Public Health Nutrition

Do TV viewing and frequency of ultra-processed food consumption share mediators in relation to adolescent anxiety-induced sleep disturbance?

André O Werneck^{1,*} ⁽ⁱ⁾, Erin Hoare² and Danilo R Silva³

¹Department of Nutrition, School of Public Health, University of São Paulo (USP), Av. Dr. Arnaldo, 715 – Cerqueira César, São Paulo, SP 01246-904, Brazil: ²Food & Mood Centre, Centre for Innovation in Mental and Physical Health and Clinical Treatment, School of Medicine, Faculty of Health, Deakin University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia: ³Department of Physical Education, Federal University of Sergipe – UFS, São Cristóvão, Brazil

Submitted 7 July 2020: Final revision received 7 January 2021: Accepted 20 January 2021: First published online 27 January 2021

Abstract

Objective: To investigate the role of potential shared mediators in the association of TV viewing and frequency of ultra-processed food consumption with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Setting: Data from the Adolescent School-Based Health Survey, a Brazilian nationally representative survey of ninth-grade adolescents conducted in 2015, were used.

Participants: 99 791 adolescents (52 015 girls) with a mean age of 14-3 years (range 11–19) participated. All variables were collected through a self-reported questionnaire based on the Global School-Based Student Health Survey. Anxiety-induced sleep disturbance was the outcome. Over 4 h/d of TV viewing and daily consumption of ultra-processed foods were the exposures. Body satisfaction, loneliness, self-rated health and eating while watching TV or studying were mediators. Age, ethnicity, food insecurity, type of city (capital or interior), country region and physical activity were covariates. Logistic regression and mediation models (Karlsson–Holm–Breen method) assessed associations.

Results: Both daily ultra-processed food consumption (boys: OR 1.48, 95 % CI 1.30, 1.70; girls: OR 1.46, 95 % CI 1.34, 1.60) and TV viewing (boys: OR 1 24, 95 % CI 1.08, 1.43; girls: OR 1.09, 95 % CI 1.00, 1.19) were associated with higher odds for anxiety-induced sleep disturbance. Loneliness and eating while watching TV or studying (only among girls) consistently mediated the association of both daily ultra-processed food consumption (loneliness: boys 17.4 %, girls 23.4 %; eat while watching TV or studying: girls 6.8 %) and TV viewing (loneliness: boys 22.9 %, girls 45.8 %; eat while watching TV or studying: boys 6.7 %, girls 17.9 %) with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance.

Conclusions: Daily ultra-processed food consumption and TV viewing share mediators and can act in synergic mechanisms in the association with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance. Therefore, future interventions should focus in the reduction of both behaviours in combination.

Sleep disturbance and insomnia are highly prevalent among adolescents⁽¹⁾, and it has been suggested that anxiety is a common cause⁽²⁾. A previous study from the Global School-Based Student Health Survey found that 7.8% of adolescents had anxiety-induced sleep disturbance, but this prevalence can vary between 1.8% and 26.8% depending on the country⁽³⁾.

Among the risk factors for anxiety-induced sleep disturbance, unhealthy lifestyle behaviours, such as sedentary behaviour, physical inactivity and the consumption of ultra-processed foods, were highlighted⁽³⁻⁶⁾. In addition to their negative independent effects, these behaviours seem to be associated with each other⁽⁷⁻⁹⁾, a fact that can substantially increase the risk of anxiety-induced sleep

Keywords Sedentary behaviour Exercise Mental health Insomnia Ultra-processed food

^{*}Corresponding author: Email andrewerneck@usp.br

[©] The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society

5492

disturbance. A recent study found that adolescents who reported high daily consumption of ultra-processed foods as well as increased time watching TV had higher prevalence of anxiety-induced sleep disturbance compared to reporting the negative behaviours in isolation⁽⁵⁾.

TV viewing and ultra-processed food consumption can share some direct mechanisms in the association with mental disorders during adulthood, such as inflammation, but this relationship is yet to be investigated among adolescents^(10,11). Moreover, both ultra-processed foods and TV viewing are associated with body satisfaction⁽¹²⁾, loneliness⁽¹³⁾ and self-rated general health⁽¹⁴⁾, which have been associated with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance⁽¹⁵⁻¹⁷⁾. Thus, these mechanisms appear to be potential mediators. However, the influence of potential shared mediators of TV viewing and ultra-processed foods in the association with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance is still not clear. Therefore, our aim was to investigate the role of potential shared mediators (i.e. body satisfaction, loneliness, self-rated health and eat while watching TV or studying) in the association of TV viewing and daily ultra-processed food consumption with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance.

Methods

Sample

Data from the present study are derived from the Brazilian Adolescent School-Based Health Survey (PeNSE in Portuguese), which is part of the surveillance of risk and protection factors for chronic diseases in Brazil. This survey aims to report on risk factors and health of Brazilian students as well as to identify priority issues for the development of public policies. PeNSE was conducted in 2015⁽¹⁸⁾. The survey included a nationally representative sample of students from the final (ninth) year of elementary education, which was selected using a complex multistage, stratified, clustered probability design. The sampling process and methods are detailed elsewhere⁽¹⁸⁾. Briefly, cluster sampling was performed in two stages in capital cities (with schools as primary units and classes as secondary units) and three stages in other municipalities (with municipalities as primary units, schools as secondary units and classes as tertiary units). From 3160 eligible schools, a sample of 3040, with 124 227 students, was eligible to be included in the sample. Of these students, 102 072 accepted to participate and were at school on the day of interview. All procedures were approved by the National Health Research Ethics Council, and the study was conducted in accordance with the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki (CONEP n. 1.006.467).

Anxiety-induced sleep disturbance

Anxiety-induced sleep disturbance was assessed with the following question: 'Considering the past 12 months, how frequently do you have insomnia due to worries or concerns? (a) never; (b) rarely; (c) sometimes; (d) frequently; and (e) very frequently', which is based on the question of the Global School-Based Student Health Survey and used in previous studies^(3–5). Adolescents reporting frequent sleep disturbance due to worries and concerns were classified as having anxiety-induced sleep disturbance^(3–5).

TV viewing

Participants were asked about their total time watching TV per day, which was also based on the questionnaire of the Global School-Based Student Health Survey. For the analysis, we adopted the cut-point of >4 h/d for TV viewing, which corresponds to high levels of viewing relative to the wider population⁽⁶⁾.

Frequency of ultra-processed food consumption

The consumption of ultra-processed foods was assessed through questionnaires asking about specific ultraprocessed components: industrialized foods (such as hamburger, ham, mortadella, salami, sausage, instant noodles, packet saltiness, salty crackers), fast foods, confectionery (candies, chocolates, chewing gum, lollipops) and soft drinks. The base question was: 'In the last 7 d, on how many days did you eat...?' This was an adaptation based on the NOVA recommendation for ultra-processed food consumption⁽¹⁹⁾. Those who reported daily consumption of at least one group of ultra-processed were classified as 'daily consumers'⁽⁸⁾.

Mediators

Body satisfaction was estimated through the question: 'How do you feel about your body?' Possible answers were: '(a) very satisfied', '(b) satisfied', '(c) indifferent', '(d) dissatisfied' and '(e) very dissatisfied'. Those who indicated options 'a', 'b' or 'c' were considered to be 'satisfied' with their body, and those who reported options 'd' and 'e' were 'dissatisfied' with their body. Feeling of loneliness was assessed through the question, 'When you consider the past 12 months, how often did you feel lonely?' Possible answers were: '(a) never', '(b) rarely', '(c) sometimes', '(d) frequently' and '(e) very frequently'. We considered as at risk those who felt loneliness frequently and very frequently ('yes'), and at no risk if they indicated other options ('no'). Self-rated health was estimated through the question, 'How would you rate your health status?', with the potential answers '(a) very good', '(b) good', '(c) regular', '(d) bad' and '(e) very bad'. We considered them to be at risk if they answered bad or very bad ('poor') and at no risk if they indicated other options ('good'). For the question 'Do you eat when watching TV or studying?', possible answers were: '(a) yes, every day', '(b) yes, 5-6 d a week', '(c) yes, 3-4 d a week', '(d) yes, 1-2 d a week', '(e) rarely' and '(f) no'. We classified the participants as

Public Health Nutrition

Anxiety-induced sleep disturbance

having a daily pattern (seven times per week) and no daily pattern if ≤ 6 times per week.

Covariates

Chronological age and ethnicity were self-reported by participants; ethnicity was classified as white and nonwhite in our study. Food insecurity was used as a proxy for socioeconomic status. Participants were asked 'During the past 30 d, how often did you go hungry because there was not enough food in your home?' Answer options were classified as 'never', 'rarely/sometimes' and 'most of the time/always'. Physical activity was assessed using questions related to transport, leisure time as well as physical education classes, which has been previously described⁽²⁰⁾. We used the sum of all domains as our final indicators, with the cut-off point of 300 min/week, which is a frequently used cut-off point and also corresponds to high levels of physical activity relative to the wider population⁽²⁰⁾. Moreover, type of city (capital or interior) and country regions (north, northeast, south, southeast, midwest) were also used as a covariate into the analyses.

Statistical procedures

The characteristics of the sample as well as the comparison between included and excluded participants were described by sex using frequencies and 95% CI. The isolated association of daily ultra-processed food consumption and TV viewing with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance was assessed through logistic regression models, with a crude model (model 1) and an adjusted model (model 2 – adjusted for age group, ethnicity, food insecurity, country region, type of city and physical activity).

After this, mediation models were conducted to assess the influence of potential mediators (body satisfaction, loneliness, self-rated health and eat while watching TV or studying) in the associations of daily ultra-processed food consumption and TV viewing with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance (Fig. 1). The Karlson–Holm–Breen method was used for the mediation⁽²¹⁾, creating different models for each mediator. This method was applied in logistic regression models and decomposes the total effect of a variable into direct and indirect effects. This estimation also provides the percentage of explanation by the mediator (mediated percentage). The mediation models were also adjusted for age group, ethnicity, food insecurity,



Fig. 1 Theoretical model

country region, type of city and physical activity. As an assumption for the use of the Karlson–Holm–Breen method, we tested the interactions between exposures and mediators in the association with the outcome and found no significant interactions. Sampling weights (accounted for the type of school (public or private), number of classes inside each school, valid questionnaires inside each class, number of classes selected in the school and representativeness of all country regions), strata and primary sample unit were accounted in all analyses, conducted in Stata 15.1.

Results

From the initial sample (102 072 adolescents), 2281 presented missing data in at least one variable of the present study and were excluded. The excluded sample presented a higher proportion of boys (66.6 % v. 48.3 %), adolescents aged >14 years (42.2 % v. 30.4 %), but with similar rates of elevated food insecurity (most of the time/always), region and type of city (Table 1). Therefore, the final sample composed of 99 791 adolescents (52 038 girls).

Table 2 shows the characteristics of the sample according to sex. Girls had higher prevalence of anxiety-induced

	Included (<i>i</i>	n 99 791)	Excluded (<i>n</i> 2281)			
	Relative frequencies	95 % CI	Relative frequencies	95 % CI		
Sex						
Boy	48.3	47.7, 48.9	66.6	63·1, 69·9		
Girl	51.7	51.1, 52.3	33.4	30.1, 36.9		
Age group						
Less than	18.3	17.9, 18.8	13.8	11.2, 16.8		
14 years						
14 years	51.2	50.6, 51.7	44.0	40.4, 47.7		
More than	30.4	30.0, 31.0	42.2	38.7, 45.9		
14 years						
Ethnicity*						
White	36.2	35.7, 36.8	32.5	29.0, 36.2		
Other	63.8	63·2, 64·3	67.5	63·8, 71·0		
Food insecurity†						
Never	78 ⋅6	78·1, 79·0	79.0	75·9, 81·8		
Rarely/	18·9	18·5, 19·4	17.2	14.7, 20.0		
sometimes						
Most of the	2.5	2.3, 2.7	3.9	2.6, 5.6		
time/always						
Country region						
North	9.6	9·4, 9·8	8.3	7·2, 9·5		
Northeast	27.7	27.3, 28.1	27.1	24.5, 29.8		
Southeast	43.4	42.8, 44.0	41.7	37.7, 45.7		
South	11.8	11.5, 12.1	13.5	11.4, 15.9		
Midwest	7.4	7.3, 7.6	9.5	8.3, 10.8		
Type of city						
Capital	23.0	22.6, 23.3	24.8	22.3, 27.5		
Interior	77.0	76.7, 77.4	75.2	72.5, 77.7		

Note. Values are presented in absolute frequencies, weighted relative frequencies as well as 95% Cl.

**n* of excluded = 2173.

+n of excluded = 2097.

Table 2 Characteristics of sample according to sex

		Boys (n 47 7	76)		Girls (<i>n</i> 52 015)		
	n	Relative frequencies	95 % CI	n	Relative frequencies	95 % CI	
Age group							
Less than 14 years	6988	16.1	15.5, 16.8	9977	20.4	19.8, 21.1	
14 years	23 103	48.9	48.1, 49.7	27 525	53.3	52.5, 54.0	
More than 14 years	17 685	35.0	34.3, 35.7	14 513	26.3	25.7, 26.9	
Ethnicity			,			,	
White	16 535	37.5	36.7, 38.3	16 573	35.0	34.2, 35.8	
Other	31 241	62.5	61.7.63.3	35 442	65.0	64.2.65.7	
Food insecurity	-		- ,			- ,	
Never	37 327	78.8	78.1.79.4	40 357	78.8	78.1.79.4	
Rarely/sometimes	9423	19.2	18.5, 19.8	10 157	19.2	18.5. 19.8	
Most of the time/always	1026	2.1	1.8, 2.3	1501	2.1	1.8.2.3	
Country region			,			,	
North	11 347	9.6	9.5. 9.6	12 048	9.6	9.6.9.7	
Northeast	16 452	26.4	26.3, 26.4	19 076	29.0	28.9, 29.1	
Southeast	8504	44.5	44.4 44.6	8945	42.4	42.3 42.5	
South	4711	12.0	12.0, 12.1	4893	11.6	11.6.11.7	
Midwest	6762	7.5	7.5 7.6	7053	7.3	7.3 7.4	
Type of city	0702		10,10	1000		70,71	
Capital	24 130	23.2	23.1 23.2	25 966	22.8	22.7 22.8	
Interior	23 646	76.8	76.8 76.9	26 049	77.2	77.1 77.3	
Habitual PA	20 0 10	100	100,100	20 0 10	,, <u> </u>		
< 299 min/week	26 750	56.0	55.1 56.8	38 590	74.6	73.9 75.2	
\geq 300 min/week	21 026	44.0	43.2 44.9	13 425	25.4	24.8 26.1	
Anxiety-induced sleep disturbance	21 020	110	10 2, 11 0	10 120	20 1	210,201	
No	44 400	93.1	92.7 93.5	43 883	84.6	84.0 85.1	
Yes	3376	6.8	6.4 7.3	8132	15.4	14.9 16.0	
Ultra-processed foods consumption	00.0	00	• ., . •	0.02		,	
< 6 times/week	31 063	63.7	62.9.64.5	29 799	56.5	55.7.57.3	
7 times/week	16 713	36.3	35.5 37.1	22 216	43.5	42.7 44.3	
TV-viewing	10/10	000	000,071		10 0	127,110	
<3.99 h/d	33 189	68.3	67.5 69.0	33 931	63.1	62.4 63.8	
$\geq 4 \text{ h/d}$	14 587	31.7	31.0 32.5	18 084	36.9	36.2 37.6	
Fat while watching TV or studying	11007	011	010,020	10 00 1	000	00 2, 07 0	
<6 times/week	26 902	54.1	53.3 54.9	30 635	57.0	56.2 57.7	
7 times/week	20 874	45.9	45.1 46.7	21 380	43.0	42.2 43.8	
Body image satisfaction	20 07 4	40.0	401, 407	21 000	40.0	42 2, 40 0	
Satisfied	37 105	77.9	77.2 78.6	34 390	68.5	67.8 69.2	
Dissatisfied	10 671	22.1	21.4 22.8	17 625	31.5	30.8 32.2	
Loneliness	10 0/ 1		214,220	17 020	010	00 0, 02 2	
No	42 819	89.8	80.3 00.3	40 147	77.7	77.0 78.3	
Yes	4957	10.2	9.7 10.7	11 868	22.3	21.7 23.0	
Self-rated health	-1007	10.7	57, 107	11 000		217,200	
Good	36 606	77.0	77.2 78.6	34 728	68.5	67.8 60.2	
Poor	11 170	22.1	21.4 22.8	17 287	31.5	30.8 32.2	
1.001	11 170		21.4, 22.0	17 207	01.0	50.0, 02.2	

Note. Values are presented in absolute frequencies, weighted relative frequencies as well as 95 % CI.

sleep disturbance (girls 15·4%, 95% CI 14·9%, 16·0% *v*. boys: 6·8%, 95% CI 6·4%, 7·3%), daily ultra-processed food consumption (girls: OR 43·5, 95% CI 42·7, 44·3 *v*. boys: OR 36·3, 95% CI 35·5, 37·1), body dissatisfaction (girls: OR 31·5, 95% CI 30·8, 32·2 *v*. boys: OR 22·1, 95% CI 21·4, 22·8), loneliness (girls: OR 22·3, 95% CI 21·7, 23·0 *v*. boys: OR 10·2, 95% CI 9·7, 10·7) and poor self-rated health (girls: OR 31·5, 95% CI 30·8, 32·2 *v*. boys: OR 22·1, 95% CI 21·4, 22·8).

The isolated associations of daily ultra-processed food consumption and TV viewing with anxiety-induced insomnia are presented in Table 3. Both daily ultra-processed food consumption (boys: OR 1·48, 95 % CI 1·30, 1·70; girls: OR 1·46, 95 % CI 1·34, 1·60) and TV viewing (boys: OR 1·24,

95 % CI 1.08, 1.43; girls: OR 1.09, 95 % CI 1.00, 1.19) were associated with higher odds for anxiety-induced sleep disturbance.

Considering mediation models (Table 4), we observed that loneliness and eat while watching TV or studying consistently mediated the association of both daily ultraprocessed food consumption (loneliness: boys 17.4 %, girls 23.4 %; eat while watching TV or studying: girls 6.8 %) and TV viewing (loneliness: boys 22.9 %, girls 45.8 %; eat while watching TV or studying: boys 6.7 %, girls 17.9 %) with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance. Self-rated health mediated the association between daily ultra-processed food consumption and anxiety-induced sleep disturbance only among girls (9.5 %). Also, self-rated health (boys

	Boys OR	95 % CI	Girls OR	95 % CI
Ultra-processed food (Ref: ≤ 6 times	s/week)			
Model 1 (crude model)	, 1.52	1.34, 1.74	1.49	1.37, 1.62
Model 2 (adjusted model)	1.48	1.30, 1.70	1.46	1.34, 1.60
TV-viewing ($\hat{\text{Ref}} \le 3.99 \text{ h/d}$)		,		
Model 1 (crude model)	1.32	1.15, 1.51	1.16	1.06, 1.27
Model 2 (adjusted model)	1.24	1.08, 1.43	1.09	1.00, 1.19

CI, Confidence interval.

Note. Adjusted for age group, ethnicity, food insecurity, country region, type of city (capital or interior) and physical activity.

Table 4 Mediation models for the association of daily ultra-processed food and TV-viewing with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance among adolescents

Mediator	Sex	Total effect OR	95 % CI	Ρ	Direct effect OR	95 % CI	Р	Indirect effect OR	95 % CI	Р	% Mediator
Daily ultra-processed food											
Body satisfaction	Boys	1.49	1.31, 1.71	<0.001	1.49	1.30, 1.70	<0.001	1.00	0.99, 1.01	0.922	-
	Girls	1.47	1.35, 1.61	<0.001	1.46	1.33, 1.59	<0.001	1.01	1.00, 1.02	0.045	3.0
Loneliness	Boys	1.46	1.27, 1.68	<0.001	1.37	1.19, 1.58	<0.001	1.07	1.04, 1.10	<0.001	17.4
	Girls	1.48	1.35, 1.63	<0.001	1.35	1.23, 1.48	<0.001	1.10	1.07, 1.12	<0.001	23.4
Self-rated health	Boys	1.49	1.30, 1.70	<0.001	1.47	1.29, 1.68	<0.001	1.01	1.00, 1.02	0.054	-
	Girls	1.47	1.35, 1.61	<0.001	1.42	1.30, 1.55	<0.001	1.04	1.03, 1.05	<0.001	9.5
Eat while watching TV or studying	Boys	1.49	1.30, 1.70	<0.001	1.46	1.28, 1.67	<0.001	1.02	1.00, 1.04	0.062	-
, ,	Girls	1.47	1.34, 1.60	<0.001	1.43	1.31, 1.56	<0.001	1.03	1.01, 1.04	<0.001	6.8
TV-viewing											
Body satisfaction	Boys	1.24	1.08, 1.43	0.002	1.22	1.06, 1.40	0.005	1.02	1.01, 1.03	0.007	9.0
	Girls	1.09	1.00, 1.19	0.062	1.07	0.98, 1.17	0.151	1.02	1.01, 1.03	0.001	22.9
Loneliness	Boys	1.22	1.06, 1.42	0.007	1.17	1.01, 1.35	0.037	1.05	1.02, 1.07	<0.001	22.9
	Girls	1.09	0.99, 1.20	0.087	1.05	0.95, 1.15	0.354	1.04	1.01, 1.06	0.002	45.8
Self-rated health	Boys	1.24	1.08, 1.43	0.002	1.20	1.05, 1.38	0.008	1.03	1.02, 1.05	<0.001	14.2
	Girls	1.09	0.99, 1.19	0.066	1.07	0.97, 1.17	0.170	1.02	1.01, 1.03	<0.001	25.3
Eat while watching TV or studying	Boys	1.24	1.08, 1.43	0.002	1.23	1.07, 1.41	0.004	1.01	1.01, 1.03	0.017	6.7
	Girls	1.09	0.99, 1.19	0.067	1.07	0.98, 1.17	0.133	1.02	1.00, 1.03	0.004	17.9

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Note. Adjusted by age group, ethnicity, food insecurity, country region, type of city (capital or interior) and physical activity.

14.2 %, girls 25.3 %) and body satisfaction (boys 9.0 %, girls 22.9 %) mediated the association between TV viewing and anxiety-induced sleep disturbance in both sexes.

Discussion

This cross-sectional study aimed to investigate the role of potential shared mediators of the associations of daily ultra-processed food consumption and TV viewing with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance. Our main finding was that daily ultra-processed food consumption and TV viewing have common mediators as loneliness and eat while watching TV or studying, and that the influence of the mediators can vary according to sex. This study advances the investigation of how ultra-processed food consumption and TV viewing, as well as their co-occurrence, can be associated with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance⁽⁵⁾. Also, girls self-reported poorer health indicators, including

anxiety-induced sleep disturbance, self-rated health, loneliness, body satisfaction as well as higher TV viewing and ultra-processed food consumption.

The present study is consistent with previous findings concerning the independent associations of daily ultraprocessed food consumption and TV viewing with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance⁽³⁻⁶⁾. These independent associations can present different direct mechanisms, such as the blue light emission by television, which is associated with poorer sleep quality^(22,23). In addition, there are biological mechanisms linking sitting time and anxiety and sleep disturbance, such as the increase of inflammatory markers like IL-6 and C-reactive protein⁽¹⁰⁾. Similarly, the daily consumption of ultraprocessed foods can present direct roles in the association with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance, that is, the increased consumption of fat, sugar and additives can also be associated with inflammatory markers and consequently sleep disturbance and insomnia^(11,24). However, these mechanisms have been less studied among adolescents.

However, we investigated the role of psychological/ behavioural mediators such as body satisfaction, loneliness, self-rated health and eat while watching TV or studying. Body satisfaction is strongly related to weight status⁽²⁵⁾, which can be associated with anxiety⁽²⁶⁾. However, we only found consistent mediation considering TV viewing, and not daily ultra-processed food consumption. A possible explanation could be through the stigmatization produced by the TV programmes^(27,28). Therefore, weight stigmatization can be associated with poorer body satisfaction and consequently higher sleep disturbance⁽¹⁷⁾. Self-rated health was also an important mediator concerning the association between TV viewing and anxiety-induced sleep disturbance. TV viewing is associated with self-rated health⁽¹⁴⁾, and selfrated health is closely associated with sleep disturbance⁽¹⁶⁾.

We found that loneliness has a pivotal role in linking both daily ultra-processed food consumption and TV viewing with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance. This indicates that both behaviours are associated with social exclusion and frequently practised alone. Moreover, eating while watching TV is associated with considerably higher consumption of daily ultra-processed food⁽⁹⁾. Therefore, it is also possible to interpret eating while watching TV or studying as an indicator of both behaviours co-occurring^(7,8). Thus, this co-occurrence can be a risk factor and links the social isolation caused by TV viewing with the daily consumption of ultra-processed foods, such as snacking and soft drinks consumption^(5,7,29), and consequently with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance⁽³⁰⁾.

We highlight that the associations of TV viewing and ultra-processed food consumption with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance can be bidirectional. Therefore, it is also possible that higher anxiety-induced sleep disturbance can lead to mediators and also to higher TV viewing and consumption of ultra-processed foods^(31–33). In this sense, higher sleep disturbance should lead to a lack of energy and consequently higher TV viewing, which could also pass through the mediators such as loneliness and eat while watching TV or studying⁽³²⁾. Also, previous studies have found that sleep deprivation can be associated with different food choices, especially increasing the consumption of sugary foods^(31,33).

Our findings should be interpreted in the light of potential limitations. The cross-sectional design limits any inference of causality. Mediation models are causal models, and their interpretation is often limited in cross-sectional studies⁽³⁴⁾. However, there is a clear plausibility for the causal association between both exposures and mediators as well as between mediators and mental health^(12–17). Also, there were no interactions between exposures and mediators, indicating that the mediators did not change the association between exposures and outcome, but reduced the degree of association. The consumption of ultraprocessed foods relied on a 7-d recall on days per week,

without further information on daily servings and specific calorie contribution of each food, which does not allow the estimation of a specific ultra-processed food's contribution to daily energy demand. It being based on self-report, this information is prone to recall bias (7-d recall). Also, we did not consider potential unmeasured confounders such as weight status, pubertal status, parental history of mental disorders and peer influences on diet and TV viewing because such information was not available. On the other hand, we included a large nationally representative sample of Brazilian adolescents. To our knowledge, this is the first study aiming to investigate the potential common mediators of the associations of daily ultra-processed food consumption and TV viewing with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance. In terms of practical applications, these results not only reinforce the need of public health policies to reduce sedentary behaviours and unhealthy eating habits among adolescents but also indicate ways to build effective actions, focusing on the shared mediators that link TV viewing and ultra-processed food consumption with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance.

We conclude that daily ultra-processed food consumption and TV viewing share mediators in the association with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance, such as loneliness and eating while watching TV or studying. Therefore, both unhealthy lifestyle behaviours can act in synergic mechanisms in the association with anxiety disorders.

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements: We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of all participants of the present research as well as the IBGE staff for data collection. Financial support: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors. A.W. is supported by the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) with a PhD scholarship (FAPESP process 2019/ 24124-7). E.H. is supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council Early Career Fellowship (APP1156909). This paper presents independent research. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the acknowledged institutions. Conflict of interest: There are no conflicts of interest. Authorship: All authors listed met the conditions required for full authorship. Concept and study design, data analysis: A.O.W. and D.R.S. Interpretation of data: A.O.W., E.H. and D.R.S. Drafting of manuscript: A.O.W. Critical revision and approval of the manuscript for important intellectual content: all authors. Ethics of human subject participation: All procedures performed in the original studies involving human participants were approved by the National Health Research Ethics Council (CONEP: 1.006.467/2015) in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee

Anxiety-induced sleep disturbance

and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Data sharing statement: All data are open published as microdata at https://www.ibge.gov.br/estatisticas/sociais/populacao/ 9134-pesquisa-nacional-de-saude-do-escolar.html?=&t= microdados.

References

- 1. Zambotti M, Goldstone A, Colrain IM *et al.* (2018) Insomnia disorder in adolescence: diagnosis, impact, and treatment. *Sleep Med Rev* **39**, 12–24.
- Mullin BC & Simon SL (2017) Managing insomnia symptoms among adolescents with anxiety disorders. *Evid Based Pract Child Adolesc Ment Health* 2, 123–138.
- Vancampfort D, Van Damme T, Stubbs B *et al.* (2019) Sedentary behavior and anxiety-induced sleep disturbance among 181,093 adolescents from 67 countries: a global perspective. *Sleep Med* 58, 19–26.
- Khan A & Uddin R (2020) Is consumption of fast-food and carbonated soft drink associated with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance among adolescents? A population-based study. *Clin Nutr ESPEN* 36, 162–165.
- Werneck AO, Vancampfort D, Oyeyemi AL et al. (2020) Joint association of ultra-processed food and sedentary behavior with anxiety-induced sleep disturbance among Brazilian adolescents. J Affect Disord 266, 135–142.
- 6. Werneck AO, Vancampfort D, Oyeyemi AL *et al.* (2018) Associations between TV viewing, sitting time, physical activity and insomnia among 100,839 Brazilian adolescents. *Psychiatr Res* **269**, 700–706.
- Ashdown-Franks G, Vancampfort D, Firth J et al. (2019) Association of leisure-time sedentary behavior with fast food and carbonated soft drink consumption among 133,555 adolescents aged 12–15 years in 44 low- and middle-income countries. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 16, 35.
- Costa C dos S, Flores TR, Wendt A *et al.* (2018) Sedentary behavior and consumption of ultra-processed foods by Brazilian adolescents: Brazilian National School Health Survey (PeNSE), 2015. *Cad Saúde Pública* 34, e00021017.
- 9. Martines RM, Machado PP, Neri DA *et al.* (2019) Association between watching TV whilst eating and children's consumption of ultraprocessed foods in United Kingdom. *Matern Child Nutr* **15**, e12819.
- Endrighi R, Steptoe A & Hamer M (2016) The effect of experimentally induced sedentariness on mood and psychobiological responses to mental stress. *Br J Psychiatr* 208, 245–251.
- Firth J, Veronese N, Cotter J *et al.* (2019) What is the role of dietary inflammation in severe mental illness? A review of observational and experimental findings. *Front Psychiatr* **10**, 350.
- 12. Matias TS, Lopes MVV, de Mello GT *et al.* (2019) Clustering of obesogenic behaviors and association with body image among Brazilian adolescents in the national school-based health survey (PeNSE 2015). *Prev Med Rep* **16**, 101000.
- Ferrer-Cascales R, Albaladejo-Blázquez N, Ruiz-Robledillo N et al. (2018) Low adherence to the Mediterranean diet in isolated adolescents: the mediation effects of stress. *Nutrients* 10, 1894.
- 14. Osera T, Awai M, Kobayashi M *et al.* (2017) Relationship between self-rated health and lifestyle and food habits in Japanese high school students. *Behav Sci* **7**, 71.

- Ben Simon E & Walker MP (2018) Sleep loss causes social withdrawal and loneliness. *Nat Commun* 9, 3146.
- Conklin AI, Yao CA & Richardson CG (2019) Chronic sleep disturbance, not chronic sleep deprivation, is associated with self-rated health in adolescents. *Prev Med* 124, 11–16.
- Kelly Y, Zilanawala A, Booker C *et al.* (2018) Social media use and adolescent mental health: findings from the UK millennium cohort study. *EClinicalMedicine* 6, 59–68.
- Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística IBGE (2016) Brazilian School-Based Health Survey – PeNSE. Brasília, Brazil: IBGE.
- Monteiro CA, Cannon G, Lawrence M et al. (2019) Ultra-Processed Foods, Diet Quality, and Health Using the NOVA Classification System. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations – FAO.
- 20. Werneck AO, Oyeyemi AL, Fernandes RA *et al.* (2018) Regional socioeconomic inequalities in physical activity and sedentary behavior among Brazilian adolescents. *J Phys Act Health* **15**, 338–344.
- Breen R, Karlson KB & Holm A (2013) Total, direct, and indirect effects in Logit and Probit models. *Social Method Res* 42, 164–191.
- LeBourgeois MK, Hale L, Chang A-M *et al.* (2017) Digital media and sleep in childhood and adolescence. *Pediatrics* 140, S92–S96.
- 23. Tähkämö L, Partonen T & Pesonen A-K (2019) Systematic review of light exposure impact on human circadian rhythm. *Chronobiol Int* **36**, 151–170.
- Lopes AE da SC, Araújo LF, Levy RB *et al.* (2019) Association between consumption of ultra-processed foods and serum C-reactive protein levels: cross-sectional results from the ELSA-Brasil study. *Sao Paulo Med J* 137, 169–176.
- 25. Reel J, Voelker D & Greenleaf C (2015) Weight status and body image perceptions in adolescents: current perspectives. *Adolesc Health Med Ther* **6**, 149–158.
- Vannucci A & Ohannessian CM (2018) Body image dissatisfaction and anxiety trajectories during adolescence. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol 47, 785–795.
- 27. Ata RN & Thompson JK (2010) Weight bias in the media: a review of recent Research. *Obes Facts* **3**, 41–46.
- Eisenberg ME, Carlson-McGuire A, Gollust SE *et al.* (2015) A content analysis of weight stigmatization in popular television programming for adolescents: content analysis of weight stigmatization. *Int J Eat Disord* 48, 759–766.
- Boulos R, Vikre EK, Oppenheimer S et al. (2012) ObesiTV: how television is influencing the obesity epidemic. *Physiol Behav* 107, 146–153.
- Eccles AM, Qualter P, Madsen KR *et al.* (2020) Loneliness in the lives of Danish adolescents: associations with health and sleep. *Scand J Public Health* **48**, 877–887.
- 31. Beebe DW, Simon S, Summer S *et al.* (2013) Dietary intake following experimentally restricted sleep in adolescents. *Sleep* **36**, 827–834.
- 32. Chaput J-P & Dutil C (2016) Lack of sleep as a contributor to obesity in adolescents: impacts on eating and activity behaviors. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **13**, 103.
- Kruger AK, Reither EN, Peppard PE *et al.* (2014) Do sleep-deprived adolescents make less-healthy food choices? *Br J Nutr* **111**, 1898–1904.
- Fairchild AJ & McDaniel HL (2017) Best (but off-forgotten) practices: mediation analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 105, 1259–1271.