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The author would like to correct some text in the article above:

Page 4, line 24:-

Assumption 1 (Downward trade-off). For any triple \( O_{i-1}, O_i, O_{i+1} \in O \) and for any \( p \in (0, 1) \), there is a \( q \in (0, 1) \) such that:

\[
[O_{i+1}, p, O_i] \sim [O_{i+1}, q, O_{i-1}]
\]

Should read:-

Assumption 1 (Downward trade-off). For any triple \( O_{j-1}, O_j, O_i \in O \), where \( j < i \), and for any \( p \in (0, 1) \), there is a \( q \in (0, 1) \) such that:

\[
[O_i, p, O_j] \sim [O_i, q, O_{j-1}]
\]

Page 6, line 16:-

Assumption 2 (Upward trade-off). For any triple \( O_{j-1}, O_j, O_{j+1} \in O \) and for any \( p \in (0, 1) \), there is a \( q \in (0, 1) \) such that:

\[
[O_j, p, O_{j-1}] \sim [O_{j+1}, q, O_{j-1}]
\]
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Assumption 2 (Upward trade-off). For any triple \( O_j, O_i, O_{i+1} \in O \), where \( j < i \), and for any \( p \in (0, 1) \), there is a \( q \in (0, 1) \) such that:

\[
[O_i, p, O_j] \sim [O_{i+1}, q, O_j]
\]

The author would like to apologize for this error.