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1. If $I$ is an inflexion on a non-singular plane cubic curve, a variable line $I P P^{\prime}$ establishes a ( 1,1 ) correspondence between points $P, P^{\prime}$ on the curve. This correspondence defines a perspective transformation of the whole plane, with $I$ for pole, and the harmonic polar of $I$ for axis, of perspective; for, when $I$ is projected to infinity on the $y$-axis, and its harmonic polar taken for $x$-axis, the resulting equation

$$
y^{2}=a x^{3}+b x^{2}+c x+d
$$

indicates a curve symmetrical with respect to the latter.
It follows that the cartesian coordinates of $P^{\prime}$ are linear functions of those of $P$. But if the elliptic parameters of $I, P$ are $\Omega / 3, u$, ( $\Omega$ being as usual a period), that of $P^{\prime}$ is $-u-\Omega / 3$. Hence $\wp(u+\Omega / 3), \wp^{\prime}(u+\Omega / 3)$ can be expressed linearly in terms of $\wp(u), \wp^{\prime}(u)$.

If to $I, P, P^{\prime}$ are assigned the homogeneous point-coordinates $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right),(x, y, z),(X, Y, Z)$, and to the axis of perspective the equation

$$
L \equiv \lambda x+\mu y+\nu z=0,
$$

the equations of the transformation are

$$
\begin{equation*}
X: Y: Z=x+\tau x_{0} L: y+\tau y_{0} L: z+\tau z_{0} L, . . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $-2 \tau^{-1}=\lambda x_{0}+\mu y_{0}+\nu z_{0}$.
Let the equation of the cubic be taken in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=4 x^{3}-q_{2} x-q_{3} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=12 \alpha \alpha^{\prime}, \mu=\alpha^{\prime \prime}, \nu=3 \alpha^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}-4 \alpha^{2}\right), \tau=-1 / 2 \alpha^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have the desired formulae
$\wp(u+\Omega / 3)=\frac{2 \alpha^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \wp(u)-\alpha L}{2 \alpha^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime}-L},-\wp^{\prime}(u+\Omega / 3)=\frac{2 \alpha^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \wp^{\prime}(u)-\alpha^{\prime} L}{2 \alpha^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime}-L}$,
where $\quad L \equiv 12 \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \wp(u)+\alpha^{\prime \prime} \wp^{\prime}(u)+3 \alpha^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}-4 \alpha^{2}\right)$.
Two modifications of these formulae may be noticed.
(i) From the condition for an inflexion, $\alpha^{\prime \prime 2}=\alpha^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}=12 \alpha \alpha^{\prime 2}$. Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\alpha^{\prime \prime} / \alpha^{\prime}= \pm \sqrt{ }(12 \alpha), \beta^{\prime}=24 \alpha^{\prime} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

write also

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1}=12 \wp(u), & X_{1}=12 \wp(u+\Omega / 3), \\
y_{1}=24 \wp^{\prime}(u), & Y_{1}=24 \wp^{\prime}(u+\Omega / 3)
\end{array}
$$

then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
X_{1} & =\frac{-2\left(\beta^{\prime}-\beta^{3}\right) x_{1}+\beta^{2} y_{1}+\beta^{2}\left(3 \beta^{\prime}-2 \beta^{3}\right)}{2 \beta x_{1}+y_{1}+\left(\beta^{\prime}-2 \beta^{3}\right)}  \tag{6}\\
-Y_{1} & =\frac{2 \beta \beta^{\prime} x_{1}-\beta^{\prime} y_{1}+\beta\left(3 \beta^{\prime}-2 \beta^{3}\right)}{2 \beta x_{1}+y_{1}+\left(\overline{\beta^{\prime}-2 \beta^{3}}\right)}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

(ii) Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{2}=12\{\wp(u)-\wp(\Omega / 3)\}, \quad X_{2}=12\{\wp(u+\Omega / 3)-\wp(\Omega / 3)\}, \\
& y_{2}=24\left\{\wp^{\prime}(u)+\wp^{\prime}(\Omega / 3)\right\} / 2 \wp^{\prime}(\Omega / 3), \\
& \qquad Y_{2}=24\left\{\wp^{\prime}(u+\Omega / 3)+\wp^{\prime}(\Omega / 3)\right\} / 2 \wp^{\prime}(\Omega / 3) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{2}: Y_{2}: 1=-x_{2}: y_{2}-1: \delta x_{2}+y_{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=\beta / \beta^{\prime}=\alpha / 2 \alpha^{\prime \prime}$.
2. New expressions for the coefficients in (6) are obtained by considering the canonical form of (2), say

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{3}+x_{2}^{3}+x_{3}^{3}+6 m x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}=0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To transform (8) into (2), write

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}=x+b: m x+n y-c: m x-n y-c ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, $n$ remaining arbitrary, $b, c$ are determined by

$$
b / 6 m^{2}=c /\left(1+2 m^{3}\right)=1 / 24 n^{3} ;
$$

also

$$
\begin{gathered}
q_{2}=-m\left(1-m^{3}\right) / 12 n^{4}, q_{3}=\left(1-20 m^{3}+8 m^{6}\right) / 12^{3} n^{6}, \\
\Delta \equiv q_{2}^{3}-27 q_{3}^{2}=-\left\{\left(1+8 m^{3}\right) / 48 n^{4}\right\}^{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The two cases to be considered are:
Case A: $m<-\frac{1}{2}, \Delta>0$;
Case $B: m>-\frac{1}{2}, \Delta<0$.
Case A: $\Delta>0$.
Let $\tau_{\mu \mu^{\prime}} \equiv\left(2 \mu \omega+2 \mu^{\prime} \omega^{\prime}\right) / 3,\left(\mu, \mu^{\prime}=0,1,2\right)$, where $2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}$ are the real and purely imaginary periods; and let $I_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}$ denote the inflexion of which $\tau_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}$ is the elliptic parameter. The ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ ) coordinates of the inflexions may then be taken as follows, where $\epsilon \equiv \exp .(2 \pi i / 3)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{00}(0,1,-1), I_{01}\left(0, \epsilon,-\epsilon^{2}\right), I_{02}\left(0, \epsilon^{2},-\epsilon\right), \\
& I_{10}(-1,0,1), I_{11}\left(-\epsilon^{2}, 0, \epsilon\right), I_{12}\left(-\epsilon, 0, \epsilon^{2}\right), \\
& I_{20}(1,-1,0), I_{21}\left(\epsilon,-\epsilon^{2}, 0\right), I_{22}\left(\epsilon^{2},-\epsilon, 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Of the nine non-congruent values of $\tau_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}$, four only, in addition to $\tau_{00}$, are effectively distinct for the present purpose, viz., $\tau_{10}, \tau_{01}$, $\tau_{11}, \tau_{12}$. The values of $\wp\left(\tau_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}\right), \wp^{\prime}\left(\tau_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}\right)$, briefly written $\wp_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}$, $\wp_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, are given by the following table, in which the last column is inserted to resolve the ambiguity of sign in (5) above.*

| $\mu$ | $\mu^{\prime}$ | $12 n^{2} \wp_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}$ | $24 n^{3} \wp^{\prime} \mu \mu^{\prime}$ | $24 n^{4} \wp^{\prime \prime} \mu \mu^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | $(1-m)^{2}$ | $1-2 m+4 m^{2}$ | $(1-2 m)\left(1-2 m+4 m^{2}\right)$ |
| 0 | 1 | $-3 m^{2}$ | $-i\left(1+8 m^{3}\right) / \sqrt{ } 3$ | $m\left(1+8 m^{3}\right)$ |
| 1 | 1 | $\epsilon(1-\epsilon m)^{2}$ | $1-2 \epsilon m+4 \epsilon^{2} m^{2}$ | $\epsilon^{2}(1-\epsilon m)\left(1-2 \epsilon m+4 \epsilon^{2} m m^{2}\right)$ |
| 1 | 2 | $\epsilon^{2}\left(1-\epsilon^{2} m\right)^{2}$ | $1-2 \epsilon^{2} m+4 \epsilon m^{2}$ | $\epsilon\left(1-\epsilon^{2} m\right)\left(1-2 \epsilon^{2} m+4 \epsilon m^{2}\right)$ |

* Cf. Baker, B. A. Report 1910 (Sheffield), p. 528.

Now writing

$$
p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}=n \wp_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime} / \wp_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\prime}, p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\prime}=24 n^{3} \wp_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\prime},
$$

we have for these the table

| $\mu$ | $\mu^{\prime}$ | $p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}$ | $\boldsymbol{p}^{\prime}{ }_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 1-m | $1-2 m+4 m^{2}$ |
| 0 | 1 | $i \sqrt{ } 3 . m$ | $-\boldsymbol{i}\left(1+8 m^{3}\right) / \sqrt{ } 3$ |
| 1 | 1 | $\epsilon^{2}(1-\epsilon m)$ | $1-2 \epsilon m+4 \epsilon^{2} m^{2}$ |
| 1 | 2 | $\epsilon\left(1-\epsilon^{2} m\right)$ | $1-2 \epsilon^{2} m+4 \epsilon m^{2}$ |

## Putting further

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 12 n^{2} \wp(u)=P, 12 n^{2} \wp\left(u+\tau_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}\right)=P_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}, \\
& 24 n^{3} \wp^{\prime}(u)=P^{\prime}, 24 n^{2} \wp^{\prime}\left(u+\tau_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}\right)=P_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}:-P_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\prime}: 1=A_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}: B_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}: C_{\mu \mu^{\prime}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}=-2\left(p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\prime}-p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{3}\right) P+p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{2} P^{\prime}+p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{2}\left(3 p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\prime}-2 p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{3}\right) . \\
& B_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}=2 p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}} p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}} P-p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\prime} P^{\prime}+p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(3 p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\prime}-2 p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{3}\right), \\
& C_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}=2 p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}} P+P^{\prime}+\left(p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{\prime}-2 p_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Formula (7) may also now be written more explicitly as follows. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}=12 n^{2}\left\{\wp(u)-\wp_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}\right\}, X_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}=12 n^{2}\left\{\wp\left(u+\tau_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}\right)-\wp_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}\right\}, \\
& y_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}=\left\{\wp^{\prime}(u)+\wp_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}\right\} / 2 \wp^{\prime}{ }_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}, Y_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}=\left\{\wp^{\prime}\left(u+\tau_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}\right)+\wp_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}\right\} / 2 \wp_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}, \\
& \rho_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}=y_{\mu \mu^{\prime}} / y_{\mu \mu^{\prime}} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}: Y_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}: 1=-x_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}: y_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}-1: \rho_{\mu \mu^{\prime}} x_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}+y_{\mu \mu^{\prime}} \ldots \ldots \text { ( } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\wp\left(u+\tau_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}\right), \wp^{\prime}\left(u+\tau_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}\right)$ have been expressed linearly in terms of $\wp(u), \wp^{\prime}(u)$, the coefficients being, for all values of $\mu, \mu^{\prime}$, simple rational functions of $m$ and of the disposable constant $n$.

$$
\text { CASE B: } \Delta<0 \text {. }
$$

Let $2 \omega, 2 \omega^{\prime}$ denote the conjugate imaginary periods; we can assume them so chosen that $\omega_{2} \equiv \omega^{\prime}+\omega, \omega_{2}^{1}=\omega^{\prime}-\omega$, are respectively a positive real quantity and a positive pure imaginary. The discussion of case $\mathbf{A}$ will become valid for this case also, if we replace the $\omega, \omega^{\prime}$ of case $\mathbf{A}$ by the quantities $\omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{1}$.
3. For the general cubic $\phi(x, y, 1)=0$ let the parametric representation be given by

$$
x=\epsilon(u), y=\zeta(u),
$$

where $\epsilon, \zeta$ are elliptic functions with the same periods, and the parameter $u$ vanishes at an inflexion. It follows, just as in $\S 1$, that $\epsilon(u+\Omega / 3), \zeta(u+\Omega / 3)$ can be expressed linearly in terms of $\epsilon(u), \zeta(u)$, where $\Omega$ is any period. Writing $x_{0}=\epsilon(\Omega / 3), y_{0}=\zeta(\Omega / 3)$, $X=\epsilon(u+\Omega / 3), Y=\zeta(u+\Omega / 3)$, we have ( $c f$. (1))

$$
\begin{equation*}
X: Y: 1=x+\tau x_{0} L: y+\tau y_{0} L: 1+\tau L, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
L \equiv \frac{\phi_{11}}{\phi_{1}} x+\frac{\phi_{22}}{\phi_{2}} y+\frac{\phi_{33}}{\phi_{3}},-2 \tau^{-1}=\frac{\phi_{11}}{\phi_{1}} x_{0}+\frac{\phi_{22}}{\phi_{2}} y_{0}+\frac{\phi_{33}}{\phi_{3}},
$$

$\phi_{1}, \phi_{11}, \ldots$ denoting the values of $\partial \phi(x, y, z) / \partial x, \partial^{2} \phi(x, y, z) \partial x^{2}, \ldots$ at the point ( $x_{0}, y_{0}, 1$ ). The form of $L$ (the harmonic polar) is obvious from its definition as part of the degenerate polar conic of the point of inflexion. The coefficients of the substitution (12) are then simple rational functions of $\epsilon(\Omega / 3), \zeta(\Omega / 3)$.

As a typical case we might take the cubic defined by

$$
x=\operatorname{sn}^{2} u, y=\operatorname{sn} u \operatorname{cn} u \operatorname{dn} u ;
$$

if we write $v=u+2 m K / 3+2 n i K^{\prime} / 3$, we shall have $\operatorname{sn}^{2} v, \operatorname{sn} v \operatorname{cn} v \operatorname{dn} v$, expressed as linear functions of $\operatorname{sn}^{2} u, \operatorname{sn} u \operatorname{cn} u \operatorname{dn} u$.

