# Gordan's Theorem for Double Binary Forms. 

By Professor H. W. Turnbull.

(Recived 18th November 1922. Read 9th February 192S).
§ 1. Gordan's Theorem, that the complete system of irreducible concomitants of a given form is finite, has been extended by Hilbert * to cover wide ranges of systems of variables Gordan $\dagger$ and Study $\ddagger$ have dealt shortly with the problem for double binary forms, approaching the subject through the theory of binary types. The following pages give a proof after the manner of Gordan's proof for ordinary binary forms, which has the advantage of providing a practical method for constructing the complete system. As illustrations, the cases of the (1, 2), (2, 2), and (3, 3) forms are considered.

It is probable that the method would also solve the problem of double binary perpetuants.§
§ 2. In the Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Vol. xliii., 1923, I have discussed double binary forms, introducing the nomenclature of Gordan, necessary in the following proof which deals with the system belonging to the double binary ( $n, n^{\prime}$ ) form

$$
f=a_{z}^{n} a_{z^{\prime}}^{\prime n^{\prime}}=b_{z}^{n} b_{z^{\prime}}^{n^{\prime}}=\text { etc. }
$$

If $z=x+i y, z^{\prime}=x-i y$ and $n=n^{\prime}$ then $f$ answers to plane circular curves. There appears to be no way of proving this theorem by an induction involving only symmetrical forms ( 1,1 ), ( 2,2 ), $\ldots(n, n)$; but the system ( $n, n^{\prime}$ ) can be found if $n^{\prime} ₹ n$ and if all systems ( $N, n^{\prime}-i$ ) are known where $i>0$ and $N$ is a finite number depending on $n, n^{\prime}$.

Suppose that the knowledge of the ( $n, n^{\prime}$ ) system requires a preliminary system copied from the complete system ( $\lambda, \mu$ ), then

[^0]the ( $n, n^{\prime}$ ) system is said to involve the $(\lambda, \mu)$ system. It is first necessary to prove a lemma to show that the number of systems involved in ( $n, n^{\prime}$ ) is finite, and that the systerns can be arranged in a definite order. Let the system $\left(n-i, n^{\prime}-j\right)$ be called of lower rank if one or both of $i, j$ exceed zero.
§3. Lemma I. - If, for all values of $n, n^{\prime}$ such that $n \equiv n^{\prime}, n$ ₹ $n_{0}$, $n^{\prime} ₹ n_{0}{ }^{\prime}$, the systems involved in ( $n, n^{\prime}$ ) are either of lower rank or are included in the systems ( $k n, n^{\prime}-i$ ), $i=1,2 \ldots n^{\prime}$, then ( $n_{0}, n_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ ) involves a finite number of systems and they can be ordinally arranged, $k$ being a finite positive integer.

For let $(\alpha, \beta)$ denote any one of the systems here specified; then $\beta \leq \boldsymbol{n}_{0}{ }^{\prime}$, whereas a may exceed $\boldsymbol{n}_{0}$, but certainly does not exceed $k^{n_{0}} . n_{0}$ which is finite. Corresponding to values of $\beta$ from 0 to $n_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ inclusive let the greatest values of $\alpha$ be $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots \alpha_{n^{\prime}}$. It follows that the systems involved in ( $n, n^{\prime}$ ) which are not of lower rank are included in those whose rank is lower than that of ( $\alpha_{0}, 0$ ), ( $\alpha_{1}, 1$ ), $\ldots\left(\alpha_{n_{0}}, n_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. But this last sequence also includes systems of lower rank than ( $n, n^{\prime}$ ) since $a_{i} \geq n, k>0$. The sequence, therefore, defines the order required, namely the first $\alpha_{0}$ binary forms in ascending order, followed by $\alpha_{1}$ forms linear in $z^{\prime}$ in ascending order of $z$, followed by $\left(\alpha_{2}-1\right)$ forms of the second order in $z^{\prime}$ and of orders $2,3, \ldots \alpha_{2}$ respectively in $z$; and so on till $\alpha_{n_{*}}$ is reached. It will be seen that $\alpha_{n_{0}^{\prime}}=n_{0}$.

Since the systems ( $n, m$ ) and ( $m, n$ ) are of the same character, and one is known if the other is known, then there has been no loss of generality in the argument above by assuming $n \geq n^{\prime}$.

This lemma is required at several stages in the following proof of Gordan's Theorem, the value of $k$ being either 2 or 3. It will be found that, in particular, knowledge of the binary duodecimic must precede that of the $(3,3)$ form.
§4. Systems of forms derived by transvection from two given systems.

Phe developments of the binary theory (Cf. Algebra of Invariants, loc. cit.) apply with a few modifications to the present case.

Thus if $U, V$ are symbolic products $A_{1}^{a_{1}} \ldots A_{m}^{\alpha_{m}}$ and $B_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \ldots B_{n}^{\beta_{n}}$ of forms belonging to two systems ( $A$ ) and ( $B$ ), the set of terms of all possible transvectants

$$
(U, V)^{\rho \rho_{1}}
$$

is called the system derived by transvection from (A) and (B). Any such transvectant contains reducible terms if, when

$$
U=U_{1} U_{2}, V=V_{1} V_{2}, \rho=\sigma+\tau, \rho_{1}=\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1},
$$

it is possible to construct $\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)^{\sigma \sigma_{1}}$ and $\left(U_{2}, V_{2}\right)^{\tau \tau_{1}}$; for example, $\sigma$ must not exceed the order of $z$ in $U_{1}$. It follows that the number of transvectants $(V, V)^{\rho \rho_{1}}$ (derived from finite systems ( $A$ ) and (B)) which do not contain reducible terms is finite. The proof is that for binary forms with four Diophantine equations in place of two : let this be called Lemma II.

It is convenient to have a symbol to denote systems derived by transvection as above. Let

$$
[(A),(B)]
$$

denote such a system. Then the notation may be extended to more complex systems as

$$
[[(A),(B)],(C)] .
$$

The two further lemmas of the binary theorem may be taken over with little modification. Let $(A) \equiv c$, or $(A) \equiv c \bmod H$ symbolise that the system ( $A$ ) is finite and complete, or that the system (A) is finite and relatively complete for the modulus $H$. Then we may enunciate the two lemmas:

Lrmma III.—If $(A) \equiv c$ and $(B) \equiv c$, then $[(A),(B)] \equiv c$.
Lemma IV.-If a finite system of forms (A), all the members of which are covariants of the ( $n, n^{\prime}$ ) form $f$, include $f$, and if $(A) \equiv c \bmod H, K$; if further $(B) \equiv c$ mod $G$, where $(B)$ includes one form $B_{1}$ whose only determinantal factors are $H$, then the relation

$$
[(A),(B)] \equiv c \bmod G, K
$$

is satisfied.
These may be proved as for binary forms if we add the following modifications : transvectants should be considered:-
(i) In order of ascending total degree of $U V$ in the coefficients of the forms involved in $A, B$.
(ii) Those for which the degree of $U V$ is the same are taken in ascending degree of $U$.
(iii) Those for which these two degrees are the same are taken in ascending order of the total index $\left(\rho+\rho_{1}\right)$.

## § 5. Method of proof of Gordan's Theorem.

If we can successively build up systems of

$$
f=a_{z}^{n} a_{z^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime n^{\prime}}=b_{z}^{n} b_{z^{\prime}}^{n^{\prime}}=\text { etc. }
$$

complete for the moduli $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right),\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \ldots\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ respectively, then at the last stage the system is absolutely complete. Let such relative systems be called $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots A_{n^{\prime}}$. Then any form derived by convolution of members of the system $A_{r}$ is a rational integral function of these members or else contains a factor equivalent to $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{r}$. It must be recollected that $A_{r}$ involves any factor ( $a b$ ) to any index up to $n$.

Let

$$
H_{r i}=(a b)^{r}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} a_{z}^{n-r} a_{z^{\prime}}^{n^{\prime}-1} b_{z}^{n-r} b_{z^{\prime}}^{n^{\prime}-s}
$$

and let $\quad h_{r r}=(a b)^{r}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{r}$ :
with $H_{\text {a }}, h_{\text {f }}$ for the notation when $r=0$.
Then the construction of the systems $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots A_{n^{\prime}}$ falls into three sections according to the order of $H_{r c}$ in $z^{\prime}$, as 8 takes the values $1,2, \ldots n^{\prime}$ in succession.

First we consider $s<n^{\prime} / 2$, next $s=n^{\prime} / 2$, next $s>n^{\prime} / 2$.
§6. Case I., $s<n^{\prime} / 2$.
The system $A_{1}$ is the complete system of $f=a_{a}^{n} a_{z}^{n^{\prime}}$ regarded as a binary form of order $n$ in $z$. For if $C$ is a product of terms of this system then a convolution of $C$ contains at least one factor ( $a^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ ) or no factor ( $a^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ ) in which case the term is by hypothesis a function of terms $C$. Symbolically,
therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{C} \equiv F^{\prime}(C) \bmod \left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right), \\
& C \equiv c \operatorname{modd}(a b)\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right),\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also $C$ includes $f$ and so satisfies the conditions for $A_{1}$.
Now suppose that we know all the systems $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$ to $A_{1}$. We must form the next system $A_{0+1}$. There are two sub-cases
according as $s=2 k-1$ or $2 k$. First if $s=2 k-1$; then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{s} & =A_{2 k-1} \equiv c \bmod \left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k-1} \\
& \equiv c \operatorname{modd}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k-1}(a b),\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can shew that if $B_{k}$ is the auxiliary system consisting of the single form

$$
K=H_{1,2 k-1}=(a b)\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k-1} a_{z}^{n-1} b_{z}^{n-1} a_{z}^{\prime n} 1^{-2 k+1} b_{z}^{\prime n} z^{-2 k+1},
$$

then $B_{k}$ is complete for the modulus $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k}$. This follows if we can shew that all transvectants

$$
(K, K)^{i_{1}}
$$

are of grade $2 k$ in $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)$. But if $i_{1} \neq 0$ this last transvectant may be dealt with as a binary form in $a^{\prime} b^{\prime} c^{\prime} d^{\prime}$ which is known to be of higher grade since $2 k-1=s<\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}$ (Cf. Algebra of Invariants, pp. 71-77). Also when $i_{1}=0$ each term of this transvectant is of type

$$
\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k-1}\left(c^{\prime} d^{\prime}\right)^{2 k-1}(a b)(c d) M
$$

But after bracketing $a^{\prime}$ in each of the $2 k-1$ brackets ( $c^{\prime} a^{\prime}$ ), this type may be expressed as a sum of transvectants $N(\theta, \delta)^{\mu}$ where $\delta$ contains all the symbols $a^{\prime}$ and $\theta$ all $a^{\prime} b^{\prime} c^{\prime}$ and $N$ all symbols belonging to $z$. In this, $\theta$ is of higher grade $2 k$ (loc cit. §70) since the bracket factors of $\theta=\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k-1}\left(a^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right)^{\lambda}$ and $2 k-1 \geq \lambda>\frac{1}{2}(2 k-1)$.

Hence $B_{k}$ satisfies the relation

$$
B_{k} \equiv c \bmod \left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k}
$$

whence, by Lemma IV,

$$
\left[A_{v_{k-1}}, B_{k}\right] \equiv c \bmod \left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k}
$$

which determines $A_{2 k}$, that is $A_{s+1}$, if $s$ is odd.
Next if $s=2 k$ we must find the system $A_{2 k+1}$ from $A_{2 k}$. We shall require an auxiliary system $B_{2 k}$ containing $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k}\left(=h_{2 k}\right)$ such that

$$
B_{2 k} \equiv c \bmod \left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k+1}
$$

Suppose that the binary ( $n, 0$ ) system $A_{1}$ is

$$
(\phi)=\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots \phi_{p}
$$

each $\phi$ being a generalized transvectant of $f$. Since $h_{2 k}$ denotes $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k}$ the orders ( $2 n, 2 n^{\prime}-2 k$ ) of $H_{2 k}$ are of higher rank than
( $n, 0$ ) respectively. So we may copy a set of transvectants for $H_{2 k}$,

$$
(\psi)=\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \ldots \psi_{p},
$$

on the model of the set ( $\phi$ ) of lower rank.
If ( $\overline{H_{2 k}}, \overline{H_{2 k}}, \ldots$ ) denote a generalized transvectant of forms $\overline{H_{2 k}}$, where at least one of $\overline{H_{2 k}}$, is convolved from $H_{2 k}$ and the others are identical with $H_{2 k}$, then any term $t_{r}$ of $\psi_{r}$ may be written

$$
\left.t_{r}=\psi_{r}+\Sigma \overline{\left(H_{2 k}\right.}, \overline{H_{2 k}}, \ldots\right) .
$$

But, for the convolved term, $\overline{H_{2 t}} \equiv 0$ modd $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k+1},\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k}(a b)$; hence $\quad t_{r} \equiv \psi_{r} \operatorname{modd}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k+1},\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k}(a b)$.
Again, since the system ( $\phi$ ) is absolutely complete, then any term convolved from a product of $(\psi)$ must satisfy

$$
\bar{\psi} \equiv F(\psi) \bmod Q
$$

where $Q$ denotes transvectants whose index for $a^{\prime}$ symbols exceeds zero, otherwise $Q$ is within the range copied from the ( $n, 0$ ) form and therefore included in $F(\psi)$.

But, if $i>0,2 k<\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}$,

$$
\left.\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k}\left(b^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right)^{i}\left(c^{\prime} d^{\prime}\right)^{2 k} \equiv 0 \bmod \left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2+1} \quad \text { (loc. cit. § } 73\right),
$$

then hence if $r_{2}>0,\left(H_{2,2 k}, H_{2,2 k}\right)^{r_{1} r_{2}} \equiv 0 \bmod \left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k+1}$.
This implies that $Q$ is of grade $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k+1}$. It follows that the system

$$
(t)_{1}=t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots t_{p}
$$

includes $H_{2 k}$ and is complete for the moduli $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k}(a b),\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k+1}$ whose total indices are odd. Hence

$$
(t)_{1} \equiv c \operatorname{modd}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 x}(a b)^{2},\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 x+1}
$$

so that

$$
\left[A_{2 k},(t)_{1}\right] \equiv c \operatorname{modd}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k}(a b)^{\prime},\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k+1} .
$$

Proceeding in the same way we may rid ourselves of the first of these two moduli by forming a system $(t)_{2}$ for the ( $2 n-4,2 n^{\prime}-4 k^{\prime}$ ) form

$$
H_{2,2 k}=\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k}(a b)^{2} Z,
$$

$Z$ representing necessary $a_{z} a_{z^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ factors, on the model of

$$
(\phi)=\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots \phi_{p},
$$

since the orders of $H_{2.2 k}$ both exceed ( $\left.n, 0\right)$. Then

$$
(t)_{2} \equiv c \operatorname{modd}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k}(a b)^{4},\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k+1}
$$

Similarly the systems

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (t)_{3} \equiv, \quad \text {, " }(a b)^{6}, \quad, \quad, \\
& \left(t_{\mathrm{q}} \equiv, \quad, \quad, \quad(a b)^{2 a}, \quad, \quad,\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

may be derived from $H_{4,2 k}, \ldots H_{2 q-2,2 k}$, provided the orders of each $H$ exceed or equal ( $n, 0$ ). The limit is reached when

$$
2 q=\frac{n}{2} \text { or } \frac{n-1}{2}
$$

If now

$$
{ }_{q} A_{2 k}=\left[\ldots\left[\left[A_{2 k},(t)_{2}\right],(t)_{2}\right], \ldots,(t)_{q}\right]
$$

denote successive transvections employing $(t)_{1} \ldots(t)_{q}$, then ${ }_{q} A_{2 k}$ will be finite and complete for the moduli of $(t)_{q}$, that is

$$
{ }_{4} A_{2 k} \equiv c \operatorname{modd}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k}(a b)^{2 q},\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k+1}
$$

This is a half-way stage between $A_{s}$ and $A_{t+1}$. To proceed. The next value of $q$ gives $2 q>\frac{n}{2}$ so that the orders of $H_{q_{q}, 2 x}$ are $\left(2 n-4 q, 2 n^{\prime}-4 k^{\prime}\right)$ or $\left(r, r^{\prime}\right)$ say, where $r<n$. For a model take the complete system

$$
(\chi)=\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}, \ldots \chi_{\omega}
$$

of the ( $r, 0$ ) form which is known by hypothesis, and let the copied system of $H_{a q, 2 k}$ be ( $\chi^{\prime}$ ). The same argument will now apply again.

Thus single terms one from each $\chi^{\prime}$ are complete for the modulus $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k+1}$ and a modulus due to $\bar{H}$ as before, giving

$$
(t)_{y} \equiv c \operatorname{modd}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)_{-}^{2 k}(a b)^{2 k},\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k+1}
$$

for all values of $2 q>\frac{n}{2}$ up to $2 q=n$ or $n-1$ according as $n$ is even or odd. For the next value of $q$ the former modulus does not exist; the corresponding system ( $t$ ) may be taken as $B_{2 k}$ and we shall have

$$
\left[A_{2 k}, B_{2 k}\right] \equiv c \bmod \left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2 k+1}, q=\frac{n}{2} \text { or } \frac{n-1}{2}
$$

This is the system $A_{2 k+1}$ or $A_{s+1}$ when $s$ is even.
§7. Case II., $s=\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}$.
As in Algebra of Invariants, § 73, this case leads to a new modulus when $s$ is even: the system derived from $A_{4}$ by the preceding argument will be finite and complete for moduli

$$
\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{s+1},\left(b^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(c^{\prime} a^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{x}
$$

Let this system be called $C_{4}$. To derive the system $A_{s+1}$ from $C_{s}$ we proceed as follows:-

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & =\left(b^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right)^{4}\left(c^{\prime} a^{\prime}\right)^{4}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{4} a_{x}^{n} b_{z}^{n} c_{z}^{n} \\
j & =\left(b^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(c^{\prime} a^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}{ }^{\prime},\right. \\
(\Omega) & =\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}, \ldots \Omega^{2}, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

and suppose
the complete system of the binary form $J$ of orders $(3 n, 0)$ which by Lemma I. is already known. As before let

$$
(\tau)_{0}=\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \ldots \tau_{i}
$$

denote single terms chosen from ( $\Omega$ ), each $\Omega$ being given as a generalized transvectant of $J$; then

$$
\tau_{r} \equiv \Omega_{r}+\Sigma(\bar{J}, \bar{J}, \ldots)
$$

Since ( $\Omega$ ) is absolutely complete, then

$$
(\tau)_{0} \equiv c \bmod \bar{J}
$$

where

$$
\bar{J}=j(b c)^{p}(c a)^{q}(a b)^{r} Z, p+q+r>0 ;
$$

and since $j$ is of weight $3 s$ which is even, we may take $p+q+r>1$ and so, by Jordan's Lemma, at least one of $p, q, r$ may be taken as not less than 2. This Lemma states that if $x+y+z=0$, then any product of powers of $x, y, z$ of order $m$ can be expressed linearly in terms of such products as contain one exponent equal to or greater than $\frac{2 m}{3}$. It applies here since

$$
(b c) a_{z}+(c a) b_{z}+(a b) c_{z}=0,
$$

and it may be further applied to the case above where $p+q+r=m$ so as to express all moduli $(b c)^{p}(c a)^{q}(a b)^{r}$ in terms of a smaller set of the same type with $p \geq \frac{2 m}{3}$. Let such a set, when each member
is multiplied by $\boldsymbol{j}$, be written

$$
(j)_{m}=j_{m}^{\prime}, j_{m}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots j_{m}^{(m m)} .
$$

We may therefore construct a sequence of systems $\triangle_{0}, \Delta_{2} \ldots \Delta_{m}$ by introducing systems $(\tau)_{m}^{\prime},(\tau)_{m}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$ each from binary forms belonging to $\boldsymbol{j}_{m}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{j}_{m}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$ exactly as $(\tau)_{0}$ was constructed from $\boldsymbol{j}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \triangle_{0}=\left[C_{k},(\tau)_{0}\right] \equiv c \operatorname{modd}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{k+1}, j_{2}, \\
& \triangle_{2}=\left[\Delta_{0},(\tau)_{2}\right] \equiv c \operatorname{modd}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{k+1},(j)_{4} ;(j)_{4}=j_{4}^{\prime}, j_{4}^{\prime \prime} ; \\
& \triangle_{4}=\left[\left[\triangle_{2},(\tau)_{4}^{\prime}\right],(\tau)_{4}^{\prime \prime}\right] \equiv c \operatorname{modd}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{k+1},(j)_{6} \\
& \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
& \triangle_{m}=\left[\ldots\left[\triangle_{m-2},(\tau)_{m}^{\prime}\right] \ldots(\tau)_{m}^{(k m)}\right] \\
&=c \operatorname{modd}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{k+1},(j)_{m+2}, m<\frac{3 n}{2}-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally when $m=\frac{3 n}{2}-2,(j)_{m+2}$ consists of the single invariant*

$$
I=[(b c)(c a)(a b)]^{\frac{n}{2}}\left[\left(b^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right)\left(c^{\prime} a^{\prime}\right)\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\frac{n}{2}} .
$$

If $I$ is added to the system $\Delta_{m}$, the combination will be com plete for the modulus $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{k+1}$, which is what we require Thus we have found a system $A_{a+1}$ from $A_{4}$ if either $s<\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}$ or $s=\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}$.
§8. Case III., $s>\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}$.
Since $2 n^{\prime}-2 s<n^{\prime}$ we know by Lemma I. the complete system $(\Omega)_{k,}$ of the $\left(2 n-2 k, 2 n^{\prime}-2 s\right)$ form $H_{k}$. Now the bracket factors of $H_{k s}$ are

$$
h(k, s)=(a b)^{k}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} ;
$$

hence a form convolved from $\boldsymbol{H}_{k c}$ has bracket factors $h(p, q)$, where

$$
p \geq k, q \geq s, p+q>k+s .
$$

As before let one term from each of the transvectants $(\Omega)_{k a}$ be chosen and let ( $\omega)_{k_{k}}$ denote such chosen terms. Then, since $\Omega$ is absolutely complete,

$$
(\omega)_{k} \equiv c \bmod h(p, q) \equiv c \operatorname{modd} h(k, s+1), h(k+1, s) .
$$

[^1]The former of these moduli is $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{a+1}$. Thus we may construct systems $E$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}=\left[A_{s},(\omega)_{0 . \varepsilon}\right] \equiv c \operatorname{modd}\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{s+1}, h(1, s), \\
& \dot{E}_{2}=\left[E_{1},(\omega)_{1.8}\right] \equiv, \quad, \quad, \quad, h(2, s) \text {, } \\
& E_{k+1}=\left[E_{k},(\omega)_{k, t}\right] \equiv, \quad, \quad, \quad, h(k+1, s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h(n+1, s)$ is identically zero, the system $E_{n}$ includes $A$, and is complete for the modulus $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{s+1} . \quad E_{n}$ is therefore the required system $A_{6+1}$.

Thus we have shown that proceeding from $f=a_{z}^{n} a_{z!}^{n^{\prime}}$ successive systems $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots A_{4}$ can be found which are finite and complete for the successive moduli $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{i} i=1,2, \ldots s$. Since the system
 complete, and Gordan's Theorem is therefore established.
§ 9. Application to the $(2,1)$ form.
Let $f=a_{x}^{2} a_{y}^{\prime}=b_{x}^{2} b_{y}^{\prime}$ be the $(2,1)$ form. Then $A_{1}$ is the system for the quadratic in $x$, and is

$$
f,(f, f)^{20} \text { or } H
$$

This system being complete for $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)$ is complete for ( $a b$ ) ( $a^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ ) since ( $\left.a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ does not exist.

But the system of $H_{11}=(a b)\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right) a_{x} b_{x}^{\prime}$ is again two forms

$$
H_{11},\left(H_{11}, H_{11}\right)^{20} \text { or } \triangle
$$

which is absolutely complete. Hence the complete system of $f$ is

$$
\left[A_{1}, B\right] \text { where } A_{1}=f, H, \text { and } B=H_{11}, \triangle
$$

Only one new irreducible form appears by transvection in $[A, B]$, namely $\left(f H_{11}\right)^{10}$. So the complete system is *

$$
f, H, \Delta, H_{11},\left(f H_{11}\right)^{10}
$$

[^2]§ 10. The $(2,2)^{*}$ form $f=a_{x}^{2} a_{y}^{\prime 2}=b_{x}^{2} b_{,}^{\prime 2}=$ etc.
The general method readily establishes the complete system of 18 forms. For the system $A_{1}$ consists of $f$ and $P_{2}$ where
and
$$
P_{2}=(a b)^{2} a_{y}^{\prime 2} b_{y}^{\prime 2}
$$
$$
A_{1} \equiv c \bmod (a b)\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right),\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2}
$$

Let $J=(a b)\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right) a_{x} b_{x} a_{y}^{\prime} b_{y}^{\prime}$ which is complete for the modulus $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2} . \quad$ Then $\left[A_{1}, J\right] \equiv c \bmod \left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2}$.

Since $f, J$ are of the same orders we need only consider the terms $(f, J)^{\rho \rho_{1}},\left(P_{2}, J\right)^{\rho \rho_{1}},\left(P_{2}, J^{2}\right)^{\rho \rho_{1}}, \rho, \rho_{1}=0,1,2$.

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{3} & =H f^{2}-2 C_{3} f+P_{2} P_{\underline{2}}^{*} \\
& =0 \operatorname{modd} f,\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

the third of these transvectants may be rejected.
This gives the system
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{2} & =f, P_{2}, J, P_{3}, \triangle \\
A_{2} & \equiv c \bmod \left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
P_{3} & =(a b)^{2}\left(a^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right) c_{x}^{2} a_{y}^{\prime} b_{y}^{\prime 2} c_{y}^{\prime}, \\
\triangle & =(b c)(c a)(a b)\left(b^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right)\left(c^{\prime} a^{\prime}\right)\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the modulus $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ of the system $A_{2}$ belongs to the binary quartic

$$
P_{2}^{\prime}=\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2} a_{x}^{2} b_{x}^{2}
$$

whose complete system is known. We may take single terms to represent members of this system, for such single terms are complete for modulus $M$, where $M$ is convolved from $P_{2}^{\prime}$, that is

$$
M=\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2}(a b)=0 \text { or else } M=\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2}(a b)^{2}=H, \text { an invariant. }
$$

Let the single terms chosen be

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{2}^{\prime}, Q_{4}^{\prime} & =\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2}(b c)^{2}\left(c^{\prime} d^{\prime}\right)^{2} Z \\
P_{6}^{\prime} & =\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(c^{\prime} d^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(e^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right)^{2}(b c)^{2}(d e) \\
\triangle_{4} & =(a b)^{2}(c d)^{2}\left(a^{\prime} d^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(b^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
J_{6} & =\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(c^{\prime} d^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(e^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right)^{2}(b c)^{2}(d e)^{2}(a f)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and
Then if to these we add $H$ the whole set is absolutely complete.
Hence the compiete system of $f$ is the derived system of $\left(f, P_{2}, P_{3}, J, \triangle\right)$ and $\left(P_{4}^{\prime}, Q_{4}^{\prime}, P_{6}^{\prime}, \triangle_{4}, H, J_{6}\right)$.

[^3]The detailed investigation of these presents no serious difficulty. The indices of necessary transvectants never exceed 2 in value; $J_{6}$ is reducible; $\Delta, \triangle_{4}, H$ are invariants. The result is a set of 18 forms.

$$
\text { § 11. The }(3,3) \text { form } f=a_{x}^{3} a_{y}^{\prime 3} \text {. }
$$

Using the same notation as far as bracket factors are concerned $P_{g}^{\prime}$ is now a $(6,2)$ form $\alpha_{x}^{6} \alpha_{y}^{\prime 2}$. If $A_{1}$ is the system of $P_{2}^{\prime}$ as a binary sextic it is complete $\bmod \left(\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}\right)$, and therefore also complete modd ( $\left.\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}\right)(\alpha \beta),\left(\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}\right)^{2}$.

If now $J_{\alpha}=(\alpha \beta)\left(\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}\right) \alpha_{x}^{5} \beta_{x}^{\delta} \alpha_{y}^{\prime} \beta_{y}^{\prime}$, then $J_{a} \equiv c \bmod \left(\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}\right)^{2}$. Hence $\left[A_{1}, J_{a}\right] \equiv c \bmod \left(\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}\right)^{2}$.

Now $\left(\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}\right)^{2} \alpha_{x}^{6} \beta_{x}^{6}$ is a binary form of order twelve. Single terms extracted from its complete system are complete mod $(\alpha \beta)^{2}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}\right)^{2}$. Transvecting these terms with $\left[A_{1}, J_{a}\right]$ produces a system complete $\bmod \left(\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}\right)^{2}(\alpha \beta)^{4}$.

This last modulus belongs to a binary quartic whose complete system together with the invariant $\left(\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}\right)^{2}(\alpha \beta)^{6}$ gives, by transvection with the existing system, a complete system for $P_{2}^{\prime}$.

Now take $f=a_{x}^{3} a_{y}^{\prime 3}$. From $f$ as a binary cubic in $x$ we construct $A_{1}=f, P_{2}, t, \Delta$ where $t=\left(P_{2}, f\right)^{10}, \Delta=\left(P_{2}, P_{2}\right)^{23}$.

If $J=(a b)\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right) a_{x}^{2} b_{x}^{2} a_{x}^{\prime 2} b_{x}^{\prime 2},\left[A_{1}, J\right] \equiv c \bmod \left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2}(\$ 6)$. But $P_{2}^{\prime}$ the ( 6,2 ) form gives a system $B_{2}$ of single terms complete modd $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{3}(a b),\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{2}(a b)^{2}$, of which the latter leads to a $(2,2)$ form whose system is complete in single terms mod $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{3}(a b)^{2}$, i.e. $\bmod \left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{3}(a b)^{3}$.

We can now deduce the system $A_{3}$ complete $\bmod (a b)^{3}(a b)$, and from the system of this modulus, i.e. from the binary quartic $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{s}(a b) a_{x}^{2} b_{x}^{2}$ we finally derive a complete system of $f$, if we include the invariant $\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)^{3}(a b)^{3}$.

I take this opportunity of thanking the Committee of the Carnegie Trust for their material aid in furthering the preparation and the publication of this investigation.


[^0]:    * Math. Ann., Bd. 30 and 36. Cf. Maurer, "Uuber die Endlichkeit der Invarianten Systeme." München. Sitzungsberichte der Math. Bd. 29, 1899.
    † Cf. Math. Ann., Bd. 33, pp. 387-389; also Sitz. berich. der Phys.-med. Soc. Erlangen, (1888), p. 35.
    $\ddagger$ Ibid., p. 31.
    Of. Grace and Young, Algebra of Invariants, pp. 326-338.

[^1]:    " $I=0$ unless $n, n^{\prime}, \frac{1}{2}\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)$ are all even.

[^2]:    * Of. Peano, Giornale di Math., Battaglini, Vol. xx., who reaches this result by elementary methods. The treatment of the $(2,2)$ form is very thorough.

[^3]:    * Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh. Vol. xliii., p. 50.

