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#### Abstract

Let $N$ be a nilpotent simply connected Lie group, and $A$ a commutative connected $d$-dimensional Lie group of automorphisms of $N$ which correspond to semisimple endomorphisms of the Lie algebra of $N$ with positive eigenvalues. Form the split extension $S=N \times A \cong N \times \mathbf{a}$, a being the Lie algebra of $A$. We consider a family of "rectangles" $B_{r}$ in $S$, parameterized by $r>0$, such that the measure of $B_{r}$ behaves asymptotically as a fixed power of $r$. One can construct the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function operator $f \rightarrow M_{f}$ relative to left translates of the family $\left\{B_{r}\right\}$. We prove that $\mathcal{M}$ is of weak type $(1,1)$. This complements a result of J.-O. Strömberg concerning maximal functions defined relative to hyperbolic balls in a symmetric space.
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Let $G$ be a semi-simple connected non-compact Lie group with finite center and let $G=N A K$ be the Iwasawa decomposition of $G$. Let $S=G / K$ be the noncompact symmetric space. $N A$ acts on $S$ simply transitively and so there is a natural identification of the group $N A$ (the group of translations of $S$ ) and $S$. We write

$$
S=N A
$$

[^0]The $G$-invariant metric $\rho$ on $S$ is thus a left-invariant metric on $S$ and the $G$-invariant measure on $S$ is the left invariant Haar measure $\mu_{l}$ on $S$. In this setting a theorem of J.-O. Strömberg [2] reads

Theorem. Let

$$
\mathbf{B}_{r}=\{s \in S: \rho(s, e) \leq r\} .
$$

The maximal function $M f$ defined by

$$
M f(s)=\sup _{r>0} \mu_{l}\left(\mathbf{B}_{r}\right)^{-1} \int_{s \mathbf{B}_{r}} f\left(s^{\prime}\right) d \mu_{l}\left(s^{\prime}\right)
$$

is of weak type $(1,1)$.
The aim of this note is to show that a similar theorem is true for other families of balls $\left\{B_{r}\right\}_{r>0}$ on $S$ (not $K$-invariant any more) and as a matter of fact, the proof is very easy and straightforward. As a simple calculation shows, the balls we consider and the balls with respect to the hyperbolic metric on the upper half-plane (identified with the ' $a x+b$ '-group as above) are not comparable in measure, so Strömberg's result and ours are not simple consequences of each other.

The setting of our theorem is as follows.
Let $N$ be a nilpotent simply connected Lie group. Let $A$ be a commutative connected $d$-dimensional Lie group of automorphisms of $N$ which (as linear transformations on $\mathbf{n}$ ) are semi-simple with positive eigenvalues. We write $A=\left\{e^{t}: t \in \mathbf{a}\right\}, N \ni x \rightarrow e^{t} x \in N$ being the action of $A$ on $N$. We then have $e^{t} \cdot e^{t^{\prime}} x=e^{t+t^{\prime}} x$.

Let

$$
N \ni x \rightarrow|x| \in \mathbf{R}^{+}
$$

be a continuous function on $N$ with the property that for some positive constants $c, C^{\prime}, Q$

$$
C r^{Q} \leq \text { measure }\{x:|x| \leq r\} \leq c^{\prime} r^{Q}
$$

for all $r>0$. For $t \in \mathbf{a}$ let $|t|=$ norm of the operator $t$ (acting on $\mathbf{n}$ ). We form the split extension of $N$ by $A$ :

$$
S=N A=N \times \mathbf{a}
$$

the multiplication being

$$
(x, t)\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=\left(x+e^{-t} x^{\prime}, t+t^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Then the left and right invariant Haar measures on $S$ are,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \mu_{l}(x, t) & =e^{-T_{T} t} d x d t, \\
d \mu_{r}(x, t) & =d x d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively.

ThEOREM. Let

$$
B_{\tau}=\{s=(x, t):|x| \leq r,|t| \leq r\}
$$

The maximal function $M f$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{M} f=\sup _{r>0} \mu_{l}\left(B_{r}\right)^{-1} \int_{s B_{r}} f\left(s^{\prime}\right) d \mu_{l}\left(s^{\prime}\right)
$$

is of weak type $(1,1)$.
The proof follows [2] but is much simpler. In fact the theorem is an immediate consequence of the following two propositions.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}_{0} f(s)=\sup _{r \leq 1} \mu_{l}\left(B_{r}\right)^{-1} \int_{s B_{r}} f\left(s^{\prime}\right) d \mu_{l}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \\
& \mathcal{M}_{\infty} f(s)=\sup _{r \geq 1} \mu_{l}\left(B_{r}\right)^{-1} \int_{s B_{r}} f\left(s^{\prime}\right) d \mu_{l}\left(s^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

PROPOSITION 1. $M_{0}$ is of weak type $(1,1)$.
PROPOSITION 2. $\mathcal{M}_{\infty} f(s) \leq|f|+\check{\tau}(s)$, where $\check{\tau} \in L^{1}\left(S, \mu_{l}\right)$.
Proposition 1 follows from the following two easy lemmas.
Lemma 1. Let $E \subset S$ and $\mu_{l}(E)<+\infty$. Suppose

$$
E \subset \bigcup_{s \in \sigma} s B_{r(s)}, \quad r(s) \leq 1
$$

Then there exists a subset $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ of $\sigma$ such that if $B_{r_{j}}=B_{r\left(s_{j}\right)}$, then

$$
s_{i} B_{r_{i}} \cap s_{j} B_{r_{j}}=\varnothing \quad \text { for } i \neq j
$$

and

$$
E \subset \bigcup_{j} s_{j} B_{r_{j}} B_{2 r_{j}}^{-1} B_{2 r_{j}}
$$

The proof is standard.
LEMMA 2. There is a constant $C$ such that

$$
\mu_{l}\left(B_{r} B_{2 r}^{-1} B_{2 r}\right) \leq C \mu_{l}\left(B_{r}\right)
$$

for all $r \leq 1$.
Proof of Proposition 2. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{l}\left(B_{r}\right) \geq C r^{Q} \int_{|t| \leq r} e^{-\operatorname{Tr} t} d t=C r^{Q}(s h r)^{d} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following J.-L. Clerc and E. M. Stein [1], see also [1], we get

$$
\varphi(x, t)= \begin{cases}\mu_{l}\left(B_{|x|}\right)^{-1} & \text { if }|x| \geq \max \{|t|, 1\} \\ \mu_{l}\left(B_{|t|}\right)^{-1} & \text { if }|t| \geq \max \{|x|, 1\} \\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and we note that for a constant $C$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{l}\left(B_{r}\right)^{-1} \chi_{B_{r}}(x, t) \leq C \varphi(x, t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r \geq 1$, where $\chi_{E}$ denotes the indicator function of $E$. In fact, it suffices to verify (2) for

$$
r_{0}=\min \left\{r:(x, t) \in B_{\mathrm{r}}\right\}
$$

and for $r_{0}(2)$ is obvious.
By (1), we have

$$
\varphi(x, t) \leq C\left(1+|x|^{Q}(s h|x|)^{d}+|t|^{Q}(s h|t|)^{d}\right)^{-1}=\tau(x, t)
$$

Consequently, by (2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{\infty} f(s) & =\sup _{r \geq 1} \mu_{l}\left(B_{r}\right)^{-1} \int \chi_{s B_{r}}\left(s^{\prime}\right) f\left(s^{\prime}\right) d \mu_{l}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sup _{r \geq 1} \int \mu_{l}\left(B_{r}\right)^{-1} \chi_{B_{r}}\left(s^{\prime-1} s\right) f\left(s^{\prime}\right) d \mu_{l}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq|f| * f(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But clearly

$$
\int\left(1+|x|^{Q}(s h|x|)^{d}+|t|^{Q}(s h|t|)^{d}\right)^{-1} d t d x<+\infty
$$

i.e.

$$
\tau \in L^{1}\left(S, \mu_{\tau}\right)
$$

whence $\check{\tau} \in L^{1}\left(S, \mu_{l}\right)$, and the proof is complete.

REMARK. It would perhaps be interesting to know whether a similar result holds for riemannian balls with respect to some left-invariant riemannian metric on a solvable Lie group $S$.
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