* \omega = \sum a_n q^{n-1} dq \); then \( b_n = a_n \) for all \( n \). Since \( f \) is a newform, the \( a_n \) satisfy the usual relations \[ \text{Satisfy the usual relations} \] (Writing \( 1 + \)).

**Proof.** We shall prove (4.20) in the case where \( A_R \) is not CL. The other three statements are proved similarly (and are actually easier).

To simplify notation, let \( \square \) stand for any element of \( \mathbb{Z}_p[[q]][q^{-1}, q', q''] \). For any \( \gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[q]][q^{-1}, q', q''] \), any \( \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \) and any \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), we have

\[ (1 + p\gamma + p^2\beta)^{mp^{\ell-2}} = 1 + mp^{\ell-1}\gamma + p^\ell. \]  \hspace*{1cm} (4.21)

(Writing \( (1 + p\gamma + p^2\beta)^m \) as \( 1 + p\gamma' \) lets us reduce the problem to the case \( \beta = 0 \) and \( m = 1 \), which is proved by induction on \( \ell \).)

By (4.14) we get

\[ f^\sharp_{\infty} = \frac{1}{p} \left[ \sum_{n} \frac{a_n}{n} (q^{p^2} + (q')^p + p^2 \square)^n - a_p \sum_{n} \frac{a_n}{n} (q^p + pq')^n + p \sum_{n} \frac{a_n}{n} q^n \right] \]

\[ = \frac{1}{p} \left[ \sum_{n} \frac{a_n}{n} \left( 1 + p \left( \frac{q'}{q^p} \right)^p + p^2 \square \right)^n q^{p^2 n} - a_p \sum_{n} \frac{a_n}{n} \left( 1 + p \frac{q'}{q^p} \right)^n q^{pn} + p \sum_{n} \frac{a_n}{n} q^n \right] \]

\[ = \sum \left[ \frac{a_{n/p}}{n/p} \left( 1 + p \left( \frac{q'}{q^p} \right)^p + p^2 \square \right)^{n/p} - a_p \frac{a_{n/p}}{n} \left( 1 + p \frac{q'}{q^p} \right)^{n/p} + a_n \right] q^n \]

\[ =: \sum \gamma_n q^n, \]

where \( a_r = 0 \) for \( r \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \).
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If \( (n, p) = 1 \), then \( \gamma_n = a_n/n \).

If \( n = pm \) with \( (m, p) = 1 \), then (4.16) and (4.21) yield
\[
\gamma_n = \frac{a_p a_m}{pm} - \frac{a_p a_m}{pm} \left( 1 + pm \frac{q'}{q^p} + p^2 \Box \right) \equiv -a_p a_m \frac{q'}{q^p} \pmod{p}.
\]

If \( n = p^\ell m \) with \( \ell \geq 2 \) and \( (m, p) = 1 \), then (4.16), (4.17) and (4.21) yield
\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_n &= \frac{a_p^{\ell - 2} a_m}{p^\ell - 1} \left( 1 + mp^{\ell - 1} \left( \frac{q'}{q^p} \right)^p + \ell^\Box \right) - \frac{a_p a_p^{\ell - 1} a_m}{p^\ell m} \left( 1 + mp^{\ell - 1} \left( \frac{q'}{q^p} \right)^p + \ell^{\ell + 1} \Box \right) + \frac{a_p^{\ell - 2} a_m}{p^\ell m} \\
&\equiv a_p^{\ell - 2} \frac{q'}{q^p} - a_p a_m \frac{q'}{q^p} \pmod{p}.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore
\[
f_{x_0}^2 \equiv \sum_{(m, p) = 1} \frac{a_m}{m} q^m - \frac{a_p q'}{q^p} \sum_{(m, p) = 1} a_m q^{mp} + \sum_{\ell \geq 2} \sum_{(m, p) = 1} a_m \left( a_p^{\ell - 2} \left( \frac{q'}{q^p} \right)^p - a_p a_m \frac{q'}{q^p} \right) q^{mp^\ell} \pmod{p},
\]
and the first case of (4.20) follows via a trivial algebraic manipulation.

**Remark 4.22.** The right-hand side of (4.20) belongs to the subring \( k[[q]][q'] \) of \( k[[q]][q', q''] \). In the case where \( S \xrightarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\phi} A \) does not necessarily arise from a modular parametrization, an argument similar to the one in the proof of Lemma 4.18 still yields
\[
f_{x_0}^2 \in k[[q]][q'].
\]

### 4.6.2 Shimura-elliptic case.

Suppose that \( S = X^D(U) \). Recall that we fixed \( x_0 \in X(R) \) and a corresponding \( \delta \)-Serre–Tate expansion map \( O^2(X_R) \to S_{x_0}^2 = R[[t]][t', t'']^* \), denoted by \( G \mapsto G_{x_0} \). Let \( z_0 = \Phi(x_0) \in A(R) \). Let \( \lambda : A_R \to A_R \) be translation by \( -z_0 \). Recall the étale coordinate \( T \) on \( A_R \) at 0, and use \( T_{z_0} := \lambda^* T \) as the étale coordinate at \( z_0 \). Now we have \( R[[T]] \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} R[[T_{z_0}]] \xrightarrow{\Phi} R[[t]] \).

Define \( b_n \in F_0 \cap R \) by
\[
\left( \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n t^{n-1} \right) dt := d(\Phi^\ast \lambda^* (L(T))) = \Phi^\ast \lambda^* d(L(T)) = \Phi^\ast \lambda^* \omega,
\]
so
\[
\sum_{n \geq 1} b_n t^n = \Phi^\ast \lambda^* (L(T)). \quad (4.24)
\]

Since \( \Phi \) is étale at \( x_0 \), we have \( b_1 \neq 0 \); by scaling \( \omega \), we may assume that \( b_1 = 1 \). Since \( \psi \) is a group homomorphism, we have \( \psi - \psi(z_0) = \lambda^\ast \psi \). We then add the constant \( \psi(z_0) \) to both sides and apply \( \Phi^\ast \) to obtain
\[
f_{x_0}^2 = \Phi^\ast \psi = \psi(z_0) + \Phi^\ast \lambda^\ast \psi.
\]

Evaluate \( \Phi^\ast \lambda^\ast \psi \) by applying \( \Phi^\ast \lambda^* \) to (4.8) and substituting (4.24) into the right-hand side; the final result is
\[
f_{x_0}^2 = \begin{cases} 
\psi(z_0) + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{b_{n \ell}^\phi}{n} t^{n \phi} - a_p \frac{b_{n \ell}^\phi}{n} t^{n \phi} + p \frac{b_n}{n} t^n \right) & \text{if } A \text{ is not CL}, \\
\psi(z_0) + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{b_{n \ell}^\phi}{n} t^{n \phi} - a_p \frac{b_n}{n} t^n \right) & \text{if } A \text{ is CL}.
\end{cases}
\]
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An argument similar to the one in the proof of Lemma 4.18 shows that
\[ f_{x_0}^2 \in k[[t]][t']. \] (4.26)

### 4.7 δ-modular forms: modular-elliptic case

We recall some concepts from [Bui00, Bui05, Bar03]. The ring of δ-modular functions [Bui00] is
\[ M^r := R[a_4^{(\leq r)}, a_6^{(\leq r)}, \Delta^{-1}]^\wedge, \]
where \( a_4^{(\leq r)} \) is a tuple of variables \( (a_4, a_4', a_4'', \ldots, a_4^{(r)}) \), \( a_6^{(\leq r)} \) is similar, and \( \Delta := -2^6a_4^3 - 2^43^3a_6^2 \).

If \( g \in M^0 \setminus pM^0 \), define
\[ M^r_{\{g\}} := M^r[g^{-1}]^\wedge = R[a_4^{(\leq r)}, a_6^{(\leq r)}, \Delta^{-1}, g^{-1}]^\wedge. \]

An element of \( M^r \) or \( M^r_{\{g\}} \) is defined over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) if it belongs to the analogously defined ring with \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)
in place of \( R \). Define \( \delta : M^r \to M^{r+1} \) and \( \delta : M^r_{\{g\}} \to M^{r+1}_{\{g\}} \) in the same way as \( \delta : S^r_\infty \to S^{r+1}_\infty \) was defined in § 4.4. Let \( j := -2^{12}3^3a_3^4/\Delta \), let \( i := 2^43^3 - j \), and let \( t := a_6/a_4 \). (This \( t \) is unrelated to the \( t \) used in δ-Serre–Tate expansions.) By [Bui00, Proposition 3.10], we have
\[ M^r_{\{a_4a_6\}} = R[j^{(\leq n)}, j^{-1}, i^{-1}, t^{(\leq r)}, t^{-1}]^\wedge. \]

If \( w = \sum n_i\delta^i \in \mathbb{Z}[\delta] \), define \( \deg w = \sum n_i \). If, moreover, \( \lambda \in R \), then define \( \lambda^w := \prod (\lambda^{\delta^i})^{n_i} \).

For \( w \in \mathbb{Z}[\delta] \), we say that \( f \) in \( M^r \) or \( M^r_{\{g\}} \) is of weight \( w \) if
\[ f(\lambda^4a_4, \lambda^6a_6, \delta(\lambda^4a_4), \delta(\lambda^6a_6), \ldots) = \lambda^w f(a_4, a_6, a_4', a_6', \ldots) \] (4.27)
for all \( \lambda \in R \). Let \( M^r(w) \) be the set of \( f \in M^r \) of weight \( w \), and define \( M^r_{\{g\}}(w) \) similarly. In [Bui00], elements of \( M^r_{\{g\}}(w) \) were called δ-modular forms of weight \( w \) (holomorphic outside \( g = 0 \)).

If \( f \in M^r_{\{g\}}(w) \) and \( E \) is an elliptic curve given by \( y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B \) with \( A, B \in R \) and \( g(A, B) \in R^\times \), then define \( f(A, B) \in R \) by making the substitutions \( a_4 \mapsto A, a_6 \mapsto B, a_4' \mapsto \delta A, a_6' \mapsto \delta^2 A \) and so on. Recall from [Bui00] that \( f \) is called isogeny covariant if for any isogeny \( u \) of degree prime to \( p \) from an elliptic curve \( y^2 = x^3 + A_1x + B_1 \) with \( g(A, B) \in R^\times \) to an elliptic curve \( y^2 = x^3 + A_2x + B_2 \) with \( g(A_2, B_2) \in R^\times \) that pulls back \( dx/y \) to \( dx/y \), we have
\[ f(A_1, B_1) = \deg(u)^{-\deg(w)/2} f(A_2, B_2). \]

By [Bui00, Corollary 3.11], \( M^r_{\{a_4a_6\}}(0) = R[j^{(\leq r)}, j^{-1}, i^{-1}]^\wedge \). More generally, if \( m \in 2\mathbb{Z} \) and \( g \in M^0(m) \), define \( \bar{g} := gt^{-m/2} / \) then
\[ M^r_{\{a_4a_6\}}(0) = R[j^{(\leq r)}, j^{-1}, i^{-1}, \bar{g}^{-1}]^\wedge. \] (4.28)

Also define the open subscheme \( Y(1)^9 := \text{Spec } R[j, j^{-1}, i^{-1}, \bar{g}] \) of the modular curve \( Y(1)_R := \text{Spec } R[j] \). If we define
\[ b := a_6^2/a_4^3 = -2^3 3^{-3} + 2^8 j^{-1}, \] (4.29)
then \( R[j, j^{-1}, i^{-1}] = R[b, b^{-1}, (4 + 27b)^{-1}] \); so \( b \) is an étale coordinate on \( Y(1)^9 \), and \( Y_1(N)_R \to Y(1)_R \) is étale over \( Y(1)^9 \). Suppose that, in addition, we are given a modular-elliptic correspondence \( S \to X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A \). Then we may (and do) choose \( g \) so that the composition \( v : X_R \looparrowright X_1(N)_R \to X(1)_R \) is étale above \( Y(1)^9 \). Set
\[ X^\dagger := v^{-1}(Y(1)^9). \] (4.30)
The pull-back of $b$ to $X^\dagger$, which we will still call $b$, is an étale coordinate on $X^\dagger$. By (4.6), we have natural isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}(X^\dagger)[b, \ldots, b^{(r)}] \simeq \mathcal{O}^r(X^\dagger),$$

(4.31)

where $b, \ldots, b^{(r)}$ are new indeterminates. We view (4.31) as an identification. Similarly, since $j$ is an étale coordinate on $Y(1)$, (4.6) and (4.28) yield

$$M_{(a_4a_6g)}^r(0) \simeq \mathcal{O}^r(Y(1)^g) \subset \mathcal{O}^r(X^\dagger).$$

(4.32)

Since $X^\dagger$ is standard in the sense of Definition 3.16, we have the $\delta$-Fourier expansion map

$$\mathcal{O}^r(X^\dagger) \rightarrow S^r_{x\infty}.$$  

(4.33)

Composing (4.32) and (4.33) yields $\delta$-Fourier expansion maps

$$M_{(a_4a_6g)}^r(0) \rightarrow S^r_{x\infty}.$$  

(4.34)

Let $E_4(q)$ and $E_6(q)$ be the normalized Eisenstein series of weights 4 and 6, respectively, where ‘normalised’ means that the constant coefficient is equal to 1. We have natural ring homomorphisms

$$M^r \rightarrow S^r_{x\infty},$$  

(4.35)

g \mapsto g_{\infty} = g(q, q', \ldots, q^{(r)}),

also referred to as $\delta$-Fourier expansion maps [Bui00], that are characterized by the properties that they send $a_4$ and $a_6$ to $-2^{-4}3^{-1}E_4(q)$ and $2^{-5}3^{-3}E_6(q)$, respectively, and commute with $\delta$. There exists a unique $E_{p-1} \in M^0(p-1)$ such that $E_{p-1}(q)$ is the normalized Eisenstein series of weight $p-1$.

By [Bui00, (4.1) and (7.26)], there exists a unique $f^1 \in M^1(-1-\phi)$, defined over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, such that

$$f^1(q, q') = \frac{1}{p} \log \frac{q^\phi}{q^p} := \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} n^{-1} p^{-n-1} \left( \frac{q'}{q^p} \right)^n \in R((q))^*[q^p].$$  

(4.36)

As explained in [Bui00, pp. 126–129], $f^1$ is isogeny covariant and may be interpreted as a (characteristic zero) arithmetic Kodaira–Spencer class.

**Lemma 4.37.** Let $E$ be an elliptic curve given by $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ with $A, B \in R$. With notation as above, $f^1(A, B) = 0$ if and only if $E$ is CL.

**Proof.** See [Bui05, Proposition 7.15].

Define

$$t^{\frac{\phi}{2}} := t^{\frac{\phi}{2p}} \left( \frac{t^\phi}{tp} \right)^{1/2} = t^{\frac{\phi}{2p}} \left( 1 + \frac{\delta t}{tp} \right)^{1/2} = t^{\frac{\phi}{2}} \sum_{j \geq 0} \left( \frac{1}{j} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\delta t}{tp}^j;$$

(4.38)

this function is an element of $M_{(a_4a_6)}^1(1+\phi)$. Next, define

$$f^0 := f^1 \cdot t^{\frac{\phi}{2p}} \in M_{(a_4a_6)}^1(0) \subset M_{(a_4a_6g)}^1(0) \subset \mathcal{O}^1(X^\dagger).$$

(4.39)

The maps in (4.34) and (4.35) are compatible, so

$$f^0_{\infty} \in q^f R((q))^*[q^p] \subset q^f R((q))^*[q^p].$$

(4.40)

Finally, by the main theorem of [Hur01],

$$f^1 = cE_{p-1}\Delta^{-p}(2a_4^p a_6^p - 3a_6^p a_4^p) + f_0 + pf_1$$

(4.41)
for some \( c \in R^\times \), \( f_0 \in M^0(1-p) \) and \( f_1 \in M^1 \). On the other hand, (4.29) implies that
\[
b^\phi = (2b_6^a)'/b_3^a = (a_6^p + pa_6^b)^2/(a_4^b + pa_4^b)^3,\]
so a calculation using the definition in (4.9) yields
\[
\delta b = a_4^{-4p}a_6^b(2a_6^a' - 3a_6^b) + ph \tag{4.42}
\]
for some \( h \in M^1_{\{a_1a_6\}} \). Set \( a_0 := cE_{\p-1}\Delta^{-p}a_6^{4p}a_6^{-p} \). Combining (4.41) and (4.42) then yields
\[
f^\phi = f^1 \cdot t^{b+1}/p = a_0 + ph + f_0 + ph_1 \tag{4.43}
\]
for some \( h_1 \in M^1_{\{a_1a_6\}} \). Let \( \alpha = a_0(t(p+1)/2) \in M^0_{\{a_1a_6\}}(0) \). Then, by (4.43) and (4.5), respectively, we obtain, for \( n = 0 \) and \( n = 1 \),
\[
\delta^n f^\phi = \alpha^n + b_n + p\gamma_n \tag{4.44}
\]
for some \( b_n \in M^0_{\{a_1a_6\}}(0) \) and \( \gamma_n \in M^{n+1}_{\{a_1a_6\}}(0) \).

**Lemma 4.45.** Assume that the element \( q \in M^0(m) \) is in \( E_{\p-1}M^0 \). Then \( \overline{f^\phi} \) and \( \overline{\delta f^\phi} \) are algebraically independent over \( \mathcal{O}(\overline{X^\p}) \), and the natural maps
\[
\mathcal{O}(\overline{X^\p})[\overline{f^\phi}] \to \mathcal{O}^1(\overline{X^\p}) \otimes_R k, \tag{4.46}
\]
\[
\mathcal{O}(\overline{X^\p})[\overline{f^\phi}, \overline{\delta f^\phi}] \to \mathcal{O}^2(\overline{X^\p}) \otimes_R k, \tag{4.47}
\]
\[
\mathcal{O}(\overline{X^\p})^\wedge \to \mathcal{O}^2(\overline{X^\p})/(f^\phi, \delta f^\phi) \tag{4.48}
\]
are isomorphisms.

**Proof.** By (4.36), (4.38) and (4.39), we have
\[
\overline{f^\phi} = t^{b+1}/q^i, \tag{4.49}
\]
which involves \( q' \), so the algebraic independence follows. Reducing (4.31) mod \( p \) gives isomorphisms like (4.46) and (4.47) but with \( \overline{f^\phi} \) and \( \overline{\delta f^\phi} \) on the left in place of \( f^\phi \) and \( \delta f^\phi \). To change variables, observe that since \( g \in E_{\p-1}M^0 \), the element \( \alpha \) is invertible in \( \mathcal{O}(\overline{X^\p}) \); thus (4.44) implies \( \mathcal{O}(\overline{X^\p})[\overline{f^\phi}] \simeq \mathcal{O}(\overline{X^\p})[\overline{f^\phi}] \) and \( \mathcal{O}(\overline{X^\p})[\overline{f^\phi}, \overline{\delta f^\phi}] \simeq \mathcal{O}(\overline{X^\p})[\overline{f^\phi}, \overline{\delta f^\phi}] \). This proves (4.46) and (4.47).

Now (4.47) implies that (4.48) induces an isomorphism mod \( p \). Since both sides of (4.48) are \( p \)-adically complete and separated rings, (4.48) is surjective. The \( \delta \)-Fourier expansion map \( \mathcal{O}^2(\overline{X^\p}) \to \overline{R((q))} \) followed by the evaluation map mapping \( q' \) and \( q'' \) to 0 induces a map \( \mathcal{O}^2(\overline{X^\p})/(f^\phi, \delta f^\phi) \to R((q)) \), by (4.40). The composition of (4.48) with this map is simply the Fourier expansion map, since elements of \( \mathcal{O}(\overline{X^\p})^\wedge \) have Fourier expansions in \( R((q)) \). So the Fourier expansion principle implies that (4.48) is injective.

**Corollary 4.50.** The series \( \overline{f^{(0)}(q)} \) and \( \overline{f^{(0)}_{\{a_1\}}(q)} \) are Fourier expansions of weight-2 quotients of modular forms.

**Proof.** We have \( \overline{f^{(0)}(q)} = (\theta^{p-1}f)/E_{p-1}^{p+1} \), which is the Fourier expansion of a weight-2 quotient. We handle the second series in an indirect way, using \( f^2 \). Although \( f^2 \in \mathcal{O}^2(\overline{X^\p}) \), we have \( \overline{f^2} \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{X^\p}) \otimes_R k \) by (4.23). So (4.46) identifies \( \overline{f^2} \) with a polynomial in \( \mathcal{O}(\overline{X^\p})[\overline{f}] \subset L[\overline{f}] \), where \( L := k(\overline{X_1(N)}) \). We can find this polynomial explicitly from the \( \delta \)-Fourier expansion.
since elements of $L$ have expansions in $k((q))$ while $\overline{f}_\infty$ involves $q'$; see (4.49). By Lemma 4.20 and (4.49),

$$\overline{f}_\infty^2 = \begin{cases} f(1)(q) + t_{\infty}^{-1} \left( f(0)(q) \right) \overline{f}_{\infty}^2 - \overline{a}_p t_{\infty}^{-1} \left( f(0)(q) \right) \overline{f}_\infty^2 & \text{if } A \text{ is not CL,} \\ -\overline{a}_p \left( f(0)(q) \right)^p + f(0)(q) & \text{if } A \text{ is CL.} \end{cases}$$

In either case, taking the coefficient of $\overline{f}_\infty$ shows that $\overline{a}_p t_{\infty}^{-1} f(0)(q)^p$ is the Fourier expansion of an element of $L$. Since $t$ is a weight-2 quotient, $\overline{a}_p \left( f(0)(q) \right)^p$ is the Fourier expansion of a weight-$(p + 1)$ quotient and hence (upon dividing by $E_{p-1}$) also of a weight-2 quotient. By (3.31),

$$-\overline{a}_p \left( f(0)(q) \right)^p + f(0)(q) = f(0)(q).$$

Now, $f(0)(q)$ is the Fourier expansion of a weight-2 quotient since the other terms are. $\square$

Remark 4.51. The proof that $f(0)(q)$ is a Fourier expansion of a quotient of modular forms made use of the theory of $\delta$-modular forms; we know of no direct proof.

Recall the Igusa curve $I_1(N)$ and its quotient $J$ defined in §3.8.

Lemma 4.52. The Fourier series of any modular form $f$ on $X_1(N)$ over $k$ is also the Fourier series of a rational function $g \in k(I_1(N))$. If the weight of $f$ is even, then we may take $g \in k(J)$.

Proof. By [Gro90, Proposition 2.2], there is a line bundle $\omega$ on $X_1(N)$ such that for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the global sections of $\omega^i$ are the modular forms of weight $i$. We denote also by $\omega$ the pull-back of $\omega$ to $I_1(N)$ or $J$. By [Gro90, p. 461], the sections of $\omega$ on $I_1(N)$ or $J$ have naturally defined Fourier expansions, compatible with the Fourier expansions of modular forms on $X_1(N)$. There is a section $a$ of $\omega$ on $I_1(N)$ whose Fourier expansion is 1; see [Gro90, Proposition 5.2]. Given a modular form $f$ of weight $i$ on $X_1(N)$, let $g := f/a^i \in k(I_1(N))$.

The action of $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ on $I_1(N)$ lifts to an action of $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ on $\omega$, and $-1 \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ sends $a$ to $-a$ (see [Gro90, Proposition 5.2(5)]); so if $i$ is even, $f/a^i \in k(J)$. $\square$

Recall the definition of $M^r_1(\phi)(w)$ from the end of the first paragraph of §4.7. By [Bar03, Construction 3.2 and Theorem 5.1], there exist unique $\delta$-modular forms $f^\phi \in M_1^r(\phi - 1)$ and $f_\theta \in M_1^r(E_{p-1})(1 - \phi)$, defined over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, with $\delta$-Fourier expansions identically equal to 1. Moreover, these forms are isogeny covariant and $f^\phi \cdot f_\theta = 1$. Furthermore, the reduction $\overline{f^\phi} \in M_1^r \otimes k$ equals the image of $E_{p-1} \in M_{p-1}^r$ in $M_1^r \otimes k$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, define

$$f_\lambda := (f^1_1)^\phi - \lambda f^1_1 \in M^r_1(E_{p-1})(-\phi - \phi^2).$$

(4.53)

Since $f_1$ and $f^\phi$ are isogeny covariant, so is $f_\lambda$. Furthermore, consider the series

$$t^{\phi^2 + \phi} := t^{\phi^2 + \phi} \left( \frac{t^{\phi^2}}{t^{\phi^2}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{t^{\phi^2}}{t^{\phi^2}} \right)^{1/2} \in M_1^r(\phi + \phi^2)$$

and define

$$f_\lambda^2 := f_\lambda \cdot t^{\phi^2 + \phi} \in M_1^r(\phi + \phi^2).$$

(4.54)

The main reason for considering these forms comes from the following lemma.
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Lemma 4.55. Let $E_1$ be an elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 + A_1x + B_1$ over $R$ with ordinary reduction. Then:

(i) there exists $\lambda \in R^\times$ such that $f_\lambda(A_1, B_1) = 0$;
(ii) if $\lambda$ is as in (i) and there is an isogeny of degree prime to $p$ between $E_1$ and an elliptic curve $E_2$ over $R$ given by $y^2 = x^3 + A_2x + B_2$, then $f_\lambda(A_2, B_2) = 0$;
(iii) if, in addition, $A_2B_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $f_\lambda^2(A_2, B_2) = (\delta f_\lambda^2)(A_2, B_2) = \cdots = 0$.

Proof.

(i) If $f^1(A_1, B_1) = 0$, any $\lambda \in R^\times$ will do. If $f^1(A_1, B_1) \neq 0$, set

$$\lambda := \frac{f^1(A_1, B_1)}{f^1(A_1, B_1)^{\phi}} f^0(A_1, B_1)^{\phi + 1};$$

the numerator and denominator of the first factor have the same $p$-adic valuation and $f^0(A_1, B_1) = \bar{E}_{p-1}(\bar{A}, \bar{B}) \neq 0$, so $\lambda \in R^\times$.

(ii) Scaling $A_2$ and $B_2$ by suitable elements of $R^\times$, we may assume that the isogeny pulls back $dx/y$ to $dx/y$. Now use the isogeny covariance of $f_\lambda$.

(iii) By (4.54), $f_\lambda^2(A_2, B_2) = 0$. Now use $\delta 0 = 0$. \hfill \Box

Set $\sigma := q'/q^p$. Then (4.36), (4.53) and (4.54) yield

$$f^\infty_\sigma = \sigma, \quad f_{\lambda, \infty} = \sigma^p - \lambda \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad f^\infty_{\lambda, \infty} = t^p \sigma (\sigma^p - \lambda \sigma).$$

(4.56)

In what follows, we assume that $X^\dagger = U \setminus \{x_\infty\}$, where $U$ has an étale coordinate $\tau \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ such that $\{x_\infty\}$ is scheme-theoretically given by $\tau$; we can arrange this by shrinking $X^\dagger$. Then $R[[q]] = R[[\tau]]$, so

$$R((\tau)^[-]\tau', \ldots, \tau^{(r)}] = R((q)^[-]q', \ldots, q^{(r)}].$$

Also, $\mathcal{O}^r(X^\dagger) = \mathcal{O}(X^\dagger)^{-} [\tau', \ldots, \tau^{(r)}]$. Since

$$f^\infty_\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{X}^\dagger)[\tau', \tau^{(r)}] \cap k((\tau))[\tau'] = \mathcal{O}(\bar{X}^\dagger)[\tau'] = \mathcal{O}^1(X^\dagger) \otimes_R k,$$

we may define a quotient ring

$$\mathcal{A}^\dagger := (\mathcal{O}^1(X^\dagger) \otimes_R k)/f^{\infty}_\lambda$$

(4.58)

and a scheme $\bar{X}^\dagger := \text{Spec } \mathcal{A}^\dagger$. We will view $\mathcal{A}^\dagger$ as an algebra over $\mathcal{A}^\dagger := \mathcal{O}(X^\dagger) \otimes k = \mathcal{O}(\bar{X}^\dagger)$.

Lemma 4.59. The $k((q))$-algebra $\mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^\dagger} k((q))$ is a product of $p$ copies of $k((q))$.

Proof. We have

$$\mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^\dagger} k((q)) = (\mathcal{O}(\bar{X}^\dagger)[\tau']/f^{\infty}_{\lambda}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^\dagger} k((q)) = k((\tau))[\tau']/(f^{\infty}_{\lambda}) = k((q))[\sigma]/(\sigma^p - \lambda \sigma) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^p k((q)).$$
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since $\sigma^p - \tilde{\lambda}\sigma = \prod_{i=1}^p (\sigma - \lambda_i)$ for some $\lambda_i \in k$. Explicitly, the isomorphism in the last line is given by

$$q' \mapsto (\lambda_1 q^p, \ldots, \lambda_p q^p). \quad (4.60)$$

**Lemma 4.61.** One can choose $X^{\dagger}$ so that $\tilde{X}^{\dagger} \to \tilde{X}^{\dagger}$ is a finite étale cover of degree $p$.

**Proof.** By definition, $\tilde{X}^{\dagger} \to \tilde{X}^{\dagger}$ is of finite type. Lemma 4.59 shows that it is étale of degree $p$ above the generic point of $\tilde{X}^{\dagger}$. Therefore $\tilde{X}^{\dagger} \to \tilde{X}^{\dagger}$ is finite étale of degree $p$ over some open neighborhood of the generic point. $\square$

In the case where our correspondence arises from a modular parametrization, one has the following variant of Lemma 4.59.

**Lemma 4.62.** Assume that $S \leftarrow \prod X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A$ arises from a modular parametrization and let $L = k(X_1(N))$. Then

$$\mathcal{A}^{\dagger} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\dagger} \cong L \times \mathcal{A}^{\dagger} \times \mathcal{A}^{-},$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}^{\pm} := \mathcal{L}[y]/(y^{(p-1)/2} - \tilde{E}_{p-1}t^{(p-1)/2}).$$

**Proof.** By (4.46), we have $\mathcal{A}^{\dagger} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\dagger} \cong L[\mathcal{F}]/(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\chi})$. On the other hand,

$$\overline{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\chi}} = t^\frac{p-1}{2} [(\mathcal{F}^{\chi})^p - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\chi}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\chi})-p-1]$$

$$= (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\chi})^p - \lambda t^{p-1} \tilde{E}_{p-1}^{-1} \tilde{F}^{\chi}$$

$$= \tilde{F}^{\chi}(\tilde{F}^{\chi})^{(p-1)/2} + \sqrt{\tilde{X}^{\chi}}(\tilde{F}^{\chi})^{(p-1)/2} \tilde{E}_{p-1}^{-1}(t^{(p-1)/2} / \tilde{E}_{p-1})^{(p-1)/2}$$


so the result follows. $\square$

**4.8 $\delta$-modular forms: Shimura-elliptic case**

We continue using the notation and assumptions of §§ 4.5 and 4.6. Assume that the $U$ in (4.11) is small enough that the line bundle of fake 1-forms on $U$ is trivial. (See [Bui05, p. 230] for the definition of this line bundle; there it is called the ‘line bundle of fake 1-forms’.) Let $q := 1 + t \in R[[t]]$ and write $q' = \delta(1 + t)$, $q'' = \delta^2(1 + t)$ and so on. Define

$$\Psi = \Psi(t, t') := \frac{1}{p} \log \frac{q^0}{q^p} = \frac{q'}{q^p} = \frac{p}{2} \left( \frac{q'}{q^p} \right)^2 + \cdots \in R[[t]][t']^\cdot.$$

**Lemma 4.63.** There exists $f^\circ \in \mathcal{O}^1(U)$ such that

$$f^\circ_{\mathcal{O}^1} = u(t)^{\delta+1} \cdot \Psi(t, t') \in q' R[[t]][t']^\cdot \quad (4.64)$$

for some $u(t) \in R[[t]]^\times$ and

$$f^\circ(P) = 0 \quad \text{for all } P \in \Pi^{-1}(\text{CL}) \cap U(R). \quad (4.65)$$

**Proof.** Use [Bui05, (8.116), (8.82) and Proposition 8.61]. (In the notation of [Bui05], one takes $f^\circ$ to be the value of the ‘$\delta$-modular form’ $f^{\dagger}_{\text{crys}}$ at the pull-back to $U$ of the universal fake elliptic
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curve equipped with some invertible fake 1-form; again, \( f^1_{\text{crys}} \) should be viewed as an arithmetic Kodaira–Spencer class.)

**Lemma 4.66.** There exists a neighborhood \( X^\dagger \subset U \) of the section \( x_0 \) such that \( \bar{f}^0 \) and \( \delta \bar{f}^0 \) are algebraically independent over \( \mathcal{O}(\bar{X}^\dagger) \) and the natural maps

\[
\mathcal{O}(\bar{X}^\dagger)[\bar{f}] \to \mathcal{O}^1(\bar{X}^\dagger) \otimes_R k,
\]

\[
\mathcal{O}(\bar{X}^\dagger)[\bar{f}, \delta \bar{f}] \to \mathcal{O}^2(\bar{X}^\dagger) \otimes_R k,
\]

\[
\mathcal{O}(\bar{X}^\dagger) \to \mathcal{O}^2(\bar{X}^\dagger)/(\bar{f}, \delta \bar{f})
\]

are isomorphisms.

**Proof.** By (4.64),

\[
\bar{f}^0_{x_0} = \frac{\bar{u}(t)^{p+1}}{(1 + t)^p t'} + S_0 \in k[[t]][t']
\]

for some \( S_0 \in k[[t]] \). Using (4.5), one obtains

\[
\delta \bar{f}^0_{x_0} = \frac{\bar{u}(t)^{p^2+p}}{(1 + t)^{p^2} t''} + S_1 \in k[[t]][t', t'']
\]

for some \( S_1 \in k[[t]][t'] \). We may assume that there is an étale coordinate \( \tau \) on \( U \) such that \( x_0 \) is given scheme-theoretically by \( \tau = 0 \). Then \( R(t) = R[[\tau]] \) (and \( R[[t]][t'], t'' \) is \( R[[\tau]][\tau', \tau''] \)), so \( t = S(\tau) := \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n \tau^n \) for some \( c_n \in R \) with \( c_1 \in R^\times \). One can easily see that

\[
t' = \frac{1}{p} \left[ \sum c_n^p (\tau^p + p \tau^p) - \left( \sum c_n \tau^n \right)^p \right] = (\partial S/\partial \tau)^p \tau' + B_0 + pB_1
\]

for some \( B_0 \in R[[\tau]] \) and \( B_1 \in R[[\tau]][\tau'] \). Using (4.5), we obtain

\[
t'' = (\partial S/\partial \tau)^{p^2} \tau'' + B_1^* + pB_2
\]

for some \( B_1^* \in R[[\tau]][\tau'] \) and \( B_2 \in R[[\tau]][\tau', \tau''] \). Combining this with (4.70) and (4.71) and setting

\[
\bar{v}(\tau) := \frac{\bar{u}(S(\tau))^{p+1}(\partial \bar{S}/\partial \tau)^p}{(S(\tau) + 1)^p} \in k[[\tau]],
\]

we obtain

\[
\bar{f}^0_{x_0} = \bar{v}(\tau) \tau' + C_0(\tau) \in k[[\tau]][\tau'],
\]

\[
\delta \bar{f}^0_{x_0} = \bar{v}(\tau)p \tau'' + C_1(\tau, \tau') \in k[[\tau]][\tau', \tau'']],
\]

where \( C_0(\tau) \in k[[\tau]] \) and \( C_1(\tau, \tau') \in k[[\tau]][\tau'] \). On the other hand, by (4.6) we have \( \bar{f}^0 \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{U})[[\tau']] \) and \( \delta \bar{f}^0 \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{U})[[\tau', \tau'']] \). Thus \( \bar{v}(\tau), C_0(\tau) \) and \( C_1(\tau, \tau') \) are images of elements \( \bar{v} \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{U}), C_0 \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{U}) \) and \( C_1 \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{U})[[\tau']] \), respectively, such that

\[
\bar{f}^0 = \bar{v} \tau' + C_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta \bar{f}^0 = \bar{v}^p \tau'' + C_1.
\]

Lift \( \bar{v} \) to \( v \in \mathcal{O}(U) \). Let \( X^\dagger \) be the complement in \( U \) of the closed subscheme defined by \( v \). Since \( \bar{v}(\tau) \) has a nonzero constant term, \( \bar{v} \) does not vanish at \( x_0 \), so \( X^\dagger \) contains the section \( x_0 \). The proof now follows the proof of Lemma 4.45, with (4.73) in place of (4.44).

**Remark 4.74.** By using [Bui05, pp. 268–269], for \( \bar{U} \) contained in the ordinary locus one can construct forms \( f_\lambda^1 \in \mathcal{O}^2(\bar{U}) \) analogous to the ones in (4.54). (In the notation of [Bui05], one...
takes $f^\flat_\lambda$ to be the Shimura analogues of the forms in (4.53) evaluated at a basis of the module of fake 1-forms on $U$.) The analogues of Lemmas 4.55, 4.59 and 4.61 still hold with Fourier expansions replaced by Serre–Tate expansions. The corresponding statements and their proofs are analogous to the ones in the modular-elliptic case.

4.9 Proofs of the local results

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Assume that we are given either a modular-elliptic or a Shimura-elliptic correspondence $S \overset{\Phi}{\to} X \overset{\varphi}{\to} A$. Assume that $p$ is sufficiently large and that $p$ splits completely in $F_0$. In the Shimura-elliptic case, we also assume that the places $v|p$ are not anomalous for $A$. In the modular-elliptic case, choose $g$ as in Lemma 4.45 and define $X^\dagger$ as in (4.30). In the Shimura-elliptic case, choose $X^\dagger$ as in Lemma 4.66. By Lemma 4.45 or 4.66, there exists $\Phi^\dagger \in \mathcal{O}(X^\dagger)$ such that

$$f^\dagger - \Phi^\dagger = h_0 f^\flat + h_1 \delta f^\flat$$

(4.75)

for some $h_j \in \mathcal{O}^2(X^\dagger)$. Suppose that $P_1, \ldots, P_n \in \Pi^{-1}(\text{CL}) \cap X^\dagger(R)$ and $m_1, \ldots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 4.37 or 4.63, we have $f^\flat(P_1) = 0$, so $\delta f^\flat(P_1) = 0$. Thus

$$f^\dagger(P_1) = \Phi^\dagger(P_1).$$

(4.76)

Now (4.76) implies that

$$\sum m_i \Phi^\dagger(P_1) = \sum m_i f^\dagger(P_1) = \sum m_i \psi(\Phi(P_1)) = \psi\left(\sum m_i \Phi(P_1)\right).$$

(4.77)

Equation (4.77) and Lemma 4.7(iv) imply the second of the two equivalences in Theorem 3.5.

We now prove the first equivalence in Theorem 3.5. Let $Q := \sum m_i \Phi(P_i)$. If $Q \in A(R)_{\text{tors}}$, then $\psi(Q) = 0$ and (4.77) implies that $\sum m_i \Phi^\dagger(P_i) = 0$. Conversely, suppose that $\sum m_i \Phi^\dagger(P_i) = 0$; then $Q \in \ker \psi$. Since $\text{CL} \subseteq S(\mathbb{Q})$, we have $P_i \in X(\mathbb{Q}) \cap X(R)$, so $Q \in A(\mathbb{Q}) \cap A(R) \subset A(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\omega})$. Therefore Lemma 4.7(v) implies that $Q \in A(R)_{\text{tors}}$.

To complete our proof, we need to check that $\Phi^\dagger \notin k$.

Assume first that we are in the modular-elliptic case. By (4.40),

$$\delta f^\flat_\infty \in (q', q'') R((q))[q', q''].$$

(4.78)

Upon taking $\delta$-Fourier expansions in (4.75), taking $\sharp$ (i.e., setting $q' = q'' = 0$), and using (4.40) and (4.78), we obtain

$$\Phi^\dagger_{x_{\infty}} = (f^\flat_\infty)_0.$$  

(4.79)

Let $e$ be the ramification index of $\Phi: X \to A$ at $x_{\infty}$. Then the $b_e \in F_0$ of §4.6.1 is nonzero. We may assume that $p$ is large enough that $e, b_e \neq 0 \pmod{p}$. By (4.79) and (4.15), the coefficient of $q^e$ in $\Phi^\dagger_{x_{\infty}}$ is $b_e/e$ or $-u(b_e/e)$, where $u \neq 0 \pmod{p}$; in either case, this coefficient is nonzero mod $p$. Thus $\Phi^\dagger_{x_{\infty}} \notin k$. Hence $\Phi^\dagger \notin k$.

Finally, assume that we are in the Shimura-elliptic case. By (4.64),

$$\delta f^\flat_0 \in (q', q'') R[[t]][t', t''].$$

(4.80)

By (4.5),

$$q' = t' - G_1(t) \quad \text{and} \quad q'' = t'' - G_2(t, t')$$

(4.81)

for some $G_1(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ and $G_2(t, t') \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t']$. Denote by $G \mapsto G_1$ the substitution homomorphism $R[[t]][t', t''] \to R[[t]]$. 
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sending $t'$ to $G_1(t)$ and $t''$ to $G_2(t, t')$. Then $(q'\tilde{z}) = (q''\tilde{z}) = 0$, so (4.64) and (4.80) imply that $(\delta f_{x_0})\tilde{z} = 0$. Upon taking $\delta$-Serre–Tate expansions in (4.75), taking $\tilde{z}$, and substituting (4.25), we obtain

\[
\Phi^\dagger_{x_0} = \begin{cases} 
\psi(z_0) + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( b_n^\phi ((t^\phi)\tilde{z})^n - a_p \frac{b_n^\phi}{n} ((t^\phi)\tilde{z})^n + \frac{b_n}{n} t^n \right) & \text{if } A \text{ is not CL,} \\
\psi(z_0) + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( b_n^\phi ((t^\phi)\tilde{z})^n - u p \frac{b_n}{n} t^n \right) & \text{if } A \text{ is CL.}
\end{cases}
\]

Substituting the two formulas

\[
(t^\phi)\tilde{z} = (q^\phi - 1)\tilde{z} = (q^p + pq' - 1)\tilde{z} = q^p - 1 = (1 + t)^p - 1 = pt + \cdots + t^p \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
(t^\phi)\tilde{z} = (q^\phi - 1)\tilde{z} = ((q^p + pq')^p + p((q^p)^p + pq'')) - 1)\tilde{z} = q^{p^2} - 1 = (1 + t^{p^2} - 1 = p^2t + \cdots + t^{p^2},
\]

and recalling from §4.6.2 that $b_1 = 1$, we deduce that the coefficient of $t$ in $\Phi^\dagger_{x_0}$ is $1 - a_p + p$ if $A_R$ is not CL, and $1 - u$ if $A_R$ is CL. This coefficient is nonzero mod $p$, since our non-anomalous assumption implies $a_p \neq 1$ (mod $p$) and we have $\hat{u}a_p = 1$ in the CL case. So $\Phi^\dagger_{x_0} \not\equiv R + pR[\lceil t \rceil]$. Hence $\Phi^\dagger \not\equiv k$. □

**Proof of Theorem 3.17.** Assume, in the proof of Theorem 3.5, that our modular-elliptic correspondence $S \xrightarrow{\Pi} X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A$ is such that $S = X = X_1(N)$, $\Pi = \text{Id}$, and $\Phi$ is a modular parametrization attached to a newform $f$. We may choose $g := E_{p-1}$ in §4.7; then $X^\dagger = \overline{Y_1(N)^{\text{ord}}} \setminus \{ x | j(x) = 0, 1728 \}$. Now (4.19) and (4.79) give the formula for $\Phi^\dagger_{x_0}$. □

The following lemma was needed to prove Theorem 3.24; the notation is as in Theorem 3.24.

**Lemma 4.83.** Suppose that $\overline{\Theta} \in \mathcal{F}$. Assume that for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and every prime $l \neq p$, we have

\[
\sum_i \Theta(P_i^{(l)}) - a_l \overline{\Theta}(\bar{P}) = 0.
\]

Then $\overline{\Theta} = \lambda f^{(-1)}$ for some $\lambda \in k$.

**Proof.** Since $\overline{\Theta}$ is regular on $\overline{X_1(N)^{\text{ord}}}$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $\overline{G} := E_{p-1}^m \overline{\Theta}$ is a modular form over $k$ on $\Gamma_1(N)$. Let us view modular forms as functions on the set of triples $(E, \alpha, \omega)$ where $E$ is an elliptic curve over $k$, $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow E(k)$ is an injective homomorphism, and $\omega$ is a nonzero 1-form on $E$. Given $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and a prime $l \neq p$, choose $(E, \alpha, \omega)$ such that $(E, \alpha)$ represents $P$, and choose $(E_i, \alpha_i, \omega_i)$ such that $(E_i, \alpha_i)$ represents $P_i^{(l)}$ and $\omega_i$ pulls back to $\omega$ under the $l$-isogeny $E \rightarrow E_i$. Then $E_{p-1}^{(E_i, \alpha_i, \omega_i)} = E_{p-1}^{(E, \alpha, \omega)}$ by [Bui05, p. 269], for instance. Multiplying (4.84) by this yields

\[
\sum_i \overline{G}(E_i, \alpha_i, \omega_i) = a_l \overline{G}(E, \alpha, \omega).
\]

By [Gro90, p. 452] or [Kat73, p. 90], the left-hand side equals $(lT(l)\overline{G})(E, \alpha, \omega)$. Since $\mathcal{P}$ is infinite, it follows that $lT(l)\overline{G} = a_l \overline{G}$ for all $l \neq p$. On the other hand, $\overline{G}(q) = \overline{\Theta}(q)$ and $U\overline{\Theta} = 0$, so $U\overline{G} = 0$. Furthermore, $\overline{G}$ is invariant under the diamond operators. Thus $\overline{G}$ is a Hecke eigenform with the same eigenvalues as $\theta^{p-2}f$; therefore, by [Gro90, p. 453], we have $\overline{G}(q) = \lambda \cdot (\theta^{p-2}f)(q)$ for some $\lambda \in k$. Thus $\overline{\Theta}(q) = \lambda f^{(-1)}(q)$, so $\overline{\Theta} = \lambda f^{(-1)}$. □
Proof of Theorem 3.25. Assume that we have a modular-elliptic correspondence. Pick \( Q \in C \) represented by \((E_Q, \alpha_Q)\) where \( E_Q \) is given by \( y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B \). By Lemma 4.55(i), there exists \( \lambda \in R^\times \) such that \( f_\lambda(A, B) = 0 \). Let \( X^\dagger \subset X \) satisfy the conclusions of Lemmas 4.45 and 4.61. Viewing \( f_\lambda^p \) and \( f^2 \) as elements of \( \mathcal{O}^2(X^\dagger) \), we have \( f_\lambda^p, f^2 \in \mathcal{O}^1(X^\dagger) \otimes_R k \) by (4.57) and (4.23), respectively. Let \( \overline{F}^\dagger \) be the image of \( f^2 \) in the ring \( \mathcal{A}^\dagger = \mathcal{O}(X^\dagger) \) of (4.58).

Claim. \( \overline{F}^\dagger \) is non-constant on each irreducible component of \( \bar{X}^\dagger \).

If not, there would be a minimal prime \( P \) of \( \mathcal{A}^\dagger \) such that the image of \( \overline{F}^\dagger \) in \( \mathcal{A}^\dagger/\mathcal{P} \), and hence in \( (\mathcal{A}^\dagger/\mathcal{P}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^\dagger} k((q)) \), is in \( k \). By Lemma 4.59, \( (\mathcal{A}^\dagger/\mathcal{P}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^\dagger} k((q)) \) would be a nonzero product of copies of \( k((q)) \). By (4.60), the element

\[
\overline{f}^2_{x,\infty} \in k[[q]][q] \subset k((q))[q]
\]

is sent into an element of \( k \) by at least one of the \( k((q)) \)-algebra homomorphisms

\[
k((q))[q] \to k((q)),
\]

denoted by \( s \mapsto s \ast \) and defined by \( (q') \ast := \lambda_i q^p \). Since \( q = q^M \), we have

\[
q' = \delta(q^M) = \left(\frac{q^p + pq'}{p}\right)^M - q^{pM} := Mq^{p(M-1)}q' \pmod{p},
\]

so \( q' \equiv M^{-1}q^{-p(M-1)}q' \pmod{p} \). Thus

\[
(q') \ast = M^{-1}q^{-p(M-1)}\lambda_i q^p = M^{-1}\lambda_i q^p \in q^p k[[q]].
\]

Hence

\[
(\overline{f}^2_{x,\infty}) \ast \in (\overline{f}^2_{x,\infty})^2 + q^p k[[q]],
\]

where we recall that \( \bar{\ast} \) means setting \( q' = 0 \). Let \( e \) be the ramification index of \( \Phi \) at \( x,\infty \). Exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3.5, since \( p \gg 0 \), the coefficient of \( q^e \) in \( (\overline{f}^2_{x,\infty})^2 \) must be nonzero. It follows that \( (\overline{f}^2_{x,\infty}) \ast \) is not in \( k \), which is a contradiction. This ends the proof of our claim.

Now consider the set \( \mathcal{C} := \Pi^{-1}(C) \cap X^\dagger(R) \) and let \( P_1 \in \mathcal{C}, \ P_1 := \Pi(P_1) \). Let \( E_{Q_1} \) be given by \( y^2 = x^3 + A_1x + B_1 \). By the choice of \( X^\dagger \), we have \( A_1B_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \). By Lemma 4.55, \( f_\lambda^p(P_1) = 0 \). Therefore the homomorphism \( \mathcal{O}^1(X^\dagger) \to R \) sending a function to its value at \( P_1 \) induces a homomorphism \( \mathcal{A}^\dagger \to k \), which may be viewed as a point \( \sigma(P_1) \in \bar{X}^\dagger(k) \) mapping to \( P_1 \in \bar{X}^\dagger(k) \). This defines \( \sigma: \mathcal{C} \to \bar{X}^\dagger(k) \). By definition of \( \sigma(P_1) \) and \( \Phi^\dagger \), \( \overline{F}^\dagger(P_1) = \overline{F}^\dagger(\sigma(P_1)) \).

Now, for \( P_1, \ldots, P_n \in \mathcal{C} \),

\[
\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Phi^\dagger(\sigma(P_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i f^\dagger(P_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \psi(\Phi(P_i)) = \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Phi(P_i)\right),
\]

so the desired equivalence follows from Lemma 4.7(iv).

The case of Shimura-elliptic correspondences is entirely similar, given Remark 4.74. We skip the details but point out one slight difference in the computations. The proof of the analogue of
the claim above uses a $k[[t]]$-algebra homomorphism
\[ k[[t]][t'] \to k[[t]], \]
denoted by $s \mapsto s_*$ and defined by requiring $(q')_* = \lambda t q^t$, where $q = 1 + t$ and $q' = \delta(1 + t)$. One must then check that for $f_{\infty}^2$ as in (4.25), the coefficient of $t$ in $(f_{\infty}^2)_*$ is nonzero mod $p$. This coefficient can be computed explicitly, and, unlike in the modular-elliptic case, its expression has contributions from all the terms with $n \geq 1$. Nevertheless, all the contributions from terms with $n \geq 2$ turn out to be $0$ mod $p$, and the coefficient in question turns out to be congruent mod $p$ to either $1 - a_p$ or $1 - u$, and hence is nonzero mod $p$. \hfill \Box

The following will be used to prove Theorem 1.6.

**Lemma 4.86.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.6 there is a constant $\gamma$, depending only on $N$, such that all the fibers of the reduction-mod-$p$ map $C \to \overline{C}$ are finite of cardinality at most $\gamma$.

**Proof.** Assume that we are in the modular-elliptic case; the Shimura-elliptic case follows by the same argument. Suppose that $Q_1, Q_2 \in C$ are such that $\overline{Q}_1 = \overline{Q}_2 \in S(k)$. Let $Q_i$ be represented by $(E_i, \alpha_i)$, so there is an isogeny $u: E_1 \to E_2$ of degree $\prod l_j^{e_j}$, where the $l_j$ are inert in $K_Q$.

We claim that $E_1 \simeq E_2$. Since $\overline{E}_1 \simeq \overline{E}_2$, we may view $\overline{u}$ as an element of $\text{End} \overline{E}_1$, which may be identified with a subring of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$ of $K_Q$. The norm of this element equals $\deg \overline{u} = \deg u$, but the only elements of $\mathcal{O}$ whose norm is a product of inert primes are those in $\mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}_x$. Hence $u$ factors as $E_1 \overset{n}{\to} E_1 \overset{\epsilon}{\to} E_2$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\epsilon$ of degree 1. In particular, $E_1 \simeq E_2$.

By the claim, Lemma 4.86 holds with $\gamma$ equal to the number of possible $\Gamma_1(N)$-structures on an elliptic curve. \hfill \Box

**Proof of Theorem 1.6.** By Lemma 4.86, the map
\[ \Phi(\Pi^{-1}(C)) \cap \Gamma \to \overline{\Phi(\Pi^{-1}(C))} \cap \Gamma \]
has finite fibers of cardinality bounded by a constant that is independent of $\Gamma$. On the other hand, by Corollary 3.29, the target of this map has cardinality at most $cp^\gamma$ for some $c$ independent of $\Gamma$. \hfill \Box

**Proof of Theorem 3.32.** Assume, in the proof of Theorem 3.25, that we have a modular-elliptic correspondence arising from a modular parametrization attached to $f$. Part (1) follows from Lemma 4.62. Part (2) follows upon comparing Fourier expansions of the two sides: apply the substitution maps as in (4.85) to $f_{\infty}^2$ given in (4.14) to obtain the $p$ different series
\[ \overline{\Phi_{\infty}^i} = (f_{\infty}^2)_* = \overline{\Phi^i}(q) + \lambda_i \overline{\Phi^i}(q) \in k((q)), \]
where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p \in k$ are the zeros of $x^p - \lambda x$ as in the proof of Lemma 4.59. \hfill \Box
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Appendix A. Non-existence of reciprocity in the global case

Let \( S \leftarrow \Pi X \xrightarrow{\Phi} A \) be a modular-elliptic or Shimura-elliptic correspondence, and let \( X^\dagger \subset X \) be a dense open subscheme. Ideally, we would like a description of the group of divisors \( \sum m_i P_i \) on \( X^\dagger \) supported in \( \Pi^{-1}(\text{CM}) \) such that \( \sum m_i \Phi(P_i) \in A(\mathbb{Q}) \). More precisely, in analogy with the ‘local’ result, Theorem 3.5, one may ask if there exists a regular function \( \Phi^\dagger \) on \( X^\dagger \) such that for any divisor \( \sum m_i P_i \) on \( X^\dagger \) supported in \( \Pi^{-1}(\text{CM}) \), we have that \( \sum m_i \Phi(P_i) \in A(\mathbb{Q}) \) if and only if \( \sum m_i \Phi^\dagger(P_i) = 0 \). We could refer to such a \( \Phi^\dagger \) as a reciprocity function for CM points.

\[ \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Phi(P_i) \in A(\mathbb{Q}) \implies \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Phi^\dagger(P_i) = 0 \in \mathbb{Q}. \]

Then \( \Phi^\dagger = 0 \).

Theorem A1 follows immediately from the following isogeny-class analogue applied to an isogeny class of CM points.

**Theorem A1** (Non-existence of reciprocity functions for CM-points). Let \( \Phi: X_1(N) \to A \) be a modular parametrization. Assume that there exist a non-empty open subscheme \( X^\dagger \subset X_1(N) \) and a regular function \( \Phi^\dagger \in \mathcal{O}(X^\dagger) \) having the property that for any \( P_1, \ldots, P_n \in \text{CM} \cap X^\dagger(\mathbb{Q}) \) and any \( m_1, \ldots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z} \),

\[ \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Phi(P_i) \in A(\mathbb{Q}) \implies \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Phi^\dagger(P_i) = 0 \in \mathbb{Q}. \]

Then \( \Phi^\dagger = 0 \).

**Proof.** We use Hecke correspondence notation as in §3.2. Extend \( \Phi \) linearly to a homomorphism \( \Phi_\ast: \text{Div}^0(X_1(N)(\mathbb{Q})) \to A(\mathbb{Q}) \). Then \( \Phi_\ast \circ T(l)_\ast = a_l \cdot \Phi_\ast \); see [DS05, p. 242]. For any point \( P \in C \), we have \( T(l)_\ast(P - \infty) = \sum P^{(l)}_i - \sum P^{(l)}_{i0} \), with \( P^{(l)}_{i0} \) being cusps. We get

\[
\begin{align*}
a_l \cdot \Phi(P) &= a_l(\Phi_\ast(P - \infty)) \\
&= \Phi_\ast(T(l)_\ast(P - \infty)) \\
&= \Phi_\ast\left(\sum P^{(l)}_i - \sum P^{(l)}_{i0}\right) \\
&= \sum \Phi(P^{(l)}_i) - \sum \Phi(P^{(l)}_{i0}).
\end{align*}
\]

By the Manin–Drinfeld theorem (see [Lan76, p. 62], for instance), \( \Phi(P^{(l)}_{i0}) \in A(\mathbb{Q}) \); so (A4) yields

\[
\sum \Phi(P^{(l)}_i) - a_l \cdot \Phi(P) = 0 \in A(\mathbb{Q}).
\]

By (A3), we obtain \( \sum \Phi^\dagger(P^{(l)}_i) - a_l \cdot \Phi^\dagger(P) = 0 \). Now Lemma A6 below implies \( \Phi^\dagger = 0 \). \qed
Indepedence of special points arising from modular and Shimura curves

Lemma A6. Let $S = X_1(N)$, let $f = \sum a_nq^n$ be a weight-2 newform on $\Gamma_1(N)$, and let $C \subset S(\mathbb{Q})$ be an isogeny class. Let $\Phi^\dagger$ be a rational function on $S$, none of whose poles are in $C$. Assume that for infinitely many primes $l$ and for any $P \in C$, we have

$$\sum_i \Phi^\dagger(P^{(i)}_l) - a_l\Phi^\dagger(P) = 0. \quad (A7)$$

Then $\Phi^\dagger = 0$.

Proof. Assume that $\Phi^\dagger \neq 0$. The function

$$(T(l)\Phi^\dagger)(x) := \sum \Phi^\dagger(x^{(l)}_i),$$

defined for all but finitely many $x \in S(\mathbb{C})$, is a rational function on $S$ by [Ser88, p. 55]. For the infinitely many given $l$, the rational functions $T(l)\Phi^\dagger$ and $\Phi^\dagger$ agree on the infinite set $C$, so they coincide. Since $\Phi^\dagger$ may be viewed as a ratio of modular forms over $\mathbb{Q}$, each of which is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of newforms whose Fourier coefficients are algebraic integers, the Fourier expansion $\varphi(q)$ of $\Phi^\dagger$ is in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}, S}(\varphi)$ for some ring of $\mathcal{O}$-integers in some number field $\mathbb{K}$, with $S$ finite. We may restrict our attention to primes $l \nmid N$ not lying under any prime in $S$. We may assume also that the leading coefficient of $\varphi(q)$ is prime to $l$. The $q$-values corresponding to the elliptic curves that are $l$-isogenous to the one corresponding to $q$ itself are $q^l$ and the $l$th roots of $q$; therefore, taking Fourier expansions in $T(l)\Phi^\dagger = \Phi^\dagger$ yields

$$\varphi(q^l) + \sum_{b=0}^{l-1} \varphi(\zeta^b q^{l/l}) = a_l\varphi(q), \quad (A8)$$

where $\zeta$ is a primitive $l$th root of 1. Let $v_q$ be the valuation on $\mathbb{Q}(\varphi)$. Comparing leading terms in (A8) yields $v_q(\varphi) \geq 0$; and if $v_q(\varphi) = 0$, then $l + 1 = a_l$, which contradicts $|a_l| \leq 2\sqrt{l} < l + 1$. Thus $v_q(\varphi) > 0$.

The series $\sum_{b=0}^{l-1} \varphi(\zeta^b q^{l/l})$ is divisible by $l$, so

$$\varphi(q^l) \equiv a_l\varphi(q) \pmod{l\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}, S}(\varphi)). \quad (A9)$$

The leading coefficient of $\varphi(q^l)$ equals that of $\varphi(q)$, so it is prime to $l$. Then (A9) shows that $a_l$ is prime to $l$. Now (A9) contradicts $v_q(\varphi) > 0$. \qed

A.2 Non-existence of geometric reciprocity functions

Finally, we prove that there are no purely geometric reasons for the existence of reciprocity functions; thus the existence of reciprocity functions in the local setting is a truly arithmetic phenomenon.

Theorem A10 (Non-existence of geometric reciprocity functions). Let $\Phi: X \to A$ be a non-constant morphism between smooth projective curves over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic $p \geq 0$, where $A$ is an elliptic curve. Let $n \geq 3$, and let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be nonzero integers not all divisible by $p$. Suppose that $X^\dagger \subset X$ is an affine open subset and $\Phi^\dagger \in \mathcal{O}(X^\dagger)$ is a regular function such that for any $P_1, \ldots, P_n \in X^\dagger(k)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\Phi(P_i) = 0 \implies \sum_{i=1}^n a_i\Phi^\dagger(P_i) = 0. \quad (A11)$$

Then $\Phi^\dagger$ is constant. In particular, if $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ is not divisible by $p$, then $\Phi^\dagger = 0$. 
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Remark A12. Theorem A10 fails for both $n = 1$ and $n = 2$. Let $A$ be any elliptic curve over $k$, let $X = A$, let $\Phi$ be the identity, and let $X^\dagger \subseteq X$ be any non-empty affine open subset. For $n = 1$, one obtains a counterexample by taking $a_1 = 1$ and $\Phi^\dagger \in \mathcal{O}(X^\dagger)$ to be any non-constant regular function vanishing at the origin (if the origin is in $X^\dagger$). For $n = 2$, one obtains a counterexample by taking $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = -1$, and $\Phi^\dagger \in \mathcal{O}(X^\dagger)$ any non-constant regular function. Alternatively, for $n = 2$, one can take $a_1 = a_2 = 1$ and $\Phi^\dagger$ a non-constant rational function that is anti-invariant for the negation map on $X$ (shrinking $X^\dagger$ if necessary), such as the $y$-coordinate on a short Weierstrass model in characteristic not 2; this shows that the final sentence of Theorem A10 can fail, too.

Proof of Theorem A10. Without loss of generality, $p \nmid a_1$. To prove that $\Phi^\dagger$ is constant, it will suffice to show that $\Phi^\dagger$ is regular at every $P \in X(k)$.

Fix $P$. Let $Y$ be the inverse image of $\{0\}$ under the morphism

$$\beta: X \times (X^\dagger)^{n-1} \to A \quad (P_1, \ldots, P_n) \mapsto \sum a_i \Phi(P_i).$$

Let $\pi_i: Y \to X$ be the $i$th projection. The morphism $\pi_1: Y \to X$ is surjective since, given $P_1$, if we choose $P_2, \ldots, P_n \in X^\dagger(k)$ arbitrarily, then there are only finitely many choices of $P_2 \in X^\dagger$ such that the equation $\beta(P_1, \ldots, P_n) = 0$ forces $P_2 \notin X^\dagger$. In particular, we can find a smooth irreducible curve $C$ and a morphism $\gamma: C \to Y$ such that $\pi_1(\gamma(C))$ is a dense subset of $X$ containing $P$.

By (A11), we have $\sum a_i \Phi^\dagger(P_i) = 0$ for all $(P_1, \ldots, P_n) \in Y \cap (X^\dagger)^n$. In particular,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \Phi^\dagger(\pi_1(\gamma(c))) = 0$$

is an identity of rational functions of $c \in C$. Since $\Phi^\dagger$ is regular on $X^\dagger$, the last $n - 1$ summands are regular on $C$. Therefore the first summand is regular too. So $a_1 \Phi^\dagger$ is regular on $\pi_1(\gamma(C))$. Since $a_1 \neq 0$ in $k$ and $P \in \pi_1(\gamma(C))$, the function $\Phi^\dagger$ is regular at $P$. \hfill $\square$
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