Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (1995) 38, 179-183 ©

# OPEN SURFACES WITH CONGRUENT GEODESICS

## by CHARLAMBOS CHARITOS

(Received 27th October 1993)

The aim of this paper is to prove the Theorem: Let M be a complete non compact surface without boundary in the euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^3$ . We suppose that all geodesics of M are congruent. Then M is an affine plane in  $\mathbb{E}^3$ .

1991 Mathematics subject classification: 53A05.

If M is a closed surface in the euclidean 3-space which has all its geodesics congruent, then M is a round sphere. Compactness of M, which implies that M is a diffeomorphic to a sphere, is crucial in establishing the above result (see [3]).

Similarly, in the study of manifolds with families of congruent curves, compactness is an essential hypothesis (see [2, 6, 10]).

In the present note following the principal ideas of [3] we are able, for the first time, to remove the compactness assumption. In fact we show:

**Theorem.** Let M be a complete non-compact surface without boundary embedded in the euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^3$ . We suppose that all geodesics of M are congruent. Then M is an affine plane in  $\mathbb{E}^3$ .

In the course of the proof we will often refer to the compact case [3]. However, we will make this paper as self-contained as possible by introducing all necessary notation and definitions.

**Proof of the theorem.** We separate the proof in several lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** The surface M is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Proof.** At first we show that all congruent geodesics of M are simple curves diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Suppose that the geodesics of M have self-intersection points. We pick such a geodesic  $\gamma$ . In the following we suppose that all the parametrizations of the geodesics or of the geodesic arcs that we consider are by arc-length. Let  $f: (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow M$  be a parametrization of  $\gamma$  with f(0) = p and let  $\rho > 0$  such that  $f/[0, \rho]$  has at least one self-intersection point. Since M is an open manifold it is well known that there exists a geodesic ray  $r: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow M$  with r(0) = p. Therefore  $r/[0, \rho]$  is an embedding in M and

### C. CHARITOS

consequently  $r'(0) \neq f'(0)$ . We fix an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  of  $T_pM$  with  $e_1 = r'(0)$ , which induces an orientation on  $T_pM$ . We parametrize each unit vector v of  $T_pM$  by the oriented angle  $\theta = \not\prec (v, e_1), 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ ; note that  $\not\prec (e_1, e_2) = \pi/2$ .

Let now  $\theta_0 = \sup\{\theta \in [0, 2\pi] \text{ such that every geodesic arc } g:[0, \rho] \to M \text{ with } g'(0) \in T_pM$ and  $\gtrless (g'_0(0), e_1) = \theta' < \theta$  is simple}. Note that  $\theta_0 > 0$  since the set of embeddings  $g: [0, \rho] \to M$  is open in the space  $C^{\infty}([0, \rho], M)$  [5]. Now we consider the geodesic  $g_0: [0, \rho] \to M$  with  $g'_0(0) \in T_pM$  and let  $\gtrless (g'_0(0), e_1) = \theta_0$ . Claim:  $g_0/[0, \rho]$  is a simple geodesic arc. From this we conclude that  $\theta_0 = 2\pi$  which contradicts the hypothesis that  $f/[0, \rho]$  has self intersection points. To prove the claim observe that if  $g_0/[0, \rho]$  were not simple then every geodesic arc  $g: [0, \rho] \to M \epsilon$ -close to  $g_0/[0, \rho]$ , for  $\epsilon$  small enough, would not be simple. But this contradicts the definition of  $\theta_0$ .

Suppose now that  $\pi_1(M) \neq 1$ . It is well known (see for example [4, Ch. 10, Th. 13] that for every pair of points p, q (and hence for p=q) and for every arc  $\alpha(p,q)$  joining p, q there is a geodesic arc  $\gamma(p,q)$  in the homotopy class of  $\alpha(p,q)$  with end points fixed. So if we take a noncontractible loop  $\alpha(p,p)$  on M and if we consider a geodesic arc  $\gamma(p,p)$  in the homotopy class of  $\alpha(p,p)$  with p fixed, then the geodesic of M containing  $\gamma(p,p)$  is either closed or it has self-intersection points. But this is impossible since we have proved that all geodesics of M are curves diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore  $\pi_1(M)=1$  and M is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Now we consider a fixed curve  $\lceil_0$  in  $\mathbb{E}^3$  such that every geodesic of M is congruent to  $\lceil_0$ . If  $\lceil_0$  is a plane curve or if the curvature of  $\rceil_0$  is constant then in each case we can easily deduce that all points of M are umbilical and consequently M is an affine plane in  $\mathbb{E}^3$ . We next assume that  $\rceil_0$  is not a plane curve as well as that the curvature of  $\rceil_0$  is not constant and we will prove that this assumption is incompatible with the hypothesis that all geodesics of M are congruent. Let  $\alpha(s)$ ,  $s \in (-\infty, \infty)$  be a parametrization by arc length of  $\rceil_0$  and let k(s),  $\tau(s)$  be the curvature and torsion functions of  $\alpha(s)$  respectively.

We denote by  $\langle , \rangle$  the usual inner product in  $\mathbb{E}^3$  and by A the shape operator of M. Let  $v_p$  be a vector in the unit tangent bundle S(M) of M. There exists a unique geodesic  $\gamma$ :  $(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow M$  such that  $\gamma(0) = p$ ,  $\gamma'(0) = v_p$ . We denote by  $\kappa(v_p)$ ,  $\tau(v_p)$  the normal curvature and torsion of  $\gamma$  at p, and we have that:

$$\kappa(v_p) = \langle Av_p, v_p \rangle, \tau(v_p) = \langle Av_p, Jv_p \rangle,$$

where by  $Jv_p$  we denote the vector that we obtain if we rotate  $v_p$  counterclockwise in  $T_pM$  by  $\pi/2$ .

In what follows we will refer to them as the curvature and torsion of vectors of S(M).

**Lemma 2.** (a) Let  $r: S(M) \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be the differentiable function defined by  $r(v_p) = |\kappa(v_p)|$  and let  $k_0$  be a non-critical value of k(s). Then the set  $r^{-1}(k_0)$  is a closed surface in S(M).

(b) We can choose the non-critical value  $k_0$  such that there exists a component C of  $r^{-1}(k_0)$  which contains only non-principal vectors. Moreover, for each  $v_p$  in C,  $\tau(v_p) = \text{constant} \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** For the proof of (a) we remark that if  $k_0$  is a non-critical value of k(s) then r is of rank 1 on  $r^{-1}(k_0)$  (for more details see the proof of Proposition 2 in [3]).

For the proof of (b) we consider a non-umbilical point q in M; remark that such a point exists since the function k(s) is not constant. Now we can choose a non-principal vector  $w_q$  in  $T_qM$  such that  $r(w_q) = k_0$  and  $k_0$  is a non-critical value of k(s). Among the components of the surface  $r^{-1}(k_0)$  consider that one which contains the vector  $w_q$  and denote it by C. We can prove that  $\tau(v_p) = \text{constant} \neq 0$  for each  $v_p$  in C which implies that all the vectors of C are non-principal (for more details see the proof of the lemma in [3]).

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\pi: C \to M$  be the projection in M with  $\pi(v_p) = p$ . Then the pair  $(C, \pi)$  is a covering space of M.

**Proof.** As in Proposition 3 of [3] we prove that  $\pi$  has rank 2 at every  $v_p$  in C, so  $\pi$  is a local diffeomorphism. We next show that  $\pi$  is onto by proving that  $\pi(C)$  is an open and closed subset in M. Since  $\pi$  is a local diffeomorphism we get that  $\pi(C)$  is an open subset of M and next we will prove that  $\pi(C) = \overline{\pi(C)}$  which implies that  $\pi(C)$  is also closed in M. Let  $p \in \overline{\pi(C)}$ , then there is a sequence  $p_n$  in  $\pi(C)$  which converges to p. Let  $v_n \in C$  with  $\pi(v_n) = p_n$ . Since M is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  we have that S(M) is diffeomorphic to  $M \times S^1$  under a diffeomorphism F. Let  $(p_m, \theta_n) = F(v_n)$ . The space  $S^1$  is compact so there exists a subsequence  $\theta_{n_k}$  of  $\theta_n$  converging to a  $\theta \in S^1$ . Consequently  $(p_{n_k}, \theta_{n_k})$  converges to  $(p, \theta)$ ; hence the subsequence  $v_{n_k}$  of  $v_n$  converges to a v in C since C is a closed subset in S(M). It follows that  $p = \pi(v) = \lim_{\kappa} \pi(v_{n_k})$  belongs to  $\pi(C)$  which implies that  $\pi(C) = \overline{\pi(C)}$ .

Observe that M is simply connected and therefore has no non-trivial covering spaces. So the projection  $\pi: C \to M$  is a diffeomorphism. This permits the construction of a non-vanishing vector field X on M such that  $r(X_p) = \text{constant}$  for each p in M.

**Lemma 4.** The set of non-critical values  $k_0$  of the curvature function k(s), such that some component of  $r^{-1}(k_0)$  contains non-principal vectors, is dense into the range R of k(s).

**Proof.** At first we know by Sard's theorem [5] that the set of non-critical values of k(s) is dense in R. Let  $k_0$  be a non-critical value of k(s) such that  $r^{-1}(k_0)$  contains only principal vectors. Let  $v_p$  be such a vector in  $r^{-1}(k_0)$ . We distinguish 2 cases:

(1) The point p is non-umbilical. Suppose without loss of generality that  $k_0 = r(v_p)$  is the minimum normal curvature at p. Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a non-critical value  $k_1$ of k(s) in  $[k_0, k_0 + \varepsilon)$  such that  $r^{-1}(k_1)$  contains a non-principal vector  $w_p$  and hence all the vectors in the connected component of  $r^{-1}(k_1)$  which contains  $w_p$  are non-principal. To find such a non-critical value  $k_1$  it is sufficient to note that if we consider an open neighbourhood U of  $v_p$  in  $S_p(M) = \{v \in T_p M: |v| = 1\}$ , sufficiently small, then r(U) is of the form  $[k_0, k_0 + \delta), \delta > 0$  and  $\tau(v) \neq 0$  for each  $v \in U - \{v_p\}$ .

(2) The point p is umbilical. Let O be the set of umbilical points of M. Then there is not an open neighbourhood U of p in M with  $U \subset O$ . If such an open subset existed,

### C. CHARITOS

then U should be a piece of a plane or of a sphere (Th. 2-2 of [8]). So the value of  $r(v_p) = k_0$  should be a critical value of k(s) which is absurd. Therefore we can obviously find a sequence  $p_n$  of non-umbilical points in M converging to p. Now using case (1) above we can find a sequence of non-principal vectors  $(v_n)$ ,  $v_n \in T_pM$  such that: the sequence  $(v_n)$  converges to  $v_p$  and the values  $r(v_n) = k_n$  are non-critical values of k(s) for each n = 1, 2, ... This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

Now we can finish the proof of the theorem:

The range R(p) of the function  $r/S_p(M)$  is obviously a closed subset of R and  $R(p) \subset R$ , for each p in M. By Lemmas 3 and 4 at every p in M there are unit tangent vectors  $v_i$ such that the values  $r(v_i)$  form a dense subset in R. Therefore  $R(p) = \overline{R(p)} = R$ . This implies readily that the Gaussian curvature K of M is constant. If K > 0 then M is compact (Th. 8–18 of [9]) which is impossible. On the other hand a complete surface Mof constant negative curvature cannot be embedded in  $\mathbb{E}^3$  (Th. 5–12 of [8]). Therefore the curvature K of M is equal to zero which implies that M is a generalized cylinder (Th. 5–9 of [8]), and since all geodesics of M are congruent, M will be necessarily an affine plane. But in this case, all geodesics of M are straight lines which contradicts the assumption that the curvature function k(s) is not constant. Therefore k(s) is a constant function and, as explained above, this implies that M is an affine plane.

**Remark.** In a similar way we can prove the same result for open surfaces M embedded in the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . However, since we have not a complete idea for the surfaces of constant curvature in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  (see [9, p. 163]) we proceed as follows: With exactly the same reasonings we conclude that if  $\Gamma_0$  is not a plane curve and if the curvature of  $\Gamma_0$  is not constant then the functions of principal curvatures remains constant on M. Therefore M is an isoparametric surface in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ . The classification of these surfaces which have at most two distinct principal curvatures [1], [7] gives that M is either a geometric sphere or a geometric cylinder or a geodesic plane in  $\mathbb{H}^3$ , and since  $\pi_1(M) = 1$  the result follows.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. CARTAN, Sur des familles remarquables d'hypersurfaces dans les espaces spheriques, Math. Z. (1939), 335-367.

2. C. CHARITOS, Surfaces with Congruent Shadow-lines, Mathematika 37 (1990), 43-58.

3. C. CHARITOS and P. PAMFILOS, Surfaces with Isometric Geodesics, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 34 (1991), 359-362.

4. W. BALLMAN, E. GHYS, A. HAEFLIGER, P. de la HARPE, E. SALEM, R. STREBEL, M. TROYANOV, Sur les groupes hyperboliques d'après Gromov (Seminaire Berne édité par E. Ghys et P. de la Harpe, Birkhauser, 1990).

5. M. HIRSCH, Differential Topology (Springer-Verlag, 1976).

6. P. MANI, Fields of planar bodies tangent to spheres, Monatsh. Math. 74 (1970) 145-149.

7. P. RYAN, Homogeneity and some curvature conditions for hyperfurfaces, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 21 (1969), 363–388.

8. [S<sub>1</sub>], [S<sub>2</sub>] M. SPIVAK, A Comprehensive Introduction to Diff. Geometry. vol III.

9. vol IV (Publish or Perish, 1975).

10. [Su] W. Suss Kennzeichende Eigenschaften der Kugel als Folgerung eines Brouwersche Fixtpunktsatzes, Comment. Math. Helv. 20 (1947), 61-64.

UNIVERSITY OF CRETE DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS IRAKLION P. O. BOX 1470 GREECE