# WORLD POLITICS

A Quarterly Journal of International Relations

Volume 47

October 1994–July 1995

#### UNDER THE EDITORIAL SPONSORSHIP OF CENTER OF INTERNATIONAL STUDIES PRINCETON UNIVERSITY published by the johns hopkins university press

EDITORIAL BOARD John Waterbury, Chairman Bruce Bueno de Mesquita, Benjamin J. Cohen, Matthew Evangelista, Stephan Haggard, Peter A. Hall, James R. Hines Jr., Miles Kahler, Friedrich Kratochwil, Jack Levy, James D. Morrow, Kenneth A. Oye, Elizabeth Perry, Jonas Pontusson, Vivienne Shue, Jack Snyder, Robert C. Tucker

Editors: Nancy Bermeo, Henry S. Bienen, Richard D. Challener, George W. Downs, Michael W. Doyle, Richard Falk, Aaron L. Friedberg (*Review Articles*), Robert G. Gilpin, Joanne Gowa, Jeffrey Herbst, Atul Kohli, John Waterbury

Associate Editors: Kent E. Calder Harold A. Feiveson, Keisuke Iida, Marc A. Levy, John B. Londregan, Pei Minxin, Gilbert Rozman, Anna Seleny, Paul E. Sigmund, Robert L. Tignor, Lynn T. White III, William C. Wohlforth

Executive Editor: ILENE P. COHEN; Assistant Editor: SUSAN BINDIG

The editors invite submission of articles (up to 10,000 words, including footnotes) bearing upon problems in international relations and comparative politics. Manuscripts and footnotes should be double-spaced throughout and submitted in triplicate, together with an abstract. Footnotes should be placed at the end of the manuscript. Address: WORLD POLITICS, Bendheim Hall, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544.

Authors may expect to be notified of the editors' decision within four months. Procedures for reviewing manuscripts are based on the anonymity of the author and the confidentiality of readers' and editors' reports. Editorial policy does not provide for transmitting readers' evaluations to authors of rejected manuscripts. Exceptions may be made if, in the opinion of the editors, such comments contain substantive suggestions. WORLD POLITICS does not accept manuscripts that have already been published, are scheduled for publication elsewhere, or have been simultaneously submitted to another journal. Statements of fact and opinion appearing in the journal are made on the responsibility of the authors alone and do not imply the endorses ment of the editors or publisher. The journal does not publish communications to the editor or rejoinders to specific articles. Scholars who believe they have been challenged are encouraged to submit an article that will advance the scholarly debate.

Reprint permission: Permissions Department, The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2715 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218-4319.

Subscriptions: WORLD POLITICS, The Johns Hopkins University Press, Journals Publishing Division, 2715 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218-4319. Phone: (410) 516-6987; FAX: (410) 516-6968; toll-free (800) 548-1784. Individuals: \$24 per year; institutions: \$51 per year. Single copies: individuals, \$8.00; institutions, \$15.00. For postage and handling in Canada and Mexico, add \$4.00 per year; outside of North America, \$9.40.

Back Issues: WORLD POLITICS is available in microfilm or xerographic copies from University Microfilms, Ann Arbor, MI 48106. Two years after publication, complete volumes are available in microfiche form from KTO Microform, Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546. Individual issues in the current and two preceding volumes may be ordered from The Johns Hopkins University Press, Journals Publishing Division, 2715 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218-4319.

Advertising: Journals Advertising Coordinator, The Johns Hopkins University Press, Journals Publishing Division, 2715 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218-4319.

WORLD POLITICS is indexed by ABC POL SCI, Book Review Index, Combined Retrospective Index Sets (CRIS), Combined Retrospective Index to Book Reviews in Scholarly Journals, 1886–1974 (RSJ), PAIS Bulletin Social Science Index, and Periodica Islamica; it is abstracted and indexed in United States Political Science Documents, International Bibliography of Periodical Literature (IBZ), International Bibliography of Book Reviews (IBR), and Current Military and Political Literature. Abstracts of articles also appear in Book Review Digest, Historical Abstracts, International Development Abstracts, International Political Science Abstracts, Political Science Abstracts, and Social Sciences Abstracts.

#### Copyright © 1994, 1995 by The Johns Hopkins University Press

WORLD POLITICS (ISSN 0043-8871). Published quarterly by The Johns Hopkins University Press. Vol. 47, October 1994–July 1995. Second class postage paid at Balitmore, MD, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to WORLD POLITICS, The Johns Hopkins University Press, Journals Publishing Division, 2715 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218-4319. Printed in the United States of America by Princeton Academic Press, Inc.

# CONTENTS

# No. 1-October 1994

Do Bureaucratic Politics Matter? Some Disconfirming Findings from the Case of th U.S. Navy	ne Edward Rhodes	1		
Dynamics of Informational Cascades: The Monday Demonstrations in Leipzig, East Germany, 1989–91	Susanne Lohmann	42		
Information, Bureaucracy, and Economic Reforms in China and the Soviet Union	Yasheng Huang	102		
Review Article				
On the Third Wave of Democratization: A Synthesis and Evaluation of Recent Theo and Research	ry Doh Chull Shin	135		
No. 2—January 1995				
Beyond Product Cycles and Flying Geese: Regionalization, Hierarchy, and the Industrialization of East Asia	Mitchell Bernard and John Ravenhill	171		
State Power, Institutional Change, and the Politics of Privatization in Russia	Michael McFaul	210		
Social Rights Advocacy and State Building: T. H. Marshall in the Hands of Social Reformers	Jytte Klausen	244		
Review Articles				
Processes of Dyadic Choice for War and Peace	Bruce Russett	268		
Much Ado about Ideas: The Cognitive Factor in Economic Policy	John Kurt Jacobsen	283		

#### WORLD POLITICS

## NO. 3-APRIL 1995

Politics beyond the State: Environmental Activism and World Civic Politics	Paul Wapner	311
Institutions, Elites, and Technological Change in France and Germany	J. Nicholas Ziegler	341
Assessing Competing Defense Postures: The Strategic Implications of "Flexible Response"	Frank C. Zagare and D. Marc Kilgour	373
Review Articles		
The Enigma of Nationalism	Yael Tamir	418
The State of the (European) Union: From the Single Market to Maastricht, from Singular Events to General Theories	Jeffrey J. Anderson	441
No. 4—July 199	15	
The Modernization of Factionalism in Chinese Politics	Lowell Dittmer and Yu-Shan Wu	467
Explaining the Decline of European Social Democracy: The Role of Structural Economic Change	Jonas Pontusson	495
Intervention and Intransitivity: Public Opinion, Social Choice, and the Use of Military Force Abroad	Kurt Taylor Gaubatz	534
Review Articles		
Models of Iranian Politics, the Road to the		

Islamic Revolution, and the Challenge to Society Farhad Kazemi International Institutions and Economic Sanctions Edward D. Mansfield

555

575

# WORLD POLITICS

Vol. 47

.

October 1994

No. 1

# CONTENTS

Do Bureaucratic Politics Matter? Some Disconfirming Findings from the Case of the U.S. Navy	Edward Rhodes	s 1
Dynamics of Informational Cascades: The Monday Demonstrations in Leipzig, East Germany, 1989–91	Susanne Lohmann	42
Information, Bureaucracy, and Economic Reforms in China and the Soviet Union	Yasheng Huang	102
Review Article		
On the Third Wave of Democratization: A Synthesis and Evaluation of Recent Theory and Research	Doh Chull Shin	135
The Contributors		ii
Abstracts		iii

## THE CONTRIBUTORS

EDWARD RHODES is Associate Professor of Political Science at Rutgers University. He is the author of *Power and MADness* (1989) and *The Pursuit of Hegemony* (forthcoming).

SUSANNE LOHMANN is Assistant Professor of Political Science at the University of California, Los Angeles. She has published a number of articles on the informational underpinnings of political action. She is currently working on a book analyzing the informational dynamics of political action and emigration in preunification East Germany and of public opinion polls and elections in postunification East Germany.

YASHENG HUANG is Assistant Professor of Political Science at the University of Michigan. His most recent book is *The Political Economy of Central-Local Relations in China in the Reform Era: Central Inflation and Investment Controls and Provincial Responses* (forthcoming). He is currently on leave at the Center for International Affairs, Harvard University, on an SSRC-MacArthur Fellowship and is working on a book on China's economic integration into East and Southeast Asia.

DOH CHULL SHIN is Professor of Political Studies at Sangamon State University and research associate at its Center for Public Affairs. He is currently writing a book on the impact of democratization on the quality of life in Korea with a grant from the Aspen Institute. He will also continue with research on his democratization project in 1994–95 at Seoul National University, with funding from the National Science Foundation.

Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by The Johns Hopkins University Press for libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that the fee of \$3.25 per article is paid directly to CCC, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. 0043-88 71/94 \$03.25

#### ABSTRACTS

#### DO BUREAUCRATIC POLITICS MATTER?

#### SOME DISCONFIRMING FINDINGS FROM THE CASE OF THE U.S. NAVY

#### By EDWARD RHODES

Allison's Model III (governmental or bureaucratic politics) suggests that state policies reflect the parochial concerns of intragovernmental "players in positions" and the relative power of these players. This article offers a critical test. It examines a policy area-U.S. decisions on the composition of naval forces-in which we would, a priori, expect bureaucratic politics to have a maximum effect and which participants, observers, and scholars have routinely described as critically influenced by bureaucratic politics. This article employs statistical methods to assess whether outcomes have indeed been affected by the parochial priorities and perceptions of individuals who, because of their relative power and the rules of the game, have dominated the relevant bureaucratic action-channels. Contrary to the expectations of the bureaucratic politics literature-indeed, contrary to the reports of firsthand observers and the actors involved-bureaucratic politics do not seem to have mattered: knowledge of bureaucratic interests and power does not permit us to predict outcomes. The article then proceeds to suggest an alternative model of state behavior that *does* provide significant explanatory power: the article demonstrates that shifts in force posture can be modeled as a function of *ideas* and *images* rather than of *inter*ests. This gives rise to speculation that in explaining American foreign and security policy the name of the game is not, as Allison suggests, politics, but the competition of ideas for intellectual hegemony.

#### THE DYNAMICS OF INFORMATIONAL CASCADES

#### THE MONDAY DEMONSTRATIONS IN LEIPZIG, EAST GERMANY, 1989-91

#### By SUSANNE LOHMANN

This article analyzes the dynamics of turnout and the political impact of five cycles of protest, consisting of forty-two mass demonstrations that occurred on Mondays in Leipzig over the period 1989–91. These demonstrations are interpreted as an informational cascade that publicly revealed some of the previously hidden information about the malign nature of the East German communist regime. Once this information became publicly available, the viability of the regime was undermined. The Monday demonstrations subsequently died a slow death as their informational role declined.

# INFORMATION, BUREAUCRACY, AND ECONOMIC REFORMS IN CHINA AND THE SOVIET UNION

#### By YASHENG HUANG

The argument in this essay can be reduced to the following form: the better a planning system is in terms of central planning techniques, the less likely it is to depart from central planning. The author proposes an information approach to explain why China launched economic reforms at a relatively earlier stage of development than did the Soviet Union. The claim is twofold regarding the connections between initiation of reform and information flows in China and in the Soviet Union. First, all else being equal, a bureaucracy better informed about economic conditions is more likely to pursue realistic economic objectives and its policies are less likely to induce macroeconomic instability. To the extent that macroeconomic instability activates a search for alternatives to the status quo, including reforms, a bureaucracy with better information-collection capabilities is less likely to initiate such a search and therefore less likely to initiate reforms.

Second, policymakers choose between reforms and the strengthening of central planning as alternative solutions to the status quo. The choice of one solution over another depends on their relative costs. Again, all else being equal, the higher the costs of strengthening central planning (or reform), the more likely is reform (or strengthening of central planning) to be chosen. This essay focuses on one aspect of these costs—the costs of information provision.

#### WORLD POLITICS

#### ON THE THIRD WAVE OF DEMOCRATIZATION

#### A SYNTHESIS AND EVALUATION OF RECENT THEORY AND RESEARCH

#### By DOH CHULL SHIN

This article synthesizes significant findings of theoretical and empirical research on the third wave of democratization. It addresses a number of central questions. What changes have been taking place in the study of democracy and democratization over the past two decades? How have the concepts of democracy and democratization been redefined for a new generation of scholars oriented to action and advice? What developments in the measurement of the two concepts have been stimulated by the quickening pace of democratization? What has been learned about the dynamics of democratic consolidation? What mixes of democratic institutions and rules offer the "best" prospect for democratic reforms in those countries that remain nondemocratic? What are the prospects for the third wave of democratization?