ABSTRACT: Patients with drug-refractory temporal lobe epilepsy can be treated successfully with surgical resection of one temporal lobe, especially when the resection includes the hippocampus. Although intrahippocampal recordings usually localize seizure onsets to one hippocampus, there are bilaterally-independent interictal spikes, occasional contralateral seizure onsets and post-resection seizures that implicate contralateral damage and epileptogenicity. Post-mortem non-quantified studies of both hippocampi in epileptics have revealed incidences of bilateral hippocampal sclerosis, mostly being asymmetric. The present paper reports on two post-mortem cases of bilateral, asymmetric cell loss in patients with physiologically-verified hippocampal epilepsy. In one patient the damage was severe bilaterally, but only slightly greater damage in one hippocampus. In the second patient, damage in one hippocampus was as severe as in the first patient; however the contralateral hippocampus appeared undamaged. However, cell counts revealed losses of over 30% in three different hippocampal subregions, indicating a mild form of asymmetric bilateral damage in patient two.

RÉSUMÉ: Lésions bilatérales dans l'épilepsie temporale. Les patients avec une épilepsie temporale réfractaire à la médication peuvent être traités avec succès par l'ablation chirurgicale d'un lobe temporal, en particulier quand la réséction inclut la région de l'hippocampe. Les enregistrements intra-hippocampaux latéralisent habituellement le foyer épileptique dans un hippocampe, mais il y a des cas où l’activité épileptique interictale est bilatérale et indépendante; aussi rencontre-t-on occasionnellement des crises épileptiques à début contralatéral. En plus, la persistance de manifestations critiques après une réséction temporaire implique souvent un dommage et une épileptogénicité originant des structures contralatérales. Des études autopsiques non quantitatives portant sur les régions hippocampales de patients épileptiques ont démontré plusieurs exemples de sclérose hippocampale bilatérale, cette dernière étant le plus souvent asymétrique. Le présent article décrit les études autopsiques de deux patients avec perte cellulaire hippocampale bilatérale et asymétrique et évidence électrophysiologique d’épilepsie hippocampale. Dans un cas, les dommages étaient sévères bilatéralement avec légère prédominance dans l’hippocampe contralatéral au foyer épileptogène. Dans le second cas, les dommages à l’hippocampe du côté du foyer épileptogène étaient sévères, l’hippocampe contralatéral apparaissant intact. Cependant, une analyse quantitative de cette dernière région a démontré une perte cellulaire de plus de 30% dans les trois différents sous-secteurs étudiés, indiquant un dommage bilatéral asymétrique.

After temporal lobectomy, auras may be reported and anti-convulsants required, either of which may indicate a contralateral tendency for epileptogenicity. Hence, it would be a major contribution to our knowledge of hippocampal or temporal lobe epilepsy if we could determine the incidence of truly unilateral versus bilateral pathology. Such information would provide a better understanding of the risks of surgical failure; since we know that the region of maximal hippocampal sclerosis is the site of seizure onset.8

Table 1 is a summary of five papers that contained post-mortem information on bilateral hippocampal damage in epileptics. In none of the reports was the population limited to temporal lobe epilepsy; all cases had histories of generalized seizures and/or mixed seizure disorders. Furthermore, the pathological diagnosis ranged from visible shrinkage to microscopic evaluation, but even the excellent quantitative study by Mouritzen-Dam9 was limited to only one hippocampus per patient. The bilateral incidence of 88% was based on visible atrophy, not on cell counts. Hence, there is little information on the relative incidence of bilateral damage in temporal lobe epilepsy.

Perhaps the most widely-quoted study on bilateral hippocampal sclerosis is the paper of Margerison and Corsellis.10 Of all the cases studied (n = 55) they reported a low incidence of bilateral damage of only 31% compared to 34% unilateral and 35% no damage (see Table 1). Because they depended on microscopic judgment without actual cell counts, it can be safely assumed that they underestimated cell loss because losses of 20-30% are not detectable under standard microscopic exam. This would suggest that perhaps, with cell counts, there would be a higher incidence of bilateral damage and lower percentage of unilateral or no damage. Nevertheless, when evaluating only those cases with observed hippocampal sclerosis (H.S.), they reported 47% bilateral damage, of which 75% were asymmetric (see Table 2). The important point here is that asymmetric damage is apparently the most common pattern when bilateral damage is evident. This is in agreement with Sano and Malamud11 who reported bilateral damage (when including gliosis) at 67%, 92% of the patterns being asymmetric. Hence, from these reports we would anticipate that with proper quantitative studies in temporal lobe epilepsy unilateral H.S. will be extremely rare and bilateral damage will be almost always asymmetric. The following two case reports from the UCLA epilepsy program support this hypothesis.

In 1965 patient T.M. (CNP 17) was evaluated with intrahippocampal recording electrodes and a variety of interictal tests. This was prior to telemetered artifact-free seizure recordings. A left anterior temporal lobectomy was performed and the left hip-
The patient’s habitual seizures were significantly reduced in number; however he continued to have psychomotor seizures and required anti-convulsant medication until his death 12 years later, of unknown causes, in 1977. The autopsy of the right hippocampus (Figure 1, bottom) showed sclerosis typical of hippocampal epilepsy. In other words, this case probably represents an extreme example of bilateral hippocampal sclerosis and bilateral hippocampal epilepsy with an asymmetric pattern of damage only because the left hippocampus has greater cell loss in several regions of Ammon’s horn. For example, the left fascia dentata (FD) had 84% loss compared to 38% loss in the right FD.

Figure 2 is a plot of cell losses in hippocampus and subiculum for a patient (D.G.) who died at home accidentally before temporal lobectomy could be performed. This patient had a long history of temporal lobe seizures and was diagnosed with bilateral intrahippocampal electrodes as having all habitual temporal lobe seizures arising from the hippocampus during the 28 day seizure monitoring. The SEEG seizure localization and all other evidence led to the recommendation for a right temporal lobectomy. As can be seen in Figure 2, top, the right hippocampus had cell losses typical of those previously reported with hippocampal seizure foci.\(^8,12\)

By contrast, the left hippocampus appeared normal to the neuropathologist upon routine microscopic examination. However, cell counts confirmed that in many fields (FD, CA2, CA1) there was over 30% cell loss (see Figure 2, bottom). This quantitative study demonstrates the opposite of the first case (T.M.) because the hippocampal damage in patient D.G. was overwhelmingly unilateral and associated with the seizure onset. Nevertheless, there were regions of significant contralateral cell loss that indicated a mild form of bilateral asymmetric hippocampal sclerosis. Hence, even in a case where all the diagnostic evidence would suggest a “unilateral” hippocampal sclerosis, there was some bilateral cell loss, which supports the notion that hippocampal epilepsy is a disorder of damage to both hippocampi in varying degrees. It is essential, therefore, to develop as many techniques as possible to establish the integrity of the opposite hippocampus before performing a temporal lobectomy. Such investigations are now becoming routine in the diagnosis of surgically-treatable temporal lobe epilepsy. However, there is a need for more post-mortem quantitative studies such as the two described above. The profound evidence for asymmetric damage together with the lack of evidence for a human hippocampal commissure strongly suggests that bilateral hippocampal sclerosis occurs simultaneously and not by one hippocampus driving the opposite hippocampus to produce contralateral cell loss. That concept of a “mirror focus” of cell loss is not supported by these two case reports, where each had one severely-sclerotic focus but their opposite hippocampi had much different damage profiles.
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