obituary

Arthur Hamilton Crisp
Formerly Professor of Psychiatry, St George’s Hospital Medical School, London

Arthur Crisp died on 13 October 2006, aged 76, at his home in Wimbledon, London. He was born in Wood Green, London on the 17 June 1930 and was an only child.

For the greater part of his professional life, Arthur Crisp held the Chair of Psychiatry at St George’s Hospital Medical School. From there he established an eating disorder unit which became well known for the quality of its clinical service. With a minimum of outside funding, he pursued broadly based research which had a worldwide impact.

Arthur spoke well of Walford Grammar School where he excelled, especially in sporting activities. At school he captained the first XV rugby team. At the age of 19, and shortly before joining the army, he was playing for the school ‘Old Boys’ when he suffered a horrendous injury to the ligaments of his left knee and the lateral popliteal nerve. As a result he spent 9 months of 1950 in hospital and was left with a weak knee-joint and a foot-drop.

At his final medical examination he was exempted from military service.

Arthur gave a whimsical account of his troubled attempts to obtain a place at medical school. He attributed his later success at the Westminster Hospital to pulling out of his pocket during his interview the intact semicircular canals of a dogfish which he had prepared during his recent biology practical studies.

He graduated MB BS in 1956. After passing the DPM he was appointed senior registrar at King’s College Hospital, where Dr Denis Hill became his mentor. After Denis Hill was appointed professor of psychiatry at the Middlesex Hospital, Arthur followed him to become professor of psychiatry at the College Hospital, where Dr Denis Hill had the capacity to reverse the pubertal process. Although he made skilful use of metaphorical phrases, Arthur did not look upon his model as a mere metaphor. He believed in its truly aetiological validity. The model has the characteristics of an internally coherent system, but Arthur did not limit it to such a system for it became the foundation of his therapeutic programme at St George’s.

The main principle of the treatment was for the patient to abandon the avoidant position of anorexia nervosa: this was achieved through psychotherapy.

Arthur conducted a key controlled trial which was published in 1991. Its initial aim was to compare the benefits of three forms of active treatment with each other and with a ‘treated elsewhere’ group of patients who were merely assessed in the unit. The design of the trial was ingenious and kept within ethical boundaries. The three active treatments were: a mainly in-patient admission; an out-patient individual and family treatment; and an out-patient group therapy programme. The three active treatments were similar in their effectiveness at 1-year follow-up. There was no doubt, however, about the poor response of patients ‘treated elsewhere’. This finding has become a powerful endorsement of specialised eating disorder units.

Arthur relied on another way of appraising his treatment, and hence his model for anorexia nervosa: he assessed the outcome of his patients’ illnesses. He carefully preserved a database of 903 patients. He published follow-up studies in 1979 and 1992 with favourable results, finding a lower standard mortality rate than in comparable studies.

Towards the end of his life, he had a further burst of research activity and published a final set of eight papers in the May 2006 issue of the European Review of Eating Disorders. One of these papers was on a 30-year follow-up and yielded the surprising finding that the mortality rate among his patients was the same as for the general population. He deduced that, although their cause of death might be linked to anorexia nervosa, they had been protected from other potentially fatal disorders. At the same time the mortality rate in his patients was lower than in other series, from which he tentatively concluded that his treatment programme might carry significant advantages over others.

Arthur’s outstanding contributions to the diagnosis and treatment of eating disorders were recognised by a Lifetime Achievement Award from the Academy of Eating Disorders, and a National Award from the Eating Disorder Association.

He also undertook much valuable work outside the field of eating disorders. Most recent was his leadership of the Royal College of Psychiatrists’ campaign against the stigma associated with mental illness, culminating in the influential book Every...
Family in the Land. For his contributions to psychiatry and his work for the College he was elected Honorary Fellow in 1996. For someone with as brilliant an academic career as Arthur’s it may be superfluous to mention committee work. Yet Arthur shone in this sphere as well and was most influential in the advancement of medical education. As Dean of the London Faculty of Medicine (1976–80) he helped to establish the standards of medical education in 33 schools within the University of London. He was Chairman of the Education Committee of the General Medical Council (1982–88). He was President of the Psychiatry Section of the Royal Society of Medicine (1999–2000). After retiring Arthur extended his hobby of carving complex symbolic figures in wood, photos of which he sent to friends on Christmas cards.

When Arthur had his final eight papers on anorexia nervosa published in a special issue of the European Review of Eating Disorders he sent a copy to close colleagues with a touching accompanying letter saying that this was his swansong as he had found, out of the blue, to be harbouring a carcinoma of the kidney. He maintained this stoicism throughout his relatively short illness and bore the final stages with great courage. He was buried in the village of Friston, Suffolk.

Above all, Arthur was an outstanding clinical psychiatrist with the hallmarks of sincerity and integrity. He was an agreeable companion at conferences, with stimulating ideas and conversation, and unfailing courtesy. Our warmest sympathy is extended to his wife, Irene, his three sons and their families, whose strong support he found invaluable.
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Advanced Family Work for Schizophrenia. An Evidence-Based Approach
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Family work seems destined to be never the bride in general psychiatry, and this is despite powerful friends in the form of NICE guidelines, the National Service Framework for Schizophrenia and carer lobby groups. So a new book by the psychiatric doyen of family work for those affected by schizophrenia can only be welcome.

In 1992 in order to raise the profile of family work in general psychiatric settings, Julian Leff together with Elizabeth Kuipers and Dominic Lam published an influential and highly accessible 150-page practical guide entitled Family Work for Schizophrenia. This manual, updated in 2002, targets community mental health workers and is a rich source of advice on techniques and strategies. It also summarises the evidence that family work can significantly improve the course of schizophrenia. To date nearly 5000 copies have been sold over 14 years, an average of about three for each adult community health team in the UK. But despite this, child and adolescent services continue to hold the monopoly on family work. So the publication of a companion volume, Advanced Family Work for Schizophrenia, is a welcome reinforcement for promoting family work in adult services. But don’t be put off by the title, which is misleading.

This slim volume is for all mental health staff, irrespective of experience or expertise, and is only ‘advanced’ in the sense that it focuses on families with special characteristics. The complete absence of jargon and clarity of expression also make it accessible to interested relatives and lay people.

The format is simple. Of the 95 pages of this 4-hour read, 85 are devoted to case examples. These consist of 19 families seen by community mental health workers supervised by Professor Leff. For the purposes of presentation, the families are grouped into chapters according to characteristics that challenged the teams involved. These include families from minority ethnic groups (who in fact comprised 12 of the 19 families); families where someone has both a psychotic illness and a physical condition such as learning disability; families where more than one person has a psychosis; and families where the parents of the identified patient are in conflict or are separated. Other examples include families with long-standing communication difficulties and situations characterised by unresolved past trauma or an exploitative carer.

This is a thoughtful workbook of well-honed examples, full of user-friendly formulations and pragmatic suggestions informed by a lifetime of working and researching in the field. It is not about family therapy per se, but about incorporating a family perspective and selected family therapy techniques into an eclectic approach which embraces medication, education, social interventions, the exploration of loss, guilt and envy, dealing with family over involvement and criticism, and much more. Family therapy techniques such as positive reframing, recruiting the perspective of every family member, a focus on communication difficulties, exploring the meaning of key events or behaviours, and even the use of paradoxical interventions are woven into the eclectic mix. This magpie approach is beautifully illustrated in the detailed case examples. Leff’s particular talent is for distilling complex situations into readily understandable themes, which then lead to often deceptively simple interventions that always manage to be respectful to those involved. Examples of this include an elderly father heavily reliant on providing care for two sons with schizophrenia, being asked to teach each son one simple thing; and the empathic observation that the most stressful vacation for a person with schizophrenia is a caravan holiday with the family, which allows no means of escape from stressful social interaction.

Although Advanced Family Work for Schizophrenia can stand alone as an illuminating source of case examples covering a range of situations, it is best read in the context of the earlier volume, Family Work for Schizophrenia, which explains the method and the evidence-based rationale.

One final point of interest to trained family therapists in particular: Professor Leff goes out of his way to distinguish between family work and family therapy. He avoids using the term therapy since he does not consider family members to be in need of treatment, preferring to see them as allies in the struggle to help the ill person. Although making it more accessible for novices, this approach dodges some of the most influential and useful ideas in contemporary family therapy, such as social constructionism, narrative and a focus on meaning systems. A challenge for volume three perhaps?
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