Nitrogen metabolism of four raw meat diets in domestic cats
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Abstract

Little nutritional information has been collected from domestic cats fed raw meat diets. The objective of the present study was to evaluate differences in N metabolism of domestic cats fed raw beef-based diet (66 % crude protein (CP) and 20 % fat), bison-based diet (49 % CP and 39 % fat), elk-based diet (79 % CP and 6 % fat) and horse-based diet (60 % CP and 26 % fat). A total of eight intact adult female cats were fed to maintain body weight in a cross-over design. Daily food intake, faecal and urinary outputs, and N metabolism were measured. Dietary N was highly digestible (96·8 (SEM 0·7)) for all treatments. Urinary N accounted for a majority of total N excretion, and differences in total N excretion reflect differences in urinary N. Differences in N intake and N absorption were due to differences in CP levels among diets. N retention was similar to values reported in the literature for domestic cats fed purified and traditional extruded diets. Despite differences in protein concentrations and N intake, all raw meats tested maintained N metabolism.
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There is an increasing trend for the feeding of unconventional diets, including raw meat-based diets, to companion animals1,2. Raw diets have been used for Sled dogs, racing Greyhounds3 and captive exotic cats2; however, the nutritional adequacy of raw meat diets for domestic cats has not been adequately studied. Raw beef- and horse-meat-based diets have been shown to be highly digestible4–8 and maintain body weight (BW)6,7; however, studies have focused on beef- and horse-meat-based diets with little attention to alternative meat sources. Meat sources may have tremendous variation in composition depending on a multitude of factors including animal species and feeding practices. To our knowledge, studies to determine the effects of varying raw meat sources on N metabolism in domestic cats have not been performed. The objective of the present study was to compare N metabolism of domestic cats fed four raw meat-based diets. We hypothesised that all diets would result in a similar N retention, and therefore be suitable protein sources for adult cats.

Materials and methods

Experimental design and animals

A total of eight intact adult female domestic shorthair cats (Felis catus; mean age 2·01 (SEM 0·03) years; mean BW 3·25 (SEM 0·31)kg) were utilised in a cross-over design consisting of four 21 d periods. Each period included an adaptation phase (days 0–16), followed by a faecal and urine collection phase (days 17–21). Cats were housed individually in stainless-steel cages (0·61 m × 0·61 m × 0·61 m) at the University of Illinois (Urbana, IL, USA). All animal procedures were approved by the University of Illinois Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee before animal experimentation.

Diet

Cats were randomly allocated to one of the four dietary treatments at the beginning of the experiment: (1) beef-based raw meat (BE) diet; (2) bison-based raw meat (BI) diet; (3) elk-based raw meat (E) diet; or (4) horse meat-based raw meat (H) diet. All diets were formulated to meet or exceed the nutrient requirements of domestic cats6. Diets were stored frozen (−20°C) until 1–3 d before feeding, when it was thawed at 4°C. Cats were fed to maintain BW, and food offered and refused were measured daily. Water was provided ad libitum.

Sample collection

Diet subsamples were collected and stored at −20°C. Subsamples were composited for each diet and lyophilised

Abbreviations: BE, beef-based raw meat; BI, bison-based raw meat; BW, body weight; E, elk-based raw meat; H, horse meat-based raw meat.
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in a Dura-Dry MP microprocessor-controlled freeze dryer (FTS Systems, Inc., Stone Ridge, NY, USA). To ensure complete collection and to prevent urinary N loss, urine was collected and stored according to Kerr(27). Total faecal output for each period was collected, composited and dried at 55°C. Diet and faecal samples were ground through a 2 mm screen in a Wiley Mill (Thomas Scientific, Swedesboro, NJ, USA).

**Chemical analyses**

Diet, faeces and urine were analysed for N according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists(9) using a Leco Nitrogen/Protein Determinator (model FP-2000; Leco Corporation, St Joseph, MI, USA), and gross energy was determined by a bomb calorimeter (Model 1261; Parr Instrument Company, Moline, IL, USA). Diet and faeces were analysed for DM and organic matter according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists(9). Diets were analysed for fat concentration by acid hydrolysis according to the American Association of Cereal Chemists(10) followed by diethyl ether extraction according to Budde(11), and for total dietary fibre according to Prosky et al.(12).

**Calculations**

The values were calculated using the following equations:

Apparent total tract nutrient digestibility (º) =

\[
\text{(nutrient intake} - \text{faecal nutrient output)/nutrient intake} \times 100
\]

Total N output = faecal N output + urinary N output; Absorbed N = N intake - faecal N output;

Retained N = N intake - total N output.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were analysed using the Mixed Models procedure of Statistical Analysis Systems statistical software package version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The fixed effect of dietary treatment was tested. Cat and period were considered as random effects. Least square means were separated using least significant difference with standard errors of the mean Tukey’s adjustment. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant and P<0.10 was considered to be a trend. Reported pooled standard errors of the mean were determined according to the Mixed Models procedure of SAS.

**Results**

Dietary ingredient and chemical composition are listed in Table 1. Dietary DM concentrations were similar in the BI and H diets (35–36%), and similar in the BE and E diets (29%). Organic matter concentrations were similar among diets (93–95%). Crude protein and total dietary fibre concentrations were greatest in the E diet and least in the BI diet.

**Discussion**

Dietary composition was highly variable. The protein source for the BE, BI and H diets were trimmings, while the E diet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>BE</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM (%)</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter (%) of DM</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>94.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein (%) of DM</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid-hydrolysed fat (%) of DM</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dietary fibre (%) of DM</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross energy (kJ/g DM)</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Ingredient composition for all diets: raw meat source BE, beef trimmings (Central Nebraska Packing, Inc., North Platte, NE, USA); BI, bison trimmings (Natural Prairie Gold, Inc., Omaha, NE, USA); E, muscle meat (Henry Doorny Zoo, Omaha, NE, USA); H, horse trimmings (Central Nebraska Packing, Inc.), meat complete vitamin and mineral premix (Central Nebraska Packing, Inc.) and Solka Floc.
was composed of trimmed muscle meat. Trimmings are often high in fat and highly variable. The E meat source was over-trimmed, and the percentage fat (5.4%) was lower than our estimates and recommendations for domestic cats (8); however, because of the short time span for the study, no negative effects were observed. Dietary composition differences were reflected in dietary moisture (ml/d) and N (g/d) intakes for the BE, BI and E diets. Although the H diets had higher N and moisture levels than the BI diet, the DM intake was decreased in cats fed the H diet, so intake of these variables was lower in cats fed the H diet.

Differences in DM digestibility were not attributable to differences in N digestibility but may reflect the digestibility of other dietary macronutrients (i.e. fat, carbohydrate, etc.). Apparent total tract DM and N digestibilities reported in the literature for raw meat diets were similar to those reported in the present study (84.1–88.1% of DM; 96.6–97.3% of N) and ranged from 83 to 95% of DM and from 88 to 96% of N (4–7). Lower dietary percentage DM and higher DM digestibilities of the BI and H diets resulted in lower faecal DM output (g/d) measured. Because N intake (g/d) varied across treatments, but digestibility was similar, faecal N was reflective of N intakes.

Differences in urine volume (ml/d) and urinary N output (g/d) reflect differences in dietary moisture and N intakes, with higher intakes having higher urine volume and N excreted. The average ratio of urinary N:faecal N was 27:1 (SEM 6:8), indicating that the majority of N was excreted in the urine, and the profile of N output was not altered, with 3:1 (SEM 0:7) and 81:0 (SEM 17:7)% of N intake being excreted in the faeces and urine, respectively.

Although retained N was positive, cats maintained BW. This phenomenon is common in domestic cat N balance studies that examine high-protein diets and is due to N that is unaccounted for rather than truly positive N balance. Values reported in the present study are similar to those in the literature for extruded (13,14) and purified diets (15).

**Conclusion**

Due to differences in the meat sources, dietary protein and fat concentrations were highly variable. Digestibility of DM and N was high, and cats maintained BW and N balance for all treatments. Differences in intake, absorption, and faecal and urinary excretion of N were due to differences in dietary CP levels. Urinary N accounted for a majority of total N excretion, and differences in total N excretion reflect differences observed in urinary N. N retention was similar to values reported in the literature for domestic cats. Despite having different chemical compositions, beef, bison, elk and horse meat appear to be suitable protein sources for raw meat diets. However, further research on these protein sources for use in raw meat-based diets for domestic cats is necessary, including evaluation of long-term effects.
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