

# INTEGRAL HARNACK INEQUALITY

by MURALI RAO

(Received 18 November, 1983)

**Introduction.** Let  $D$  be a domain in Euclidean space of  $d$  dimensions and  $K$  a compact subset of  $D$ . The well known Harnack inequality assures the existence of a positive constant  $A$  depending only on  $D$  and  $K$  such that  $(1/A)u(x) \leq u(y) \leq Au(x)$  for all  $x$  and  $y$  in  $K$  and all positive harmonic functions  $u$  on  $D$ . In this we obtain a global integral version of this inequality under geometrical conditions on the domain. The result is the following: suppose  $D$  is a Lipschitz domain satisfying the uniform exterior sphere condition—stated in Section 2. If  $u$  is harmonic in  $D$  with continuous boundary data  $f$  then

$$\int_D |u|(x) dx \leq C \int_{\partial D} |f| ds$$

where  $ds$  is the  $d-1$  dimensional Hausdorff measure on the boundary  $\partial D$ . A large class of domains satisfy this condition. Examples are  $C^2$ -domains, convex domains, etc.

The lemma on which we base our proof states: For bounded domains satisfying the uniform exterior sphere condition solution of the Poisson equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions and constant forcing term has bounded gradient.

**1. Generalities.** Let  $D$  be a bounded domain in Euclidean space of  $d \geq 3$  dimensions.  $G$  will denote its Green function: For all  $x, y$

$$G(x, y) = K(x, y) - H(x, y) \tag{1.1}$$

where  $K(x, y) = |x - y|^{-d+2}$  and  $H(x, y)$  is the solution of the Dirichlet problem for  $D$  with boundary data  $K(\cdot, y)$ . Write

$$\sigma(x) = \int G(x, y) dy, \tag{1.2}$$

Then  $\sigma$  satisfies the Poisson equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \sigma &= -A_d \\ \sigma &= 0 \text{ at regular points of } \partial D. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where  $A_d = (d-2)2\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(d/2)$ .

For any positive Radon measure  $m$  on  $D$  the function  $\int G(x, y)m(dy)$  is locally integrable in  $D$  if it is finite at one point. Such a function is called a potential.

With the above notation and terminology we have

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *Let  $z$  be an arbitrary but fixed point in  $D$ . All potentials in  $D$  are integrable on  $D$  iff there is a constant  $A$  depending only on  $z$  and  $D$  such that*

$$\sigma(y) \leq AG(z, y), \quad y \in D. \tag{1.4}$$

*Glasgow Math. J.* **26** (1985) 115–120.

*Proof.* Suppose all potentials in  $D$  are integrable. If the assertion were false we could find a sequence  $y_n$  such that  $\sigma(y_n) \geq n^2 G(z, y_n)$ . If  $m$  is the measure giving mass  $\sigma(y_n)^{-1}$  to  $y_n$  we have  $\int G(z, y)m(dy) < \infty$ . So  $m$  determines a potential and this potential is integrable by assumption. However the integral of this potential is  $\int \sigma(y)m(dy) = \infty$ . A contradiction.

Conversely suppose (1.4) is valid. Let  $p$  be the potential of the measure  $m$ . We have

$$\int p(x) dx = \int \sigma(x) dm(x) \leq Ap(z).$$

so that if  $p(z) < \infty$  we are done. If  $p(z) = \infty$  we proceed as follows: Take a ball contained in  $D$  and containing  $z$ . The balayage  $q$  of  $p$  on the complement of  $B$  is finite at  $z$ .  $q$  is thus integrable. Since  $p$  is locally integrable and equals  $q$  off  $B$  we find  $p$  is integrable. The proof is complete.

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *If all potentials on a domain  $D$  are integrable so are all positive harmonic functions.*

*Proof.* Let  $z$  be any point in  $D$ . From Proposition 1.1 there is a constant  $A$  such that  $\sigma(y) \leq AG(z, y)$ . Let  $u$  be positive harmonic. For any compact subdomain  $E$ , the redut of  $u$  on  $E$  is a potential. The last inequality shows that the integral of this potential is bounded by  $Au(z)$ . As  $E$  expands to  $D$ , these reduts increase to  $u$ . That completes the proof.

**PROPOSITION 1.3.** *Let  $D$  be a bounded domain. For  $x \in D$  let*

$$d(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial D).$$

Then

$$|\text{grad } \sigma(x)| \leq (d/d(x))\sigma(x) \tag{1.5}$$

where  $d = \text{dimension of space}$ .

*Proof.*  $\sigma$  satisfies the Poisson equation (see (1.3))

$$\Delta \sigma = -C$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions. It follows that  $\text{grad } \sigma$  is harmonic in  $D$ . Let  $x \in D$  and  $B$  the ball centre  $x$  and radius  $d(x)$ . By the mean value property

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grad } \sigma(x) &= \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \text{grad } \sigma(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\partial B} \sigma n ds \end{aligned}$$

where  $|B|$  denotes volume of  $B$ ; the last equality above being a consequence of the divergence theorem. Continuing

$$|\text{grad } \sigma(x)| \leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\partial B} \sigma ds \leq \frac{d}{d(x)} \sigma(x)$$

because  $\sigma$  is superharmonic. The proof is complete.

COROLLARY 1.4. *Let  $D$  be a bounded domain such that all points of  $\partial D$  are regular. Then  $\text{grad } \sigma$  is bounded in  $D$  iff*

$$\sigma(x) \leq \text{const } d(x) \tag{1.6}$$

where  $d(x)$  as in Proposition 1.3 denotes distance to the boundary.

*Proof.* Let  $\text{grad } \sigma$  be bounded,  $x \in D$  and  $z \in \partial D$  satisfying  $|x - z| = d(x)$ . The line joining  $x$  and  $z$  is in  $D$ ;  $z$  being regular  $\sigma(z) = 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x) &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \sigma(z + t(x - z)) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 (x - z) \cdot \text{grad } \sigma dt \\ &\leq |x - z| \|\text{grad } \sigma\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 1.5. *Let  $D$  be a bounded domain,  $f$  measurable with  $|f| \leq 1$ . Then*

$$|\text{grad } Gf(x)| \leq \frac{d}{d(x)} G|f|(x) + \text{const} \tag{1.7}$$

where  $\text{const}$  is independent of  $f$ . In particular if  $\text{grad } \sigma$  is bounded and all points of  $\partial D$  regular then  $\|\text{grad } Gf\|_\infty \leq M$  where  $M$  is independent of  $f$  and depends only on the dimension and volume of  $D$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $f$  vanishes outside  $D$  and put  $\phi = Kf$ .  $\phi$  is continuously differentiable [1] and

$$Gf = \phi - u \tag{1.8}$$

where  $u$  is the Dirichlet solution with boundary data  $\phi$ . Let us estimate the gradients of  $\phi$  and  $u$ .

Writing  $a = |x - y|$ ,  $b = |z - y|$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |K(x, y) - K(z, y)| &= \left| \frac{1}{a^{d-2}} - \frac{1}{b^{d-2}} \right| \\ &= |a - b| \sum_{i+j=d-1} 1/a^i b^j \end{aligned}$$

For  $i + j = d - 1$ ,  $a^{-i} b^{-j} \leq a^{-d+1} + b^{-d+1}$ . We can continue from above

$$|K(x, y) - K(z, y)| \leq |x - z| d [1/a^{d-1} + 1/b^{d-1}]. \tag{1.9}$$

$|f| \leq 1$  and the integral

$$\int_D \frac{1}{|\xi - y|^{d-1}} dy \leq \omega^{1-1/d} \frac{d}{(d-1)^{1-1/d}} |D|^{1/d} \tag{1.10}$$

where  $|D|$  is the volume of  $D$ ,  $\omega$  the surface area of unit sphere and  $d$  is the dimension.

Integrating (1.9) and using (1.10)

$$|\phi(\xi) - \phi(\eta)| \leq A |\xi - \eta| \tag{1.11}$$

where  $A$  depends only on the volume of  $D$  and the dimension. We use (1.11) to estimate the gradient of  $u$ .

$u$  being harmonic in  $D$ , so is  $\text{grad } u$ . Let  $x \in D$  and  $B$  the ball with centre  $x$  and radius  $d(x)$ . By the mean value property

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grad } u(x) &= \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \text{grad } u(y) \, dy \\ &= \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\partial B} u \eta \, ds \end{aligned}$$

by the divergence theorem. Let  $z \in \partial D$  such that  $|x - z| = d(x)$ . Continuing from above

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{grad } u(x)| &= \left| \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\partial B} u \eta \, ds \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\partial B} (u(y) - \phi(z)) \eta \, ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\partial B} |u(y) - \phi(z)| \, ds \end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

Let  $\tau(y)$  be a point on  $\partial D$  satisfying

$$|y - \tau(y)| = \text{dist}(y, \partial D)$$

$z$  being in  $\partial D$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |y - \tau(y)| &\leq |y - z| \leq 2 d(x) \\ |\tau(y) - z| &\leq |y - \tau(y)| + |y - z| \leq 4 d(x) \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

Continuing from (1.12):

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{grad } u(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\partial B} |u(y) - \phi(\tau(y))| \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\partial B} |\phi(\tau(y)) - \phi(z)| \, ds \end{aligned}$$

(1.8), (1.11) and (1.13) can be used to estimate the integrands above

$$\begin{aligned} |u(y) - \phi(\tau(y))| &\leq G |f|(y) + |\phi(y) - \phi(\tau(y))| \\ &\leq G |f|(y) + 2A d(x) \\ |\phi(\tau(y)) - \phi(z)| &\leq 4A d(x) \end{aligned}$$

Using these and continuing from (1.14) and remembering that  $G|f|(y)$  is superharmonic

$$|\text{grad } u(x)| \leq \frac{d}{d(x)} G|f|(x) + 6DA \tag{1.15}$$

Finally using (1.8), (1.11) and (1.15) we get (1.7). Since  $G|f|(x) \leq \sigma(x)$ , the second statement of the proposition follows from Corollary 1.4.

**2. Domain condition.** In this section we assume that the domain  $D$  is nice enough to satisfy the uniform  $R$ -sphere condition:

There exists  $R > 0$  such that for each  $z \in \partial D$  corresponds a point  $\zeta$  such that  $|\zeta - z| = R$  and the open ball with centre  $\zeta$  and radius  $R$  is completely contained in the complement of  $D$ .

This is a well known condition. See for example Courant–Hilbert [1]. Examples of such domains are domains with  $c^2$ -boundaries convex domains etc.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $D$  be a domain satisfying the uniform  $R$ -sphere condition. Let  $\sigma$  be as in (1.2). Then for  $x \in D$*

$$|\text{grad } \sigma(x)| \leq M \tag{2.1}$$

where  $M$  depends only the diameter of  $D$ , the dimension of space and  $R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in D$  and  $z \in \partial D$  such that  $|z - x| = d(x)$ . By assumption there is a ball  $B(\zeta, R)$  in the complement of  $D$  and  $|\zeta - z| = R$ . The function

$$\phi(y) = \frac{1}{R^{d-1}} - \frac{1}{|\zeta - y|^{d-1}}$$

is positive and superharmonic in the complement of  $B(\zeta, R)$ . And for all  $y \in D$

$$\Delta \phi(y) = -(d-1)|\zeta - y|^{-d-1} \leq -(d-1)(A+R)^{-d-1}$$

where  $A = \text{diameter of } D$ . Since by (1.3)  $\Delta \sigma = -A_d$  in  $D$ ,  $N\phi$  with  $N = A_d((A+R)^{d+1}/d-1)$  satisfies  $\Delta(N\phi - \sigma) \leq 0$  in  $D$ . This means that  $N\phi - \sigma$  is superharmonic in  $D$  and since  $\sigma = 0$  on  $\partial D$ ,  $N\phi - \sigma \geq 0$  on  $\partial D$ . By the boundary minimum principle  $N\phi \geq \sigma$  in  $D$ . Because  $\phi(x) \leq R^{-d}|z-x|$  we obtain

$$\sigma(x) \leq R^{-d}N|z-x|$$

Proposition (1.3) then gives (2.1).

**THEOREM 2.2 (Harnack inequality).** *Let  $D$  be a bounded Lipschitz domain satisfying the uniform exterior  $R$ -sphere condition. If  $u$  is harmonic in  $D$  with boundary data  $f \geq 0$*

$$\int u \, dx \leq \frac{M}{A} d \int f \, ds$$

where  $ds$  is the  $(d-1)$  dimensional Hausdorff measure on  $\partial D$ ,  $M$  and  $A_d$  are given in (2.1) and (1.3).

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a smooth subdomain of  $D$  and  $F \geq 0$  smooth on  $R^d$ . Then

$$F + \frac{1}{A_d} \int_D G(x, y) \Delta F(y) dy = u \quad (2.2)$$

where  $u$  is the harmonic function in  $D$  with boundary data  $F$ . Using Green's identity for  $A$  and from (1.3)

$$\int_A \sigma \Delta F + A_d \int_A F = \int_{\partial A} \sigma \frac{\partial F}{\partial \eta} - \int_{\partial A} F \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \eta}$$

In this last equality if we let  $A$  increase to  $D$ , and note that  $\sigma = 0$  on  $\partial D$ :

$$\int_D \sigma \Delta F + A_d \int_D F = -\lim \int_{\partial A} F \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \eta} \quad (2.3)$$

Integrate both sides of (2.2) on  $D$ , compare with (2.3) and use (2.1) to get

$$\int_D u \leq \frac{M}{A_d} \int_{\partial D} F ds \quad (2.4)$$

where  $ds$  is the Hausdorff dimensional measure on  $\partial D$ .

REMARK. An easy conclusion from (2.4) is that for each  $x \in D$  the harmonic measure at  $x$  is absolutely continuous relative to  $ds$  and has bounded density. Indeed let  $m(x, dz)$  denote the harmonic measure at  $x$  and put  $m(dz) = \int_D m(x, dz) dx$ . If  $u$  and  $F$  are as above

$$\int_D u = \int_{\partial D} F dm$$

and (2.4) immediately tells us that  $m$  is absolutely continuous relative to  $ds$  and has density bounded by  $M/A_d$ . On the other hand if  $f \in L^1(m)$ , then necessarily  $f \in L^1(m(x, \cdot))$  for each  $x \in D$  i.e. for each  $x \in D$ ,  $m(x, \cdot)$  has bounded density relative to  $m$ . In particular  $m(x, \cdot)$  has bounded density relative to  $ds$  as claimed.

## REFERENCE

1. R. Courant and D. Hilbert *Methods of Mathematical Physics*. (Interscience).

MATEMATISK INSTITUT  
AARHUS UNIVERSITY  
AARHUS, DENMARK.