What is the cell hydration status of healthy children in the USA? Preliminary data on urine osmolality and water intake
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Abstract

Objective: Hyperosmotic stress on cells limits many aspects of cell function, metabolism and health. International data suggest that schoolchildren may be at risk of hyperosmotic stress on cells because of suboptimal water intake. The present study explored the cell hydration status of two samples of children in the USA.

Design: Cross-sectional study describing the urine osmolality (an index of hyperosmotic cell shrinkage) and water intake of convenience samples from Los Angeles (LA) and New York City (NYC).

Setting: Each participant collected a urine sample at an outpatient clinic on the way to school on a weekday morning in spring 2009. Each was instructed to wake, eat, drink and do as usual before school, and complete a dietary record form describing the type and amounts of all foods and beverages consumed after waking, before giving the sample.

Subjects: The children (9–11 years) in LA (n 337) and NYC (n 211) considered themselves healthy enough to go to school on the day they gave the urine sample.

Results: Elevated urine osmolality (>800 mmol/kg) was observed in 63% and 66% of participants in LA and NYC, respectively. In multivariable-adjusted logistic regression models, elevated urine osmolality was associated with not reporting intake of drinking water in the morning (LA: OR = 2·1, 95% CI 1·2, 3·5; NYC: OR = 1·8, 95% CI 1·0, 3·5). Although over 90% of both samples had breakfast before giving the urine sample, 75% did not drink water.

Conclusions: Research is warranted to confirm these results and pursue their potential health implications.
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Cell hydration is integral to endocrine, immune, neural, cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, muscle and skeletal function. Changes in cell volume are required, for example, to mediate hormone release and response, produce the electrical signals for neuron activation and regulate gene transcription and metabolic pathways. When solute concentrations outside the cell are elevated, they create an osmotic force that draws water out of cells, limiting cell swelling and the processes that depend on cell volume change.

Cell dehydration is acutely associated with a wide variety of adverse outcomes in healthy adults and children, including insulin resistance, an exaggerated cortisol and immune response, decreased sympathetic nervous activity, impaired thermoregulation and impaired cognitive and physical performance. In patients, cell dehydration is also associated with a wide variety of adverse outcomes, including diabetic ketoacidosis, neurological complications, renal nephropathy, susceptibility to infection, red cell lysis in sickle cell anaemia, nutrient malabsorption and mortality.

Although much is known about the effects of cell dehydration in acute or extreme conditions (e.g. marathons) and critical illness, little is known about the longer-term public health impact of osmotic stress on cells, more generally. Data from the Duke EPESE (Duke site of the Established Populations for Epidemiologic Studies of the Elderly) study indicate that cell dehydration is associated with increased risk of incident diabetes, frailty over 4 years and mortality over 8 years in community-dwelling older adults. The DONALD (Dortmund Nutritional and Anthropometric Longitudinally Designed) study in Germany has collected longitudinal data over 15 years on hydration status in free-living children. Population-based, longitudinal studies are needed to determine if/how cell dehydration translates into disease risk in representative samples of non-acutely ill, free-living individuals.

In vivo, cell hydration status can be studied using urine osmolality. Cell shrinkage stimulates release of anti-diuretic hormone (ADH), which signals the kidney to concentrate urine. Above a threshold osmolality of approximately 280 mmol/kg, ADH is secreted in direct proportion to...
the osmotic force drawing water out of cells. As ADH levels increase, urine osmolality increases linearly until it reaches a maximum of approximately 1200 mmol/kg in children and younger adults. Values over 800 mmol/kg are considered elevated, values over 1000 mmol/kg are considered maximally concentrated. In healthy individuals, urine osmolality normally increases following overnight water restriction and decreases over the course of the day with water intake at meals. Elevated urine osmolality at a point in time reflects cell shrinkage 2 to 4 h prior to the sample collection. Elevated urine osmolality from a standardized 24 h urine collection, begun after the bladder is emptied, reflects sustained cell shrinkage over the 24 h collection period. Urine osmolality is less invasive and expensive and/or more sensitive to mild cell dehydration than other indicators, such as plasma osmolality, plasma ADH, urine specific gravity and urine colour.

Although population-representative data on urine osmolality are rare, available data suggest that cell dehydration may be prevalent in healthy, free-living children at school. Studies from Israel report that a majority of healthy Jewish children have a random urine osmolality over 800 mmol/kg on arrival at school and/or at noon-time at school. The elevated urine osmolality is attributed to insufficient water intake, because neighbouring Bedouin-Arab children, who live under the same arid conditions but have different drinking habits, do not have an elevated mean urine osmolality (<800 mmol/kg). Healthy children at school may be at risk of low water intake and cell dehydration because of limited access to drinking water and/or a phenomenon known as ‘voluntary dehydration’.

Data from other countries suggest that elevated urine osmolality may be prevalent in healthy children throughout the day. In Germany, the mean 24 h urine osmolality of a representative sample of children, aged 3–18 years, was 801 mmol/kg. In Sweden, the mean 18 h urine osmolality of a convenience sample of twenty adolescents (12–17 years) was 841 mmol/kg. In Germany, elevated urine osmolality is associated with lower total water intake and less water from beverages.

The current prevalence of elevated urine osmolality in children in the USA is unknown. According to nationally representative data, however, US children have suboptimal water intake relative to recommendations, and most US school districts do not have wellness policies that ensure the availability of drinking water. In Los Angeles, access to drinking water is limited in some schools.

The present study describes the urine osmolality of healthy children in two separate convenience samples from different regions of the USA, Los Angeles (LA) and New York City (NYC). To facilitate comparison of the results with the data from Israel, the study evaluated random urine osmolality on a weekday morning at a time of day when the participants were normally at school. To pursue whether elevated urine osmolality might be attributable to low water intake, the study tested for an association between elevated urine osmolality and lower total water intake, under temperate spring conditions, controlling for other hydration determinants. To determine if elevated urine osmolality might, furthermore, be attributable to drinking habits, the source of water intake (drinking water, water from other beverages or water from food) was also evaluated.

**Methods**

**Participants and study protocol**

The present cross-sectional study recruited healthy boys and girls, aged 9–11 years, living in LA and NYC in spring 2009. In each city, 50 000 households were randomly selected from age-targeted mailing lists by a professional mailing company (Metro Mailing, Sacramento, CA, USA) to receive a postcard invitation to participate in a study of ‘normal kidney function in healthy children’. Each postcard had a unique study id and invited only one eligible child to participate. Area of residence, age and general health were the only inclusion/exclusion criteria applied. Consistent with an expected response rate of 1% for postcard mailings (per the mailing company), 548 families in LA and 335 families in NYC responded to the mailing.

Respondents were mailed study materials, including child assent and parental consent forms, and instructions to choose a weekday morning when the child felt healthy enough to go to school to participate in the study. The child was instructed to wake as usual on the selected morning, eat, drink and do whatever is normal for him/her before 08.30 hours, then go to a local Quest Diagnostics Patient Service Center clinic to void a clean catch sample in a cup between 08.30 and 09.30 hours and complete a questionnaire. The study materials did not disclose the study purpose to study cell hydration or urine osmolality. Respondents were offered a $US 50 incentive for participation. Between 1 May and 15 June 2009, 337 children in LA and 211 in NYC completed the urine collection and study questionnaire. The maximum ambient temperatures in LA and NYC did not exceed 22°C during the study period. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Children’s Hospital & Research Center Oakland where the study was coordinated. All study staff were trained in the protection of human research subjects. All study participants returned signed parental consent and child assent forms. All data records were collected and analysed in Oakland, CA, USA.

**Urine osmolality**

Urine osmolality was determined by freezing point depression osmometer at central Quest Diagnostics Laboratories (West Hills, CA, USA and Teterboro, NJ, USA). Consistent with the studies from Israel, elevated urine osmolality was defined as over 800 mmol/kg.
**Water intake**

Study participants recorded the type and quantity of all foods and/or beverages consumed in the morning before the urine collection on a diet record form with free spaces for reporting each item’s time of consumption, name, description (e.g. low-fat v. whole milk, brand name, whole wheat v. white bread, margarine v. butter) and amount consumed. Only morning intake was recorded because urine osmolality reflects cell hydration since the previous void (2–4 h)\(^{(44,45)}\). The diet records were entered into Nutrition Diet Systems (NDS-R) software (1998–2008; Nutrition Coordinating Center, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA) by two trained research staff to estimate intake of drinking water, water from other beverages, water from food and total dietary water (the sum of drinking water, water from other beverages and water from food). Metabolic water was excluded from the total water intake calculation to focus on water intake behaviour or ‘drinking habits’. One researcher was trained to use the NDS-R software in Minnesota by the Nutrition Coordinating Center. Drinking water was defined as tap, spring, mineral or unsweetened sparkling water. Other beverages were defined as any beverage other than drinking water, following the food group codes in the NDS-R software. Smoothies and milkshakes were considered beverages. Milk added to cereal was considered food.

If details about food or beverage type or portion size (such as the type or amount of milk added to cereal) were missing, systematic assumptions were applied, as there was no follow-up contact with families. To address potential reporting errors in the individual water intake estimates, dichotomous indicator variables were created to group participants.

Study participants were grouped with respect to the total amount of water reported as ‘higher’ v. ‘lower’ total water intake, defined using an arbitrary cut-off of 500 ml, equivalent to about 20% of the Adequate Intake of water for children 9–13 years\(^{(53)}\).

Participants were also grouped with respect to the reported source of water intake: drinking water, water from other beverages and water from food. Study participants who reported any drinking water, with or without food or other beverages, were identified as ‘water drinkers’. Participants who reported any volume of any beverage other than drinking water, with or without food, were grouped in an ‘other beverage’ group. Participants who reported eating food, but had no beverage, were grouped in a ‘food only’ group. A ‘no food or beverage’ group reported no intake at all on the morning of the urine sample.

**Potential confounding factors**

The study questionnaire collected information about factors other than water intake that influence hydration status. Study participants self-reported their age, sex, body weight, height, general health and ‘whether they noticed any skin changes, especially pimples’ in the past 2 months. Recent skin changes are a validated index of pubertal hormones\(^{(54–56)}\) that modify ADH release\(^{(57,58)}\). The participants self-reported how many minutes they moved enough to sweat (like fast walking) or moved so fast that they got out of breath (like running) on the previous day. In sixth graders, self-reports of previous-day physical activity correlate with measurements made by accelerometer\(^{(59,60)}\). The participants recorded their use of medications and the time they went to sleep on the day before the urine collection. They recorded what time they woke on the morning of the urine collection. The hours of sleep were calculated to index the length of overnight fluid restriction. The NDS-R software generated estimates of the morning total energy intake and potential renal solute load (mmol = 5.7 × (g of protein) + (mg of Na/23) + (mg of K/39) + 0.55 × (mg of P/31))\(^{(61)}\). The latter is an index of ‘osmotically effective’ solute that cannot freely cross cell membranes and can, therefore, draw water out of cells by osmosis. Missing values for any of these factors were set to the sample mean value.

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were done using the STATA statistical software package version 9.2 (2006; StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). To describe the prevalence of elevated urine osmolality and its relationship with water intake in the sample from each locale, data from the LA and NYC samples were analysed separately. All logistic and linear regression models adjusted for all of the covariates (age, sex, general health, body weight, height, recent skin changes, use of medications, physical activity level, sleep, and the morning total energy intake and potential renal solute load).

**Sample characteristics associated with elevated urine osmolality**

To identify variables that might confound relationships between elevated urine osmolality and water intake, multivariable logistic regression models tested for associations between each covariate listed above and the relative odds of elevated urine osmolality.

**Reported water intake by level of urine osmolality**

Linear regression models were used to describe how the raw (continuous) water intake variables related to urine osmolality before they were collapsed to group indicator variables. The models tested for associations between urine osmolality and total water, drinking water, water from other beverages and water from food, expressed in ml units.

**Relative odds of elevated urine osmolality by level of total water intake and source of water intake**

Logistic regression models tested for greater odds of elevated urine osmolality associated with a lower v. higher level of total water intake. Separate logistic regression models estimated the relative odds of elevated urine osmolality associated with different sources of water intake. The group that reported drinking water (the reference category) was compared with the groups that reported beverages other
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than drinking water, food only or no food or beverage. Additional logistic regression models also compared the group that reported drinking water with all of the other groups combined.

Mean urine osmolality by level of total water intake and source of water intake

It was not possible to estimate the relative odds of elevated urine osmolality associated with source of water, stratified by the level of total water. Only one out of thirteen participants from LA who reported over 500 ml total water, without drinking water, had a urine osmolality below 800 mmol/kg; only five out of twenty-five in NYC. Linear regression models therefore estimated the mean urine osmolality associated with drinking water \( v \) not drinking water, stratified by the level of total water intake.

Results

Sample characteristics

Table 1 describes the samples from LA and NYC. The mean body weight and height were 39 (sd 10) kg and 144 (sd 9) cm in LA, and 39 (sd 12) kg and 143 (sd 11) cm in NYC.

Over 90% of both samples reported eating or drinking something in the hours before giving the urine sample (see Table 2). The mean reported total energy intake was 1348 (sd 816) kJ (322 (sd 195) kcal) for the sample from LA and 1319 (sd 808) kJ (315 (sd 193) kcal) for the sample from NYC. The mean osmotic load was 98 (sd 69) mmol for the sample from LA and 97 (sd 67) mmol for the sample from NYC. Total water intake ranged from zero to 1000 ml for the sample from LA, with 88% of intakes below 500 ml and a median intake of 260 ml. For the sample from NYC, total water intake ranged from zero to 990 ml, with 85% of intakes below 500 ml and a median intake of 270 ml. Approximately 75% of both samples did not report drinking water. The majority reported a beverage other than water or food with no beverage.

Prevalence of elevated urine osmolality

Figure 1 describes the distribution of urine osmolality in both samples. Urine osmolality ranged from 99 to 1372 mmol/kg for participants from LA, with a mean of 827 (sd 250) mmol/kg. It ranged from 886 to 1259 mmol/kg, with a mean of 853 (sd 219) mmol/kg, for participants from NYC. The prevalence of elevated urine osmolality (over 800 mmol/kg) was 63% in the sample from LA and 66% in the sample from NYC. Maximally concentrated urine (over 1000 mmol/kg) was observed for 25% of participants from LA and 27% of participants from NYC.

Sample characteristics associated with elevated urine osmolality

In the sample from LA, elevated urine osmolality was significantly more likely among boys (adjusted OR = 2.0, 95% CI 1.2, 3.3, \( P = 0.005 \)) and less likely among those reporting recent skin changes (adjusted OR = 0.6, 95% CI 0.4, 1.0). Similar trends for male gender and skin changes in the NYC sample were not statistically significant. The other covariates listed above were not significantly associated with elevated urine osmolality in either sample.

Reported water intake by level of urine osmolality

Figure 2 illustrates the bivariate relationships between urine osmolality and reported water intake. Urine osmolality was inversely associated with total water intake in the sample from LA, but not in the sample from NYC. Urine osmolality was inversely associated with drinking water in both samples. Urine osmolality was not significantly associated with water from other beverages or water from food in either sample.

Relative odds of elevated urine osmolality by level of total water intake

Table 2 describes the relative odds of elevated urine osmolality associated with a lower \( v \) higher level of total...

---

Table 1 Characteristics of the study samples: healthy children aged 9–11 years in Los Angeles (LA) and New York City (NYC), spring 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>LA (n 337)</th>
<th>NYC (n 211)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥40</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent skin changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication use in the previous 24 h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep in the previous 24 h (h)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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water intake. Elevated urine osmolality was significantly more likely in participants who reported a lower level of total water intake in the sample from LA, but not in the sample from NYC.

Relative odds of elevated urine osmolality by source of water intake

Table 2 describes the relative odds of elevated urine osmolality associated with the source of water. In both samples, after adjusting for all of the covariates listed above, participants who reported a beverage other than drinking water were two times more likely to have elevated urine osmolality than those who reported drinking water. In both samples, participants who did not report any drinking water (i.e. participants who reported another beverage, food only or no food or beverage, combined) were significantly more likely to have elevated urine osmolality than those who reported drinking water (adjusted OR = 2.2, 95% CI 1.3, 3.7, \( P = 0.005 \) in the LA sample and adjusted OR = 2.0, 95% CI 1.0, 3.8, \( P = 0.045 \) in the NYC sample).

Mean urine osmolality by level of total water intake and source of water intake

Not reporting drinking water was associated with higher urine osmolality, independent of a higher level of total water intake, in both samples (see Fig. 3). Participants who reported a total water intake of more than 500 ml, but no drinking water, had a mean urine osmolality above 800 mmol/kg. Participants who reported more than 500 ml total water intake, but included drinking water, had a mean urine osmolality below 800 mmol/kg.

Discussion

The present study evaluated the urine osmolality of convenience samples of healthy children from two regions of the USA, in the mid-morning on a weekday under mild spring conditions, to explore whether hyperosmotic stress on cells might be a prevalent exposure in these samples. The study was motivated by recent studies in Israel\(^ {40,41,46}\) suggesting a high prevalence of elevated urine osmolality in healthy children due to diet.

Elevated urine osmolality was prevalent in both study samples. Approximately 60% of both samples had a urine osmolality above 800 mmol/kg. The observed prevalence was similar to that reported for healthy children of the same age in Israel, at the same time of day, but under hot summer conditions (63% of children)\(^ {40}\). The reports from Israel attribute the elevated urine osmolality to poor drinking habits and/or involuntary dehydration, although water intake was not empirically assessed in those studies\(^ {40,41}\). In the present study, urine osmolality...
osmolality was evaluated together with dietary intake and other hydration determinants. Consistent with Bar-David et al.’s hypothesis, elevated urine osmolality was significantly associated with not drinking water.

Approximately 75% of the LA and NYC samples did not drink water before giving the urine sample. Given that a group mean provides an unbiased estimate of the ‘usual’ intake of the group, even if the group mean is calculated from only one diet record per individual, this result suggests that, on any given weekday, 75% of these samples do not drink water before leaving the house.

The water intake data for the present study pertain to the window of time immediately prior to the urine sample, allowing temporality to be established, despite the cross-sectional design. The timing of these variables is important, because water intake and urine osmolality may be endogenously related, as cell shrinkage triggers both urine concentration and thirst.

In both the LA and NYC samples, elevated urine osmolality was specifically associated with not drinking water in the morning. More than 90% of both samples reported water intake from food or beverages before giving the urine sample. In both samples, even when the total amount of water was above 500 ml, water from other beverages was associated with elevated, not dilute, urine osmolality.

Acknowledging that the observed associations might be confounded by error from the diet record or covariates, it is nevertheless biologically plausible that beverages other than drinking water do not dilute urine like drinking water. Beverages other than drinking water are many-fold higher in osmolality than drinking water. Drinking water has an osmolality below the threshold for ADH release (about 3 mmol/kg), whereas many other beverages and food have an osmolality above this threshold (e.g. milk: 300 mmol/kg, orange juice: 600 mmol/kg, cranberry juice: 1200 mmol/kg). The levels of Na, carbohydrate and amino acids in milk and juice can shrink cells and trigger ADH release. While drinking water decreases urine osmolality in controlled experiments, hyperosmotic
beverages and food increase urine osmolality\(^{66-68}\). An inverse association between urine osmolality and total water intake would not be expected, if total water intake primarily includes water from hyperosmotic sources.

Water from hyperosmotic sources is retained in the extracellular space unless or until changes in intracellular solute concentrations create a gradient that allows water redistribution into cells\(^{44}\). Hyperosmotic solutions have delayed absorption compared with drinking water\(^{69}\). Considering that water instantaneously follows osmotic gradients, a solution with a given osmotic load would not be expected to have the same effect as plain drinking water consumed separately and before an equivalent amount of solute. Timing may explain why drinking water was associated with lower odds of elevated urine osmolality in the present study, despite control for the morning osmotic load. An aggregate measure of osmotic load is not sensitive to the timing of solute intake relative to water intake.

The typical breakfast for children aged 8 to 10 years in Israel (a cup of chocolate milk with a few biscuits or a cup of milk with a handful of cornflakes\(^{70}\)) is not unlike the breakfasts reported by the LA and NYC samples. It is possible that the elevated urine osmolality in Israel reflects a habit of not drinking water.

In the Israeli sample, children who arrived at school with elevated urine osmolality at 08.00 hours still had elevated urine osmolality at noon-time\(^{40}\). In the present study, it is not possible to know if the study participants eventually diluted their urine over the course of the day. Urine was collected at only one time point. Considering that access to drinking water may be limited in schools in the USA\(^{52}\) and that US children consume other beverages instead of drinking water\(^{50}\), it is conceivable that they did not dilute their urine. In Pittsburgh, fifty-eight out of 100 healthy children who collected 24 h urine samples (i.e. approximately 60% of the sample) had 24 h urine Na concentrations that exceeded the plasma Na concentration\(^{71}\). Even if the elevated urine osmolality eventually normalized with water intake later in the day, the results nevertheless suggest that 60% of these samples experience hyperosmotic stress on cells during the morning hours. Although a single urine sample may not reflect the ‘usual’ day-to-day status of an individual child, the aggregate data represent the ‘usual’ prevalence of elevated urine osmolality at that time of day in the LA and NYC samples.

The consequences of a ‘usual’ delayed urine dilution or greater time spent activating urine-concentrating mechanisms merit consideration, given the many effects of hyperosmotic stress on cells. Adaptive responses to cell dehydration are known to be metabolically expensive\(^{72,73}\). In Israel, elevated urine osmolality was associated with reduced cognitive performance at school\(^{60}\). If drinking water proves to be an important risk factor for cell hydration in schoolchildren, then drinking water campaigns and interventions may impact a wide array of health outcomes in children.

**Limitations**

The present cross-sectional study was limited by convenience sampling and self-reported measures. The results may not be generalizable to all US children. The participants may differ in unknown ways from children who did not participate. The associations in the study may be confounded by measurement error and/or incomplete control for confounding variables.

**Conclusions**

The present study reports a high prevalence of elevated urine osmolality in convenience samples of healthy free-living children from LA and NYC at a time of day when
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they might normally be at school. Elevated urine osmolality was associated with not drinking water in both samples. Further work is warranted to confirm these results and consider the public health significance of hyperosmotic stress on cells for children in the USA.
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