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Introduction

Shape and size are among the most basic and obvious characteristics of a cell (or of any physical object, for that matter). When a cell is observed through a microscope, one only sees its projection onto the image plane. Rather paradoxically, there are no easy techniques to visualize and measure cell’s third dimension—thickness. For example, confocal microscopy requires fluorescent labeling, multiple scanning with a high-power objective, possibly correction for the refractive index mismatch, and even then, generation of a complete three-dimensional profile is not very straightforward or precise. Other techniques for imaging the cell vertical profile, such as ion conductance [1], digital holographic [2], or atomic force microscopy [3], are rather complex and not available to most users.

The related parameter of cell volume has also been elusive for traditional microscopy. Sometimes, the volumes of adherent cells are estimated from their diameters, even though it is evident that the extent of cell spreading cannot always correlate with the volume. Others detach cells prior to measurement and analyze them on a Coulter sizer; not only does this preclude continuous observation of the same cell, but the effect of detachment on cell volume has not been sufficiently characterized. Relative changes of the volume during rapid swelling or shrinkage can be observed by loading a fluorescent dye into the cytosol and measuring the fluorescence intensity with a confocal microscope [4]; to our knowledge, applicability of this method to slowly developing processes has not been investigated. These and some other techniques have been reviewed [5]; it seems that a method that would combine high precision, high speed, and low cost is still lacking.

The question is important, though. Although the significance of cell thickness per se has been little explored, cell volume regulation has been a subject of intensive research. Cell volume is critical for understanding the cell response to anisomotic environment [6], the development of apoptosis [7], and the cellular basis of a number of diseases, including ischemic injury [8] and brain edema [9]. Much evidence has accumulated that cell volume can have direct impact on cell behavior [10].

The Principle of TTD

The recently developed method that we call transmission-through-dye (TTD) microscopy [11, 12] may at least partially address the problem of imaging the third dimension (and hence facilitate cell volume measurements). The principle of the method is quite simple: cells are placed in a very shallow compartment filled with a concentrated solution of a strongly absorbing, cell-impermeant dye. Because cells displace the dye, the brightness of transmitted image taken at the wavelength of maximal dye absorption reflects cell thickness (Figure 1). Lighter areas in a TTD image correspond to thicker parts of a cell, making qualitative interpretation of raw images quite intuitive (Figure 2). For quantitative analysis, cell thickness \( h \) is calculated from intensity \( I \) as

\[
h = \frac{\ln I}{\alpha}
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the logarithmic absorption coefficient of the dye solution. To compute the total volume of a cell, the integrated intensity can be determined within a contour of area \( A \) drawn on the \( h \)-image around the cell of interest, and the result is further corrected for the background:

\[
V = \sum_A h_{ij} - A \bar{h}_{bkg} = A(h_{cell} - \bar{h}_{bkg})
\]

The vertical resolution achievable with this method inversely depends on \( \alpha \) and on the signal-to-noise ratio of the gray level per pixel, and can be estimated [11] as

\[
\Delta h = \frac{1}{\alpha(S/N)}
\]
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Thus, if \( S/N = 100 \) (a typical value for a cooled CCD camera), then \( \alpha = 0.1 \mu m^{-1} \) will ensure thickness resolution on the order of 0.1 \( \mu m \); a much higher resolution may be possible with more concentrated dyes. At the same time, the lateral resolution is still limited by diffraction, as in regular transmission microscopy.

**The Dye**

A dye suitable for TTD imaging of live cells should be cell-impermeant, nontoxic, and with sufficiently strong absorption to produce good contrast between the cell and surrounding area. The food colorant Acid Blue 9 (AB9), also known as Brilliant Blue FCF, has an absorption peak at 630 nm with \( \alpha_{630} = 0.22 \mu m^{-1} \) for a 1% solution. The purity of AB9 does not appear to be consistent among different manufacturers; we use the product from TCI America (TCI America, Portland, OR, catalog No. B0790). When added to normal culture medium at 0.5–1% w/v, AB9 is well tolerated by all cell types that we have tested; cells continue to proliferate, exhibit normal overall morphology, and have bright mitotracker staining [12]. Another food colorant, Patent Blue V, is also compatible with living cells, but we have not tested it as thoroughly.

Penetration of the dye into a cell would result in underestimation of its thickness and volume by the factor \( 1 - \alpha_{630}/\alpha_{watt} \); thus, endocytosis of a significant amount of dye might in principle affect the measurements. We found, however, that an overnight incubation of T24 or HeLa cells with 0.5% AB9 barely stains the cells and should not produce an error greater than a few percent. By contrast, cells with severely damaged plasma membranes immediately absorb AB9 and become darker than the background in TTD images; in this case, absorption of AB9 correlates with propidium iodide staining. Moderate leakage of AB9 can be detected and possibly quantified by the degree of quenching of intracellular red fluorophores [13].

Generally, the choice of the dye concentration should be dictated by the following considerations:

1. The lack of effect on cells. Although we have not seen any indication that at 0.5–0.7% w/v extracellular AB9 affected cell behavior in our experiments, such a possibility should always be kept in mind and checked whenever possible. Much higher concentrations of AB9 (up to 10%) can be used with formaldehyde-fixed cells [13] or with live bacteria [14], producing the vertical resolution in a low nanometer range. Formaldehyde fixation keeps cell membranes impermeable to AB9 (with possible exception of sperm cells), but only as long as the fixative remains in the medium. However, prolonged chemical fixation by itself may alter cell properties; for example, we observed cell swelling and bleb development after 20–30 minutes of exposure of HeLa cells to 4% formaldehyde [13].

2. For thickness/volume measurements (as opposed to qualitative surface topography), both the cell and the background must be well resolved; if the dye is made too concentrated, it may become impossible to measure the gray level of the background with sufficient precision. In other words, high resolution of the surface profile comes at the expense of reduced thickness range. One way around this complication would be to collect a second image at a wavelength removed from 630 nm, where AB9 absorbs less strongly, allowing the entire depth to be imaged (Figure 3).

The absorption of dye solutions used for TTD is too strong to be measured on a spectrophotometer; therefore, we use the lens-immersion method for the measurement of \( \alpha \) [11]. In this method, a drop of a dye solution is placed on a coverslip or on a slide, and a small plano-convex lens is immersed in it with its spherical surface facing the slide; this creates a narrow and gradually widening gap between the lens and the slide filled with the dye. Because the depth of the gap is a simple function of the distance to the center of the lens, the absorption coefficient can be easily derived from the distribution of intensity in transmission image.

**The Chamber**

A gap slightly deeper than typical cell thickness can be formed between a coverslip and a slide. For example, cells can be grown on a coverslip and mounted on a slide in a dye-containing medium. For prolonged observations, stability of the gap can be ensured by supporting the coverslip with a piece of polyethylene film used for food packaging and/or a small amount of silicone vacuum grease. With some practice, one can adjust the gap by gently pressing on the coverslip and judging the depth by the color of liquid—not too small, where cells could be crushed, and not too large, which would make the sample too opaque. Such a sample can be placed in a thermostabilized chamber and observed repeatedly or continuously for many hours. For prolonged experiments we keep coverslips unsealed and in contact with extra medium to avoid oxygen or nutrient depletion. The liquid can easily spread under the coverslip when added from the side; for example, cells can be additionally stained with fluorescent markers in this manner. For experiments that require rapid solution changes, we use a Bioptechs perfusion chamber FCS2 (Bioptechs Inc., Butler, PA) fitted with a second inlet port; a 30 \( \mu m \) spacer is used to create the required small depth.

**The Microscope**

Any microscope equipped for transmission imaging can be used for TTD. The only additional requirement is a bandpass filter, such as 630/10. It can be mounted anywhere.
between the lamp and the camera, but we prefer to have it on the condenser wheel, so that cells do not get exposed to excessively bright light. A laser scanning confocal microscope can be used as well, with a 633 nm or a 635 nm laser line to generate transmission images.

Because light in a microscope propagates as a cone, attenuation is accumulated over a slightly longer than vertical path. The difference is, however, slight (a 5% longer travel for marginal rays, assuming a 0.4 NA dry condenser and an aqueous specimen) and can be accounted for by using the same condenser for absorption measurement. An often unappreciated fact (including our own publications) is that, in the absence of strong scattering, brightness in transmitted illumination depends mostly on direct, and not on diffracted, light (as the popular formula \((\text{NA}_{\text{obj}}/M)^2\) assumes) and thus on the smallest NA between the objective and condenser; this can be easily verified by using an objective with a variable numerical aperture. Therefore, contrary to our earlier statement [11], high-magnification, high-NA objectives can be used for quantitative TTD imaging. Figure 4(A–C) shows the same group of cells imaged with \(\times 10/0.4\), \(\times 20/0.75\) and \(\times 60/1.2\) objectives; the difference in the calculated volume was less than 3% for all cells. For the same reason, when using the lens-immersion method to measure the absorption coefficient, it is acceptable to use an objective with lower NA than what is used for actual imaging. (Low-magnification objectives capture a larger area of the lens, resulting in improved accuracy of measurement.) We have previously reported that high-NA objectives overestimate \(\alpha\) [11]. The reason for the discrepancy appears to be excessive light scattering by the lens; if the condenser aperture is kept at a small opening, the values measured with high- and low-NA objectives turn out to be identical (not shown).

### Processing and Interpretation of Images

We use ImageJ to convert raw images into depth units. The first step is to change the image type to floating 32-bit format; if the dark level of the camera is significant, it should be subtracted from the image prior to computing the logarithm (Equation 1). Unless one is interested in the absolute values of cell thickness (rather than relative changes), division by the absorption coefficient is optional. The background in TTD images can vary across the field, and therefore we determine it in the area adjacent to the cell of interest. Cells can be isolated on the image either using intensity threshold or by manually drawing a contour encompassing the cell. Note that inclusion of some background area within the contour will not affect the results, because the background value is later subtracted from the cell intensity (Equation 2).

Cytoplasmic inclusions, that are often present in cells, may produce contrast of brightfield origin, unrelated to cell thickness (Figure 4C, D). It mainly results from refraction on curved boundaries that separate areas with different refractive indices [15], in which case the effect is known as Becke lines. This spurious contrast may cause some problems when analyzing the fine structure of the cell surface. To alleviate this effect, several approaches can be used: (a) increasing the dye concentration to reduce the relative contribution of Becke lines; (b) fixing and keeping cells in formaldehyde to make possible the use of still higher dye concentrations; (c) focusing the objective on the apical surface rather than on the middle section of the cell (this is, in any case, necessary for high-resolution surface mapping); (d) collecting control images at a wavelength outside the range of dye absorption (for example, 485 nm) to identify features with strong Becke lines (Hearn et al., manuscript in preparation). The effect of Becke lines is less detrimental for volume measurements because the contributions of darker and brighter areas more or less cancel each other out. The remaining error can be further corrected by applying Equation 2 to a control brightfield image:

\[
V_{\text{cor}} = V_{\text{abs}} - V_{\text{con}}
\]

To test the effectiveness of correction, we imaged 10.3 \(\mu\)m polystyrene beads with refractive index 1.59 (Spherotech, Lake Forest, IL). The beads were immersed in a solution of Sudan
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2. Image collection is fast because all the thickness information is contained in a single image.
3. Image processing can be accomplished with a standard software, such as ImageJ.
Disadvantages and limitations:

1. Due to limited thickness range, large cells or multi-layer clusters may become saturated at settings optimized for smaller cells.
2. A shallow cell container is required. Care should be taken to avoid the development of hypoxia during prolonged observations in the absence of liquid flow.
3. The volume of cells that form a confluent layer cannot be analyzed because the background intensity is unavailable.
4. In measuring the volume of round cells, a high-NA objective is preferred, and additional correction may have to be applied.
5. Subtle effects of the dye on cell functioning during long incubations cannot be ruled out.
6. Investigation of very fast response kinetics may be limited by the ability to rapidly exchange the medium in a shallow channel.
7. In some cases we have observed thermal cell damage when using intense green illumination from the mercury lamp.
8. Working with concentrated dyes can be messy. The dye easily stains hands or clothes (however, it is nontoxic [16] and comes off with soap).

Possible Applications

It would be premature to attempt an overview of possible applications of TTD microscopy. Generally, the method can be used in most situations where the cell profile or the cell volume is of interest. We are currently using it to study volume regulation in cells during apoptotic and necrotic death. The mechanics of cell deformation is another area where TTD would be natural to apply. It would be interesting to see if high-resolution TTD is capable of resolving small membrane invaginations associated with budding endocytic vesicles to help dissect the stages of their formation. We have previously demonstrated dramatic changes in the structure of the membrane caused by the actin-depolymerizing drug latrunculin [13], suggesting that the method could be valuable for studying the cytoskeleton. The volume and the shape of sperm cells have a proven diagnostic value [17], and the method may have potential in fertility analysis. The volume of bacteria is used by microbial ecologists for estimation of the biomass, and TTD appears to be an effective way to measure bacteria [14]. The knowledge of cell volume in combination with quantitative fluorescence imaging [18] can yield data for intracellular concentration of fluorescent proteins or fluorescently labeled drugs to assess their effectiveness in situ. By combining cell volume and mass measurements [19], one can study water transport between the cell and environment.
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